

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
1999-0277-F

FOIA Number:
S

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Skinner, Sam, Files
Subseries:

OA/ID Number: 40921
Folder ID Number: 40921-005

Folder Title:
Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [2]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	0	0	0	0

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, March 3, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2. Bring up Norm Stahl, judicial candidate		
3. Albert Casey request for meeting with President Tab A		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, March 2, 1991

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. Albert Casey request for meeting with President Tab A		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, February 24, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. PCG Memo for Signature TAB A	Given to POTUS	
3. Memo from Ede Holiday re California Drought Memo TAB B	Given to POTUS	
4. March 1992 P12 American Spectator	<u>Get copy</u>	
5. Carol Corbett letter	TEXAS	
6. Boe Blake Luback TEXAS	Needs info	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: _____

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <u>Greenaper</u> ✓	Have in for meeting with P.O.P.S. 30 min	
2. <u>Shavers</u> <u>fund</u>		
3. <u>Cine</u> <u>Bill</u>	Schip L S meet	
4. <u>Greenaper</u> <u>Confidential</u> me	STATUS ASK NICK	
5. <u>Franklin</u> <u>Status</u>		
6. <u>Beck.</u>	See Basch	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, February 21, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Friday and Monday schedules		
2. Letter from President Carter TAB A	Given to POTUS	Get back to him
3. Tsongas economic proposal TAB B	Given to P.O.T.U.S.	
4. NICK BRADY	Discuss Deduction ISSUE	
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, February 20, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules		
2. Coral Sea/May/Lamar		
3. Final NH results TAB A	 LARGEST Even Republican 	
4. Breakdown ON TAX Revenue from 1990 TAX Bill		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, February 19, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules		
2. Sum-Belt Savings		
3. Pin Kerton call to Sonny		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, February 18, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2. Waves (EPA) ① Enum ② Usepue ③ Cow	SKS.	
3. Ronald Reagan Grove Center	Charles Kalk Closter	
4. Connect to PETS RE. Congression Process		
5. Notes on Quota Bill		
6. Energy Bill	Communication ON Success Press	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, February 14, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Friday and New Hampshire schedules		
2. LA Times piece TAB A		
3. <u>Cooper Hyde</u> <u>Sinpson</u> Sony MM.		
4. <u>Reuzy</u> <u>in for meetg</u>		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, February 13, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday Schedules	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
2. <u>TRW</u>	<u>Call Joe German</u> <u>CEO/TRW</u> <u>CLEVELAND</u> (See Study B1st)	_____
	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, February 12, 1992

TRAVEL to N.H.

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, February 11, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2. Background on Multi-lateral Investment Fund TAB A		
3. <i>Comm 2</i> <i>A</i> <i>D. Kern</i>	<i>C.H.</i> <i>See</i> <i>B.S.</i>	
4. <i>C.F.C.</i> <i>OZONE</i> <i>later</i>		
5. <i>Henson</i> <i>Agda</i>		
6. <i>MARLIN</i> <i>N.C.A.</i>	<i>See Marlin</i>	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, February 11, 1992 -- p. 2

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Nat. Review End. Bnc.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, February 10, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. Scheduling proposals TAB A		
3. Talking points from OMB TAB B		
4. Newspaper article re Tony Benedi TAB C		
5. Request from John Ehrlichman re AF I	OK	
6. Clinton et al Statements	check/compare	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, February 10 -- p. 2

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <i>Muleti's lateral</i> <i>End</i>	<i>to Sel:</i> <i>what is this?</i> <i>J</i>	
2. <i>Danner</i> <i>[scribble]</i>	<i>Setup</i> <i>30 min</i> <i>meeting</i>	
3. <i>[scribble]</i>		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, February 6, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules		
2. Copy of open letter to Congress TAB A		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday Schedules		
2. Note re discussion with Governor Ashcroft Tab A		
3. Invitation to Pat Robertson Tab B		
4. Jan's H Coalition Show List		
5. Medical Society + Wom. Pres		
6. Regulation Problem		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, February 5, 1992 -- p. 2

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Deficient meeting	Dorman	
2. Independent	Tebo Mallin	Compan
3. Briefing		
4. Freeze Regulatory Review	Regulatory Review (Bouder) Freeze (Dorman)	
5. Health Plan Way to use Pod D + A	Gum to POTUS	
6. Ban / SC Gmg / Nomine Wich		

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

DCF HAS SEEN

DATE: 3/16/92

NOTE FOR: SAMUEL K. SKINNER AND
CLAYTON YEUTTER

The President has reviewed the attached, and it is forwarded to you
for your:

Information

☐

Action

☒ ☒ ☒

SHOW
POTUS

Thank you.

PHILLIP D. BRADY
Assistant to the President
and Staff Secretary
(x2702)

THE PRESIDENT'S COPY

cc: Kathy Super

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, February 4, 1992 -- p.m. (NO MORNING MEETING/TRAVEL)

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Note re discussion with Governor Ashcroft. TAB A		
2. Invitation to Pat Robertson TAB B		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, February 3, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules TAB A	 	
2. Health care paper TAB A (Reschedule)	 	
3. Thomas Kelly Keller	 	
4. Reschedule 8 - 8 ³⁰	talk to ✓ Brent	
5. memo / Drug Program	 	
6. Coordinator for all BTC FDIC et al	Robson	

(Regulates updates)

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, February 3, 1992 -- p. 2

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Rollins weak need	<u>talk to teeter</u>	
2. <u>Bush Voted</u> <u>in TEXAS</u> <u>ever since</u> <u>1948.</u>	<u>Who Spones</u>	
3. <u>Walter</u> <u>Meyers</u> <u>Camille</u> <u>Article</u>	<u>Linda</u> ✓	
4. Spence Cant Luck Boyer, Ben SKS + PORS		<u>Kathy Super</u> ✓ <u>Setup Mealy</u> <u>(See Note)</u>
5. <u>Calint</u> <u>Buffy PBC</u> <u>Memo</u>	✓ <u>SKS - memo</u>	
6. <u>Newt/Keller</u> <u>on TAXES</u>		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, February 3, 1992 -- p.m.

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Reaction to State of the Union TAB A		
2. <i>Energy</i>		
3. <i>UN Conference</i>		
4.		
5.		
6.		

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Memo	Health Care Reform: Sensitive Issues (5 pp.)	n.d.	P-5 Open on Expiration of PRA (Document Follows) By <u>CDL</u> (NLGB) on <u>4/5/05</u>	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Skinner, Samuel
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [2 of 5]

Date Closed:	11/26/2004	OA/ID Number:	05826
FOIA/SYS Case #:	1999-0277-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0368-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Shaw Paine

Health Care Reform: Sensitive Issues

Issue 1. Limiting the Exclusion of Employer-Provided Health Benefits ("tax cap"): Under current law, the entire value of health benefits provided by employers is not counted as taxable income.

Under the proposal, a limit would be imposed for high-income individuals. The limit would affect individuals with incomes above \$100,000 per year for single persons, \$115,000 for heads of households, and \$130,000 for joint filers (families.) -- The limit would be set at \$160 per month for individuals and \$400 per month for families. The value of health benefits in excess of this amount would become taxable income for these high-income persons.

The revenue loss from excluding benefits will be over \$200 billion over the next five years; this proposal will reduce that amount by \$2.7 billion.

Advantages

- Addresses the flaw of our health care system most frequently noted by economists: the open-ended subsidy for untaxed health benefits distorts employees' preferences, leading them to prefer untaxed health benefits over taxable wages and to choose health plans with generous benefits. These generous benefits, such as no deductible before insurance kicks in, leads to consumers using more health care than they would if they bore the entire cost.
- Reduces tax subsidy for high income individual; increases tax fairness.
- While a tax provision, the effect can be avoided by high income taxpayers if they buy ^{cheaper} health plans.

Disadvantages

- Opposition arguments that this is a tax increase.
- Opposition will likely be as intense as for a tax cap that effects all taxpayers as those who fear this is the camel's nose under the tent. Opponents: unions, employers providing large benefits, insurers who offer such benefits.
- Offering the proposal in as political a year in 1992 will lead to interest groups positioning themselves in ways that will be hard to compromise in a more reasonable year.

Issue 2. Higher Part B Premiums for High Income Medicare Beneficiaries. Under current law, all who enroll in Medicare Part B (covering services by doctors and in hospital outpatient departments) receive the same government subsidy, regardless of income. The subsidy is 75 percent of the premium; beneficiaries pay the remaining 25 percent. The proposal would effect individuals with incomes over \$100,000 and couples with incomes over \$130,000. Under the proposal, these high income individuals would pay 75 percent of the Part B premium. Even these high income individuals would retain a federal subsidy of 25 percent. [This proposal is included in the President's budget, in addition to the health reform package.] Some 900,000 of the 32 million individuals enrolled in Part would be effected.

Advantages

- Reduces subsidies to high income individuals, adding fairness to the financing of the health reform package. The current practice of taxing working Americans to pay for Paul Mellon's doctor bills would be curtailed.
- Not a new concept; a similar proposal was included in last year's budget.

Disadvantages

- Again, the "camel's nose under the tent" argument that eventually the subsidy for even middle income Medicare beneficiaries would be reduced.
- Subject to manipulation with a vulnerable elderly population; Medicare catastrophic provides a model for how such manipulation could be accomplished.

Issue 3. Overall scale of reduction in payments to providers. Because the proposal is not financed by new taxes and includes only small amounts from a tax cap or reducing Medicare subsidies for seniors, the largest share of paying for the package comes from reducing payments to providers -- either directly through changes in Medicare payment or indirectly through slower rates of growth in Medicaid payments.

Advantages

- The alternative approach to pay for new credits and tax deductions is new taxes; reduced payment to providers is the less ugly of the two.
- Even without health care reform, the rapid growth in health entitlement programs must be addressed. Otherwise,

health care will continue to make controlling expenditures harder.

Disadvantages

- Creates opposition among those who care most about health care reform: the interest groups representing providers.
- While the payment changes have direct effects only on providers, they can be portrayed as "cuts" that would be borne by the elderly and poor.
- Gradison believes physicians will view changes in Medicare payment to them as "welshing" on the payment reforms made in OBRA '90.
- Congressional GOP have shown limited interest in being seen as active supporter of anti-provider approaches: no Ways and Means Republican would introduce the Administration's malpractice bill because of incentives tied to Medicare and Medicaid payment. Rep. Archer finally introduced the bill "by request."

Issue 4. Involvement by employers who do not provide health benefits. While employers would not be required to make a financial contribution, they would be required to facilitate enrollment in health plans. This requirement, while not yet fully defined, would require such activity as providing information about available plans and remitting payroll deductions to insurers, just as all employers must deduct and remit FICA contributions.

Advantages

- In a system dominated by employer-provided insurance, it is difficult to attain access for all without some employer involvement.
- Buying insurance through employers is more efficient than the buying individual insurance, where administrative costs are higher.

Disadvantages

- Some will still perceive this as an employer mandate.
- The insurance concept of achieving random groups is blunted if there is no requirement that individuals buy insurance through employers.

100% up to certain level

Issue 5. Deductibility for the self-employed. Under current law, corporations may deduct an unlimited amount of health benefits and individuals may exclude an unlimited amount of employer-provided health benefits from their taxes. The self-employed may only deduct 25 percent of their insurance costs.

Under the proposal, the self-employed would be allowed to deduct their health insurance costs, up to \$1250 for individuals, \$2500 for those filing as heads of households, and \$3750 for married couples filing jointly. These dollar levels mirror the value of the credit for low income individuals and the amounts deductible by those with low or no employer contribution towards the cost of their health plan.

Gradison notes that all proposals on the Hill that touch on this issue provide unlimited deductibility.

Advantages (of limited deductibility)

- Treats the self-employed the same as those whose employers provide no contribution towards the cost of their health plan.

Disadvantages

- Creates an open-ended subsidy that would allow high income self-employed persons to provide themselves with very rich health benefits, something we want to discourage to promote cost containment.
- HEAL wants 100 percent deductibility; Bentsen and others would give it to them.

[Gradison may have misunderstood the proposal and not be aware that it does provide 100 percent deductibility, albeit up to specified limits.]

Issue 6. Adequacy of the credit: The credit would have the same value for the same income in every area of the country. It would be indexed to the Consumer Price Index.

Advantages

- It is impossible Constitutionally to provide a tax credit that varies from state to state.
- There is a complex mechanism provided in the proposal that would account for at least some of the reasons prices vary (e.g., age.)

- States that were concerned that the credit is not high enough for their citizens can create their own additional credit to piggy back on the federal credit.

Disadvantages

- The costs of health care vary significantly from state to state; \$3750 buys a lot less insurance in Boston than in Mississippi.
- Medical prices have risen much faster than prices in general; unless historical trends change dramatically, the purchasing power of the credit would erode over time.

Issue 7. Malpractice. The proposal continues to propose a set of financial incentives for states to change their malpractice laws. If disagreements with Justice can be resolved, additional measures will be included.

Advantages

- Satisfies the Justice Department, an agency whose officials seem to be unduly obdurate in resisting progress and obscurantist in their overall approach to the subject.

[Alternative:

- Strikes a reasonable approach that would not be viewed as unduly taking away the ability of injured persons to seek redress; pre-emption of state law is narrow.]

Disadvantages

- Congressional Republicans want to go much further and much harder on this issue than has the Administration.

Secondary Issues

Name: Gradison believes the plan needs something more catchy than "the President's Plan" to describe it.

State role in defining package: Gradison believes allowing states to define minimum benefit packages will be allowing foxes to guard chicken coops, leading to pressure for a higher credit.

Degree of specificity of Medicare changes: Gradison not believe Medicare changes should be provided in great specificity; doing so would allow those would take a "hit" to know exactly what and how much it is.

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, January 29, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules		
2. ((Did you discuss portal to-portal for Henson Moore?))		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, January 28, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2. Portal to Portal for Henson Moore		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, January 27, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. Lee Iacocca letter re Japan Tab A		
3. Haitian interdiction litigation Tab B	Attend 11- meeting	
4. S/O/V	Text Reform into S/O/V	
5.	Committee Commission on the American Family and its impact on American Cities.	(Porter)
6. Glenn Bartlett	Mrs. Annette Strakes	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: _____

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <i>Conrad Burns</i>		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

scf
1/23



L A Iacocca
Chairman of the Board
Chief Executive Officer

January 21, 1992

slow
POTUS

Jan 27
Daily Agenda

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Despite all the rhetoric pro and con about your recent trip to Asia, and specifically to Japan, I'm proud to have been part of it, and I appreciate your invitation and kindness during the trip. I think you set a good precedent and made a good start toward accelerating awareness of the problems and, hopefully, solving them.

Our trade situation with Japan has deteriorated for over two decades. One meeting won't eradicate the problems, but the fact that a dialogue has been started is invaluable, not only to the auto industry, but to the country.

The only real negative to the trip from my point of view was your flu attack and the resulting coverage in the media. I applaud you for handling it as well as you did and for your wit and poise under fire. Take good care of yourself. Our country needs you.

As you know, I'm solidly in your corner on this one. Please let me know if there's any way I can be of assistance to you.

Sincerely,

cc: The Honorable Robert A. Mosbacher, Sr.
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner

L A Iacocca
Chairman of the Board
Chief Executive Officer

January 21, 1992

The Honorable Robert A. Mosbacher, Sr.
Secretary
Department of Commerce
14th St. and Constitution Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I want to take a minute to thank you for your leadership in the President's recent trip to Asia and for your recognition of the problems facing U.S. industry, particularly in our trade relations with Japan. I was proud to accompany you and the President on the trip to Japan and, despite the negativism in the press, I think it set a good precedent and began to accomplish some much-needed reform.

As you move out of your formal position with the Government and into your new role in President Bush's re-election campaign, I want to congratulate you on your record of accomplishment and wish you all the best in the re-election effort. At the same time, if you still have any pull at Commerce, I'd urge you to keep Mike Farren on the job. He's vital to the continued success of the initiatives you started, and he's one of the best guys we've seen in Washington in years.

Thanks again for your support of the issues vital to the auto industry. I happen to believe they're equally vital to the country, and I appreciate your willingness to address them. All the best to you in the future. Please let me know if I can be of any assistance.

Sincerely,

cc: The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner

HAITIAN INTERDICTION LITIGATION

Show
POTUS

- (1) On November 19, 1991, a few hours after the Haitian Refugee Center Inc. ("HRC") filed this lawsuit, the District Court granted a temporary restraining order barring repatriation.
- (2) On December 3, the District Court granted a preliminary injunction ("Preliminary Injunction I") barring repatriation, finding that plaintiffs were likely to succeed on 2 of their numerous claims: (1) Article 33 of the U.N. Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees provided the interdicted Haitians with substantive and procedural rights; and (2) HRC has a First Amendment "right of access" to the interdictees that prevents repatriation.

The District Court rejected plaintiffs remaining claims under: (1) the Due Process Clause; (2) the Immigration and Nationality Act; (3) the Refugee Act of 1980; (4) Executive Order 12324; and (5) the Administrative Procedure Act.
- (3) On December 17, 1991, the Court of Appeals (2-1) dissolved Preliminary Injunction I holding that: (1) Article 33 of the U.N. Convention was not self-executing; and (2) the First Amendment did not support a broad injunction completely barring repatriation.
- (4) Later that same day, the District Court issued a second preliminary injunction barring repatriation ("Preliminary Injunction II"), this time basing its decision on the Administrative Procedure Act (a reversal of its previous position).
- (5) On December 19, 1991, the Court of Appeals (2-1) stayed Preliminary Injunction II holding that plaintiffs were unlikely to succeed on the Administrative Procedure Act claim.
- (6) On December 20, the District Court issued a third preliminary injunction ("Preliminary Injunction III"). This injunction did not, on its face, completely bar repatriation, but rather barred repatriation until HRC was given "meaningful access" to interdictees.

The Government moved to stay Preliminary Injunction III pending appeal, but the Court of Appeals never ruled on that motion, despite repeated requests by the Government that it do so.

- (7) On December 23, the District Court issued a fourth preliminary injunction ("Preliminary Injunction IV") again based on the Administrative Procedure Act, but this time the District Court stayed its own injunction pending appeal.
- (8) Despite repeated requests by the Government for emergency expedited action, the Court of Appeals set oral argument for January 22, 1992 to resolve all bases for preliminary injunctive relief. The Court of Appeals never stayed Preliminary Injunction III, the one injunction that remains in effect.
- (9) At oral argument on January 22, it appeared that one judge (Chief Judge Tjoflat) remained solidly with the Government. A second judge (Judge Hatchett) remained against the Government. The third judge (Judge Cox) has been sympathetic with the Government in earlier proceedings in this case. On January 22, however, Judge Cox appeared to be troubled by plaintiffs' First Amendment "right of access" argument, which we believe is plainly frivolous.
- (10) If Judge Cox votes against the Government on the "right of access" claim, the decision could either: (1) broadly prohibit repatriation before HRC has access at both Guantanamo Bay and on Coast Guard Cutters; or (2) prohibit repatriation without access at Guantanamo only, arguably leaving the Government free to repatriate directly from Coast Guard cutters at sea.
- (11) If the Government does not prevail completely in the Court of Appeals, Solicitor General Starr plans to seek emergency relief from the Supreme Court.



Office of the Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

R U S H

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

Transmitted To: Samuel Skinner

(Name)

Chief of Staff, White House

(Organization)

(Building, Room #, etc.)

456-6797

(Telephone/Extension)

Transmitted From: William P. Barr, Attorney General, Dept. of Justice
(Name, Organization)

514-2001

(Telephone/Extension)

Pages being sent: 2 (DO NOT count this cover sheet as a page.)PLEASE PHONE (FTS) 368-4195 OR COMMERCIAL (202) 514-4195 AND
VERIFY YOU RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE - THANKS

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, January 24, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Friday and Monday schedules		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, January 23, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules		
2. <u>Boo</u> <u>Fuelner</u>	<u>SKS</u> <u>call in</u>	
3. <u>V. & Co</u>	<u>DeVray</u>	
4. <u>4000</u> <u>Program</u> <u>to Eliminate</u>	<u>Leibert</u> <u>breathy</u>	
5. <u>Republican</u> <u>Leaders</u> <u>(in fuelner)</u>		
6. <u>#</u>		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, January 22, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday Schedules	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
2. Boskin Memo re Cable TV Regulation TAB A	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
3. B/Q Announcement Pkg. TAB B	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
4. Memo re Head Start Funding TAB C	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
5. TREAS. } Medicine to Haiti } →	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, March 12, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules		
2. <u>Heitge Report</u> analysis / <u>Damon</u>	<u>Damon</u> <u>Nick Handle</u>	
3. lay two Rates Bury - <u>Tally</u> Pants	<u>Nick / Handle</u>	
4. <u>William</u> <u>Snell</u> who is he	<u>Martin</u> <u>Agley</u> <u>Barb</u>	
5. UNCED Conference Tab E moved to 3/13		
6. "Citizens for Bush" fraud Tab F moved to 3/13		

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS ON LONG-TERM RATES

- o I believe that long-term rates are too high, given the low level of inflation.
 - Long term rates should not be at 8% with inflation at 3% or lower.
- o Inflation is well under control, and long-term rates should and will come down as the market gets used to that fact.
- o Long-term rates are too high in part because the market is afraid that the Democrat controlled Congress may take a fiscally irresponsible approach -- ballooning the deficit and driving up rates even further.
- o The market should know that I will not go along with any such approach.
- o My proposals would put the economic recovery firmly on track while preserving fiscal responsibility.

Show
POTUS

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, March 11, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules	_____	_____
2. Cabinet rank Tab A	_____	_____
3. Barbara Franklin's swearing in Tab B	_____	_____
4. Federal personnel issues Tab C <i>moved to 3/13</i>	_____	_____
5. Photo Ops at Thursday Inner Circle Dinner Tab D	<i>these were don't have</i>	_____
6.	_____	_____

SHOW
POVUS

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

DCF HAS SEEN

Date: March 10, 1992

TO: Sam Skinner

FROM: **KATHY SUPER**
Deputy Assistant to the President
for Appointments and Scheduling

FYI - I have turned down a request for the President to do photos with 150 individuals (75 clicks of the photo) on Thursday evening before the Inner Circle Dinner.

Apparently Sen. Gramm talked to Henson about this last week and Henson said that we would try to work it out.

Thursday is a terribly busy day for the President. He starts with phone calls at 7 a.m. and finishes with 8 satellite interviews at 5:30 p.m. The Inner Circle dinner is at 6:45.

Also, the President did photos for the Senatorial Committee's Chairman's Foundation and hosted a reception and photos with the Trust Members on Feb. 20. There were at least 170 clicks that evening. In addition, another NRSC dinner is scheduled for the President on April 1.

Phil Gramm may call you on this. But there really is no time on Thursday to add.

SHOW
PHOTOS

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DCF HAS SEEN

Date: March 10, 1992

TO: Sam Skinner

FROM: KATHY SUPER
Deputy Assistant to the President
for Appointments and Scheduling

FYI - I have turned down a request for the President to do photos with 150 individuals (75 clicks of the photo) on Thursday evening before the Inner Circle Dinner.

Apparently Sen. Gramm talked to Henson about this last week and Henson said that we would try to work it out.

Thursday is a terribly busy day for the President. He starts with phone calls at 7 a.m. and finishes with 8 satellite interviews at 5:30 p.m. The Inner Circle dinner is at 6:45.

Also, the President did photos for the Senatorial Committee's Chairman's Foundation and hosted a reception and photos with the Trust Members on Feb. 20. There were at least 170 clicks that evening. In addition, another NRSC dinner is scheduled for the President on April 1.

Phil Gramm may call you on this. But there really is no time on Thursday to add.

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, March 17, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, March 13, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Friday and Monday schedules	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
2. Congressman Bill Clinger letter Tab A	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
3. UNCED Conference Tab B	_____ _____ _____	<i>moved to 3/16</i> _____ _____
4. "Citizens for Bush" solicitations Tab C	_____ _____ _____	<i>SKS said file</i> _____ _____
5. Federal personnel issues Tab D	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
6. Suggested Talking Points on Long-Term Rates Tab E	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, March 16, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. Thailand and pharmaceutical patents Tab A 3/19 Hold - pending response from Ede		
3. Jon Huntsman Tab B 3/19		
4. UNCED Conference Tab C 3/19		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, March 18, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules <i>3/18</i>		
2. Boyden Gray memo on Congressional inaction Tab D <i>3/19</i>		
3. Clayton Yeutter note re vetoing appropriations bills Tab E <i>3/19</i>		
4. Secretary Brady memo re banking reform Tab F		
5. Statement of Administration Policy on Bicentennial of Democratic Party Tab G <i>3/19</i>		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, March 19, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules <i>3/19</i>		
2. Japanese announcement on auto exports Tab H <i>3/19</i>		
3. Title X Abortion Provisions TAB 1 <i>Moved to SKS</i>		
4. <i>Nick Jeff Sanfater office re:</i>		
5. <i>Bennett Campaign</i>		
6. <i>Campaign Situation / tests</i>		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: _____

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Indian Gaming	LUZAN	
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, March 20, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Friday and Monday schedules		
2. Secretary Brady memo on banking reform Tab A	 	
3. Title X Abortion provisions Tab B	 	
4.		
5.		
6.		

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02a. Note	From POTUS to Sam Skinner/Clayton Yeutter Re Banking reform (1 pp.)	3/13/92	P-5 Open on Expiration of PRA (Document Follows) By <u>CB</u> (NLGB) on <u>6/7/05</u>	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Skinner, Samuel
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [2 of 5]

Date Closed:	11/26/2004	OA/ID Number:	05826
FOIA/SYS Case #:	1999-0277-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0368-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

3-13-92

Sam Clayton

Nick Brady per attached,

suggests I should speak
out on banking reform.

Wpset that our reform

appears stalled out. I

am glad to speak out

when you find the time.

place to do so.

Please advise

an/

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02b. Memo	From Nicholas Brady to POTUS Re: Your March 8 memo on bank reform (2 pp.)	3/11/92	P-5 Open on Expiration of PRA (Document Follows) By <u>CD</u> (NLGB) on <u>11/11/09</u>	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Skinner, Samuel
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [2 of 5]

Date Closed:	11/26/2004	OA/ID Number:	05826
FOIA/SYS Case #:	1999-0277-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0368-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information



THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON

March 11, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Nicholas F. Brady *NFB*
SUBJECT: Your March 8 Memo on Bank Ref

Mr President:
There are aspects
of this problem that
we should discuss in
person when you are
ready
NFB

I agree that we need to do more to advocate our banking reform proposal. Let me respond to each of your three questions.

1. Where Does Banking Reform Stand?

Congress butchered last year's comprehensive banking proposal. It gave us the money we requested, but piled on onerous new "safety and soundness" regulations -- without strengthening the ability of banks to earn the returns necessary to stay healthy and strong. In February, we reintroduced the parts of the proposal that didn't pass. We also laid down a marker in the budget: Congressional failure to pass banking reform is likely to result in another deposit insurance bailout.

But after last year's legislative gridlock, there is little stomach in an election-year Congress for addressing banking issues (like allowing banks to engage in securities activities). The one potential exception is interstate branching.

Interstate branching is where we should focus our efforts, for several reasons. First, it is the single reform that would save the most money for banks and the deposit insurance fund. Second, it is the most widely supported part of our proposal -- Congress passed acceptable versions in each House last year before dropping the issue in conference. Third, a powerful group of large and medium-sized banks is committed to a vigorous effort to push the legislation through this year. Fourth, there is widespread editorial and media support for our proposal. Finally, branching restrictions are an obvious, vivid example of our archaic laws hamstringing our banks -- we're the only nation in the world with such a fragmented banking system.

The downside is that community bankers will continue to oppose interstate branching. But I believe that the depth of their opposition has been overstated by their national trade association. We may be able to find other ways to reach out to them.

Even if we believe that Congress won't pass interstate branching this year, it makes good sense to pound away at them for failing

to fix the system. One of our best defenses for the continuing banking problem will be Congressional paralysis on bank reform.

2. How Serious is the Problem?

The banking industry continues to struggle through one of its most difficult periods. While industry profits were slightly up from last year, there are key segments of the industry that remain in serious trouble. Bill Taylor reports some progress, but that we are by no means out of the woods. As you know, the bank deposit insurance fund has depleted its cash and will soon be borrowing from the Treasury to pay for failed banks.

3. What We Can Do To Make Sure People Know
We Are Strongly in Favor of Our Proposal?

I think your personal involvement with a highly visible statement would be extremely helpful. Despite our recent best efforts, there is continuing skepticism that the Administration is committed even to interstate branching. Your weighing in would elevate the issue and help keep Congress on the defensive.

cc: Sam Skinner

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02c. Note	From POTUS to Sam Skinner/Nick Brady Re Banking reform legislation (1 pp.)	3/8/92	P5 Open on Expiration of PRA (Document Follows) By <u>OSI</u> (NLGB) on <u>6/7/05</u>	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Skinner, Samuel
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [2 of 5]

Date Closed:	11/26/2004	OA/ID Number:	05826
FOIA/SYS Case #:	1999-0277-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0368-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information



March 8, 1992

To: Sam Skinner and Nick Brady cc: Nick Calio

Re: Banking Reform Legislation

Where does it stand?

How serious is the problem?

What can we do to make sure people know we are strongly for it,
and that we have a sound proposal?

GB

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "GB" with a long, sweeping diagonal stroke extending from the bottom right of the initials.

FROM THE PRESIDENT

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

④

DATE: Monday, March 23, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. INTRODUCTION POTUS VOICE MAIL	Talk to Tim McEl	
2. Economic Message Talking Points	SKS Dept Memo to Macinda/O	
3. Ronald Reagan/Orange County Fundraiser Tab E <u>150K</u>	NO	
4. Jim McQua NRA	SKS get him in.	
5. Ken Dorn Unriled/ly	SKS/Call what can POTUS do to help.	
6. Cume Bill Drop this week	Setup	



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

SHOW POTUS
OCF HAS SEEN

March 16, 1992
(House)

STATEMENT OF ADMINISTRATION POLICY

(THIS STATEMENT HAS BEEN COORDINATED BY OMB WITH THE CONCERNED AGENCIES.)

S. 2047 - Establishing a Commission to Commemorate
the Bicentennial of the Establishment of the
Democratic Party of the United States
(Sanford (D) NC and 56 others)

If S. 2047 is presented to the President in its current form, his senior advisers would recommend a veto.

The bill would establish a federally-chartered commission to commemorate the history of one political party. Appointments to the commission would be controlled exclusively by members of that same political party.

It is unclear what authority, if any, exists for this legislation under Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution. In addition, a bill that favors a specific political party in the manner contemplated by S. 2047 raises constitutional issues under the First Amendment. Authorizing a Government commission to publicize or celebrate one party could, for example, lead to activities having the effect of placing the Government's imprimatur on that political party and its current activities.

It also is unclear whether the commission is expected to perform executive functions of the Government. If it is, the bill would be constitutionally suspect because the commission's members would not be appointed pursuant to the Appointments Clause of the Constitution.

The duties of the Commission go beyond the celebration of the bicentennial of the Democratic party. For example, Section 6(2) gives the Commission broad authority to "oversee the planning and development of all events, activities, and studies that are organized to commemorate the establishment and development of political parties in the United States." This authority would apparently extend to wholly private endeavors on behalf of political parties other than the one singled out by S. 2047, and other privately funded and organized studies of the democratic process. In addition to the constitutional issues that this would raise, it is clear that a commission controlled by members of one political party should never be given any sort of Government-sanctioned authority over the activities of other parties or of private citizens interested in the establishment and development of political parties in the United States.

The bill would recognize 1792 as the year in which the Democratic Party was established. That year is not generally recognized as the founding year of the party and the basis for such a claim is questionable. The date many textbooks and historians use is May 1832, when the first Democratic-Republican national convention took place. The name of the party did not evolve until May 6, 1840, during the party's third national convention.

In addition, the Administration is concerned about the expanding role of "soft money" contributions in Federal elections, and the potential for abuses inherent in this bill. S. 2047 would create a mechanism that would in effect lead to the raising and expending of money by one political party in an election year outside the provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act and the implementing regulations of the Federal Election Commission.

* * * * *

(Not to be Distributed Outside Executive Office of the President)

This draft position was developed by LA (Salmon) in consultation with LRD (Ratliff, Jukes), GC (Damus), White House Legislative Affairs (Calio, Howard), White House Counsel (Walden) and Department of Justice (Wise).

S. 2047 was introduced in the Senate by Sanford on November 25, 1991. It passed the Senate by voice vote on November 25, 1991 and transmitted to the House on November 26, 1991.

Administration Position to date

The Administration has not yet taken a position on this bill.

Provisions of S. 2047

S. 2047 would:

- Establish a commission to coordinate ceremonial events during 1992. Duties of the commission would include public education, overseeing events and studies, implementing bicentennial observances, and collecting and disseminating information.
- Allow appointments to the commission (20) to be made by the Senate Majority Leader (4), Speaker (4), Chairman of the Democratic Governors Association (4), Chairman of the Democratic National Committee (2), and (6) others to be determined by the commission.

- Require private source funding and authorize the commission to accept donations of services and property. Upon expiration of the commission, unused donations would be distributed to charity (any 501(c)(3)).
- Acknowledge 1792 as the birthyear of the Democratic Party. (Historical facts and academic research do not support this date. Research done by OMB-LA on the actual birthdate of the Democratic Party reveals 1832 to be the accepted inaugural year of the party.)

PAYGO Scoring

This bill does not involve federal funds and would have no PAYGO effect.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DRAFT
3/16/92 - 6:00 p.m.

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, March 23, 1992



SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. Richard Nixon conversation Tab B		
3. President's signature on military orders Tab C	Not (G.H.W.B.) Send letter	
4. DOD political appointees Tab D	VAN Hipp (Find something)	
5. <u>Tactical Muck</u> <u>Finances</u> Scheduling - Host	Setup Meely 0730 (TUES)	Cathy Super
6. Handwritten scribble		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, March 25, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules		
2. <u>Celint</u> <u>meeting</u> <u>IMPT. / NAFTA</u>	<u>Have Carla</u> <u>update on NAFTA</u> <u>at next cabinet mtg</u>	
3. <u>BARR</u> <u>DRUG Results</u> <u>Digger Phelps</u>	<u>ANNOUNCEMENT</u> ← → <u>WHEN</u>	
4. <u>Compassionate</u> <u>Refugee</u>	<u>Get thoughts</u> <u>from M.O.C.</u> <u>Redman et al.</u> →	
5. <u>Richard</u> <u>Bond</u> →	<u>NO</u> <u>DISCUSSION</u> <u>with Pol. B.</u>	
6. <u>White House</u> <u>Perks</u>]	<u>Report /</u> <u>Budget issues</u> <u>concerning last</u> <u>3 years.</u>	<u>Gary Fuster</u> <u>DICK DRENNAN</u> <u>Tim McBride</u>

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: _____

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <i>Recession Poverty Strategy</i>	<u><i>DICK DARMAN</i></u>	
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

April 1, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR EDE HOLIDAY

FROM: SUSAN SLYE

SUBJECT: AGENDA ITEM FOR NEXT CABINET MEETING

Sam Skinner would appreciate your arranging for Carla Hills to present an update on the North America Free Trade Agreement at the next Cabinet meeting.

Thank you.

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, March 24, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2. Habeas corpus reform legislation Tab A	Setup meeting Crime Bill	4 PM - Tuesday Bar, Danner yesterday, Calio
3. OPIC film promoting jobs Tab B	Shower Potus	OK! Potus Kelly Super to implement
4. Bank mergers Tab C		
5. Credit Crunch	Setup Meeting Burg	
6. Gathering of Eagles invitation Tab D		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: 3/24

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <u>Frederick's TRUST</u>	5 - Events 12 - Events (Big Reals)	Note - Ko Super
2. <u>Morton</u> Kondrader Article		
3. <u>DONUTS</u> Luben Potts Leo Photo P.	Nick + Ed + DIM M.	
4. <u>N.A. AG's</u> Speck	Ben/INPS	
5. <u>Lenses</u> context	Wale see log?	
6. <u>LUSAN</u>	(Ed) when in meeting	

James
Armstrong

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, March 26, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules		
2. Presidential meeting with North American members of Business Council for Sustainable Development Tab A		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, March 30, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules		
2. Vietnam Veterans Proclamation Tab B		To Phil Bradley to draft ltr.
3. Proposed calendar Tab C		
4. Office of Government Ethics report Tab D		B. Gray memo to President prepared
5. Japan auto parts Tab E		To Clayton "How do we get credit"
6. Spotted owl/timber Dispute Tab F		To Clayton "Stay on Top of This"

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, March 30, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. F.C.C. SEC. DOT / FAA		
2. <u>STAFF</u> Growth Allegation	<u>See: McBride</u>	
3. Bush-Quayle Fundraiser dates Tab G		
4. Fetal TISSUE ISSUE	SAP	
5.		
6.		

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, March 31, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules		
2. Vietnam Veterans proclamation Tab A	Have Phil Send letter to Bar Michael	
3. Japan auto parts Tab B	Done	
4. Timber/spotted owl disputes Tab C	Done Dis/ POTUS	
5. Summer olympics delegation Tab D	done given to POTUS	
6. Proposed executive order on executive branch contacts Tab E	DIS/ POTUS no decision made	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: _____

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Max Fischer	inside SKS call him	
2. LAMAR		
3. Regional + Daily Coverage		
4. Announcement used + Seal D. Phelps	D. Phelps	
5. Burden Guy Somer	Bill Ben	
6. Perl Press Room	Have Bill Kinstal	

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, April 1, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
2. American beer distribution in Canada	<i>"file"</i>	_____
Tab A	_____	_____
	_____	_____
3. RGDarman memo to agencies re "budget savings"	<i>approved + sent</i>	_____
Tab B	<i>to Darman</i>	_____
	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, April 2, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
2. National Medal of the Arts Tab A	_____ _____ _____	<u>moved to 4/3</u> _____ _____ _____
3. Executive Order on logging of Congressional Contacts Tab B	_____ _____ _____	<u>moved to 4/3</u> _____ _____ _____
4.	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
5.	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
6.	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____