

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
1998-0004-F[1]; 1998-0251-F

FOIA Number:
S

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Sununu, John, Files
Subseries: Issues Files

OA/ID Number: 29139
Folder ID Number: 29139-003

Folder Title:
Post Budget Summit [1990] [4]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	15	24	6	5

AN AMERICAN VISION

The Republican Agenda

**PURSELL-KASICH TASK FORCE
1990**

Enclosed is a proposal our Task Force developed at the Leadership's request. The Task Force reflects a broad cross-section of our conference, and we believe that the positive, tough, and pro-growth Republican position outlined here -- a position that can win -- is critically important at this time.

AN AMERICAN VISION

The Republican Agenda

CONTENTS

- 1. Members of Budget Group**
- 2. Major Principles**
- 3. Proposal Outline**
- 4. Comparison of Republican and Democrat Plans**
- 5. Budget Reform Proposals**
- 6. Talking Points**
- 7. Notes**

PURSELL-KASICH BUDGET GROUP

Helen Bentley

Nancy Johnson

Doug Bereuter

John Kasich

Christopher Cox

John Miller

Tom DeLay

Carl Pursell

Dean Gallo

Clay Shaw

Dennis Hastert

Olympia Snowe

John Hiler

Fred Upton

MAJOR PRINCIPLES

- o **FAIRNESS**
- o **PRO-GROWTH**
- o **SPENDING DISCIPLINE**
- o **LESS GOVERNMENT**
- o **ACCOUNTABILITY**

PURSELL-KASICH BUDGET PROPOSAL

Major Elements (In Billions of Dollars)

		Deficit Reduction	
		<u>1991</u>	<u>1991-95</u>
1.	NonDefense Discretionary	- 5.7	- 39.7
	Achieved by Freezing 1991 BA at 1990 Level Inflation Only Thereafter		
2.	Defense - Incorporate Nunn Plan on Outlays	- 10.1	- 170.0
3.	Entitlements: Same as 9/30 except:	- 12.3	- 109.8
	o Medicare \$10B less over 5 years o No 2-week unemployment wait		
4.	Revenues: Same as 9/30 except:	- 17.5	- 71.8
	o Flatten Bubble at 31% o Capital Gains at 15% o Drop Small Business Incentive o No Gasoline Tax o No 2-cent Petroleum Tax		
5.	Interest	- 1.8	- 64.9
TOTAL		- 47.4	- 456.3

COMPARISON OF REPUBLICAN AND DEMOCRAT BUDGET PLANS

o **Total GOP budget produces more real first-year savings**

- REPUBLICAN SAVINGS = \$47.4 Billion

- DEMOCRAT SAVINGS = \$38.5 Billion

o **Entitlements**

	<u>First Year</u>	<u>Five-Year</u>
- REPUBLICANS	\$12.3 B	\$109.8 B
- DEMOCRATS	\$11.1 B	\$109.8 B

Republican plan contains *specific* proposals for reductions.

Democrat Resolution contains no specific instructions for savings.

Both plans contain smaller savings in Medicare than the summit proposal. Republicans *specify* that beneficiary cuts should be reduced.

o **Discretionary**

	<u>First Year</u>	<u>Five-Year</u>
- REPUBLICANS	\$15.8 B	\$209.7 B
- DEMOCRATS	\$ 8.9 B	\$161.9 B

Both budgets assume that Defense will be reduced by the amounts proposed by Senator Nunn.

Republican budget includes a "Hard" freeze on Budget Authority for domestic programs at the FY 1990 level for one year. Growth for inflation *only* is allowed in the last four years.

Democrats assume all discretionary savings will come from defense cuts and that domestic discretionary spending will continue to grow.

o **Revenues**

	<u>First Year</u>	<u>Five Year</u>
- REPUBLICANS	\$17.5 B	\$ 71.8 B
- DEMOCRATS	\$17.4 B	\$138.8 B

Republicans reject the regressive tax increases on gasoline and petroleum products.

Democrats have no specific instructions for the Ways & Means Committee but are considering taxes on gasoline and petroleum products -- which will hit lower and middle income people hardest.

Republicans propose real incentives for economic growth by lowering the tax rate on capital gains to 15 percent.

Democrats do not include real growth incentives.

Republicans propose to "burst the bubble" by eliminating the 33% bracket and substituting a 31% bracket. Although this will slightly raise marginal rates for the highest income Americans (married joint filers with incomes over \$177,720 in 1990), it will reduce to 31% (from the current 33%) the effective tax rate for more than 4 million middle income earners.

BUDGET REFORM PROPOSALS

- o **Use Veto Strategy**
Veto as a "hammer" to implement budget process reforms
- o **Budget First, Spending Second**
No authorization or appropriations bill may be considered until the budget is in place
- o **A One-Page, 19-Function Budget Resolution**
Shall be a joint resolution *subject to a Presidential veto*
- o **Meet Budget Act Deadlines**
The Rules Committee will have no jurisdiction to waive the Budget Act deadlines or spending limits. Instead, a two-thirds vote would be required on the floor
- o **Enhanced Rescission**
Enforces rescission for over-budget spending
- o **Pay-as-You-Go Supplementals**
Any increased spending must be offset
- o **No Baseline Budgeting**
No more "current services" baselines in President's or Congressional budgets -- hard numbers must be used
- o **Early 1991 Vote on Balanced Budget Amendment**
The vote should be a top priority for the 102nd Congress
- o **Use More Accurate Revenue Estimates**
Use *dynamic* -- not static -- revenue estimates

TALKING POINTS

- o Promotes fairness in taxes and spending**
- o Reduces capital gains tax to 15% and flattens bubble at 31%**
- o Reduces proposed Medicare cuts**
- o No petroleum or gasoline tax increases**
- o Freezes domestic non-defense spending at 1990 level in first year**
- o Future domestic non-defense spending held to inflation**
- o Enforces Budget Act deadlines and spending limits by requiring two-thirds vote for waivers**
- o No more CRs, 13 appropriations bills presented on time**
- o Strongly encourages use of Presidential veto for over-budget appropriations**
- o Introduces integrity and discipline to the budget process**

NOTES

NOTES

NOTES

From Phil Gramm

GOVERNMENT SPENDING AS SHARE OF G.N.P.

	START OF GRH 1985	NOW 1990	UNDER BUDGET SUMMIT AGREEMENT 1995
SPENDING	946	1238	1385
G.N.P.	3959	5472	7607
SPENDING / G.N.P.	23.9%	22.6%	<u>18.2%</u>

RELEVANT FACTS

- (1) GOVERNMENT SPENDING AS A SHARE OF G.N.P. WILL BE 24% LOWER IN 1995 UNDER SUMMIT AGREEMENT THAN WHEN GRH STARTED.
- (2) GOVERNMENT SPENDING AS A SHARE OF GNP WILL BE LOWEST SINCE 1966.

IN PROGRESS: ROLL NO. 433
 AUTHOR(S):
 ON PRESIDENTIAL VETO

2/3 YEA-AND-NAY

TIME REMAINING FINAL

CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS, FY 1991

	YEA	NAY	H J RES 660 PRES	NOT VOTING
DEMOCRATIC	235	9		14
REPUBLICAN	25	129		22
OTHER				
TOTALS	260	138		36

TIME REMAINING FINAL

Y BATEMAN
 Y BENTLEY
 Y BERELTER
 Y BOEHLERT
 Y CONTE
 Y DICKINSON
 Y GILMAN
 Y GRANT
 Y HAMMERSCMIDT
 Y MCGRATH
 Y MEYERS
 Y MORELLA
 Y MYERS
 Y PARRIS
 Y PASHAYAN
 Y RAVENEL
 Y ROWLAND (CT)
 Y SAIKI
 Y SCHIFF
 Y SHUSTER
 Y SKEEN
 Y SNOWE
 Y STANGELAND

Y WOLF
 Y YOUNG (AK)

ROLL NO. 433

REPUBLICAN - YEARS

N ARCHER
 N ARNEY
 N BALLENGER
 N BARTLETT
 N BARTON
 N BILIRAKIS
 N BLILEY
 N BROOMFIELD
 N BROWN (CO)
 N BUECHNER

N DELAY
 N DEMING
 N DORNAN (CA)
 N DOUGLAS
 N DREIER
 N DUNCAN
 N EDWARDS (OK)
 N EMERSON
 N FAWELL
 N FIELDS

N HASTERT
 N HEFLEY
 N HERGER
 N HILER
 N HOPKINS
 N HORTON
 N HOUGHTON
 N HUNTER
 N HYDE

N. BUNNING
N. BURTON
N. CAMPBELL (CA)
N. CHANDLER
N. CLINGER
N. COBLE
N. COLEMAN (MO)
N. COMBEST
N. COUGHLIN
N. COX
N. CRAIG
N. CRANE
N. DAVIS

ROLL NO. 433

REFUBLICAN - NAYS

FIELDS
FISH
FRENZEL
GALLO
GEMAS
GILLMOR
GINGRICH
GOODLING
GOSS
GRADISON
GRANDY
GREEN
GUNDERSON
HANCOCK

Extended Page
N INHOFE
N IRLAND
N JAMES
N JOHNSON (CT)
N KASICH
N KOLBE
N KYL
N LACOMARINO
N LEACH (IA)
N LENT
N LEWIS (CA)
N LEWIS (FL)
N LIGHTFOOT
N LOWERY (CA)

ROLL NO. 433

REPUBLICAN - NAYS

N LUKENS, DONALD	N RINALDO	N STEARNS
N MACHTLEY	N RITTER	N SUNDQUIST
N MARLENEE	N ROBERTS	N TAUKE
N MARTIN (NY)	N ROGERS	N THOMAS (CA)
N MCCANDLESS	N ROHRBACHER	N THOMAS (WY)
N MCCOLLUM	N ROS-LEHTINEN	N UPTON
N MCDADE	N ROUKEMA	N VUCANOVICH
N McMILLAN (NC)	N SAXTON	N WALKER
N MICHEL	N SCHAEFER	N WALSH
N MILLER (OH)	N SCHUETTE	N WEBER
N MILLER (WA)	N SCHULZE	N WELDON
N MOLINARI	N SENSENBRENNER	N WHITTAKER
N MOORHEAD	N SHAW	N WYLIE
N MORRISON (WA)	N SHAYS	N YOUNG (FL)
N NIELSON	N SHUMWAY	
N OXLEY	N SLAUGHTER (VA)	
N PAXON	N SMITH (NJ)	
N PETRI	N SMITH (TX)	
N PORTER	N SMITH (UT)	
N PURSELL	N SMITH, ROBERT (NH)	
N REGULA	N SMITH, ROBERT (OR)	
N RHODES	N SOLOMON	
N RIDGE	N SPENCE	

ROLL NO. 433

REPUBLICAN - NAYS - CONTINUED

- BAKER
- CALLAHAN
- COURTER
- DANNEMEYER
- GALLEGLY
- HANSEN
- HENRY
- HOLLOWAY
- LIVINGSTON
- MADIGAN
- MARTIN (IL)
- MCCREARY
- MCELEN
- PACKARD
- QUILLLEN
- ROBINSON
- ROTH
- SCHNEIDER
- SMITH (NE)
- SMITH, DENNY (OR)
- STUMP
- VANDER JAGT

ROLL NO. 433

REPUBLICAN -NOT VOTING -

Y. UBEY
 Y OLIN
 Y ORTIZ
 ROLL NO. 433
 Y TALLON
 Y TANNER
 Y TAYLOR
 Y THOMAS (GR)
 Y TORRES
 Y TORRICELLI
 Y TRAFICANT
 Y TRAYLER
 Y UDALL
 Y UNSOLD
 Y (12) ENTINE

Y OAHNHEISTER
 Y SAPPALUS
 Y SAVAGE
 DEMOCRATIC - YEARS - CONTINUED
 Y WISE
 Y WOLFE
 Y WYDEN
 Y YATES
 Y YATRON

Y
 Y
 Y
 Y

Y VISLOSKY
 Y VOLMER
 Y WALDEN
 Y WASHINGTON
 Y WATKINS
 Y WAXMAN
 Y WEISS
 Y WHEAT
 Y WHITTEN
 Y WILLIAMS
 Y WILSON

ROLL NO. 433

DEMOCRATIC - YEARS - CONTINUED

N CARPER
 N HALL (TX)
 N LAUGHLIN
 N PARKER
 N PAYNE (VA)
 N PERSE
 N PENNY
 N RAY
 N STENHOLM

ROLL NO. 433

DEMOCRATIC - DAYS -

- BATES
 - BERMAN
 - BROWN (CA)
 - CAMPBELL (CO)
 - CROCKETT
 - DYMALLY
 - HAYES (LA)
 - HUCKABY
 - JONES (NC)
 - MONTGOMERY
 - RICHARDSON
 - ROSTENKOWSKI
 - TAUZIN
 - TOWNE

ROLL NO. 433

DEMOCRATIC -NOT VOTING -

DRAFT**EXPLANATION OF CONFERENCE AGREEMENT**

The following tables show the functional allocations and budget aggregates included in the conference agreement over five years for the total budget, the on-budget amounts and the off-budget amounts. In addition, a table is included which breaks out the credit amounts by function.

DRAFT

CONFERENCE AGREEMENT - TOTAL BUDGET
(In billions of dollars)

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	1485.6	1592.6	1582.4	1593.4	1668.4
OUTLAYS.....	1236.9	1269.3	1305.0	1324.8	1355.6
REVENUES.....	1172.9	1260.8	1349.8	1433.3	1511.7
DEFICIT (-) / SURPLUS (+).....	-64.0	-8.5	44.8	106.5	156.2
050 NATIONAL DEFENSE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	288.3	290.9	291.1	351.5	364.9
OUTLAYS.....	297.0	295.0	292.0	341.7	351.5
150 INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	19.2	19.8	20.8	22.4	23.8
OUTLAYS.....	17.4	18.0	18.5	19.7	20.7
250 GENERAL SCIENCE, SPACE & TECHNOLOGY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	15.2	15.9	16.5	17.1	17.7
OUTLAYS.....	15.2	15.7	16.1	16.8	17.4
270 ENERGY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	8.4	8.6	8.4	8.8	7.2
OUTLAYS.....	4.0	4.4	5.0	5.3	5.2
300 NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	18.8	19.9	20.5	21.2	22.0
OUTLAYS.....	18.9	19.5	20.2	20.6	21.2
350 AGRICULTURE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	18.0	22.6	20.4	18.2	19.2
OUTLAYS.....	14.1	17.1	16.0	15.3	14.6
370 COMMERCE & HOUSING CREDIT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	85.5	85.4	41.6	-6.5	2.6
OUTLAYS.....	87.0	81.4	39.7	-9.2	-3.2
400 TRANSPORTATION:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	32.3	33.5	34.7	36.0	37.4
OUTLAYS.....	30.7	31.9	33.1	34.3	35.5
450 COMMUNITY & REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	9.2	8.9	8.0	9.5	9.8
OUTLAYS.....	8.6	8.6	8.7	8.9	9.2
500 EDUCATION, TRAINING, EMPLOYMENT & SOCIAL SERVICES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	43.0	43.7	44.4	46.3	48.1
OUTLAYS.....	41.8	43.5	44.0	45.4	46.9
550 HEALTH:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	65.3	73.9	81.3	89.8	98.5
OUTLAYS.....	65.5	73.3	80.9	88.9	97.5
570 MEDICARE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	122.4	133.5	147.5	161.9	177.2
OUTLAYS.....	104.9	120.0	134.4	150.5	168.0
600 INCOME SECURITY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	196.8	205.2	212.8	223.5	231.1
OUTLAYS.....	180.5	167.8	175.3	185.3	192.2
650 SOCIAL SECURITY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	338.5	367.0	396.2	427.5	460.9
OUTLAYS.....	266.3	283.7	301.4	318.9	337.2
700 VETERANS BENEFITS & SERVICES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	31.9	33.1	34.1	35.1	38.1
OUTLAYS.....	31.7	32.7	33.8	36.3	36.1
750 ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	13.3	14.4	15.0	15.6	16.2
OUTLAYS.....	12.3	14.2	14.9	15.4	16.0
800 GENERAL GOVERNMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	11.7	12.0	12.3	12.5	13.0
OUTLAYS.....	11.7	12.0	11.8	12.0	12.4
900 NET INTEREST:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	194.4	201.9	206.2	203.8	197.0
OUTLAYS.....	194.4	201.9	206.2	203.8	197.0
920 ALLOWANCES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	-96.8	-115.3	-88.3	-81.8	-77.4
950 UNDISTRIBUTED OFFSETTING RECEIPTS:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	-26.6	-24.6	-28.2	-28.6	-114.1
OUTLAYS.....	-48.5	-55.7	-58.7	-123.3	-142.5

DRAFT

CONFERENCE AGREEMENT - ON-BUDGET ONLY
(In billions of dollars)

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	1174.7	1230.1	1229.6	1216.0	1268.0
OUTLAYS.....	1002.3	1024.8	1048.9	1059.9	1080.9
REVENUES.....	858.6	923.9	987.9	1045.2	1101.4
DEFICIT (-) / SURPLUS (+).....	-143.7	-100.9	-62.0	-14.7	20.5
050 NATIONAL DEFENSE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	288.3	290.9	291.1	351.5	364.9
OUTLAYS.....	297.0	295.0	292.0	341.7	351.5
150 INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	19.2	19.8	20.8	22.4	23.8
OUTLAYS.....	17.4	18.0	18.5	19.7	20.7
250 GENERAL SCIENCE, SPACE & TECHNOLOGY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	15.2	15.9	16.5	17.1	17.7
OUTLAYS.....	15.2	15.7	16.1	16.8	17.4
270 ENERGY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	8.4	5.6	6.4	6.8	7.2
OUTLAYS.....	4.0	4.4	5.0	5.3	5.2
300 NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	18.8	19.9	20.5	21.2	22.0
OUTLAYS.....	18.9	19.6	20.2	20.6	21.2
350 AGRICULTURE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	18.0	22.6	20.4	18.2	19.2
OUTLAYS.....	14.1	17.1	16.0	15.3	14.6
370 COMMERCE & HOUSING CREDIT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	85.5	85.4	41.6	-6.5	2.6
OUTLAYS.....	87.0	81.4	39.7	-9.2	-3.2
400 TRANSPORTATION:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	32.3	33.5	34.7	36.0	37.4
OUTLAYS.....	30.7	31.9	33.1	34.3	35.5
450 COMMUNITY & REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	9.2	8.9	9.0	9.5	9.8
OUTLAYS.....	8.6	8.6	8.7	9.9	9.2
500 EDUCATION, TRAINING, EMPLOYMENT & SOCIAL SERVICES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	43.0	43.7	44.4	46.3	48.1
OUTLAYS.....	41.8	43.0	44.0	45.4	46.9
550 HEALTH:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	68.3	73.9	81.3	89.6	98.5
OUTLAYS.....	65.5	73.3	80.9	88.9	97.5
570 MEDICARE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	122.4	133.5	147.5	161.9	177.2
OUTLAYS.....	104.9	120.0	134.4	150.5	168.0
600 INCOME SECURITY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	196.8	205.2	212.8	223.5	231.1
OUTLAYS.....	160.5	167.8	175.3	185.3	192.2
650 SOCIAL SECURITY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	3.8	4.5	4.5	5.4	6.0
OUTLAYS.....	3.8	4.5	4.8	5.4	6.0
700 VETERANS BENEFITS & SERVICES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	31.9	33.1	34.1	35.1	36.1
OUTLAYS.....	31.7	32.7	33.8	36.3	36.1
750 ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	13.3	14.4	15.0	15.6	16.2
OUTLAYS.....	12.3	14.2	14.9	15.4	16.0
800 GENERAL GOVERNMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	11.7	12.0	12.3	12.5	13.0
OUTLAYS.....	11.7	12.0	11.8	12.0	12.4
900 NET INTEREST:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	215.8	228.7	239.2	243.7	244.5
OUTLAYS.....	215.8	228.7	239.2	243.7	244.5
920 ALLOWANCES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	-95.4	-113.6	-85.8	-60.5	-76.4
950 UNDISTRIBUTED OFFSETTING RECEIPTS:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	-23.0	-21.4	-22.7	-93.8	-109.1
OUTLAYS.....	-43.0	-49.5	-52.0	-115.9	-134.4

DRAFT

CONFERENCE AGREEMENT - OFF-BUDGET ONLY
(In billions of dollars)

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	310.9	332.5	352.8	377.4	402.4
OUTLAYS.....	234.6	244.5	255.1	264.9	274.8
REVENUES.....	314.3	336.9	361.9	388.1	410.3
DEFICIT (-) / SURPLUS (+).....	79.7	92.4	108.8	123.2	135.7
050 NATIONAL DEFENSE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
150 INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
250 GENERAL SCIENCE, SPACE & TECHNOLOGY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
270 ENERGY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
300 NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
350 AGRICULTURE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
370 COMMERCE & HOUSING CREDIT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
400 TRANSPORTATION:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
450 COMMUNITY & REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
500 EDUCATION, TRAINING, EMPLOYMENT & SOCIAL SERVICES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
550 HEALTH:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
570 MEDICARE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
600 INCOME SECURITY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
650 SOCIAL SECURITY:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	335.7	362.5	391.3	422.1	454.9
OUTLAYS.....	262.5	279.2	296.5	313.5	331.2
700 VETERANS BENEFITS & SERVICES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
750 ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
800 GENERAL GOVERNMENT:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
900 NET INTEREST:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	-21.2	-26.8	-33.0	-39.9	-47.5
OUTLAYS.....	-21.2	-26.8	-33.0	-39.9	-47.5
920 ALLOWANCES:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OUTLAYS.....	-1.2	-1.7	-1.7	-1.3	-1.0
950 UNDISTRIBUTED OFFSETTING RECEIPTS:					
BUDGET AUTHORITY.....	-3.6	-3.2	-5.5	-4.8	-5.0
OUTLAYS.....	-5.5	-8.2	-6.7	-7.4	-8.1

DRAFTCREDIT BUDGET FUNCTION TOTALS
(In billions of dollars)

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
DIRECT LOANS.....	21.0	17.8	18.2	18.4	18.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	106.8	109.8	112.1	115.5	118.1
SECONDARY GUARANTEED LOANS.....	85.4	88.7	92.1	95.6	99.2
050 DEFENSE					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
150 INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	7.2	7.2	7.5	7.7	8.0
SECONDARY GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
250 GENERAL SCIENCE, SPACE & TECHNOLOGY:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
270 ENERGY:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.3
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
300 NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
350 AGRICULTURE:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	8.0	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.4
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	7.0	7.3	6.6	6.7	6.8
370 COMMERCE & HOUSING CREDIT:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	5.0	3.3	3.4	3.50	3.6
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	83.3	85.3	87.8	70.3	72.1
SECONDARY GUARANTEED LOANS.....	85.0	88.3	91.7	95.1	98.7
400 TRANSPORTATION:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
450 COMMUNITY & REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
500 EDUCATION, TRAINING, EMPLOYMENT & SOCIAL SERVICES:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	12.5	12.9	13.2	13.3	13.4
550 HEALTH:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
570 MEDICARE:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
600 INCOME SECURITY:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
650 SOCIAL SECURITY					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
700 VETERANS BENEFITS & SERVICES:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.5
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	15.7	18.0	15.3	16.7	17.0
750 ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
800 GENERAL GOVERNMENT:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
900 NET INTEREST:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
920 ALLOWANCES:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
950 UNDISTRIBUTED OFFSETTING RECEIPTS:					
DIRECT LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUARANTEED LOANS.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

DRAFT**RECONCILIATION INSTRUCTIONS**

The conference agreement includes reconciliation instructions directing twelve House Committees and ten Senate Committees to report legislation to achieve savings over five fiscal years, 1991-1995. The House Committee instructions specify savings targets for each of the five years. The Senate Committee instructions specify targets for fiscal year 1991 and for total savings over the five years.

The conference agreement requires House and Senate Committees to report reconciliation recommendations to their respective Budget Committees not later than October 15, 1990.

JIM SASSER, TENNESSEE, CHAIRMAN

ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, SOUTH CAROLINA
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON, LOUISIANA
DONALD W. RIEGLE, JR., MICHIGAN
J. JAMES EXON, NEBRASKA
FRANK R. LAUTENBERG, NEW JERSEY
PAUL SIMON, ILLINOIS
TERRY SANFORD, NORTH CAROLINA
TIMOTHY E. WIRTH, COLORADO
WYCHE FOWLER, JR., GEORGIA
KENT CONRAD, NORTH DAKOTA
CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONNECTICUT
CHARLES E. ROSS, VIRGINIA

PETE V. DOMENICI, NEW MEXICO
WILLIAM L. ARMSTRONG, COLORADO
RUDY BOSCHWITZ, MINNESOTA
STEVE SYMS, IDAHO
CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WISCONSIN
DON NICKLES, OKLAHOMA
WARREN E. RUDDMAN, NEW HAMPSHIRE
PHIL GRAMM, TEXAS
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND, MISSOURI

JOHN L. HILLEY, STAFF DIRECTOR
G. WILLIAM HOAGLAND, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6100

Phone 224-2574

FAX 224-1891

Date _____ Time _____

Person Sending BILL HOAGLANDPerson Receiving GOV. SUNVNU & MR. DARMAN

Telephone Number _____

FAX Number 456 - 2397Number of pages to follow 10

Notes: _____

PUBLIC OPINION TRAX / #5267-12 / OCTOBER 9 - OCTOBER 11, 1990

Table 2

Q 89-90: Who do you think is more to blame for the current budget situation?

Which party in Congress do you think is more to blame for the current budget situation?

B 2: SEX, AGE, ETHNICITY, FOUR-POINT GEOCODE

	SEX			AGE						ETHNICITY			4-POINT GEOCODE				TALKED ABOUT MIDDLE EAST	
	TOTAL	MALE	FALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	CAUC-ASIAN	BLACK	OTHER	NORTH EAST	MID-WEST	SOUTH	WEST	YES	NO
BASE=TOTAL SAMPLE	400 100%	190 100%	210 100%	49 100%	96 100%	66 100%	63 100%	42 100%	82 100%	289 100%	45 100%	55 100%	103 100%	105 100%	132 100%	60 100%	81 100%	318 100%
** D/S (BUSH - CONG)	-150 -38%	-61 -32%	-89 -42%	-18 -37%	-55 -57%	-33 -50%	-27 -43%	-10 -24%	-6 -8%	-130 -45%	-8 -17%	-12 -22%	-29 -28%	-42 -40%	-45 -34%	-35 -57%	-35 -43%	-115 -36%
** D/S (GOP - DEM)	67 17%	20 10%	47 22%	12 25%	9 9%	5 7%	4 6%	13 30%	24 30%	29 10%	23 52%	12 21%	17 17%	32 30%	14 11%	3 6%	1 1%	65 21%
<u>PRESIDENT BUSH</u>	91 23%	48 25%	43 21%	14 29%	17 17%	8 13%	11 18%	14 34%	27 32%	58 20%	14 31%	15 28%	24 23%	24 23%	35 26%	8 14%	16 20%	75 24%
<u>CONGRESS</u>	241 60%	109 57%	132 63%	32 66%	72 75%	41 63%	38 61%	24 58%	33 40%	188 65%	21 48%	28 50%	52 51%	66 63%	79 60%	43 71%	51 63%	190 60%
Republicans	53 22%	19 17%	35 26%	8 24%	13 18%	9 23%	10 25%	5 22%	8 25%	39 21%	12 55%	3 10%	9 17%	27 40%	11 14%	7 15%	9 17%	45 23%
Democrats	78 32%	46 42%	32 24%	9 29%	21 29%	13 32%	17 44%	7 29%	10 32%	68 36%	2 10%	6 23%	15 30%	19 28%	32 40%	12 27%	24 46%	54 28%
Neither/Blame both equally	69 29%	36 33%	34 25%	7 21%	17 24%	14 33%	11 29%	9 37%	11 33%	51 27%	2 10%	15 54%	16 30%	18 26%	15 19%	21 30%	16 31%	54 28%
Don't know/Refused	41 17%	8 8%	32 25%	8 26%	21 29%	5 12%	1 2%	3 12%	3 10%	31 16%	5 25%	4 13%	13 24%	3 5%	22 27%	3 8%	3 6%	38 20%
<u>NEITHER</u>	10 4%	5 4%	5 4%	- -	1 2%	7 17%	1 3%	1 2%	- -	10 5%	- -	* 2%	7 13%	* 1%	1 2%	2 4%	2 5%	8 4%
<u>BLAME BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32 13%	18 17%	14 11%	2 5%	4 5%	4 9%	9 24%	2 7%	12 35%	18 10%	4 18%	9 33%	11 22%	10 14%	8 10%	4 8%	8 16%	24 13%
<u>DON'T KNOW/REFUSED</u>	25 11%	11 10%	14 11%	1 3%	3 4%	5 12%	3 9%	1 5%	11 33%	15 8%	6 26%	2 9%	9 16%	5 7%	9 11%	3 8%	3 6%	21 11%

PUBLIC OPINION TRAX / #5267-12 / OCTOBER 9 - OCTOBER 11, 1990

Page 3

Table 3

Q 89-90: Who do you think is more to blame for the current budget situation?

Which party in Congress do you think is more to blame for the current budget situation?

B 3: PARTY ID

	PARTY ID			
	TOTAL	GOP	DEM	IND
BASE-TOTAL SAMPLE	400 100%	143 100%	186 100%	71 100%
** D/S (BUSH - CONG)	-150 -38%	-113 -79%	-9 -5%	-28 -39%
** D/S (GOP - DEM)	67 17%	-46 -32%	98 53%	14 20%
<u>PRESIDENT BUSH</u>	91 23%	7 5%	68 37%	15 21%
<u>CONGRESS</u>	241 60%	120 84%	77 42%	43 61%
Republicans	53 22%	9 7%	41 53%	4 8%
Democrats	78 32%	62 51%	11 14%	5 11%
Neither/Blame both equally	69 29%	31 26%	13 17%	25 59%
Don't know/Refused	41 17%	19 16%	12 16%	10 22%
<u>NEITHER</u>	10 4%	2 1%	8 10%	* 1%
<u>BLAME BOTH EQUALLY</u>	32 13%	8 6%	18 23%	7 16%
<u>DON'T KNOW/REFUSED</u>	25 11%	6 5%	14 18%	5 12%

Oct 12, 90 9:30 No.002 P.04
TEL No.703-556-9765
THE WIRTHLIN GROUP

PUBLIC OPINION TRAX / #5267-12 / AUGUST 22 - OCTOBER 11, 1990

Page 1

Table 1

Q B9-90: Who do you think is more to blame for the current budget situation?
Which party in Congress do you think is more to blame for the current budget situation?
ROLLING THREE DAY AVERAGES

	10/11	10/1-10/3	10/2-10/4	10/3-10/6	10/4-10/7	10/6-10/8	10/7-10/9	10/8-10/10	10/9-10/11
BASE=TOTAL SAMPLE	200 100%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	200 100%	400 100%
** D/S (BUSH - CONG)	-69 -34%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	-83 -42%	-150 -38%
** D/S (GOP - DEM)	18 9%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	50 25%	67 17%
<u>PRESIDENT BUSH</u>	49 24%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	42 21%	91 23%
<u>CONGRESS</u>	118 59%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	125 63%	241 60%
Republicans	16 13%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	39 31%	53 22%
Democrats	47 40%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	31 25%	78 32%
Neither/Blame both equally	37 31%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	34 27%	69 29%
Don't know/Refused	18 15%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	21 17%	41 17%
<u>NEITHER</u>	3 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	7 6%	10 4%
<u>BLAME BOTH EQUALLY</u>	18 15%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	13 10%	32 13%
<u>DON'T KNOW/REFUSED</u>	12 10%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	13 11%	25 11%

WAYS AND MEANS DEMOCRATIC ALTERNATIVE

Budg

1. The hit on Medicare beneficiaries would be reduced by \$18 billion from \$28 billion to \$10 billion. Total Medicare savings would be \$43 billion rather than \$60 billion.
2. The 2-week waiting period for unemployment benefits would be dropped. (This proposal was also dropped from the modified Summit Agreement reported to the House Budget Committee on October 10.)
3. The \$2 billion of new entitlement spending, and the \$19 billion of revenue losers (enterprise zones, energy incentives, extenders and the so-called "growth" package) would be dropped.
4. The following revenue provisions would be dropped entirely from the Summit Agreement:
 - * the petroleum tax
 - * the limitation on itemized deductions
 - * the HI tax on State and local government workers
 - * the disallowance of interest paid on corporate tax deficiencies
 - * the increase in railroad tier II taxes
5. The following provisions of the Summit would be modified:
 - * the gasoline tax increase would be 3-cents a gallon rather than 10-cents a gallon
 - * airplanes would be added to the luxury tax
 - * the distilled spirits tax increase would be \$1.00 rather than \$1.50
6. To deal with the progressivity problem of the Summit Agreement, the Democratic Alternative would add the following provisions:
 - * the "bubble" would be eliminated and an explicit 33 percent rate bracket would be added
 - * the rate of the individual minimum tax for would be increased from 21 percent to 25 percent
 - * the HI wage cap would be increased to \$100,000 rather than \$73,000 as provided in the Summit Agreement
 - * indexing of the tax brackets would be delayed for one year, and
 - * a 10 percent surtax would be imposed on individuals with taxable incomes above \$1 million.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01a. Memo	From Tom Scully to The Director Re Budget Summit Agreement: Increase in OSHA and MSHA Fines (2 pp.)	10/11/90	P-5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Summit Briefing Books Files
Subseries: Issues Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Post-Budget Summit [4]

Open on Expiration of PRA
(Document Follows)
By ME (NLGB) on 10/23/05

Date Closed: 12/16/2004	OA/ID Number: 29139-003
FOIA/SYS Case #:	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2005-0426-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of
gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an
agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

October 11, 1990

TO: The Director
FROM: Tom Scully *Scully*
SUBJECT: Budget Summit Agreement: Increase in OSHA and MSHA fines

Background

Last year Senator Metzenbaum introduced into reconciliation a proposal to raise the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) fines, establish mandatory minimum fines for willful violations of OSHA, and criminalize some OSHA violations. The Administration objected to the package and it was dropped.

Congress proposed as part of the budget summit an OSHA/MSHA package that would increase revenues by \$95 million in 1991 and \$1.1 billion over five years. No details were provided with the proposed package. We accepted the proposal in concept, based on our understanding that it only raised the maximum fines OSHA and MSHA could levy. In fact, the description of the proposal included in the summit referred only to raising maximum penalties. Consequently, the language we have drafted to carry out the Budget Summit agreement only increases the maximum fines for OSHA by five-fold and the maximum fines for MSHA by three-fold.

The Administration is on record early this year opposing a provision in similar legislation that criminalized certain OSHA violations, but agreeing to the concept of increasing OSHA fines to catch up for inflation.

Issue

Senator Metzenbaum asserts that our accepting the revenues estimated for the OSHA and MSHA fines proposal in the summit package means we agreed to the entire package he introduced in reconciliation last year. He argues that the CBO pricing used in the summit package assumes his proposal, including mandatory minimum fines in OSHA, and that the revenues cannot be achieved without that provision. He is correct that CBO did price out his proposal and produced the revenue stream included in the summit.

Comment

The Department Labor believes a floor in OSHA fines is objectionable because:

- 1) Larger fines generate more litigation which is costly and time consuming to resolve and greatly reduces the agencies' ability to achieve abatement.

- 2) Abatement is not required during litigation. Thus unsafe conditions would prevail in the nation's workplaces while fines are contested in court.
- 3) Without discretion to levy lesser fines on small businesses based on the ability to pay (tempered by the cost of abatement) minimum fines may have a disproportionate effect on small businesses.

While we can agree that the estimated revenues proposed may be difficult to achieve without a floor on fines, no one knows for sure what the actual experience will be. In 1989 OSHA levied \$42.7 million in fines; MSHA \$16.4 million for a total of \$59.1 million. Total collections usually fall short of the amount levied by 10 to 20 percent based on settlement agreements.

Secretary Dole has written to you today about this issue. A copy of the letter is attached.

cc: Governor Sununu

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01b. Letter	From Elizabeth Dole to Richard Darman Re Increase in OSHA and MSHA Fines (2 pp.)	10/11/90	P-5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Summit Briefing Books Files
Subseries: Issues Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Post-Budget Summit [4]

Open on Expiration of PRA
 (Document Follows)
 By ML (NLGB) on 10/28/05

Date Closed: 12/16/2004	OA/ID Number: 29139-003
FOIA/SYS Case #:	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2005-0426-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SECRETARY OF LABOR
WASHINGTON, D.C.

OCT 11 1990

The Honorable Richard G. Darman
Director
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Dick:

I am writing to express my concern about the possible misuse of OSHA and MSHA civil penalties to meet targets set by the recently passed congressional budget resolution. As you know, it is likely that the Senate and House Labor Committees will consider legislation that increases OSHA maximum civil penalties five-fold and MSHA maximum civil penalties three-fold as agreed to in the budget summit.

I now understand, however, that contrary to the description in the specifications of the summit agreement, a much more expansive and troublesome proposal was actually the basis for the scoring of the increased penalties. Indeed, we understand that the proposal actually scored was not a simple five- and three-fold increase in the maximum penalties as agreed to by the Administration but also included mandatory minimum OSHA penalties and virtually all of Senator Metzenbaum's bill, S. 2154, the OSHA Criminal Penalty Reform Act.

I oppose any increase in the statutory minimum (floor) for OSHA civil penalties. OSHA's current penalty policy depends upon flexibility in setting the citation amounts. A floor would greatly reduce flexibility, restrict the agency's ability to match the penalty with the relative severity of the violation, and increase the rate of contest of penalties, all of which would impede abatement. The large minimum penalties would have an especially severe impact upon small businesses.


I am opposed even more strongly to the inclusion in the budget package of new substantive provisions regarding OSHA criminal penalties similar to those in S. 2154. In particular, the Department opposes (and has testified against) the creation of a new category of criminal penalties for willful violations of the OSH Act resulting in "serious bodily injury." We believe that such provisions would delay the expeditious abatement of workplace hazards, which can only be accomplished through the Act's civil enforcement program. In addition to my policy concerns, I would point out that non-revenue-raising provisions do not belong in the budget process.

- 2 -

Finally, the House and Senate Labor Committees are reportedly interested in imposing a user fee on OSHA's 7(c) on-site consultation program. This program is designed to assist small businesses in complying with the OSH Act. Any user fee will pose a barrier to the small businesses OSHA is trying to reach and would be a disincentive to small businesses using this program to improve worker safety and health.

With my warmest regards,

Sincerely,


Elizabeth Dole

cc: The Honorable John Sununu
The Honorable Nicholas Brady

October 11, 1990

BIPARTISAN LEADERSHIP AGREEMENTREVENUE PACKAGE

(5 year totals in billions of dollars)

	Summit Agreement	Packwood Proposal 10/11/90	Bentsen Mark	Rostenkowski Mark	Bentsen less Rostenkowski
Revenue Raisers:					
1. Motor fuels tax	45.0	45.0	40.3	40.9*	-.6
2. 2 cent petroleum fuels	12.2	11.0	Drop	11.0	-11.0
3. Tobacco taxes	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	-
4. Alcohol taxes	10.0	10.0	9.6	9.9	-.3
5. Luxury taxes	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.8	-.9
6. Ozone chemical tax	.5	.5	.5	.5	-
7. Insurance - salvage	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	-
8. Foreign compliance	.3	.3	.3	.3	-
9. Insurance - DAC	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	-
10. LUST tax	.6	.6	.6	.6	-
11. Airport taxes	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.9	-.1
12. Harbor maintenance tax	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	-
13. Retiree health	1.0	1.0	.9	.9	-
14. HI-State & local emp.	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	-
15. Corp. interest deduct.	3.9	3.9	Drop	3.9	-3.9
16. Misc. business raisers	2.0	2.0	1.4	1.4	-
17. Floor on deductions	18.0	18.0	29.3	18.0	+11.3
18. Medicare HI wage cap	13.0	13.0	26.9	13.0	+13.9
19. Soc Sec-State & locals	11.7	11.7	8.1	11.7	-3.6
20. Payroll tax stab.	0	0	0	0	-
21. IRS user fees	0	0	.2	.2	-
22. FUTA surtax	0	0	5.3	0	+5.3
23. Railroad retirement tier 2			.2	.2	-
24. Telephone excise tax			13.1	0	+13.1
Total, Raisers	153.9	152.7	172.4	149.2	23.2
Total, Losers (Chart D)	24.0	16.6	25.9	5.0	20.9
Total Deficit Reduction	129.9	136.1	146.5	144.2	2.3

*Will increase due to inclusion of railroads.

BIPARTISAN LEADERSHIP AGREEMENTREVENUE PACKAGE

(5 year totals in billions of dollars)

	Summit Agreement	Packwood Proposal 10/11/90	Bentsen Mark	Rostenkowski Mark	Bentsen less Rostenkowski
Revenue Losers:					
1. Enterprise zones	1.0	1.0	Drop	0	-
2. Energy incentives	4.0	4.0	3.1	0	-3.1
3. Extenders	2.9	5.5	5.5	0	-5.5
4. Small bus. incentives	11.1	1.1	Drop	0	-
5. Estate freezes	0	0	.5	0	-.5
6. EITC increase	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	-
7. Addit. EITC (child care)			11.8	0	-11.8
Total, Losers	24.0	16.6	25.9	5.0	-20.9

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02. Memo	From Nick Calio to John Sununu Re Conservative Democrats/Moderate Republicans on the Budget (2 pp.)	10/12/90	P-5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Summit Briefing Books Files
Subseries: Issues Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Post-Budget Summit [4]

Open on Expiration of PRA
 (Document Follows)
 By Me (NLGB) on 10/28/05

Date Closed: 12/16/2004	OA/ID Number: 29139-003
FOIA/SYS Case #:	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2005-0426-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or
 financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President
 and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
 personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an
 agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial
 information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of
 personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement
 purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of
 financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 12, 1990

6
MEMORANDUM TO JOHN H. SUNUNU
DICK DARMAN

FROM: NICK CALIO *Nec*

RE: Conservative Democrats/Moderate Republicans on the
Budget

Prior to the afternoon's meetings with the conservative Democrats, you should know that our initial soundings are not promising. The Rostenkowski alternative package, on first blush, appears to be drawing conservative support. Do not be surprised if several of the meeting attendees speak out strongly on behalf of the Rosty package.

In addition, the package holds some attraction to moderate Republicans. We are contacting the attached list on a one-to-one basis. We may want to bring some of them down on Monday.

Finally, as I told you earlier, the Republican Policy Committee is meeting again at 2:30 p.m. to work on its alternative. The Democrats are aware of the difficulties the Republicans are having in drafting a package and plan to start a drumbeat asking where the Republican alternative is.

Budget Target List -- Republicans

Boehlert
Campbell
Conte
Davis
Gilman
Goodling
Green
Henry
Horton
Leach
Machtley
McDade
McGrath
Morella
Regula
Schneider
Shays
Snowe
Walsh
Weldon
Wylie

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
03. Letter	From Elizabeth Dole to Richard Darman Re Increase in OSHA and MSHA Fines [same as doc 01b] (2 pp.)	10/11/90	P5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Summit Briefing Books Files
Subseries: Issues Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Post-Budget Summit [4]

Open on Expiration of PRA
(Document Follows)
By M12 (NLGB) on 10/28/05

Date Closed: 12/16/2004	OA/ID Number: 29139-003
FOIA/SYS Case #:	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2005-0426-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

cc
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SECRETARY OF LABOR
WASHINGTON, D.C.

OCT 11 1990

7
The Honorable Richard G. Darman
Director
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Dick:

I am writing to express my concern about the possible misuse of OSHA and MSHA civil penalties to meet targets set by the recently passed congressional budget resolution. As you know, it is likely that the Senate and House Labor Committees will consider legislation that increases OSHA maximum civil penalties five-fold and MSHA maximum civil penalties three-fold as agreed to in the budget summit.

I now understand, however, that contrary to the description in the specifications of the summit agreement, a much more expansive and troublesome proposal was actually the basis for the scoring of the increased penalties. Indeed, we understand that the proposal actually scored was not a simple five- and three-fold increase in the maximum penalties as agreed to by the Administration but also included mandatory minimum OSHA penalties and virtually all of Senator Metzenbaum's bill, S. 2154, the OSHA Criminal Penalty Reform Act.

I oppose any increase in the statutory minimum (floor) for OSHA civil penalties. OSHA's current penalty policy depends upon flexibility in setting the citation amounts. A floor would greatly reduce flexibility, restrict the agency's ability to match the penalty with the relative severity of the violation, and increase the rate of contest of penalties, all of which would impede abatement. The large minimum penalties would have an especially severe impact upon small businesses.


I am opposed even more strongly to the inclusion in the budget package of new substantive provisions regarding OSHA criminal penalties similar to those in S. 2154. In particular, the Department opposes (and has testified against) the creation of a new category of criminal penalties for willful violations of the OSH Act resulting in "serious bodily injury." We believe that such provisions would delay the expeditious abatement of workplace hazards, which can only be accomplished through the Act's civil enforcement program. In addition to my policy concerns, I would point out that non-revenue-raising provisions do not belong in the budget process.

- 2 -

Finally, the House and Senate Labor Committees are reportedly interested in imposing a user fee on OSHA's 7(c) on-site consultation program. This program is designed to assist small businesses in complying with the OSH Act. Any user fee will pose a barrier to the small businesses OSHA is trying to reach and would be a disincentive to small businesses using this program to improve worker safety and health.

With my warmest regards,

Sincerely,


Elizabeth Dole

cc: The Honorable John Sununu
The Honorable Nicholas Brady

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
04. Memo	From Andy Ireland To POTUS Re Budget Strategy (2 pp.)	10/11/90	P-5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Summit Briefing Books Files
Subseries: Issues Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Post-Budget Summit [4]

Open on Expiration of PRA
 (Document Follows)
 By MR (NLGB) on 10/28/05

Date Closed: 12/16/2004	OA/ID Number: 29139-003
FOIA/SYS Case #:	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2005-0426-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

from Andy Ireland

MEMORANDUM - OCTOBER 11, 1990

TO: THE PRESIDENT

RE: BUDGET STRATEGY

6
This is pursuant to our discussion yesterday on route to St. Petersburg.

Perhaps as much has already been gained as can be gained by negotiating with Democratic leaders.

~~It has had the positive effect of letting the country know you are willing to put country ahead of partisanship and to deal forthrightly with serious budget problems.~~

On the other hand, it has given a couple of their leaders the media stage for the time being to our disadvantage.

It now appears that the Democratic leaders cannot control their rank-and-file and cannot deliver even if we take strong measures to bring Republicans along at this time. Such a strategy at this moment would bring us out on the short end of public perception without a satisfactory agreement and would leave us with a severely bruised Congressional Party.

A suggested strategy for the present would be to find a package that an overwhelming majority of Republicans in Congress can sign onto and then reach out to the rank-and-file Democrats, bypassing their leadership. The Purcel/Kasich package sponsored by the Republican Conference is obviously close to such an agreement.

The results of such a strategy would bring us the high ground because of the fairness and economic sensibility of such a package. It would put the spotlight on the divisions within the Democratic Caucus and take the bully pulpit away from their leaders.

Attached is a beginning of a list of Democrats that show signs of being interested in the kind of thing developed by the Republican Conference. If their stature is elevated by White House contact and interest in their views, the chances are that several of them might be willing to lead others in our direction at this stage of the process.

Browder
Byron
Cooper
Darden
English
Erdreich
Flippo
Geren
Hall (TX)
Harris
Hubbard
Huckaby
Hughes
Hutto
Jacobs
Jenkins
Leath
Lloyd
Montgomery
Parker
Penny
Pickett
Ray
Rowland (GA)
Skelton
Stallings
Stenholm
Tallon
Tauzin
Thomas
Watkins
Wilson

Much of the political debate on the budget has been based on inside the Washington, D.C., Beltway jargon. That jargon just hides the basic issues.

Let me try to simplify it:

- (1) America must have a real and significant deficit reduction budget to get the economy moving.
- (2) That deficit reduction will bring down interest rates on home purchases, car loans, and help create new jobs.
- (3) To get these results, the budget cannot be smoke and mirrors or business as usual. It must be real, enforceable, and preserve our incentives for growth.
- (4) As always, the real problem has been the unwillingness in Congress to vote for holding down spending.
- (5) Once Congress starts playing with income tax rates, mark my words, all of our tax rates will be raised. That's why I have tried to draw the line on all personal income tax rates.

In fact the Budget Summit has moved us in the right direction and has brought us to the final countdown week. In the next five days, Congress has the chance -- has the obligation -- to act.

10/15/90



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
OFFICE OF TAX POLICY
FACSIMILE COVER SHEET
1500 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20220

Budy
Number of Pages to Follow: _____

Date: 10/11/90

To: _____

Governor Sununu

Addressee's Fax Number: _____

456-2397

Addressee's Confirmation Number: _____

456-6797

From: _____

Ken Gideon

Sender's Fax Number: (202) 786-8440

Sender's Confirmation Number: (202) 566-5561

Comments/Special Instructions:

UNCLASSIFIED

CHART B-1

October 10, 1990

BIPARTISAN LEADERSHIP AGREEMENT			
MEDICARE			
(5 year totals in billions of dollars)			
	Agree'm't	Proposed	Bentsen
1. Providers	30.0		
2. Beneficiaries	30.0	27.1	17.6
a. Deductible	5.9	3.5	7.7
b. Lab coinsurance	3.6		
c. Premium	20.6	7.0	6.3
d. Income-related premium	0	13.0	None
Total, Medicare	60.0	57.1	48.9

NOTE: Shaded areas indicate no change from summit agreement.

CHART B-2

October 10, 1990

BIPARTISAN LEADERSHIP AGREEMENT			
MEDICARE			
(5 year totals in billions of dollars)			
	Leadership Agreement	Proposed Modification	Bentsen Package
Beneficiary Policy:			
Deductible	1991 = \$100 1992 = 125 1993+ = 150 Not indexed	1990+ = \$100 Indexed, CPI	1991 = \$150 Not indexed
Laboratory coinsurance	20 percent	20 percent	20 percent
Premium	30 percent	25 percent	25 percent
Income-related premium	None	For incomes between \$50,000 and \$100,000 the premium increases from 25 to 100 percent.	None

NOTE: Shaded areas indicate no change from summit agreement.

CHART C

October 10, 1990

BIPARTISAN LEADERSHIP AGREEMENT			
REVENUE PACKAGE			
(5 year totals in billions of dollars)			
	Agreement	Proposal	Bentsen
Revenue Raisers:			
1. Motor fuels tax	45.0		
2. 2 cent petroleum fuels	12.2	11.0	Drop
3. Tobacco taxes	5.9		
4. Alcohol taxes	10.0		
5. Luxury taxes	1.9		
6. Ozone chemical tax	.5		
7. Insurance - salvage	1.1		
8. Foreign compliance	.3		
9. Insurance - DAC	8.0		
10. LUST tax	.6		
11. Airport taxes	11.8		
12. Harbor maintenance tax	1.8		
13. Retiree health	1.0		
14. HI-State & local emp.	5.2		
15. Corp. interest deduct.	3.9		
16. Misc. business raisers	2.0		
17. Floor on deductions	18.0		
18. Medicare HI wage cap	13.0		
19. Soc Sec-State & locals	11.7		
20. Payroll tax stab.	0		
21. IRS user fees	0		
22. FUTA surtax	0		
Total, Raisers	153.9	152.7	159.3
Total, Losers (Chart D)	24.0	16.6	14.1
Total Deficit Reduction	129.9	136.1	145.2

CHART D

October 10, 1990

BIPARTISAN LEADERSHIP AGREEMENT REVENUE PACKAGE (5 year totals in billions of dollars)			
	Agreement	Proposal	Bentsen
Revenue Losers:			
1. Enterprise zones	1.0		
2. Energy incentives	4.0		
3. Extenders	2.9	5.5	5.5
4. Small bus. incentives	11.1	1.1	Drop
5. Estate freezes	0	0	.5
6. EITC increase	5.0		
Total, Losers	24.0	16.6	14.1

NOTE: Shaded areas indicate no change from summit agreement.

6.5
7

11-Oct-90 12:27am

\$90-3 288
PRELIMINARY
11-Oct-90
(D-#90-5 289)

- Senate Finance Committee -
Budget Reconciliation - Alternative Revenue Proposals

Fiscal Years 1991-1995

(Billions of Dollars)

Item	Effective	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1991-95
A. Extend Expiring Provisions through 12/31/91 (1)							
1. Foreign allocation of R&D.....	--	-0.5	-0.3	--	--	--	-0.8
2. Research and experimentation tax credit (2).....	--	-0.6	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1	(3)	-1.2
3. Employer-provided educational assistance.....	--	-0.3	-0.1	--	--	--	-0.4
4. Employer-provided group legal services.....	--	-0.1	(3)	--	--	--	-0.1
5. Targeted jobs tax credit.....	--	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	(3)	(3)	-0.3
6. Business energy tax credits (solar, geothermal, and ocean thermal property).....	--	-0.1	(3)	(4)	(4)	(4)	-0.1
7. Low-income housing credit.....	--	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-1.7
8. Mortgage revenue bonds and mortgage credit certificates.....	--	(3)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4
9. Qualified small-issue manufacturing bonds.....	--	(3)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3
10. Health insurance for self-employed (25% deduction).....	--	-0.3	-0.1	--	--	--	-0.4
11. Orphan drug tax credit.....	--	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(3)
Subtotals, Extension of Expiring Provisions.....		-2.0	-1.4	-0.8	-0.7	-0.6	-5.5
B. Other Tax Incentives							
1. Energy incentives:							
a. Extend nonconventional fuels tax credit (section 29) permanently and expand to tight sands gas.....	1/1/91	(3)	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-1.0
b. Tax incentives for ethanol production.....	1/1/91	(6)	(6)	(3)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2
c. 15% credit for enhanced oil recovery costs.....	1/1/91	(3)	(3)	(3)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2
d. Percentage depletion amendments.....	1/1/91	(3)	(3)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3
e. Exploratory drilling credit and preference cutback.....	1/1/91	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-1.4
2. Additional small business incentives							
a. Modify estate freeze rules (section 2036(c)).....	10/9/90	0.1	(3)	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3	-0.5
b. Public accommodations expenditures							
i. Disabled persons access tax credit (\$5,000) (7).....	D/oE	(3)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4
ii. Lower cap on section 190 expensing to \$15,000 (8).....	D/oE	(4)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4
Subtotals, Other Tax Incentives.....		-0.1	-0.4	-0.7	-1.1	-1.3	-3.6

008
025
11:24
10/11/90 12:01
10/11/90

Item	Effective	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1991-95
C. Regressivity Offset							
1. Increase EITC [target per Summit agreement].....	1/1/91	-0.1	-1.1	-1.2	-1.3	-1.3	-5.0
2. Additional EITC (9).....	1/1/91	-0.1	-1.1	-2.7	-3.5	-4.5	-11.8
Subtotals, Regressivity Offset.....		-0.2	-2.2	-3.9	-4.8	-5.8	-16.8
D. Revenue-Raising Provisions							
1. Limitation on Itemized Deductions (10).....	1/1/91	0.9	6.5	6.6	7.2	8.0	29.3
2. Motor fuels tax (4 cents; 9 cents after 7/1/91) (11).....	12/1/90	4.6	9.1	8.8	8.9	8.9	40.3
3. Increase tobacco excise taxes by 4 cents per pack in 1991 and by 4 cents per pack in 1993.....	1/1/91	0.6	0.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	5.9
4. Increase beer, wine, and distilled spirits excise taxes (12).....	1/1/91	1.5	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	9.6
5. Impose 10% luxury excise tax (13).....	1/1/91	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.9
6. Expand ozone-depleting chemical excise tax (14).....	1/1/91	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5
7. Extend Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Trust Fund excise tax (5 years).....	12/1/90	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6
8. Increase Airport Trust Fund aviation excise taxes (5 years) (15).....	1/1/91	1.3	2.3	2.5	2.7	3.0	11.8
9. Increase harbor maintenance excise tax.....	1/1/91	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.8
10. Loss deductions and salvage values for insurance companies.....	1/1/90	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1
11. Amortize insurance policy deferred acquisition expenses (DAC).....	9/30/90	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5	8.0
12. Adopt tax compliance provisions including certain provisions from H.R. 4308 and S. 2410.....	3/20/90	(6)	(6)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
13. Retiree health with reversion excise increase and asset cushion requirement (16).....	1/1/91	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	(4)	0.9
14. Business tax provisions:							
a. Expand and clarify reporting and allocation rules for certain asset acquisitions.....	10/10/90	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	0.1
b. Require accrual of redemption premium for certain preferred stock.....	10/10/90	(6)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4
c. Expand application of CERT rules to subsidiary acquisitions.....	10/10/90	(6)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4
d. Require recognition of corporate-level gain in certain divisive corporate transactions (5-year limitation period).....	10/10/90	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	0.2
e. Clarify treatment of debt exchanges.....	10/10/90	0.1	0.1	0.1	(6)	(6)	0.3
15. Extend IRS user fees (permanent) (17).....	—	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	0.2
16. Increase medicare (HI) wage cap to \$125,000	1/1/91	1.8	5.7	6.1	6.4	6.8	26.9

Item	Effective	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1991-95
17. Extend medicare (HI) tax to all State and local employees (18).....	1/1/92	--	0.7	1.4	1.6	1.6	5.2
18. Extend Social Security (OASDI) to State and local employees not participating in a public employee retirement system (19).....	1/1/92	--	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.4	8.1
19. FUTA surtax (5 years) (17).....	1/1/91	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	5.3
20. Increase railroad retirement payroll taxes (17).....	--	(6)	(6)	(6)	0.1	0.1	0.2
21. Payroll tax deposit stabilization.....	--	1.0	2.2	3.2	--	--	--
22. Extend telephone excise tax and modify collection period.....	--	1.6	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	13.1
Subtotals, Revenue-Raising Provisions (20).....		17.2	37.7	35.1	39.9	41.7	172.4
E. Other Provisions							
Increase JCT refund review threshold.....	D/o/E	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grand Total.....		14.9	33.7	29.7	33.3	34.0	146.5

Joint Committee on Taxation

NOTES: Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

Interaction between or among items has not been taken into account for the purpose of this table.

D/o/E in "Effective" column = Date of enactment.

- (1) All estimates assume full restoration of tax benefits for 1990.
- (2) Credit rate is retained at current level of 20%; base limitation is retained at current level of 50%.
- (3) Loss of less than \$50 million.
- (4) Gain of less than \$10 million.
- (5) Loss of less than \$10 million.
- (6) Gain of less than \$50 million.
- (7) Provide maximum \$5,000 per year credit for eligible expenditures to make public accommodations accessible to disabled persons.
- (8) Reduce the amount of expenses eligible for expensing under section 190 to a maximum of \$15,000 per year.
- (9) Additional EITC equal to total 5-year tax credit expenditure contained in latest Senate child care offer. Senate child care offer also includes \$1.25 billion in additional Title IV outlays.
- (10) Disallow itemized deductions in an amount equal to 5% of AGI in excess of \$100,000 for single returns, \$100,000 for joint returns, and \$100,000 for head of household returns. Proposal does not apply to medical expenses or investment interest. Disallowance under the proposal cannot exceed 80% of otherwise deductible itemized deductions subject to the proposal.
- (11) 50% of motor fuels tax increase dedicated to deficit reduction; 50% dedicated to highway trust fund (20% of this portion to be allocated to mass transit account). Each State to receive Highway account apportionments and allocations equal to at least 95% of its contribution attributable to increased revenue.
- (12) Increase distilled spirits by \$1.20 (to \$13.70/proof gallon); double beer to 32 cents/6-pack (\$18/barrel); increase table wine to 25 cents/bottle (\$1.27/gallon); maintaining current differential for fortified wines; with small winery/brewery exemption.

[Footnotes continued on following page]

[Footnotes continued: Table 90-3 288]

- (13) Tax applies to specific newly-manufactured items with retail prices above the following thresholds: automobiles--\$30,000; private boats and yachts--\$100,000; jewelry--\$5,000; and furs--\$5,000. Tax is 10% of purchase price in excess of thresholds.
- (14) The tax with respect to the new chemicals subject to the tax is phased in as follows: 1991--\$1.37, 1992--\$1.37, 1993--\$2.65, 1994--\$2.65, 1995 and thereafter--\$3.10.
- (15) This estimate is presented relative to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) baseline which assumes extension of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund (AATF) taxes with the tax reduction trigger in effect. The estimate reflects the effects both of removing the trigger and of increasing the rates of certain of the AATF taxes by 25% as proposed in the President's budget. The tax revenues go to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund.
- (16) Permit certain tax-free transfers of excess pension assets to pay retiree health benefits. (Revenue effect in billions: 1991--\$0.5; 1992--\$0.3; 1993--\$0.2; 1994--\$0.2; 1995--\$0.1; 1991-95 Total--\$1.3.) Generally effective for reversions after September 30, 1990, increase the reversion excise tax to 30%. If the employer does not transfer 25% of the reversion to a qualified replacement plan (or 25% if certain benefit increases to plan participants and retirees are provided), the reversion tax is 50%. (Revenue effect in billions: 1991--Loss of less than \$50 million; 1992--\$0.1; 1993--\$0.1; 1994--\$0.1; 1995--\$0.1; 1991-95 Total--\$0.5.)
- (17) Estimate provided by CBO.
- (18) HI rate = 0.8% in 1992, 1.35% in 1993, 1.45% in 1994 and thereafter.
- (19) In the House, the Energy and Commerce Committee would be allowed offsets of \$2.0 billion (5-year total) with respect to the provision that extends the Social Security taxes to State and local employees not covered by a public employee retirement system; the Ways and Means Committee would be allowed offsets of an additional \$2.0 billion (5-year total) with respect to the same provision. In the Senate, the Senate Finance Committee would be allowed offsets of \$4.0 billion (5-year total) with respect to the same provision.
- (20) Proposal includes additional EITC and Telephone excise tax corresponding to the Senate child care offer. (Conference H.R. 3) If these amounts were not included the total revenue raised would be \$159.3 billion over 5-year period.

009

008

11:27

10/11/90

10/11/90 12:03

10-Oct-90 10:51pm

#90-5 289
PRELIMINARY
10-Oct-90
(R-#90-3 288)

- Senate Finance Committee -
SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTIONAL EFFECTS, BY INCOME CLASS (1)
Budget Reconciliation - Revenue Proposals
(1990 Income Levels)

Income Class (2)	Change in Federal Taxes (1)(3)		Federal Taxes Under Present Law (4)		Federal Taxes Under Proposal (1)(4)		Effective Tax Rates	
	Billions	Percent	Billions	Percent	Billions	Percent	Present Law	Proposal
							Percent	Percent
Less than \$10,000.....	\$0.0	0.0%	\$14.2	1.6%	\$14.2	1.6%	13.3%	13.3%
10,000 to 20,000.....	-1.5	-2.3%	65.8	7.6%	64.3	7.3%	15.6%	15.2%
20,000 to 30,000.....	2.6	2.5%	102.5	11.9%	105.1	11.9%	18.4%	18.9%
30,000 to 40,000.....	3.0	2.6%	115.8	13.4%	118.9	13.4%	20.0%	20.6%
40,000 to 50,000.....	2.3	2.6%	87.9	10.2%	90.2	10.2%	21.4%	22.0%
50,000 to 75,000.....	3.1	1.8%	172.8	20.0%	175.9	19.9%	24.7%	25.1%
75,000 to 100,000.....	1.7	2.5%	66.5	7.7%	68.2	7.7%	25.8%	26.5%
100,000 to 200,000.....	4.5	4.3%	104.4	12.1%	109.9	12.3%	26.2%	27.3%
200,000 and over.....	5.7	4.2%	133.3	15.4%	138.9	15.7%	25.2%	26.2%
Total, All Taxpayers..	\$21.4	2.5%	\$853.2	100.0%	\$884.6	100.0%	21.8%	22.3%

Joint Committee on Taxation

- (1) Distributional analysis includes effects from the Budget Summit Agreement, as modified by the Senate Finance Committee Budget Reconciliation- Revenue Proposals, with respect to beer, wine, and distilled spirits taxes, tobacco tax, energy taxes, telephone tax, increase in HI wage cap, limitation on itemized deductions, individual AMT component of oil and gas production incentives, and increase in the EITC. Analysis does not take into account effects from changes in taxpayer behavior.
- (2) The income concept used to place tax returns into income classes is adjusted gross income plus [1] tax-exempt interest, [2] employer contributions for health plans and life insurance, [3] inside buildup on life insurance, [4] workers' compensation, [5] nontaxable social security benefits, [6] deductible contributions to individual retirement accounts, [7] the minimum tax preferences, and [8] net losses in excess of minimum tax preferences from passive business activities.
- (3) Estimates of total tax liability presented in distributions will not match estimated changes in receipts because of differing time periods (CY 1990 vs. FY 1991-95), because of varying patterns of fiscal year receipts.
- (4) Distributions represent combined effects of individual income taxes, payroll taxes, Federal excise taxes, and estate and gift taxes. For the purpose of distributions, the full burden of payroll taxes is assigned to employees. Excise taxes are assumed to be borne fully by individuals either directly through purchase of the taxed commodity or indirectly through higher prices on all commodities as businesses pass along these added costs. Because of the uncertainty concerning the influence of the corporate income tax, it is excluded from the distribution. Information in table excludes individuals who are dependents of other taxpayers.

CORPORATE INTEREST DISALLOWANCE ALTERNATIVE

An alternative to the overall disallowance provision suggested by major corporations would disallow interest only after issuance of a 30-day letter when the amount in dispute becomes known. Private estimators believe that this would raise \$6.5-7 billion because the total disallowance rule has been vastly underestimated.

file!

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

10/9

To JOHN SUNUNU

File on Selected FY'91 Budget and C.R.
Votes includes:

1. Bipartisan Budget Resolution
(Failed 179-254)
 2. Override of Presidential Veto of
C.R. (Veto sustained 260-138)
 3. Vote on Michel Amendment to C.R.
(Failed 186-224)
 4. Vote of Democratic Budget Resolution
(Passed 250-164)
 5. Senate Vote of Budget Resolution
(Passed 66-33)
- [Signature]*

Bipartisan Budget Resolution-----
LEGI-SLATE Report for the 101st CongressTue, October 9, 1990 4:47pm (EDT)

Recorded vote in the HOUSE (Vote 2421: 179-254)

(Dem: 108-149; Rep: 71-105)

Voting on:

H.C.R.310 BY PANETTA (D-CA) -- Resolution Setting Forth the Congressional Budget for the U.S. Government for Fiscal Years 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, and 1995.

10/04/90 -- IN THE HOUSE

Motion

Recorded vote requested on agreeing to the conference report

Agreed to conference report (VOICE VOTE)

Rejected conference report (VOTE NO. 2421:179-254)

(House failed to agree to the conference report on H. Con. Res. 310, setting forth the Congressional budget for the United States Government for the fiscal years 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, and 1995.)

-----179 Members Who Voted 'YES'-----

ACKERMAN (D-NY)
ANDERSON (D-CA)
ANDREWS (D-TX)
ARCHER (R-TX)
ASPIN (D-WI)
AUCOIN (D-OR)
BAKER (R-LA)
BARTLETT (R-TX)
BATEMAN (R-VA)
BEILENSON (D-CA)
BENNETT (D-FL)
BEVILL (D-AL)
BILBRAY (D-NV)
BOEHLERT (R-NY)
BOGGS (D-LA)
BOSCO (D-CA)GRAY, WILLIAM (D-PA)
GREEN (R-NY)
HALL, RALPH (D-TX)
HALL, TONY (D-OH)
HAMILTON (D-IN)
HAMMERSCHMIDT (R-AR)
HANSEN (R-UT)
HASTERT (R-IL)
HATCHER (D-GA)
HORTON (R-NY)
HOUGHTON (R-NY)
HOYER (D-MD)
IRELAND (R-FL)
JOHNSON, NANCY (R-CT)
KAPTUR (D-OH)OWENS, WAYNE (D-UT)
OXLEY (R-OH)
PANETTA (D-CA)
PARKER (D-MS)
PAYNE, LEWIS (D-VA)
PEASE (D-OH)
PENNY (D-MN)
PICKLE (D-TX)
PORTER (R-IL)
PRICE, DAVID (D-NC)
QUILLEN (R-TN)
RAY (D-GA)
RHODES, III (R-AZ)
RICHARDSON (D-NM)
RIDGE (R-PA)

KENNELLY (D-CT)

BUECHNER (R-MO)
BUSTAMANTE (D-TX)
BYRON (D-MD)
CARDIN (D-MD)
CARPER (D-DE)
CHANDLER (R-WA)
CHAPMAN (D-TX)
CLEMENT (D-TN)
CLINGER (R-PA)
COLEMAN, RONALD (D-TX)
COLEMAN, THOMAS (R-MO)
COMBEST (R-TX)
CONTE (R-MA)
CONYERS (D-MI)
COOPER (D-TN)
COUGHLIN (R-PA)
COURTER (R-NJ)
DARDEN (D-GA)
DE LA GARZA (D-TX)
DERRICK (D-SC)
DEWINE (R-OH)
DICKINSON (R-AL)
DICKS (D-WA)
DINGELL (D-MI)
ERDREICH (D-AL)
FASCELL (D-FL)
FAZIO (D-CA)
FISH (R-NY)
FLIPPO (D-AL)
FOGLIETTA (D-PA)
FOLEY (D-WA)
FRENZEL (R-MN)
FROST (D-TX)
GALLO (R-NJ)
GEJDENSON (D-CT)
GEKAS (R-PA)
GEPHARDT (D-MO)
GIBBONS (D-FL)
GILLMOR (R-OH)
GLICKMAN (D-KS)

ROBERTS, PAT (R-KS)

KOLBE (R-AZ)
KOSTMAYER (D-PA)
LAFALCE (D-NY)
LANCASTER (D-NC)
LANTOS (D-CA)
LEACH, JAMES (R-IA)
LEATH, MARVIN (D-TX)
LEHMAN, WILLIAM (D-FL)
LENT (R-NY)
LEVIN, SANDER (D-MI)
LEWIS, JERRY (R-CA)
LIVINGSTON (R-LA)
LLOYD (D-TN)
LOWERY, BILL (R-CA)
LUKENS, DONALD (R-OH)
LUKEN, THOMAS (D-OH)
MADIGAN (R-IL)
MANTON (D-NY)
MARTIN, DAVID (R-NY)
MATSUI (D-CA)
MCCURDY (D-OK)
MCDADE (R-PA)
MCDERMOTT (D-WA)
MCHUGH (D-NY)
MCMILLAN, ALEX (R-NC)
MCMILLEN, TOM (D-MD)
MCNULTY (D-NY)
MEYERS (R-KS)
MICHEL (R-IL)
MILLER, CLARENCE (R-OH)
MILLER, JOHN (R-WA)
MINETA (D-CA)
MOAKLEY (D-MA)
MOLINARI (R-NY)
MOLLOHAN (D-WV)
MONTGOMERY (D-MS)
MORELLA (R-MD)
MORRISON, SID (R-WA)
MURTHA (D-PA)
NAGLE (D-IA)

ROBINSON (R-AR)
ROSE (D-NC)
ROSTENKOWSKI (D-IL)
ROWLAND, ROY (D-GA)
SABO (D-MN)
SAWYER (D-OH)
SCHEUER (D-NY)
SCHIFF (R-NM)
SERRANO (D-NY)
SHAW (R-FL)
SHAYS (R-CT)
SHUMWAY (R-CA)
SIKORSKI (D-MN)
SISISKY (D-VA)
SKAGGS (D-CO)
SKEEN (R-NM)
SKELTON (D-MO)
SLATTERY (D-KS)
SMITH, NEAL (D-IA)
SMITH, PETER (R-VT)
SMITH, VIRGINIA (R-NE)
SOLARZ (D-NY)
SPRATT (D-SC)
STENHOLM (D-TX)
SUNDQUIST (R-TN)
SWIFT (D-WA)
TALLON (D-SC)
TANNER (D-TN)
THOMAS, ROBERT (D-GA)
TORRES (D-CA)
TRAXLER (D-MI)
UDALL (D-AZ)
VALENTINE (D-NC)
VANDER JAGT (R-MI)
VISCLOSKY (D-IN)
VUCANOVICH (R-NV)
WATKINS (D-OK)
WHITTAKER (R-KS)
WILSON, CHARLES (D-TX)
WOLF (

R-VA)

GOODLING (R-PA)
GORDON (D-TN)
GRADISON (R-OH)
GRANDY (R-IA)

NELSON, BILL (D-FL)
OBERSTAR (D-MN)
OLIN (D-VA)
ORTIZ (D-TX)

WYLIE (R-OH)
YOUNG, BILL (R-FL)
YOUNG, DON (R-AK)

-----254 Members Who Voted 'NO'-----

ALEXANDER (D-AR)
ANNUNZIO (D-IL)
ANTHONY (D-AR)
APPLEGATE (D-OH)
ARMEY (R-TX)
ATKINS (D-MA)
BALLENGER (R-NC)
BARNARD (D-GA)
BARTON (R-TX)
BATES (D-CA)
BENTLEY (R-MD)
BEREUTER (R-NE)
BERMAN (D-CA)
BILIRAKIS (R-FL)
BLILEY (R-VA)
BONIOR (D-MI)
BORSKI (D-PA)
BOUCHER (D-VA)
BOXER (D-CA)
BRENNAN (D-ME)
BROOKS (D-TX)
BROOMFIELD (R-MI)
BROWDER (D-AL)
BROWN, GEORGE (D-CA)
BROWN, HANK (R-CO)
BRUCE (D-IL)
BRYANT, JOHN (D-TX)
BUNNING (R-KY)
BURTON (R-IN)
CALLAHAN (R-AL)
CAMPBELL, BEN (D-CO)
CAMPBELL, TOM (R-CA)
CARR (D-MI)
CLARKE (D-NC)
CLAY (D-MO)
COBLE (R-NC)
COLLINS (D-IL)

GUNDERSON (R-WI)
HANCOCK (R-MO)
HARRIS (D-AL)
HAWKINS, AUGUSTUS (D-CA)
HAYES, CHARLES A. (D-IL)
HAYES, JAMES A. (D-LA)
HEFLEY (R-CO)
HEFNER (D-NC)
HENRY (R-MI)
HERGER (R-CA)
HERTEL (D-MI)
HILER (R-IN)
HOAGLAND (D-NE)
HOCHBRUECKNER (D-NY)
HOLLOWAY (R-LA)
HOPKINS (R-KY)
HUBBARD (D-KY)
HUCKABY (D-LA)
HUGHES (D-NJ)
HUNTER (R-CA)
HUTTO (D-FL)
HYDE (R-IL)
INHOFE (R-OK)
JACOBS (D-IN)
JAMES (R-FL)
JENKINS (D-GA)
JOHNSON, TIMOTHY P. (D-SD)
JOHNSTON, HARRY (D-FL)
JONES, BEN (D-GA)
JONES, WALTER (D-NC)
JONTZ (D-IN)
KANJORSKI (D-PA)
KASICH (R-OH)
KASTENMEIER (D-WI)
KENNEDY, JOSEPH (D-MA)
KILDEE (D-MI)

PAYNE, DONALD (D-NJ)
PELOSI (D-CA)
PERKINS (D-KY)
PETRI (R-WI)
PICKETT (D-VA)
POSHARD (D-IL)
PURSELL (R-MI)
RAHALL (D-WV)
RANGEL, CHARLES (D-NY)
RAVENEL (R-SC)
REGULA (R-OH)
RINALDO (R-NJ)
RITTER (R-PA)
ROE (D-NJ)
ROGERS (R-KY)
ROHRBACHER (R-CA)
ROS-LEHTINEN (R-FL)
ROTH, TOBY (R-WI)
ROUKEMA (R-NJ)
ROWLAND, JOHN (R-CT)
ROYBAL (D-CA)
RUSSO (D-IL)
SAIKI (R-HI)
SANGMEISTER (D-IL)
SARPALIUS (D-TX)
SAVAGE (D-IL)
SAXTON (R-NJ)
SCHAEFER (R-CO)
SCHNEIDER (R-RI)
SCHROEDER (D-CO)
SCHUETTE (R-MI)
SCHULZE (R-PA)
SCHUMER (D-NY)
SENSENBRENNER (R-WI)
SHARP (D-IN)
SHUSTER (R-PA)

)	KLECZKA (D-WI)	SLAUGHTER, D. FRENCH (R-VA)
CONDIT (D-CA)	KOLTER (D-PA)	SLAUGHTER, LOUISE (D-NY)
COSTELLO (D-IL)	KYL (R-AZ)	SMITH, CHRISTOPHER (R-NJ)
COX (R-CA)	LAGOMARSINO (R-CA)	SMITH, DENNY (R-OR)
COYNE, WILLIAM (D-PA)	LAUGHLIN (D-TX)	SMITH, LAMAR (R-TX)
CRAIG (R-ID)	LEHMAN, RICHARD (D-CA)	SMITH, LAWRENCE (D-FL)
CRANE (R-IL)	LEVINE, MEL (D-CA)	SMITH, ROBERT C. (R-NH)
DANNEMEYER (R-CA)	LEWIS, JOHN (D-GA)	SMITH, ROBERT F. (R-OR)
DAVIS, ROBERT (R-MI)	LEWIS, TOM (R-FL)	SNOWE (R-ME)
DE FAZIO (D-OR)	LIGHTFOOT (R-IA)	SOLOMON (R-NY)
DELAY (R-TX)	LIPINSKI (D-IL)	SPENCE (R-SC)
DELLUMS (D-CA)	LONG (D-IN)	STAGGERS (D-WV)
DIXON, JULIAN (D-CA)	LOWEY, NITA (D-NY)	STALLINGS (D-ID)
DONNELLY (D-MA)	MACHTLEY (R-RI)	STANGELAND (R-MN)
DORGAN, BYRON (D-ND)	MARKEY (D-MA)	STARK (D-CA)
DORNAN, ROBERT (R-CA)	MARLENEE (R-MT)	STEARNS (R-FL)
DOUGLAS (R-NH)	MARTINEZ (D-CA)	STOKES (D-OH)
DOWNEY, THOMAS (D-NY)	MARTIN, LYNN (R-IL)	STUDDS (D-MA)
DREIER, DAVID (R-CA)	MAVROULES (D-MA)	STUMP (R-AZ)
DUNCAN, JR. (R-TN)	MAZZOLI (D-KY)	SYNAR (D-OK)
DURBIN (D-IL)	MCCANDLESS (R-CA)	TAUKE (R-IA)
DWYER (D-NJ)	MCCLOSKEY (D-IN)	TAUZIN (D-LA)
DYMALLY, MERVYN (D-CA)	MCCOLLUM (R-FL)	TAYLOR (D-MS)
DYSON (D-MD)	MCCRERY (R-LA)	THOMAS, CRAIG (R-WY)
EARLY (D-MA)	MCEWEN (R-OH)	THOMAS, WILLIAM (R-CA)
ECKART (D-OH)	MCGRATH (R-NY)	TORRICELLI (D-NJ)
EDWARDS, DON (D-CA)	MFUME (D-MD)	TOWNS (D-NY)
EDWARDS, MICKEY (R-OK)	MILLER, GEORGE (D-CA)	TRAFICANT (D-OH)
EMERSON (R-MO)	MINK (D-HI)	UNSOELD (D-WA)
ENGEL (D-NY)	MOODY (D-WI)	UPTON (R-MI)
ENGLISH (D-OK)	MOORHEAD (R-CA)	VENTO (D-MN)
ESPY (D-MS)	MORRISON, BRUCE (D-CT)	VOLKMER (D-MO)
EVANS, LANE (D-IL)	MRAZEK (D-NY)	WALGREN (D-PA)
FAWELL (R-IL)	MURPHY (D-PA)	WALKER (R-PA)
FEIGHAN (D-OH)	MYERS (R-IN)	WALSH (R-NY)
FIELDS (R-TX)	NATCHER (D-KY)	WASHINGTON (D-TX)
FLAKE (D-NY)	NEAL, RICHARD (D-MA)	WAXMAN (D-CA)
FORD, HAROLD (D-TN)	NEAL, STEPHEN (D-NC)	WEBER (R-MN)
FORD, WILLIAM (D-		

MI)	NIELSON, HOWARD (R-UT)	WEISS (D-NY)	
FRANK (D-MA)	NOWAK (D-NY)		WELDON (R-PA)
GALLEGLY (R-CA)	OAKAR (D-OH)		WHEAT (D-MO)
GAYDOS (D-PA)	OBEY (D-WI)		WHITTEN (D-MS)
GEREN (D-TX)	OWENS, MAJOR (D-NY)		WILLIAMS, PAT (D-MT)
GILMAN (R-NY)	PACKARD (R-CA)		WISE (D-WV)
GINGRICH (R-GA)	PALLONE (D-NJ)		WOLPE (D-MI)
GONZALEZ (D-TX)	PARRIS (R-VA)		WYDEN (D-OR)
GOSS (R-FL)	PASHAYAN (R-CA)		YATES (D-IL)
GRANT (R-FL)	PATTERSON (D-SC)		YATRON (D-PA)
GUARINI (D-NJ)	PAXON (R-NY)		

-----1 Members Who Were 'NOT VOTING'-----
 CROCKETT (D-MI)

Please type desired COMMAND.....

vote

Which do you desire?

- 1: Display a vote (when you know its number)
2: Search for recorded votes

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Choose ONE number.....1

Which do you desire?

- 1: A House vote
2: A Senate vote

Choose ONE number.....1

Type a LEGI-SLATE vote number (e.g., 1024)2433

Which members do you wish to include?

- 1: All members
2: List of members you will specify by name
3: Members in a file you have created
4: Members of a committee or subcommittee (or file)
5: Members of a political party
6: Members from a U.S. Census Region
7: Members from a certain state
8: Members in some COMBINATION of 5, 6 or 7

Veto Override

Type a member's LAST name or choose ONE number

of C.R.
.....1

LEGI-SLATE Report for the 101st Congress Tue, October 9, 1990 4:38pm (EDT)

Recorded vote in the HOUSE (Vote 2433: 260-138) (Dem: 235-9; Rep: 25-129)

Voting on:

H.J.R.660 BY WHITTEN (D-MS) -- Resolution Making Further Continuing
Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1991

10/06/90 -- IN THE HOUSE

Failed to pass over President's veto (VOTE NO. 2433:260-138)

(Two-thirds of those present not voting to override, the House voted to sustain
the President's veto of H.J. Res. 660, making further continuing
appropriations for the fiscal year 1991.)

-----260 Members Who Voted 'YES'-----

ACKERMAN (D-NY)	GONZALEZ (D-TX)	OWENS, MAJOR (D-NY)
ALEXANDER (D-AR)	GORDON (D-TN)	OWENS, WAYNE (D-UT)
ANDERSON (D-CA)	GRANT (R-FL)	PALLONE (D-NJ)
ANDREWS (D-TX)	GRAY, WILLIAM (D-PA)	PANETTA (D-CA)
ANNUNZIO (D-IL)	GUARINI (D-NJ)	PARRIS (R-VA)
ANTHONY (D-AR)	HALL, TONY (D-OH)	PASHAYAN (R-CA)
APPLEGATE (D-OH)	HAMILTON (D-IN)	PATTERSON (D-SC)
ASPIN (D-WI)	HAMMERSCHMIDT (R-AR)	PAYNE, DONALD (D-NJ)
ATKINS (D-MA)	HARRIS (D-AL)	PELOSI (D-CA)
AUCOIN (D-OR)	HATCHER (D-GA)	PERKINS (D-KY)
BARNARD (D-GA)	HAWKINS, AUGUSTUS (D-CA)	PICKETT (D-VA)
BATEMAN (R-VA)	HAYES, CHARLES A. (D-IL)	PICKLE (D-TX)
BEILENSON (D-CA)	HEFNER (D-NC)	POSHARD (D-IL)

BENNETT (D-FL)
BENTLEY (R-MD)
BEREUTER (R-NE)
BEVILL (D-AL)
BILBRAY (D-NV)
BOEHLERT (R-NY)
BOGGS (D-LA)
BONIOR (D-MI)
BORSKI (D-PA)
BOSCO (D-CA)
BOUCHER (D-VA)
BOXER (D-CA)
BRENNAN (D-ME)
BROOKS (D-TX)
BROWDER (D-AL)
BRUCE (D-IL)
BRYANT, JOHN (D-TX)
BUSTAMANTE (D-TX)
BYRON (D-MD)
CARDIN (D-MD)
CARR (D-MI)
CHAPMAN (D-TX)
CLARKE (D-NC)
CLAY (D-MO)
CLEMENT (D-TN)
COLEMAN, RONALD (D-TX)
COLLINS (D-IL)
CONDIT (D-CA)
CONTE (R-MA)
CONYERS (D-MI)
COOPER (D-TN)
COSTELLO (D-IL)
COYNE, WILLIAM (D-PA)
DARDEN (D-GA)
DE FAZIO (D-OR)
DE LA GARZA (D-TX)
DELLUMS (D-CA)
DERRICK (D-SC)
DICKINSON (R-AL)
DICKS (D-WA)
DINGELL (D-MI)
DIXON, JULIAN (D-CA)
DONNELLY (D-MA)
DORGAN, BYRON (D-ND)
DOWNEY, THOMAS (D-NY)
DURBIN (D-IL)
DWYER (D-NJ)
DYSON (D-MD)
EARLY (D-MA)
ECKART (D-OH)
EDWARDS, DON (D-CA)
ENGEL (D-NY)
ENGLISH (D-OK)
ERDREICH (D-AL)
ESPY (D-MS)
EVANS, LANE (D-IL)
FASCELL (D-FL)
FAZIO (D-CA)
FEIGHAN (D-OH)
FLAKE (D-NY)

HERTEL (D-MI)
HOAGLAND (D-NE)
HOCHBRUECKNER (D-NY)
HOYER (D-MD)
HUBBARD (D-KY)
HUGHES (D-NJ)
HUTTO (D-FL)
JACOBS (D-IN)
JENKINS (D-GA)
JOHNSON, TIMOTHY P. (D-SD)
JOHNSTON, HARRY (D-FL)
JONES, BEN (D-GA)
JONTZ (D-IN)
KANJORSKI (D-PA)
KAPTUR (D-OH)
KASTENMEIER (D-WI)
KENNEDY, JOSEPH (D-MA)
KENNELLY (D-CT)
KILDEE (D-MI)
KLECZKA (D-WI)
KOLTER (D-PA)
KOSTMAYER (D-PA)
LAFALCE (D-NY)
LANCASTER (D-NC)
LANTOS (D-CA)
LEATH, MARVIN (D-TX)
LEHMAN, RICHARD (D-CA)
LEHMAN, WILLIAM (D-FL)
LEVINE, MEL (D-CA)
LEVIN, SANDER (D-MI)
LEWIS, JOHN (D-GA)
LIPINSKI (D-IL)
LLOYD (D-TN)
LONG (D-IN)
LOWEY, NITA (D-NY)
LUKEN, THOMAS (D-OH)
MANTON (D-NY)
MARKEY (D-MA)
MARTINEZ (D-CA)
MATSUI (D-CA)
MAVROULES (D-MA)
MAZZOLI (D-KY)
MCCLOSKEY (D-IN)
MCCURDY (D-OK)
MCDERMOTT (D-WA)
MCGRATH (R-NY)
MCHUGH (D-NY)
MCMILLEN, TOM (D-MD)
MCNULTY (D-NY)
MEYERS (R-KS)
MFUME (D-MD)
MILLER, GEORGE (D-CA)
MINETA (D-CA)
MINK (D-HI)
MOAKLEY (D-MA)
MOLLOHAN (D-WV)
MOODY (D-WI)
MORELLA (R-MD)
MORRISON, BRUCE (D-CT)
MRAZEK (D-NY)

PRICE, DAVID (D-NC)
RAHALL (D-WV)
RANGEL, CHARLES (D-NY)
RAVENEL (R-SC)
ROE (D-NJ)
ROSE (D-NC)
ROWLAND, JOHN (R-CT)
ROWLAND, ROY (D-GA)
ROYBAL (D-CA)
RUSSO (D-IL)
SABO (D-MN)
SAIKI (R-HI)
SANGMEISTER (D-IL)
SARPALIUS (D-TX)
SAVAGE (D-IL)
SAWYER (D-OH)
SCHEUER (D-NY)
SCHIFF (R-NM)
SCHROEDER (D-CO)
SCHUMER (D-NY)
SERRANO (D-NY)
SHARP (D-IN)
SHUSTER (R-PA)
SIKORSKI (D-MN)
SISISKY (D-VA)
SKAGGS (D-CO)
SKEEN (R-NM)
SKELTON (D-MO)
SLATTERY (D-KS)
SLAUGHTER, LOUISE (D-NY)
SMITH, LAWRENCE (D-FL)
SMITH, NEAL (D-IA)
SNOWE (R-ME)
SOLARZ (D-NY)
SPRATT (D-SC)
STAGGERS (D-WV)
STALLINGS (D-ID)
STANGELAND (R-MN)
STARK (D-CA)
STOKES (D-OH)
STUDDS (D-MA)
SWIFT (D-WA)
SYNAR (D-OK)
TALLON (D-SC)
TANNER (D-TN)
TAYLOR (D-MS)
THOMAS, ROBERT (D-GA)
TORRES (D-CA)
TORRICELLI (D-NJ)
TRAFICANT (D-OH)
TRAXLER (D-MI)
UDALL (D-AZ)
UNSOELD (D-WA)
VALENTINE (D-NC)
VENTO (D-MN)
VISCLOSKEY (D-IN)
VOLKMER (D-MO)
WALGREN (D-PA)
WASHINGTON (D-TX)
WATKINS (D-OK)

FLIPPO (D-AL)
FOGLIETTA (D-PA)
FOLEY (D-WA)
FORD, HAROLD (D-TN)
FORD, WILLIAM (D-MI)
FRANK (D-MA)
FROST (D-TX)
GAYDOS (D-PA)
GEJDENSON (D-CT)
GEPHARDT (D-MO)
GEREN (D-TX)
GIBBONS (D-FL)
GILMAN (R-NY)
GLICKMAN (D-KS)

MURPHY (D-PA)
MURTHA (D-PA)
MYERS (R-IN)
NAGLE (D-IA)
NATCHER (D-KY)
NEAL, RICHARD (D-MA)
NEAL, STEPHEN (D-NC)
NELSON, BILL (D-FL)
NOWAK (D-NY)
OAKAR (D-OH)
OBERSTAR (D-MN)
OBEY (D-WI)
OLIN (D-VA)
ORTIZ (D-TX)

WAXMAN (D-CA)
WEISS (D-NY)
WHEAT (D-MO)
WHITTEN (D-MS)
WILLIAMS, PAT (D-MT)
WILSON, CHARLES (D-TX)
WISE (D-WV)
WOLF (R-VA)
WOLPE (D-MI)
WYDEN (D-OR)
YATES (D-IL)
YATRON (D-PA)
YOUNG, DON (R-AK)

-----138 Members Who Voted 'NO'-----

ARCHER (R-TX)	HALL, RALPH (D-TX)	PENNY (D-MN)
ARMEY (R-TX)	HANCOCK (R-MO)	PETRI (R-WI)
BALLENGER (R-NC)	HASTERT (R-IL)	PORTER (R-IL)
BARTLETT (R-TX)	HEFLEY (R-CO)	PURSELL (R-MI)
BARTON (R-TX)	HERGER (R-CA)	RAY (D-GA)
BILIRAKIS (R-FL)	HILER (R-IN)	REGULA (R-OH)
BLILEY (R-VA)	HOPKINS (R-KY)	RHODES, III (R-AZ)
BROOMFIELD (R-MI)	HORTON (R-NY)	RIDGE (R-PA)
BROWN, HANK (R-CO)	HOUGHTON (R-NY)	RINALDO (R-NJ)
BUECHNER (R-MO)	HUNTER (R-CA)	RITTER (R-PA)
BUNNING (R-KY)	HYDE (R-IL)	ROBERTS, PAT (R-KS)
BURTON (R-IN)	INHOFE (R-OK)	ROGERS (R-KY)
CAMPBELL, TOM (R-CA)	IRELAND (R-FL)	ROHRABACHER (R-CA)
CARPER (D-DE)	JAMES (R-FL)	ROS-LEHTINEN (R-FL)
CHANDLER (R-WA)	JOHNSON, NANCY (R-CT)	ROUKEMA (R-NJ)
CLINGER (R-PA)	KASICH (R-OH)	SAXTON (R-NJ)
COBLE (R-NC)	KOLBE (R-AZ)	SCHAEFER (R-CO)
COLEMAN, THOMAS (R-MO)	KYL (R-AZ)	SCHUETTE (R-MI)
COMBEST (R-TX)	LAGOMARSINO (R-CA)	SCHULZE (R-PA)
COUGHLIN (R-PA)	LAUGHLIN (D-TX)	SENSENBRENNER (R-WI)
COX (R-CA)	LEACH, JAMES (R-IA)	SHAW (R-FL)
CRAIG (R-ID)	LENT (R-NY)	SHAYS (R-CT)
CRANE (R-IL)	LEWIS, JERRY (R-CA)	SHUMWAY (R-CA)
DAVIS, ROBERT (R-MI)	LEWIS, TOM (R-FL)	SLAUGHTER, D. FRENCH (R-VA)
DELAY (R-TX)	LIGHTFOOT (R-IA)	SMITH, CHRISTOPHER (R-NJ)
DEWINE (R-OH)	LOWERY, BILL (R-CA)	SMITH, LAMAR (R-TX)
DORNAN, ROBERT (R-CA)	LUKENS, DONALD (R-OH)	SMITH, PETER (R-VT)
DOUGLAS (R-NH)	MACHTLEY (R-RI)	SMITH, ROBERT C. (R-NH)
DREIER, DAVID (R-CA)	MARLENEE (R-MT)	SMITH, ROBERT F. (R-OR)
DUNCAN, JR. (R-TN)	MARTIN, DAVID (R-NY)	SOLOMON (R-NY)
EDWARDS, MICKEY (R-OK)	MCCANDLESS (R-CA)	SPENCE (R-SC)
EMERSON (R-MO)	MCCOLLUM (R-FL)	STEARNS (R-FL)
FAWELL (R-IL)	MCDADE (R-PA)	STENHOLM (D-TX)
FIELDS (R-TX)	MCMILLAN, ALEX (R-NC)	SUNDQUIST (R-TN)
FISH (R-NY)	MICHEL (R-IL)	TAUKE (R-IA)
FRENZEL (R-MN)	MILLER, CLARENCE (R-OH)	THOMAS, CRAIG (R-WY)
GALLO (R-NJ)	MILLER, JOHN (R-WA)	THOMAS, WILLIAM (R-CA)
GEKAS (R-PA)	MOLINARI (R-NY)	UPTON (R-MI)
GILLMOR (R-OH)	MOORHEAD (R-CA)	VUCANOVICH (R-NV)
GINGRICH (R-GA)	MORRISON, SID (R-WA)	WALKER (R-PA)
GOODLING (R-PA)	NIELSON, HOWARD (R-UT)	WALSH (R-NY)
GOSS (R-FL)	OXLEY (R-OH)	WEBER (R-MN)
GRADISON (R-OH)	PARKER (D-MS)	WELDON (R-PA)
GRANDY (R-IA)	PAXON (R-NY)	WHITTAKER (R-KS)

GREEN (R-NY)
GUNDERSON (R-WI)

PAYNE, LEWIS (D-VA)
PEASE (D-OH)

WYLIE (R-OH)
YOUNG, BILL (R-FL)

-----36 Members Who Were 'NOT VOTING'-----

BAKER (R-LA)
BATES (D-CA)
BERMAN (D-CA)
BROWN, GEORGE (D-CA)
CALLAHAN (R-AL)
CAMPBELL, BEN (D-CO)
COURTER (R-NJ)
CROCKETT (D-MI)
DANNEMEYER (R-CA)
DYMALLY, MERVYN (D-CA)
GALLEGLY (R-CA)
HANSEN (R-UT)

HAYES, JAMES A. (D-LA)
HENRY (R-MI)
HOLLOWAY (R-LA)
HUCKABY (D-LA)
JONES, WALTER (D-NC)
LIVINGSTON (R-LA)
MADIGAN (R-IL)
MARTIN, LYNN (R-IL)
MCCRERY (R-LA)
MCEWEN (R-OH)
MONTGOMERY (D-MS)
PACKARD (R-CA)

QUILLEN (R-TN)
RICHARDSON (D-NM)
ROBINSON (R-AR)
ROSTENKOWSKI (D-IL)
ROTH, TOBY (R-WI)
SCHNEIDER (R-RI)
SMITH, DENNY (R-OR)
SMITH, VIRGINIA (R-NE)
STUMP (R-AZ)
TAUZIN (D-LA)
TOWNS (D-NY)
VANDER JAGT (R-MI)

Please type desired COMMAND.....

Recorded vote requested on Michel's amendment

Rejected amendment (VOTE NO. 2437:186-224)

(Dem 26-215; Rep. 160-1)

(House rejected the Michel amendment that south to implement a limited sequester)

-----186 Members Who Voted 'YES'-----

ARCHER (R-TX)	HANCOCK (R-MO)	PURSELL (R-MI)
ARMEY (R-TX)	HANSEN (R-UT)	QUILLEN (R-TN)
BAKER (R-LA)	HASTERT (R-IL)	RAVENEL (R-SC)
BALLENGER (R-NC)	HEFLEY (R-CO)	REGULA (R-OH)
BARTLETT (R-TX)	HERGER (R-CA)	RHODES, III (R-AZ)
BARTON (R-TX)	HILER (R-IN)	RIDGE (R-PA)
BATEMAN (R-VA)	HOPKINS (R-KY)	RINALDO (R-NJ)
BEREUTER (R-NE)	HOUGHTON (R-NY)	RITTER (R-PA)
BLILEY (R-VA)	HUBBARD (D-KY)	ROBERTS, PAT (R-KS)
BOEHLERT (R-NY)	HUNTER (R-CA)	ROGERS (R-KY)
BOSCO (D-CA)	HYDE (R-IL)	ROHRBACHER (R-CA)
BROOMFIELD (R-MI)	INHOFE (R-OK)	ROS-LEHTINEN (R-FL)
BROWN, HANK (R-CO)	IRELAND (R-FL)	ROTH, TOBY (R-WI)
BUECHNER (R-MO)	JAMES (R-FL)	ROUKEMA (R-NJ)
BUNNING (R-KY)	JOHNSON, NANCY (R-CT)	ROWLAND, JOHN (R-CT)
BURTON (R-IN)	KASICH (R-OH)	SAIKI (R-HI)
CALLAHAN (R-AL)	KOLBE (R-AZ)	SARPALIUS (D-TX)
CAMPBELL, TOM (R-CA)	KYL (R-AZ)	SAXTON (R-NJ)
CHANDLER (R-WA)	LAGOMARSINO (R-CA)	SCHAEFER (R-CO)
CLARKE (D-NC)	LANCASTER (D-NC)	SCHIFF (R-NM)
CLINGER (R-PA)	LAUGHLIN (D-TX)	SCHNEIDER (R-RI)
COBLE (R-NC)	LEACH, JAMES (R-IA)	SCHUETTE (R-MI)
COLEMAN, THOMAS (R-MO)	LENT (R-NY)	SCHULZE (R-PA)
COMBEST (R-TX)	LEWIS, JERRY (R-CA)	SENSENBRENNER (R-WI)
CONDIT (D-CA)	LEWIS, TOM (R-FL)	SHAW (R-FL)
CONTE (R-MA)	LIGHTFOOT (R-IA)	SHAYS (R-CT)
COUGHLIN (R-PA)	LIVINGSTON (R-LA)	SHUMWAY (R-CA)
COX (R-CA)	LOWERY, BILL (R-CA)	SHUSTER (R-PA)
CRAIG (R-ID)	LUKENS, DONALD (R-OH)	SKAGGS (D-CO)
CRANE (R-IL)	MACHTLEY (R-RI)	SKEEN (R-NM)
DANNEMEYER (R-CA)	MARLENEE (R-MT)	SLATTERY (D-KS)
DAVIS, ROBERT (R-MI)	MARTIN, DAVID (R-NY)	SMITH, CHRISTOPHER (R-NJ)
DELAY (R-TX)	MARTIN, LYNN (R-IL)	SMITH, LAMAR (R-TX)
DERRICK (D-SC)	MCCANDLESS (R-CA)	SMITH, PETER (R-VT)
DEWINE (R-OH)	MCCOLLUM (R-FL)	SMITH, ROBERT C. (R-NH)
DICKINSON (R-AL)	MCCRERY (R-LA)	SMITH, ROBERT F. (R-OR)
DORNAN, ROBERT (R-CA)	MCDADE (R-PA)	SMITH, VIRGINIA (R-NE)
DOUGLAS (R-NH)	MCEWEN (R-OH)	SNOWE (R-ME)
DREIER, DAVID (R-CA)	MCGRATH (R-NY)	SOLOMON (R-NY)
DUNCAN, JR. (R-TN)	MCMILLAN, ALEX (R-NC)	SPENCE (R-SC)
EDWARDS, MICKEY (R-OK)	ME	

YERS (R-KS)
EMERSON (R-MO)
ENGLISH (D-OK)
FAWELL (R-IL)
FIELDS (R-TX)
FISH (R-NY)
FRENZEL (R-MN)
GALLEGLY (R-CA)
GALLO (R-NJ)
GEKAS (R-PA)
GEREN (D-TX)
GILLMOR (R-OH)
GINGRICH (R-GA)
GOODLING (R-PA)
GOSS (R-FL)
GRADISON (R-OH)
GRANDY (R-IA)
GRANT (R-FL)
GREEN (R-NY)
GUNDERSON (R-WI)
HALL, RALPH (D-TX)
HAMMERSCHMIDT (R-AR)

STALLINGS (D-ID)
MICHEL (R-IL)
MILLER, CLARENCE (R-OH)
MILLER, JOHN (R-WA)
MOLINARI (R-NY)
MONTGOMERY (D-MS)
MOORHEAD (R-CA)
MORRISON, SID (R-WA)
MURPHY (D-PA)
MYERS (R-IN)
NIELSON, HOWARD (R-UT)
OWENS, WAYNE (D-UT)
OXLEY (R-OH)
PACKARD (R-CA)
PALLONE (D-NJ)
PARKER (D-MS)
PASHAYAN (R-CA)
PATTERSON (D-SC)
PAXON (R-NY)
PETRI (R-WI)
PICKLE (D-TX)
PORTER (R-IL)

STANGELAND (R-MN)
STEARNS (R-FL)
STENHOLM (D-TX)
SUNDQUIST (R-TN)
TANNER (D-TN)
TAUKE (R-IA)
TAUZIN (D-LA)
TAYLOR (D-MS)
THOMAS, CRAIG (R-WY)
THOMAS, WILLIAM (R-CA)
UPTON (R-MI)
VALENTINE (D-NC)
VANDER JAGT (R-MI)
VUCANOVICH (R-NV)
WALKER (R-PA)
WALSH (R-NY)
WEBER (R-MN)
WELDON (R-PA)
WHITTAKER (R-KS)
WYLIE (R-OH)
YOUNG, BILL (R-FL)

-----224 Members Who Voted 'NO'-----

ACKERMAN (D-NY)
ANDERSON (D-CA)
ANDREWS (D-TX)
ANNUNZIO (D-IL)
ANTHONY (D-AR)
APPLEGATE (D-OH)
ASPIN (D-WI)
ATKINS (D-MA)
AUCOIN (D-OR)
BARNARD (D-GA)
BATES (D-CA)
BEILENSON (D-CA)
BENNETT (D-FL)
BENTLEY (R-MD)
BERMAN (D-CA)
BEVILL (D-AL)
BILBRAY (D-NV)

GEPHARDT (D-MO)
GIBBONS (D-FL)
GILMAN (R-NY)
GLICKMAN (D-KS)
GONZALEZ (D-TX)
GORDON (D-TN)
GRAY, WILLIAM (D-PA)
GUARINI (D-NJ)
HAMILTON (D-IN)
HARRIS (D-AL)
HATCHER (D-GA)
HAYES, CHARLES A. (D-IL)
HEFNER (D-NC)
HERTEL (D-MI)
HOAGLAND (D-NE)
HOCHBRUECKNER (D-NY)
HORTON (R-NY)

NOWAK (D-NY)
OAKAR (D-OH)
OBERSTAR (D-MN)
OBEY (D-WI)
OLIN (D-VA)
ORTIZ (D-TX)
OWENS, MAJOR (D-NY)
PANETTA (D-CA)
PARRIS (R-VA)
PAYNE, DONALD (D-NJ)
PAYNE, LEWIS (D-VA)
PEASE (D-OH)
PELOSI (D-CA)
PENNY (D-MN)
PERKINS (D-KY)
PICKETT (D-VA)
POS

HARD (D-IL)
BILIRAKIS (R-FL)
BOGGS (D-LA)
BONIOR (D-MI)
BORSKI (D-PA)
BOUCHER (D-VA)
BOXER (D-CA)
BRENNAN (D-ME)
BROOKS (D-TX)
BROWDER (D-AL)
BRUCE (D-IL)
BRYANT, JOHN (D-TX)
BUSTAMANTE (D-TX)
BYRON (D-MD)
CARDIN (D-MD)
CARPER (D-DE)
CARR (D-MI)
CHAPMAN (D-TX)
CLAY (D-MO)
CLEMENT (D-TN)
COLEMAN, RONALD (D-TX)
COLLINS (D-IL)
CONYERS (D-MI)
COOPER (D-TN)
COSTELLO (D-IL)
COYNE, WILLIAM (D-PA)
DARDEN (D-GA)
DE FAZIO (D-OR)
DE LA GARZA (D-TX)
DELLUMS (D-CA)
DICKS (D-WA)
DINGELL (D-MI)
DIXON, JULIAN (D-CA)
DONNELLY (D-MA)
DORGAN, BYRON (D-ND)
DOWNEY, THOMAS (D-NY)
DURBIN (D-IL)
DWYER (D-NJ)
DYSON (D-MD)
EARLY (D-MA)
ECKART (D-OH)
EDWARDS, DON (D-CA)
ENGEL (D-NY)

HOYER (D-MD)
HUCKABY (D-LA)
HUGHES (D-NJ)
HUTTO (D-FL)
JENKINS (D-GA)
JOHNSON, TIMOTHY P. (D-SD)
JOHNSTON, HARRY (D-FL)
JONES, BEN (D-GA)
JONTZ (D-IN)
KANJORSKI (D-PA)
KAPTUR (D-OH)
KASTENMEIER (D-WI)
KENNEDY, JOSEPH (D-MA)
KENNELLY (D-CT)
KILDEE (D-MI)
KLECZKA (D-WI)
KOLTER (D-PA)
KOSTMAYER (D-PA)
LAFALCE (D-NY)
LANTOS (D-CA)
LEHMAN, RICHARD (D-CA)
LEHMAN, WILLIAM (D-FL)
LEVINE, MEL (D-CA)
LEVIN, SANDER (D-MI)
LEWIS, JOHN (D-GA)
LIPINSKI (D-IL)
LLOYD (D-TN)
LONG (D-IN)
LOWEY, NITA (D-NY)
LUKEN, THOMAS (D-OH)
MANTON (D-NY)
MARKEY (D-MA)
MARTINEZ (D-CA)
MATSUI (D-CA)
MAVROULES (D-MA)
MAZZOLI (D-KY)
MCCLOSKEY (D-IN)
MCCURDY (D-OK)
MCDERMOTT (D-WA)
MCHUGH (D-NY)
MCMILLEN, TOM (D-MD)
MCNULTY (D-NY)

PRICE, DAVID (D-NC)
RAHALL (D-WV)
RANGEL, CHARLES (D-NY)
RAY (D-GA)
RICHARDSON (D-NM)
ROE (D-NJ)
ROSE (D-NC)
ROWLAND, ROY (D-GA)
ROYBAL (D-CA)
RUSSO (D-IL)
SABO (D-MN)
SANGMEISTER (D-IL)
SAVAGE (D-IL)
SAWYER (D-OH)
SCHEUER (D-NY)
SCHROEDER (D-CO)
SCHUMER (D-NY)
SERRANO (D-NY)
SHARP (D-IN)
SIKORSKI (D-MN)
SISISKY (D-VA)
SKELTON (D-MO)
SLAUGHTER, D. FRENCH (R-VA)
SLAUGHTER, LOUISE (D-NY)
SMITH, LAWRENCE (D-FL)
SMITH, NEAL (D-IA)
SOLARZ (D-NY)
SPRATT (D-SC)
STAGGERS (D-WV)
STOKES (D-OH)
STUDDS (D-MA)
SWIFT (D-WA)
SYNAR (D-OK)
TALLON (D-SC)
THOMAS, ROBERT (D-GA)
TORRES (D-CA)
TORRICELLI (D-NJ)
TRAFICANT (D-OH)
TRAXLER (D-MI)
UNSOELD (D-WA)
VENTO (D-MN)

VISCLOSKY (D-IN)

ERDREICH (D-AL)	MFUME (D-MD)	VOLKMER (D-MO)
ESPY (D-MS)	MILLER, GEORGE (D-CA)	WALGREN (D-PA)
EVANS, LANE (D-IL)	MINETA (D-CA)	WASHINGTON (D-TX)
FASCELL (D-FL)	MINK (D-HI)	WATKINS (D-OK)
FAZIO (D-CA)	MOAKLEY (D-MA)	WAXMAN (D-CA)
FEIGHAN (D-OH)	MOLLOHAN (D-WV)	WEISS (D-NY)
FLAKE (D-NY)	MOODY (D-WI)	WHEAT (D-MO)
FLIPPO (D-AL)	MORELLA (R-MD)	WHITTEN (D-MS)
FOGLIETTA (D-PA)	MORRISON, BRUCE (D-CT)	WILLIAMS, PAT (D-MT)
FOLEY (D-WA)	MRAZEK (D-NY)	WISE (D-WV)
FORD, HAROLD (D-TN)	MURTHA (D-PA)	WOLF (R-VA)
FORD, WILLIAM (D-MI)	NAGLE (D-IA)	WOLPE (D-MI)
FRANK (D-MA)	NATCHER (D-KY)	WYDEN (D-OR)
FROST (D-TX)	NEAL, RICHARD (D-MA)	YATRON (D-PA)
GAYDOS (D-PA)	NEAL, STEPHEN (D-NC)	YOUNG, DON (R-AK)
GEJDENSON (D-CT)	NELSON, BILL (D-FL)	

-----24 Members Who Were 'NOT VOTING'-----

ALEXANDER (D-AR)	HAYES, JAMES A. (D-LA)	ROSTENKOWSKI (D-IL)
BROWN, GEORGE (D-CA)	HENRY (R-MI)	SMITH, DENNY (R-OR)
CAMPBELL, BEN (D-CO)	HOLLOWAY (R-LA)	STARK (D-CA)
COURTER (R-NJ)	JACOBS (D-IN)	STUMP (R-AZ)
CROCKETT (D-MI)	JONES, WALTER (D-NC)	TOWNS (D-NY)
DYMALLY, MERVYN (D-CA)	LEATH, MARVIN (D-TX)	UDALL (D-AZ)
HALL, TONY (D-OH)	MADIGAN (R-IL)	WILSON, CHARLES (D-TX)
HAWKINS, AUGUSTUS (D-CA)	ROBINSON (R-AR)	YATES (D-IL)

Please type desired COMMAND.....

Democrat Budget Resolution

LEGI-SLATE Report for the 101st Congress

Tue, October 9, 1990 4:30pm (EDT)

Recorded vote in the HOUSE (Vote 2436: 250-164)

(Dem: 218-28; Rep: 32-136)

Voting on:

H.C.R.310 BY PANETTA (D-CA) -- Resolution Setting Forth the Congressional Budget for the U.S. Government for Fiscal Years 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, and 1995.

10/07/90 -- IN THE HOUSE

Motion

Recorded vote requested on agreeing to the conference report

Agreed to conference report (VOTE NO. 2436:250-164).

(House agreed to the conference report on H.Con.Res. 310, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, and 1995)

-----250 Members Who Voted 'YES'-----

ACKERMAN (D-NY)	GRANDY (R-IA)	OAKAR (D-OH)
ANDERSON (D-CA)	GRAY, WILLIAM (D-PA)	OBERSTAR (D-MN)
ANDREWS (D-TX)	GUARINI (D-NJ)	OBEY (D-WI)
ANTHONY (D-AR)	HALL, RALPH (D-TX)	OLIN (D-VA)
ASPIN (D-WI)	HALL, TONY (D-OH)	ORTIZ (D-TX)
ATKINS (D-MA)	HAMILTON (D-IN)	OWENS, MAJOR (D-NY)
AUCOIN (D-OR)	HAMMERSCHMIDT (R-AR)	OWENS, WAYNE (D-UT)
BARNARD (D-GA)	HARRIS (D-AL)	PANETTA (D-CA)
BATES (D-CA)	HATCHER (D-GA)	PARKER (D-MS)
BEILENSON (D-CA)	HAWKINS, AUGUSTUS (D-CA)	PAYNE, DONALD (D-NJ)
BENNETT (D-FL)	HAYES, CHARLES A. (D-IL)	PAYNE, LEWIS (D-VA)
BEREUTER (R-NE)	HEFNER (D-NC)	PEASE (D-OH)
BERMAN (D-CA)	HERTEL (D-MI)	PELOSI (D-CA)
BEVILL (D-AL)	HOAGLAND (D-NE)	PENNY (D-MN)
BILBRAY (D-NV)	HOCHBRUECKNER (D-NY)	PICKETT (D-VA)
BOEHLERT (R-NY)	HORTON (R-NY)	PICKLE (D-TX)
BOGGS (D-LA)	HOUGHTON (R-NY)	PRICE, DAVID (D-NC)
BONIOR (D-MI)	HOYER (D-MD)	QUILLEN (R-TN)
BORSKI (D-PA)	HUGHES (D-NJ)	RANGEL, CHARLES (D-NY)
BOSCO (D-CA)	HUTTO (D-FL)	RAY (D-GA)
BOUCHER (D-VA)	JENKINS (D-GA)	RICHARDSON (D-NM)
BOXER (D-CA)	JOHNSON, NANCY (R-CT)	RIDGE (R-PA)
BROOKS (D-TX)	JOHNSON, TIMOTHY P. (D-SD)	ROBERTS, PAT (R-KS)
BROWDER (D-AL)	JOHNSTON, HARRY (D-FL)	ROE (D-NJ)
BRUCE (D-IL)	JONES, BEN (D-GA)	ROSE (D-NC)
BRYANT, JOHN (D-TX)	JONTZ (D-IN)	ROWLAND, ROY (D-GA)
BUSTAMANTE (D-TX)	KANJORSKI (D-PA)	ROYBAL (D-CA)
BYRON (D-MD)	KAPTUR (D-OH)	SABO (D-MN)
CARDIN (D-MD)	KASTENMEIER (D-WI)	SAWYER (D-OH)
CARPER (D-DE)	KENNEDY, JOSEPH (D-MA)	SCHEUER (D-NY)
CHAPMAN (D-TX)	KENNELLY (D-CT)	SCHROEDER (D-CO)
CLARKE (D-NC)	KILDEE (D-MI)	SCHUMER (D-NY)
CLAY (D-MO)	KLECZKA (D-WI)	SERRANO (D-NY)
CLEMENT (D-TN)	KOLTER (D-PA)	SHARP (D-IN)
CLINGER (R-PA)	KOSTMAYER (D-PA)	SHAYS (R-CT)
COLEMAN, RONALD (D-TX)	LAFALCE (D-NY)	SIKORSKI (D-MN)
COLEMAN, THOMAS (R-MO)	LANCASTER (D-NC)	SISISKY (D-VA)

COLLINS (D-IL)
 CONTE (R-MA)
 CONYERS (D-MI)
 COOPER (D-TN)
 COYNE, WILLIAM (D-PA)
 DARDEN (D-GA)
 DE FAZIO (D-OR)
 DE LA GARZA (D-TX)
 DELLUMS (D-CA)
 DERRICK (D-SC)
 DICKS (D-WA)
 DINGELL (D-MI)
 DIXON, JULIAN (D-CA)
 DONNELLY (D-MA)
 DORGAN, BYRON (D-ND)
 DOWNEY, THOMAS (D-NY)
 DURBIN (D-IL)
 DWYER (D-NJ)
 EARLY (D-MA)
 ECKART (D-OH)
 EDWARDS, DON (D-CA)
 ENGEL (D-NY)
 ERDREICH (D-AL)
 ESPY (D-MS)
 EVANS, LANE (D-IL)
 FASCELL (D-FL)
 FAZIO (D-CA)
 FEIGHAN (D-OH)
 FISH (R-NY)
 FLAKE (D-NY)
 FLIPPO (D-AL)
 FOGLIETTA (D-PA)
 FOLEY (D-WA)
 FORD, HAROLD (D-TN)
 FORD, WILLIAM (D-MI)
 FRANK (D-MA)
 FROST (D-TX)
 GEJDENSON (D-CT)
 GEPHARDT (D-MO)
 GEREN (D-TX)
 GIBBONS (D-FL)
 GILMAN (R-NY)
 GLICKMAN (D-KS)
 GONZALEZ (D-TX)
 GOODLING (R-PA)
 GORDON (D-TN)
 GRADISON (R-OH)

LANTOS (D-CA)
 LEACH, JAMES (R-IA)
 LEATH, MARVIN (D-TX)
 LEHMAN, RICHARD (D-CA)
 LEHMAN, WILLIAM (D-FL)
 LEVINE, MEL (D-CA)
 LEVIN, SANDER (D-MI)
 LEWIS, JOHN (D-GA)
 LIPINSKI (D-IL)
 LLOYD (D-TN)
 LOWEY, NITA (D-NY)
 LUKEN, THOMAS (D-OH)
 MANTON (D-NY)
 MARKEY (D-MA)
 MARTINEZ (D-CA)
 MATSUI (D-CA)
 MAVROULES (D-MA)
 MAZZOLI (D-KY)
 MCCLOSKEY (D-IN)
 MCCURDY (D-OK)
 MCDADE (R-PA)
 MCDERMOTT (D-WA)
 MCGRATH (R-NY)
 MCHUGH (D-NY)
 MCMILLAN, ALEX (R-NC)
 MCMILLEN, TOM (D-MD)
 MCNULTY (D-NY)
 MEYERS (R-KS)
 MFUME (D-MD)
 MILLER, GEORGE (D-CA)
 MINETA (D-CA)
 MINK (D-HI)
 MOAKLEY (D-MA)
 MOLINARI (R-NY)
 MOLLOHAN (D-WV)
 MONTGOMERY (D-MS)
 MOODY (D-WI)
 MORELLA (R-MD)
 MORRISON, SID (R-WA)
 MRAZEK (D-NY)
 MURPHY (D-PA)
 MURTHA (D-PA)
 NAGLE (D-IA)
 NEAL, RICHARD (D-MA)
 NEAL, STEPHEN (D-NC)
 NELSON, BILL (D-FL)
 NOWAK (D-NY)

SKAGGS (D-CO)
 SKELTON (D-MO)
 SLATTERY (D-KS)
 SLAUGHTER, LOUISE (D-NY)
 SMITH, LAWRENCE (D-FL)
 SMITH, NEAL (D-IA)
 SMITH, PETER (R-VT)
 SMITH, VIRGINIA (R-NE)
 SOLARZ (D-NY)
 SPRATT (D-SC)
 STAGGERS (D-WV)
 STALLINGS (D-ID)
 STARK (D-CA)
 STENHOLM (D-TX)
 STOKES (D-OH)
 STUDDS (D-MA)
 SWIFT (D-WA)
 SYNAR (D-OK)
 TALLON (D-SC)
 TANNER (D-TN)
 TAYLOR (D-MS)
 THOMAS, ROBERT (D-GA)
 TORRES (D-CA)
 TORRICELLI (D-NJ)
 TRAXLER (D-MI)
 UNSOELD (D-WA)
 VALENTINE (D-NC)
 VENTO (D-MN)
 VISCLOSKEY (D-IN)
 VOLKMER (D-MO)
 WALGREN (D-PA)
 WALSH (R-NY)
 WASHINGTON (D-TX)
 WATKINS (D-OK)
 WAXMAN (D-CA)
 WEISS (D-NY)
 WHEAT (D-MO)
 WHITTAKER (R-KS)
 WHITTEN (D-MS)
 WISE (D-WV)
 WOLF (R-VA)
 WOLPE (D-MI)
 WYDEN (D-OR)
 WYLIE (R-OH)
 YATES (D-IL)

-----164 Members Who Voted 'NO'-----

ANNUNZIO (D-IL)
 APPELEGATE (D-OH)
 ARCHER (R-TX)
 ARMEY (R-TX)
 BAKER (R-LA)
 BALLENGER (R-NC)
 BARTLETT (R-TX)
 BARTON (R-TX)
 BATEMAN (R-VA)
 BENTLEY (R-MD)
 BILIRAKIS (R-FL)

HANCOCK (R-MO)
 HANSEN (R-UT)
 HASTERT (R-IL)
 HEFLEY (R-CO)
 HERGER (R-CA)
 HILER (R-IN)
 HOPKINS (R-KY)
 HUBBARD (D-KY)
 HUCKABY (D-LA)
 HUNTER (R-CA)
 HYDE (R-IL)

RAHALL (D-WV)
 RAVENEL (R-SC)
 REGULA (R-OH)
 RHODES, III (R-AZ)
 RINALDO (R-NJ)
 RITTER (R-PA)
 ROGERS (R-KY)
 ROHRBACHER (R-CA)
 ROS-LEHTINEN (R-FL)
 ROTH, TOBY (R-WI)
 ROUKEMA (R-NJ)

BLILEY (R-VA)
BRENNAN (D-ME)
BROOMFIELD (R-MI)
BROWN, HANK (R-CO)
BUECHNER (R-MO)
BUNNING (R-KY)
BURTON (R-IN)
CALLAHAN (R-AL)
CAMPBELL, TOM (R-CA)
CARR (D-MI)
CHANDLER (R-WA)
COBLE (R-NC)
COMBEST (R-TX)
CONDIT (D-CA)
COSTELLO (D-IL)
COUGHLIN (R-PA)
COX (R-CA)
CRAIG (R-ID)
CRANE (R-IL)
DANNEMEYER (R-CA)
DAVIS, ROBERT (R-MI)
DEWINE (R-OH)
DICKINSON (R-AL)
DORNAN, ROBERT (R-CA)
DOUGLAS (R-NH)
DREIER, DAVID (R-CA)
DUNCAN, JR. (R-TN)
DYSON (D-MD)
EDWARDS, MICKEY (R-OK)
EMERSON (R-MO)
ENGLISH (D-OK)
FAWELL (R-IL)
FIELDS (R-TX)
FRENZEL (R-MN)
GALLEGLY (R-CA)
GALLO (R-NJ)
GAYDOS (D-PA)
GEKAS (R-PA)
GILLMOR (R-OH)
GINGRICH (R-GA)
GOSS (R-FL)
GRANT (R-FL)
GREEN (R-NY)
GUNDERSON (R-WI)

INHOFE (R-OK)
IRELAND (R-FL)
JAMES (R-FL)
KASICH (R-OH)
KOLBE (R-AZ)
KYL (R-AZ)
LAGOMARSINO (R-CA)
LAUGHLIN (D-TX)
LENT (R-NY)
LEWIS, JERRY (R-CA)
LEWIS, TOM (R-FL)
LIGHTFOOT (R-IA)
LIVINGSTON (R-LA)
LONG (D-IN)
LOWERY, BILL (R-CA)
LUKENS, DONALD (R-OH)
MACHTLEY (R-RI)
MARLENEE (R-MT)
MARTIN, DAVID (R-NY)
MARTIN, LYNN (R-IL)
MCCANDLESS (R-CA)
MCCOLLUM (R-FL)
MCCRERY (R-LA)
MCEWEN (R-OH)
MICHEL (R-IL)
MILLER, CLARENCE (R-OH)
MILLER, JOHN (R-WA)
MOORHEAD (R-CA)
MORRISON, BRUCE (D-CT)
MYERS (R-IN)
NATCHER (D-KY)
NIELSON, HOWARD (R-UT)
OXLEY (R-OH)
PACKARD (R-CA)
PALLONE (D-NJ)
PARRIS (R-VA)
PASHAYAN (R-CA)
PATTERSON (D-SC)
PAXON (R-NY)
PERKINS (D-KY)
PETRI (R-WI)
PORTER (R-IL)
POSHARD (D-IL)
PURSELL (R-MI)

ROWLAND, JOHN (R-CT)
RUSSO (D-IL)
SAIKI (R-HI)
SANGMEISTER (D-IL)
SARPALIUS (D-TX)
SAVAGE (D-IL)
SAXTON (R-NJ)
SCHAEFER (R-CO)
SCHIFF (R-NM)
SCHNEIDER (R-RI)
SCHUETTE (R-MI)
SCHULZE (R-PA)
SENSENBRENNER (R-WI)
SHAW (R-FL)
SHUMWAY (R-CA)
SHUSTER (R-PA)
SKEEN (R-NM)
SLAUGHTER, D. FRENCH (R-VA)
SMITH, CHRISTOPHER (R-NJ)
SMITH, LAMAR (R-TX)
SMITH, ROBERT C. (R-NH)
SMITH, ROBERT F. (R-OR)
SNOWE (R-ME)
SOLOMON (R-NY)
SPENCE (R-SC)
STANGELAND (R-MN)
STEARNS (R-FL)
SUNDQUIST (R-TN)
TAUKE (R-IA)
TAUZIN (D-LA)
THOMAS, CRAIG (R-WY)
THOMAS, WILLIAM (R-CA)
TRAFICANT (D-OH)
UPTON (R-MI)
VANDER JAGT (R-MI)
VUCANOVICH (R-NV)
WALKER (R-PA)
WEBER (R-MN)
WELDON (R-PA)
WILLIAMS, PAT (D-MT)
YATRON (D-PA)
YOUNG, BILL (R-FL)
YOUNG, DON (R-AK)

-----20 Members Who Were 'NOT VOTING'-----

ALEXANDER (D-AR)
BROWN, GEORGE (D-CA)
CAMPBELL, BEN (D-CO)
COURTER (R-NJ)
CROCKETT (D-MI)
DELAY (R-TX)
DYMALLY, MERVYN (D-CA)

HAYES, JAMES A. (D-LA)
HENRY (R-MI)
HOLLOWAY (R-LA)
JACOBS (D-IN)
JONES, WALTER (D-NC)
MADIGAN (R-IL)
ROBINSON (R-AR)

ROSTENKOWSKI (D-IL)
SMITH, DENNY (R-OR)
STUMP (R-AZ)
TOWNS (D-NY)
UDALL (D-AZ)
WILSON, CHARLES (D-TX)

Please type desired COMMAND.....

(Senate agreed to the conference report on H. Con. Res. 310, setting forth the Congressional budget for the United States Government for the fiscal years 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, and 1995.) Dem 42-13; Rep. 24-20

-----Among 66 Members Who Voted 'YES'-----

ADAMS (D-WA)	DODD (D-CT)	MCCLURE (R-ID)
AKAKA (D-HI)	DOLE (R-KS)	METZENBAUM (D-OH)
BENTSEN (D-TX)	DOMENICI (R-NM)	MIKULSKI (D-MD)
BIDEN (D-DE)	DURENBERGER (R-MN)	MITCHELL, GEORGE (D-ME)
BINGAMAN (D-NM)	FORD, WENDELL (D-KY)	MOYNIHAN (D-NY)
BOND (R-MO)	FOWLER (D-GA)	MURKOWSKI (R-AK)
BOREN (D-OK)	GARN (R-UT)	NUNN (D-GA)
BOSCHWITZ (R-MN)	GLENN (D-OH)	PACKWOOD (R-OR)
BRADLEY (D-NJ)	GORE (D-TN)	PRYOR (D-AR)
BREAUX (D-LA)	GRAHAM, BOB (D-FL)	REID (D-NV)
BRYAN, RICHARD (D-NV)	HATCH (R-UT)	RIEGLE (D-MI)
BUMPERS (D-AR)	HEINZ (R-PA)	ROBB (D-VA)
BURDICK (D-ND)	INOUE (D-HI)	ROCKEFELLER (D-WV)
BYRD, ROBERT (D-WV)	JEFFORDS (R-VT)	RUDMAN (R-NH)
CHAFEE (R-RI)	KASSEBAUM (R-KS)	SARBANES (D-MD)
COCHRAN (R-MS)	KENNEDY, EDWARD (D-MA)	SASSER (D-TN)
COHEN (R-ME)	KERREY, BOB (D-NE)	SIMPSON (R-WY)
CONRAD (D-ND)	KOHL (D-WI)	SPECTER (R-PA)
CRANSTON (D-CA)	LAUTENBERG (D-NJ)	STEVENS (R-AK)
DANFORTH (R-MO)	LEAHY (D-VT)	THURMOND (R-SC)
DASCHLE (D-SD)	LIEBERMAN (D-CT)	WARNER (R-VA)
DIXON, ALAN (D-IL)	LUGAR (R-IN)	WIRTH (D-CO)

-----Among 33 Members Who Voted 'NO'-----

ARMSTRONG (R-CO)	HATFIELD (R-OR)	MCCAIN (R-AZ)
BAUCUS (D-MT)	HEFLIN (D-AL)	MCCONNELL (R-KY)
BURNS (R-MT)	HELMS (R-NC)	NICKLES, DON (R-OK)
COATS (R-IN)	HOLLINGS (D-SC)	PELL (D-RI)
DECONCINI (D-AZ)	HUMPHREY (R-NH)	PRESSLER (R-SD)
D'AMATO (R-NY)	JOH	

NSTON, BENNETT (D-LA)	ROTH, WILLIAM (R-DE)	
EXON (D-NE)	KASTEN (R-WI)	SANFORD (D-NC)
GORTON (R-WA)	KERRY (D-MA)	SHELBY (D-AL)
GRAMM, PHIL (R-TX)	LEVIN, CARL (D-MI)	SIMON (D-IL)
GRASSLEY (R-IA)	LOTT (R-MS)	SYMMS (R-ID)
HARKIN (D-IA)	MACK (R-FL)	WALLOP (R-WY)

-----Among 1 Members Who Were 'NOT VOTING'-----
WILSON, PETE (R-CA)

Do you wish to store these votes in a LEGI-SLATE
FILE for future reference? ('Y/N').....

Let me try to be clear about a few basic points concerning the budget:

- (1) The country needs a five-year \$500 billion deficit-reduction program -- to be enacted this month.
- (2) The largest portion of that deficit-reduction program must come from spending less, not taxing more.
- (3) The savings must be real -- no smoke, no mirrors -- and the savings must be enforceable.
- (4) In signing the short-term continuing resolution, I stated these and other tests that a reconciliation bill must meet. I will not sign a reconciliation bill that fails these tests.
- (5) On the difficult issue of tax rates, "the bubble," and capital gains:
 - (a) I said I was willing to explore a compromise if it were balanced and fair -- that is, if the capital gains incentive were strong and the rate change helped those in the bubble.
 - (b) I authorized my negotiators at Andrews to explore such an option -- up to 31% on the rate in trade for a 15% capital gains tax -- if it could be part of an otherwise satisfactory \$500 billion package. They were unable to negotiate such a compromise. Indeed, the idea was opposed not only by Democrats, but also by some of the same Republicans who now favor it.
 - (c) I do not believe such a compromise is now possible. Indeed, I'm concerned that pursuing it in the current context may not only fail; it may legitimize something farther to the left that we cannot accept.
 - (d) In any case, I will not tolerate "bursting the bubble" at 33%. I believe that would mean far more than just "taxing the rich." It would start us back on the path toward higher income tax rates for everyone. I cannot accept that.
- (6) The ball is now in Congress' court.
- (7) If Congress fails to pass a satisfactory reconciliation bill by October 19, I will again withhold my signature from any business-as-usual continuing resolution.

WAYS AND MEANS DEMOCRATIC ALTERNATIVE

1. The hit on Medicare beneficiaries would be reduced by \$18 billion from \$28 billion to \$10 billion. Total Medicare savings would be \$43 billion rather than \$60 billion.
2. The 2-week waiting period for unemployment benefits would be dropped. (This proposal was also dropped from the modified Summit Agreement reported to the House Budget Committee on October 10.)
3. The \$2 billion of new entitlement spending, and the \$19 billion of revenue losers (enterprise zones, energy incentives, extenders and the so-called "growth" package) would be dropped.
4. The following revenue provisions would be dropped entirely from the Summit Agreement:
 - * the petroleum tax
 - * the limitation on itemized deductions
 - * the HI tax on State and local government workers
 - * the disallowance of interest paid on corporate tax deficiencies
 - * the increase in railroad tier II taxes
5. The following provisions of the Summit would be modified:
 - * the gasoline tax increase would be 3-cents a gallon rather than 10-cents a gallon
 - * airplanes would be added to the luxury tax
 - * the distilled spirits tax increase would be \$1.00 rather than \$1.50
6. To deal with the progressivity problem of the Summit Agreement, the Democratic Alternative would add the following provisions:
 - * the "bubble" would be eliminated and an explicit 33 percent rate bracket would be added
 - * the rate of the individual minimum tax for would be increased from 21 percent to 25 percent
 - * the HI wage cap would be increased to \$100,000 rather than \$73,000 as provided in the Summit Agreement
 - * indexing of the tax brackets would be delayed for one year, and
 - * a 10 percent surtax would be imposed on individuals with taxable incomes above \$1 million.