

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):  
1999-0277-F

FOIA Number:  
S

# FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential Library Staff.**

---

**Record Group/Collection:** George H.W. Bush Presidential Records  
**Collection/Office of Origin:** Chief of Staff, White House Office of  
**Series:** Skinner, Sam, Files  
**Subseries:**

---

**OA/ID Number:** 40921  
**Folder ID Number:** 40921-004

---

**Folder Title:**  
Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [1]

---

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
<b>G</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

---

## Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet (George Bush Library)

Doc. No. / Type	Subject/Title	Date	Restriction	Classification
01. Memorandum	From Carla Hills to Samuel K. Skinner Re: Possible Textile Industry Offer on NAFTA and the Uruguay Round (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(1)	
02. Memorandum	From Clayton Yeutter to Sam Skinner Re: Reagan office retainer [2 copies] [Open Upon Deed of Gift - March 16, 2015] (2 pp.)	12/20/91	<del>PRM</del>	
03. Memorandum	From Robert Teeter to Sam Skinner Re: Maryland Chairmanship [Open Upon Deed of Gift - March 16, 2015] (1 pp.)	01/09/92	<del>PRM</del>	

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the  
**Series:** Skinner, Samuel  
**Subseries:**  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [1 of 5]

**Pinksheet Number:** KO0486  
**OA/ID Number:** ~~05826~~ 40921-004  
**Date Closed:** 11/26/2004  
**FOIA/Sys Case #:** 1999-0277-F  
**Re-review Case #:** 2005-0368-S  
**P-2/P-5 Review Case #:**

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Wednesday, December 18, 1991

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Wednesday and Thursday schedules	_____	_____
2. Kemp -- weekend show	_____	_____
3. Scowcroft	_____	_____
4. JHS -- Cabinet rank? EPC/DPC meetings?	yes yes	_____
5. Schwarzkopf -- DoEd request for POTUS to make call  TAB A	SKS will call him after SKS talks to Gen Powell	_____
6. POTUS meetings with Cabinet officers	OK Setup 2 or 3 at a time one lunch 1 or 30min	Ede Seema

quantity

DRAFT

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

Sam -  
This proposal  
reflects  
Lamar Alexander's  
request -  
D Thx 1991  
Ede

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

De 1991

TO: KATHY SUPER  
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR  
APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM: EDE HOLIDAY  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT  
AND SECRETARY OF THE CABINET

REQUEST: For the President to telephone General Norman Schwarzkopf to discuss participation in the New American Schools Development Corporation.

BACKGROUND: Tom Kean, Chairman of the New American Schools Development Corporation, Paul Tagliabue, Commissioner of the NFL, and Deputy Secretary David Kearns have all spoken to General Schwarzkopf, asking him to serve as Chairman of the New American Schools Leadership Council. Schwarzkopf has expressed interest and is now reviewing background material.

The purpose of the leadership council and its chairman would be to galvanize national support for the New American Schools Development Corporation and stimulate financial commitments to the project. The leadership council would allow American leaders such as Schwarzkopf to be part of the America 2000 effort without having to serve on the New American Schools Development Corporation Board. The President announced the formation of the New American Schools Development Corporation last spring at the White House.

In a phone call, the President could encourage Schwarzkopf to accept this invitation to serve as chairman. The President could also convey his own commitment to America 2000 and the New American Schools project.

DATE  
AND TIME: TBD

LOCATION: The Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS: TBD

OUTLINE  
OF EVENTS:

The President will call General Schwarzkopf to discuss the New American Schools Leadership Council. If desired, Tom Kean could join the President for the phone conversation.

REMARKS:

Talking points to be provided by Cabinet Affairs.

MEDIA  
COVERAGE:

White House photographer only.

PROJECT  
OFFICER:

Daniel Casse (x2800)

DRAFT

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

COPY FOR THE PRESIDENT

December 17, 1991

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO: KATHY SUPER  
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR  
APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM: EDE HOLIDAY  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT  
AND SECRETARY OF THE CABINET

REQUEST: For the President to telephone General Norman Schwarzkopf to discuss participation in the New American Schools Development Corporation.

BACKGROUND: Tom Kean, Chairman of the New American Schools Development Corporation, Paul Tagliabue, Commissioner of the NFL, and Deputy Secretary David Kearns have all spoken to General Schwarzkopf, asking him to serve as Chairman of the New American Schools Leadership Council. Schwarzkopf has expressed interest and is now reviewing background material.

The purpose of the leadership council and its chairman would be to galvanize national support for the New American Schools Development Corporation and stimulate financial commitments to the project. The leadership council would allow American leaders such as Schwarzkopf to be part of the America 2000 effort without having to serve on the New American Schools Development Corporation Board. The President announced the formation of the New American Schools Development Corporation last spring at the White House.

In a phone call, the President could encourage Schwarzkopf to accept this invitation to serve as chairman. The President could also convey his own commitment to America 2000 and the New American Schools project.

DATE  
AND TIME: TBD

LOCATION: The Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS: TBD

OUTLINE

OF EVENTS:           The President will call General Schwarzkopf to discuss the New American Schools Leadership Council. If desired, Tom Kean could join the President for the phone conversation.

REMARKS:             Talking points to be provided by Cabinet Affairs.

MEDIA

COVERAGE:           White House photographer only.

PROJECT

OFFICER:             Daniel Casse (x2800)

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, December 17, 1991

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules	_____	_____
<del>2. Sara Circle and weekend trips</del>	_____	_____
3. //	_____	_____
4. <u>Update</u> <u>Credit Card</u> BRADY, Robson Boskin	_____	_____
5. Add Escarpment to Economic Brief	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, December 16, 1991

SUBJECT	<del>AS</del> SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules  TAB A	_____	_____
2. Department of Justice Issues  TAB A	_____	_____
3. <u>Debates.</u> <u>No Commit</u> <u>Deal made</u> <u>by W.H.</u>	_____	_____
4. <u>Personnel</u> <u>Pay CUT</u>	_____	_____
5. <u>Bob</u> <u>Mosbacher</u> <u>Bulow Franklin</u>	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____

12/14/91

DISCUSS WITH THE PRESIDENT DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ISSUES.

Bill BARR  
ISSUES

- ① Deputy / Associate - POTUS  
Lumpkin / Thompson  
Baker
- ② Denton Leuther - Renne
- ③ FBI - Sessions - Empty Seat  
Replee  
Anastasia
- ④ DSA (FBI) - (Meyer)
- ④A JUDICIARY Committee - Rewe Dates
- ⑤ Crime Program - Leadership - SOU
- ⑥ Civil Rights - ? Ni. Position [Lagler]
- ⑦ Coord. of Justice Initiatives  
RE: (Coord / Program)  
POTUS
- ⑧ Budget - 800 m Shafer
- ⑨ 3 - AAG  
1 - DC US Atty  
1 - INS

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thurs, Dec. 26 91

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review schedule	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
2. VP's Competitive- ness Council	Tab A <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
3. Greenspan/Economy	Tab B <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
4.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
5.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
6.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, December 23, 1991

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<del>2. Steelman Report</del>	Tab A  <i>See to POTUS</i>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<del>3. STAA Coverage</del>	Tab B  <i>See to POTUS</i>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
4. POTUS Christmas Address	Tab C <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
5.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
6.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, December 20, 1991

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Friday and Weekend schedules	_____	_____
<del>2. Udall Bill 4 votes opposed</del>	_____	_____
<del>3. <del>Kennedy</del></del>	<u>See Brent S</u>	_____
4. Dick Allen <del>Conservator</del>	_____	_____
<del>5. Jesse Helms Support POWs</del>	<del>Call Jesse Helms</del>	_____
<del>6. <del>Supp/Doc</del></del>	_____	_____

Regulus

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, December 19, 1991

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday schedules		
2. REVIEW ITEMS FROM YESTERDAY'S DISCUSSION BOOK		
3. Weekend activities for POTUS (movie, visit to Children's Hospital)	NO	
4. <del>CBS - opposed</del> <del>Rather watch</del> <del>TRANS. Bill</del>		
5. <del>BARBARA</del> <del>Stay above the fray</del>		
6. <del>Welfare Reform</del> <del>workfare</del>	Rona Porter	

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <del>Bobbie Hall yetter Ben Franklin</del>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
2.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
3.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
4.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
5.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
6.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Sun., Dec. 29 91

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Cabinet Correspondence	Tab A	
2. Magic Johnson Swearing In	Tab B	
3. Pending Appointment Requests: Howard Baker & Grodon Humphrey's Request for 2 Rabbis	Tab C <del>YES</del> VETERANS COMM. BALKANY — FBI check	
4.		
5.		
6.		



**NATIONAL COMMISSION ON ACQUIRED IMMUNE DEFICIENCY SYNDROME**

1730 K Street, N.W., Suite 815  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 254-5125 [FAX] 254-3060 TDD 254-3816

**CHAIRMAN**

*June E. Osborn, M.D.*

December 20, 1991

**MEMBERS**

*Diane Ahrens*

*Scott Allen*

*Hon. Richard B. Cheney*

*Harlon L. Dalton, Esq.*

*Don C. Des Jarlais, Ph. D.*

*Hon. Edward J. Derwinski*

*Eunice Diaz, M.S., M.P.H.*

*Donald S. Goldman, Esq.*

*Larry Kessler*

*Charles Konigsberg, M.D., M.P.H.*

*David E. Rogers, M.D.*

*Hon. J. Roy Rowland, M.D.*

*Hon. Louis W. Sullivan, M.D.*

**Burton J. Lee III, M.D.**  
**Physician to the President**  
**The White House**  
**Washington, D.C. 20500**

**Dear Burton:**

As we discussed on Thursday, Magic Johnson will be in Washington on January 13th to attend a dinner for the Commissioners before their hearing on the 14th. You may wish to take up the question of whether the President, or someone representing him, would like to swear Mr. Johnson in at the White House on the 13th. The attached letter from the President to Mr. Johnson raises such a possibility.

I enjoyed our conversation over lunch and look forward to other such occasions in the future. Best wishes for the holidays.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

*Roy Widdus, Ph.D.*

Regards,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Roy Widdus".

**Roy Widdus, Ph.D.**  
**Executive Director**

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 13, 1991

Dear Magic:

There has been a lot of speculation about your joining the National AIDS Commission. I know that you have been asked to serve.

This letter is simply to say that I personally hope you will do this. The Commission is high-level and has a wonderful group of informed and influential members. There is genuine enthusiasm in all quarters for your undertaking this service.

I want to add, however, that I know you are being besieged with requests from all quarters -- a tribute not only to your achievement in life but to the way you have come forward on this issue. But please do not feel under any pressure to undertake this assignment if it conflicts with other things you want to do. I do not want you to feel that you are being used in any way. I do want you to know on a very personal basis that I respect you, admire you, and hope that you will be able to join the Commission. There will be times, perhaps, when the Commission and the President are not in total agreement. So be it! You will be a free and independent voice working with an outstanding group of leaders if you decide to accept.

We need you but, of course, my respect and admiration will in no way be diminished by whatever you decide.



If you decide to do this, I hereby invite you to the White House where we could make the formal announcement. I believe that will help the education process needed nationwide.

In either event, Barbara and I send you our warmest best wishes and our family love and respect.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Earl Warren".

Mr. Earvin "Magic" Johnson  
Los Angeles Lakers  
Great Western Forum  
Post Office Box 10  
Inglewood, California 90308

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, January 14, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules  TAB A	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
2. NASA Deputy Administrator  TAB A	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
3. Memo from Amb. Hills  TAB B ✓	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
4. Winter Olympics  TAB C ✓	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
5. Ted Williams surgery  TAB D ✓	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
<del>6. Reevaluates Spandy Caps for S/O/U</del>	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, January 14, 1992 -- p.m. meeting

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
<del>1. Dick Kerr TAB A</del>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<del>2. Ch. 9 Alive at 5 on Wednesday in NH -- stand-up</del>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
3. <u>Health Semy</u> <u>Vulnerability to</u> <u>Seniors</u>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
4.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
5.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
6.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## (George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Memorandum	From Carla Hills to Samuel K. Skinner Re: Possible Textile Industry Offer on NAFTA and the Uruguay Round (1 pp.)	n.d.	(b)(1)	

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the  
**Series:** Skinner, Samuel  
**Subseries:**  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** Discussion Items for Chief of Staff [1 of 5]

<b>Date Closed:</b>	11/26/2004	<b>OA/ID Number:</b>	05826
<b>FOIA/SYS Case #:</b>	1999-0277-F	<b>Appeal Case #:</b>	
<b>Re-review Case #:</b>	2005-0368-S	<b>Appeal Disposition:</b>	
<b>P-2/P-5 Review Case #:</b>		<b>Disposition Date:</b>	
<b>AR Case #:</b>		<b>MR Case #:</b>	
<b>AR Disposition:</b>		<b>MR Disposition:</b>	
<b>AR Disposition Date:</b>		<b>MR Disposition Date:</b>	

### RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- (b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 13, 1992

*POTOS  
will sent  
back,*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

*CH*  
CONSTANCE HORNER  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT  
AND DIRECTOR OF PRESIDENTIAL PERSONNEL

SUBJECT:

WINTER OLYMPICS DELEGATION  
February 7-11, 1992

*AD*  
Before you left on the trip, you sent back a "Let's discuss" note on the Winter Olympics delegation. Here's an update.

The Vice President will be attending the Opening Ceremonies on February 8, 1992. The delegation (Doro as Head of Delegation) is expected to merge with the Vice President's schedule for the afternoon that he is in Albertville, and then remain for an additional two days.

Per our usual routine, we've checked to see if some of the people whose names we'd sent you before (see attached) would be interested in being put on a list for consideration (no assurances). Os Day, Jimmie Heuga, and Dorothy Hammill are available. Mark Johnson, former hockey player with the 1980 U.S. Olympic Team, will let us know if he's available today. We haven't tried to talk to the others (Will Farrish, Joe Gibbs, Tom Corcoran), pending hearing from you. Is there any other information we can provide?

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

December 27, 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: CONNIE HORNER *CH*  
SUBJECT: Winter Olympics -- Further Information

You asked for further information on Albertville. It's in the south of France, about an hour and a half from Lyons, where the plane will land. Although the Olympics last from February 8 to 24, the delegation will be there for only three days. State is waiting to see when most other high-level delegations will be there before deciding which three days. But the most likely dates are the 20th - 23rd. Travel will be by C-20, with a maximum of six delegates.

I've talked with Doro, who is, as you indicated, happy to head up the delegation. We will shortly provide you a final full list to consider.

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

21 DEC 23 A9:43

December 23, 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: CONSTANCE HORNER

SUBJECT: Winter Olympics Delegation - Preliminary Inquiry

Dorrance, Ede and I have come up with some names for you to take a look at for the Winter Olympics delegation to Albertville. We would like to get your reactions and suggestions before formally proposing a delegation to you. According to the State Department, we may send a maximum of six members.

Here are some ideas:

Doro LeBlond, to head the delegation.

Osborne Day, who was part of the Army's 10th Mountain (Ski) Division in World War II. The Division still gets together for reunions, especially during Winter Olympics; it produced a number of post-war Olympic skiers and ski resort developers; and it is friendly with its war-time Italian and German counterparts.

Mark Johnson, center for the 1980 U.S. Hockey Team. He was the leading scorer on the team and had two goals in the game against the Soviet Union. His father, Bob Johnson, former coach of the Pittsburgh Penguins and well-known hockey figure, has just died.

James Heuga, 1964 (Innsbruck) Bronze Medal winner for Men's Grand Slalom. He was diagnosed with multiple sclerosis in 1968 and is now Chair of the Medical Advisory Board for the National MS Society. He is originally from California and now lives in Colorado.

Tom Corcoran, who is currently President of the Waterville Valley ski resort in New Hampshire. He placed 4th in the Squaw Valley (1960) Men's Giant Slalom and has received a volunteerism award from the U.S. Ski Association. Governor Sununu recommended his name.

Dorothy Hamill

Will Farrish

Joe Gibbs

Any thoughts?

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, January 10, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday's schedule		
2. Yeutter Memo re RR TAB A	POTUS informed SKS to Admin Yeutter of POTUS Center	Call <u>Clay</u> Yeutter
3. Memo re Sununu recusal TAB B	See John today	<u>Kinda</u> I will go see him this P.M. FIND TIME
4. Memo from Teeter re Maryland Chairmanship TAB C		Call Super Setup 15 min
5. Yeutter note TAB D	No Action	No Action
6. NASA DepAdmin -- Erin Cohen	1	

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, January 10, 1992 -- p. 2

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
7. x. <u>Slide -</u> Garter letter	get Slide Garter letter Hemul / Porus	LINDA ←
8. x. Teeter <u>N.H. TRIP</u>	ASK Teeter if he is going on N.H. TRIP? + Tom Snow. if Teeter is yes	Loc. - Ask ← ✓
9. x.	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
10. xx	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
5.	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
6.	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____



Republican  
National  
Committee

Clayton Yeutter  
Chairman

LINDA  
I need to RUN  
to POTUS BY

DCF  
1/2

December 20, 1991

*file*

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO: SECRETARY SAM SKINNER  
CHIEF OF STAFF  
THE WHITE HOUSE**

**FROM: CLAYTON YEUTTER**

Sam, here's one for you to run by the President when you both have a free minute.

Since the end of the Reagan Administration, we have supplemented President Reagan's California office account at a rate of \$150,000 per year. That arrangement was, of course, made before I came here by Frank Fahrenkopf at his last RNC Budget Committee meeting. There are no written documents on this, and apparently no agreement as to how long the arrangement would last.

The intent was to provide funds to augment the President's staff to accommodate political activities, of which there have been very, very few. It seems incongruous to be making a payment of this magnitude in lieu of building up staff support for the forthcoming campaign.

In the interest of austerity and good management, I'd like to cut the retainer in half for 1992, unless there are reservations on your part, or on President Bush's part. Stated another way, if President Reagan were to object vehemently to the reduction, it would not be surprising if he were to call President Bush about it.

I'll appreciate any guidance you have to offer.

# BUSH 92 QUAYLE

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

---


---

January 9, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

FROM:

ROBERT TEETER




SUBJECT:

MARYLAND CHAIRMANSHIP

As you know, we've been talking to Helen Bentley about being the Bush-Quayle chairman in Maryland. It is important that she do this because apparently she is the only one who can unify the state politically and put a statewide Bush organization together, and it appears Maryland may be the second state after New Hampshire where Buchanan makes a real run at us. He has filed slates in every district in the state.

However, she is unhappy with the President's trade position, and unwilling to accept the chairmanship until she has a chance to meet with the President to "talk to him about trade".

She has agreed, between now and when she might see the President, to help us begin to organize the state but is not willing to accept, nor to let us announce her as chairman. She is important to us, and it is probably worth ten or fifteen minutes of the President's time. Could you schedule such a meeting sometime in the next week or ten days? Thanks.





Republican  
National  
Committee

Clayton Yeutter  
Chairman

Clinton  
I need to RUN  
to ↑ BY  
POTUS

DCF  
1/2

December 20, 1991

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO: SECRETARY SAM SKINNER  
CHIEF OF STAFF  
THE WHITE HOUSE**

**FROM: CLAYTON YEUTTER** 

Sam, here's one for you to **run by the President** when you both have a free minute.

Since the end of the Reagan Administration, we have supplemented President Reagan's California office account at a rate of \$150,000 per year. That arrangement was, of course, made before I came here by Frank Fahrenkopf at his last RNC Budget Committee meeting. There are no written documents on this, and apparently no agreement as to how long the arrangement would last.

The intent was to provide funds to augment the President's staff to accommodate political activities, of which there have been very, very few. It seems incongruous to be making a payment of this magnitude in lieu of building up staff support for the forthcoming campaign.

In the interest of austerity and good management, I'd like to cut the retainer in half for 1992, unless there are reservations on your part, or on President Bush's part. Stated another way, if President Reagan were to object vehemently to the reduction, it would not be surprising if he were to call President Bush about it.

I'll appreciate any guidance you have to offer.

DCF  
1/7

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

January 6, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL K. SKINNER  
CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: C. BOYDEN GRAY *CBG*  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Governor Sununu's recusals

1. My office has been advising Governor Sununu on the standards of conduct that govern his pursuit of future employment. Governor Sununu has executed a broad recusal statement (attached) that enables him freely to engage in employment discussions with a variety of persons and entities without fear of violating ethical standards. As you can see, Governor Sununu's participation in White House deliberations is substantially circumscribed by this recusal. Under the recusal, Governor Sununu remains free to provide political, rhetorical and stylistic advice and comments on the State of the Union address.

Two other matters deserve special consideration.

2. Governor Sununu has recently commenced or will soon commence employment discussions with the Cable News Network (CNN). He expects to be asked to appear on one or more CNN programs, such as "Crossfire," either as a guest or guest-host, as a kind of audition. In his broad recusal statement, Governor Sununu has disqualified himself from participating in any White House actions or deliberations involving or having a direct and predictable effect on CNN, so he could not appear on any CNN program in his official White House capacity. As a legal matter, he could appear on CNN in his personal capacity. Governor Sununu has indicated that he would not agree to appear on CNN until after the State of the Union address and that CNN or he would issue a prominent disclaimer on any program to notify the audience that he was appearing in his personal capacity. In addition, he believes (and we agree) that serving as a guest-host, rather than as a guest, is more consistent with a personal rather than official appearance, because guests are considered to represent the interests and advocate the positions of their employer or principal.

However, because even after the State of the Union address Governor Sununu will remain a senior advisor to the President, there is a risk that many will nonetheless regard any opinion expressed by Governor Sununu on such a program as the Administration position. Moreover, any difference in opinion

between the President or the Administration and Governor Sununu perceived by the audience may be a source of embarrassment to the President. These risks can be minimized by selecting subject matters on which the President or Administration has not or is not expected to take a position.

8 // In our view, whether Governor Sununu may appear on a CNN program before he leaves the White House is a matter for you or the President to determine.

3. Governor Sununu is also planning on participating in a global warming symposium at MIT on February 14. Apparently, a number of White House and Administration officials have been invited and are expected to attend in their official capacity. It is doubtful that global warming is a "particular matter" within the meaning of Section 208, so that Governor Sununu could participate officially at this symposium consistent with his broad recusal statement. However, it appears that both MIT and Governor Sununu contemplate that he will participate in his personal capacity because of his interest in global warming, not in his White House capacity. Appearing in his personal capacity raises policy concerns similar to those raised by appearing on "Crossfire."

8 I am available to discuss any of these matters with you at your convenience.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 6, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR C. BOYDEN GRAY  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: GOVERNOR SUNUNU  
COUNSELOR TO THE PRESIDENT



SUBJECT: Recusal

As you know, I have begun to consider a number of options for future employment after I leave the White House this year. In order to pursue employment opportunities freely while strictly observing the requirements of 18 U.S.C. 208 and applicable standards of conduct concerning seeking future employment, I am hereby recusing myself from participating in my official White House capacity in any particular matter having a direct and predictable effect on the financial interests of entities involved in the following sectors: energy, the environment, transportation, real estate, banking, communications, and international trade. I understand that the term particular matter may include legislation, regulations or policy discussions that are focused on the interests of a discrete and identifiable class of entities.

Further, I will recuse myself from participating in any particular matter involving or having a direct and predictable effect on the financial interests of any specific entity, not covered by the industrial sectors listed above, with whom I am contemplating employment. My office will notify you of these specific entities.

This memorandum will serve as notice to my staff to ensure that particular matters from which I am recused are not brought to my attention. Any questions concerning the scope of this recusal will be referred promptly to your office.


*From the Desk of*  
**CLAYTON YEUTTER**  
Chairman  
Republican National Committee

Jan. 8, 1992

1/9  
DCT  
Show  
Potus  
file

To Sam Skinner

Sam, when you need a morale booster for the President,  
here's a good one to hand him.



Copy: C. Yeutter

JEANIE AUSTIN  
CO-CHAIR. REPUBLICAN  
NATIONAL COMMITTEE  
310 FIRST STREET SE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003

Billie Beamer,  
700 Richards Street, apt. 1408  
Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813  
tel. 808 521-2256  
December 22, 1991

Dear Jeanie Austin,

I apologize for not writing earlier. Regarding the President and Mrs. Bush's visit to Hawaii for the December 7th ceremonies, I and my friends watched very closely, particularly on television these are our responses:

1. the president was exemplary
2. no showmanship of anyone can ever top his sincerity, he left the media, pro demos. in this state, swallowing their tongues.
3. he stole the hearts of every feeling individual in Hawaii and there are many of us who cried along with him.
4. no one dared criticize him... who needs to be a great orator when he shares his heart tearing with compassion and empathy...that kind of language is universal.
5. he and Mrs. bush drew quiet grateful raves for their genuine warmth in reaching out to people, embracing them and being guileless and apolitical on these human occasions.
6. the secret service was wonderful in keeping the vultures and scavengers away from him for the sake of their own publicity.
7. the papers were forced to acknowledge how outstanding they were...their demeanor was dignified and sympathetic, the epitome of noblesse oblige.
8. there is no need to respond but thought you should know what the response was from an overwhelming majority of the people. He was our President, hurting along with all of the Americans and not only the Hawaiian citizen who lost their loved ones.
9. His treatment of regrets regarding the interning of the Japanese was just enough without dwelling on the unfortunate decision made by others.
10. I was very proud of our President and our First Lady.

Thoughtful Holidays to you all...If you need more information I shall be happy to offer research time.

Billie Beamer  
700 Richards, 1408  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
808-521-2256



DCF  
1/7

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

January 6, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR SAMUEL K. SKINNER  
CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: C. BOYDEN GRAY *cm*  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Governor Sununu's recusals

1. My office has been advising Governor Sununu on the standards of conduct that govern his pursuit of future employment. Governor Sununu has executed a broad recusal statement (attached) that enables him freely to engage in employment discussions with a variety of persons and entities without fear of violating ethical standards. As you can see, Governor Sununu's participation in White House deliberations is substantially circumscribed by this recusal. Under the recusal, Governor Sununu remains free to provide political, rhetorical and stylistic advice and comments on the State of the Union address.

Two other matters deserve special consideration.

2. Governor Sununu has recently commenced or will soon commence employment discussions with the Cable News Network (CNN). He expects to be asked to appear on one or more CNN programs, such as "Crossfire," either as a guest or guest-host, as a kind of audition. In his broad recusal statement, Governor Sununu has disqualified himself from participating in any White House actions or deliberations involving or having a direct and predictable effect on CNN, so he could not appear on any CNN program in his official White House capacity. As a legal matter, he could appear on CNN in his personal capacity. Governor Sununu has indicated that he would not agree to appear on CNN until after the State of the Union address and that CNN or he would issue a prominent disclaimer on any program to notify the audience that he was appearing in his personal capacity. In addition, he believes (and we agree) that serving as a guest-host, rather than as a guest, is more consistent with a personal rather than official appearance, because guests are considered to represent the interests and advocate the positions of their employer or principal.

However, because even after the State of the Union address Governor Sununu will remain a senior advisor to the President, there is a risk that many will nonetheless regard any opinion expressed by Governor Sununu on such a program as the Administration position. Moreover, any difference in opinion

between the President or the Administration and Governor Sununu perceived by the audience may be a source of embarrassment to the President. These risks can be minimized by selecting subject matters on which the President or Administration has not or is not expected to take a position.

A // In our view, whether Governor Sununu may appear on a CNN program before he leaves the White House is a matter for you or the President to determine.

3. Governor Sununu is also planning on participating in a global warming symposium at MIT on February 14. Apparently, a number of White House and Administration officials have been invited and are expected to attend in their official capacity. It is doubtful that global warming is a "particular matter" within the meaning of Section 208, so that Governor Sununu could participate officially at this symposium consistent with his broad recusal statement. However, it appears that both MIT and Governor Sununu contemplate that he will participate in his personal capacity because of his interest in global warming, not in his White House capacity. Appearing in his personal capacity raises policy concerns similar to those raised by appearing on "Crossfire."

I am available to discuss any of these matters with you at your convenience.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 6, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR C. BOYDEN GRAY  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: GOVERNOR SUNUNU  
COUNSELOR TO THE PRESIDENT



SUBJECT: Recusal

As you know, I have begun to consider a number of options for future employment after I leave the White House this year. In order to pursue employment opportunities freely while strictly observing the requirements of 18 U.S.C. 208 and applicable standards of conduct concerning seeking future employment, I am hereby recusing myself from participating in my official White House capacity in any particular matter having a direct and predictable effect on the financial interests of entities involved in the following sectors: energy, the environment, transportation, real estate, banking, communications, and international trade. I understand that the term particular matter may include legislation, regulations or policy discussions that are focused on the interests of a discrete and identifiable class of entities.

Further, I will recuse myself from participating in any particular matter involving or having a direct and predictable effect on the financial interests of any specific entity, not covered by the industrial sectors listed above, with whom I am contemplating employment. My office will notify you of these specific entities.

This memorandum will serve as notice to my staff to ensure that particular matters from which I am recused are not brought to my attention. Any questions concerning the scope of this recusal will be referred promptly to your office.

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, January 16, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Thursday and Friday Schedules	<u>OK</u>	
2. Cabinet Department Policy Cards  TAB A	<u>OK</u>	
<del>3. Replacement for Dick Kerr  TAB B</del>		
4. <del>Reception Simpson - Reserve</del>	<del>Last Day Reception / W.H. Des.</del>	
5. Clear Press Contacts / Mail	Check + Neffman Party	
6. <del>Letter to Boone, C.W., et al re: Gas Vehicle in W.H. Floor 2nd ABC TV Spot</del>		

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. USTR Computer Agent	Memo to Sde/Podler	
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Thursday, January 16 -- p.m.

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Newspaper columns  TAB A	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
2.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
3.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
4.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
5.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
6.	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

# The Recovery Is Coming

When he became chairman of the Federal Reserve, Alan Greenspan was chided about being too candid. He quickly reformed. "I have learned to mumble with great incoherence," he quipped to one group. "If I seem unduly clear to you, you must have misunderstood what I said." Well, times have changed. Greenspan isn't mumbling anymore. His message is loud and clear: The Fed is urgently trying to revive the economy with lower interest rates.

Economic gloom is now so thick that the Fed's new offensive is already dismissed as a failure. In December, the unemployment rate rose from 6.9 percent to 7.1 percent. Lower interest rates aren't enough, it's said. (Late last month, the Fed cut its discount rate from 4.5 percent to 3.5 percent.) This verdict is wrong. The stimulative effects of lower rates permeate the economy. They make housing more affordable, increase exports (by lowering the dollar's exchange rate) and lighten existing debt burdens.

These expansionary forces are strengthening. Consider:

- Housing is more affordable than at any time since 1974. A family with a roughly average income would now pay about 20 percent of its income to meet monthly mortgage payments on a median-priced existing home, reports the National Association of Realtors. That cost was 23 percent in 1989 and 26 percent in 1985. More affordable housing should spur new construction. In 1992, home starts will rise about 20 percent from their depressed 1991 level of 1 million, predicts the Mortgage Bankers Association.

- Recent declines in the dollar's exchange rate should give exports—which have held up well—another boost. Since mid-1991, the dollar has dropped about 14 percent against the German mark and about 11 percent against the yen. Strong exports are apparently one reason why spending on new industrial equipment has remained fairly strong. It dropped only 5 percent from its peak level in 1990 compared with declines of 13 percent in the 1981-82 recession and 14 percent in the 1974-75 slump.

- Lower consumer debt burdens should lead to greater current spending. Initially, consumers repaid old loans. But reductions will now also reflect the effect of lower rates on adjustable-rate mortgages (about 25 percent of the total), home-equity loans and the refinancing of fixed-rate mortgages. There's been a stampede to refinance. In 1991 and 1992, refinancings should total \$334 billion, predicts the Federal National Mortgage Association. On a \$75,000 mortgage, the savings between a 10 percent and an 8 percent interest rate total about \$1,300 annually.

Given today's pessimism, these favorable omens won't be taken as real until they produce a visible recovery. That could be in a few months—or much later in 1992. Now, we have an economic standoff. The forces that led the economy in the 1980s (a consumption boom, strong home building and a binge in commercial real estate construction) are weak. Their retreat has neutralized the emerging growth sectors (exports and industrial investment).

Adjustments take time. Between 1982 and 1989, consumers let personal-debt service—monthly payments on mortgages and consumer loans—rise from 11 percent to 13.6 percent of disposable income, reports Susan Sterne of Economic Analysis Associates of Stowe, Vt. That's roughly a 25 percent increase and a record. Recent debt reductions have reversed two-fifths of the increase, bringing debt burdens back to late-1987 levels.

Part of the consumer borrowing and buying boom reflected demographics. The core of the baby boom—people from their late twenties to early forties—were having children and stocking new homes. The aging of this group would naturally dampen consumption in the 1990s, argues consultant David Wolfe of Reston, Va. People have already bought major appliances. They'll save more for their children's college bills and their own retirement.

But something else also powered the borrowing and consumption splurge. In the 1980s, people remained gripped by inflationary psychology, contends economist Robert Barbera of Shearson Lehman Brothers. They thought they could repay new loans in much cheaper dollars—despite the fact that inflation had dropped sharply from the 1970s' levels. That was a bad bet, says Barbera.

To control inflation, the Fed kept "real" interest rates at near-record levels. ("Real" rates refer to the actual rate minus inflation.) Consider. In the 1980s, real rates on one-month Treasury bills averaged about 3.3 percent. In the 1950s and 1960s, these rates averaged between zero percent and 1.6 percent. In the 1970s, they were often negative. (Indeed, the resulting explosion of money and credit worsened inflation.) To Barbera, we are now experiencing a profound shift in attitudes toward inflation and debt. People will borrow less and expect lower inflation. If he's right, then real interest rates may drop in the 1990s. That would spur investment and keep the dollar low.

What does all this portend for 1992? We are still suffering layoffs from industries that over-expanded in the 1980s. Last week, for example, Woolworth said it might close or sell 900 stores. Job insecurity has hurt consumer spending. Even so, both Barbera and Sterne (who were pessimistic about the economy in 1991) think an unmistakable recovery should emerge by at least summer. Barbera thinks growth could hit 5 percent (at an annual rate) for a few quarters before settling back to 2.5 percent to 3 percent in 1993.

Every business cycle is different. Uncertainty remains rampant. Foreign markets could weaken (a negative). Local taxes could rise again (also negative). But higher stock prices and lower oil prices are positive. Perhaps, as some economists think, the Fed will have to cut rates again to generate a recovery. That's not the point. The point is that the Fed isn't powerless. Its tools are crude, but they work.

You have to remember that the economy isn't like a zippy sports car that turns on a dime. It's more like a lumbering truck. Once it starts, it's hard to stop. Once it stops, it's hard to start. It has a lot of unmeasurable inertia. People who don't grasp the inexactness of all this are constantly misled. The loose talk of the late 1980s was how the expansion would continue forever. The loose talk now is how recovery will never come. The loose talk was wrong then—and is wrong now.

© 1992, Newsweek Inc.

THE WASHINGTON POST

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 15, 1992

# Why the Bundesbank Raised Rates

By ROLAND LEUSCHEL

When Germany's central bank raised its key interest rates on Dec. 19, it was to their highest levels since 1931. The Bundesbank increased its discount rate, the cheapest rate at which banks can borrow, to 8% and its emergency overnight Lombard rate, the effective ceiling on overnight rates, to 9.77%. The Bundesbank's move forced most of Germany's European partners to take similar action, despite the bleak economic environment.

The U.S., of course, was a different story. The day after the Bundesbank increases, the Federal Reserve decided to lower its discount rate by no less than a full percentage point to 3.5%, its lowest level since 1964. This was the largest single cut in the rate since 1934, and it had been preceded by five others in 1991. The U.S. discount rate is now 50% below its 1989 peak.

## Divergent Policies

How to explain such divergent economic policies? The current picture of the major economies is rather mixed, to say the least. In the U.S., Britain, Canada and Australia, the recession has taken its toll. In all four countries real gross domestic product is down from its level of a year ago. In contrast, most European economies managed to chalk up positive performances in 1991, although they posted slower growth than in 1990.

This situation has raised many questions, on both sides of the Atlantic, leaving many wondering:

Aren't the German and American decisions likely to cancel each other out, and don't they run counter to the avowed coordination of monetary policies by the G-7 nations?

And, since the price of gold did not rise in response to the Federal Reserve's rate cut, indicating that inflation is not for the moment a threat, does that not mean that the Federal Reserve is right and the Bundesbank is wrong?

Understanding the Bundesbank's decision is not easy. There were, however, at least four motives at work.

1. The move indicated that parochial German politics rather than an understanding of the global economy prevails at the Bundesbank. The most internationally minded Bundesbank officials, Chairman Helmut Schlesinger and Vice Chairman Hans Tietmeyer, opposed the rate hike. The vote in favor of it was carried by the

representatives of the state governments on the Bundesbank's board.

The interest rate hike is the financial accompaniment to an increasing mood of "Germany First." Germany decided last month to recognize the independence of Croatia and Slovenia, ignoring the objections of the U.S., France and the United Nations. Recently, too, Germany has demanded that German be introduced as one of the working languages of the European Community, alongside English and French. No less symptomatic, perhaps, is

3. A growing number of European currencies—unlike the dollar and the yen—have gradually reverted to a system of near-fixed exchange rates through the European Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM). Since the German mark is the anchor of the ERM, the Bundesbank feels it should seek to safeguard the stability of the German currency in order to support the credibility of the scheme as a whole.

4. The integration of eastern Germany is proving to be an expensive exercise. The budget deficit bulged to 5% of GDP in 1991. As a result, Germany needs all its domestic capital resources and might even need to import funds. High interest rates are essential to attracting the necessary capital. The Bundesbank also feels that the German federal government has pursued an unwarrantedly expansionist fiscal policy in order to achieve unification. In no way does the bank intend to accommodate this expansion by allowing the mark to depreciate.


Americans who are inclined to think that it is the Fed's lenience, and not the Bundesbank's severity, that is correct might want to recall that over the past 20 years, the U.S. monetary authorities have permitted the dollar to lose nearly 90% of its value against gold. The price of gold has risen from \$40 an ounce in the early 1970s to \$350. The dollar has also been allowed to depreciate, though not as fast, against the mark, sliding from 3.7 marks after the Bretton Woods system collapsed in 1971, to fewer than 1.60 marks today. The future will tell whether the latest monetary decisions were warranted or not, and which was eventually right, the Federal Reserve or the Bundesbank.

## Stability of Money

The Federal Reserve tends to take a short-term view of the stability of money; offering interest rate cuts whenever inflation seems to have subsided. This is a policy favorable to risk-takers, entrepreneurs and stock market investors, as the chart shows.

Germans, who lost all their savings in anti-inflation monetary reforms in 1923 and 1948, take a longer view. That's why the Bundesbank knows no compromise in the defense of the mark. That is why it sometimes seems that the Bundesbank favors the saver at the expense of the entrepreneur.

Mr. Leuschel is chief strategist for Bank Brussels Lambert.



the fact that the interest rate hikes were introduced shortly after European leaders gathered at the Maastricht summit, where important decisions were taken regarding the future European monetary union. The Bundesbank clearly wanted to signal who is the master on board.

2. The Bundesbank, unlike the other European central banks, is not paying much attention to the gold price. It appears instead to be concerned with the behavior of the domestic money supply (currently at the higher end of the target range) and of wage negotiations (many German unions are demanding a 10% pay rise this year, exceeding the 4% or 5% that the Bundesbank finds acceptable). Neither is the Bundesbank paying much attention to the German stock market. At the end of 1990, only about 5% of the German population held any stocks—a figure well down from the level of the 1960s and much less than the 20% that owns them in the U.S.

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, January 21, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. <del>Head Start</del>	<del>NO</del>	<del>                     Malin -                      Not NEW                      Duey / 3 years                 </del>
2. <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">John McGaw</span> →	<span style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 5px;">Amendment</span>	
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Tuesday, March 10, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Tuesday and Wednesday schedules	_____	_____
2. Implementation of Beck decision Tab A	Given to POCUS 3/10/92	_____
3. Cabinet rank Tab B	moved 3/11	_____
4. Albert Casey meeting request Tab C	_____	_____
5. <del>Energy Bill Edwards CRIME/TAXES</del>	_____	_____
6. <del>Nonviolent N. Korea (NO)</del>	_____	_____

8

P.O.W.

KORSA'S

① Philippines - Service  
CITIZENSHIP Legis

② ~~last~~ DISCRIM  
ASIANS / College  
Entrance

⑨ Teeter 4<sup>30</sup> - POTUS

⑩ Sherie  
Rollens

⑪ Health → EPA  
V-P

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

3/3  
SHOW  
FOCUS

Son,

Reverent to your  
request —

The Executive Order  
Office will note Chypton's  
"Cabinet Book" for the  
official record and setting  
further needs to be done.

It advise Cole & Rose  
is aware for "Clair"  
purchase reasons. Thank you. *AL*

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

3/3

SHOW  
POTUS

Son,  
Pursuant to your  
request —

The Executive Orders  
Office will note Clayton's  
"Cabinet Rank" for the  
official record and nothing  
further needs to be done.  
I'll advise Cole & Rose  
is aware for "Chair"  
purchase reasons. Thank you. Phil

CABINET RANK FOR CHIEFS OF STAFF/COUNSELLORS

	<u>Cabinet Rank</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<u>James A. Baker, III</u>	No	Appointed on 1/22/81 by commission that read: " <u>Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President of the United States of America</u> ". Was not accorded Cabinet rank in this capacity.
<u>Edwin Meese III</u>	Yes	Appointed 1/22/81 by commission that read: " <u>Counsellor to the President of the United States of America</u> ". Executive Clerk was advised on 1/22/81 by Craig Fuller, Deputy Assistant to the President and Director of the Office of Cabinet Administration, that the President conferred Cabinet rank on Mr. Meese.
<u>Donald T. Regan</u>	Yes	Appointed on 2/3/85 by commission that read: " <u>Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America.</u> " The President by memo of 3/4/85 concurred in a recommendation from Craig Fuller that Mr. Regan would be listed "immediately after the thirteen department heads serving on the Cabinet." NOTE: Neither the remarks by the President of 1/8/85 announcing the appointment of Secretary Regan as Chief of Staff, nor the formal press release dated that same day mentioned cabinet rank status being conferred on Regan.
<u>Howard H. Baker, Jr.</u>	Yes	Appointed on 3/2/87 by commission that read: " <u>Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America.</u> " Executive Clerk was advised on 2/28/87 by David Chew, Deputy Assistant to the President and Staff Secretary that Senator Baker would have cabinet rank. There was no mention in the President's remarks of 2/27/87 announcing Baker's appointment of cabinet rank status for him.

<u>David M. Abshire</u>	Yes	Appointed on 1/5/87 by commission that read: " <u>Special Counsellor to the President of the United States of America.</u> " The press release of 12/26/86 announcing Ambassador Abshire's appointment noted he would have Cabinet rank. (NOTE: Ambassador Abshire served as the White House Coordinator for the Iran inquiry until 4/6/87.)
<u>Kenneth M. Duberstein</u>	Yes	Appointed on 7/1/88 by commission that read: " <u>Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America.</u> " Executive Clerk advised on 6/30/88 by Nancy Risque, Assistant to the President and Cabinet Secretary, that the President accorded Cabinet rank to Duberstein. The President's statement of 6/14/88 announcing Duberstein's appointment did not mention cabinet rank status.
<u>John H. Sununu</u>	No	Appointed on 1/21/89 by commission that read: " <u>Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America.</u> " Was <u>not</u> accorded Cabinet rank in this capacity.
<u>John H. Sununu</u>	Yes	Appointed on 12/16/91 by commission that read: " <u>Counsellor to the President of the United States of America.</u> " The President noted in his acceptance letter of 12/3/91 accepting the Chief of Staff's resignation that Governor Sununu would have Cabinet rank in this capacity.
<u>Samuel Knox Skinner</u>	No	Appointed on 12/16/91 by commission that read: " <u>Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America.</u> " In the press conference of 12/5/91, the President made no mention of Cabinet rank status when he announced the appointment of Mr. Skinner. Executive Clerk advised on 12/17/91 by Phillip D. Brady, Assistant to the President and Staff Secretary, that Skinner would not have Cabinet rank.

Clayton Yeutter

No

Commission for Mr. Yeutter reads:  
"Counsellor to the President of the  
United States of America for Domestic  
Policy." There has been no formal White  
House press release or statement  
concerning Mr. Yeutter's appointment.  
The President announced Yeutter's  
appointment on 1/31/92 at a reception  
for Republican National Committee  
members at the Capital Hilton Hotel.  
These remarks were not released in  
written form and, therefore, will not be  
published in the Weekly Compilation of  
Presidential Documents.

INDIVIDUALS WHOM PRESIDENT REAGAN HAS ACCORDED CABINET RANK

<u>Name and Title</u>	<u>Date of Rank</u>	<u>Terminated</u>
William Emerson Brock III, United States Trade Representative	1/22/81	4/29/85 (appointed Sec. Labor)
Edwin Meese III, Counsellor to the President of the United States of America	1/22/81	2/25/85 (appointed A.G.)
William J. Casey, Director of Central Intelligence	1/28/81	1/29/87
David A. Stockman, Director of the Office of Management and Budget	1/28/81	7/31/85
Jeane J. Kirkpatrick, Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations	1/29/81	4/1/85
Donald T. Regan, Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America	2/3/85	2/27/87
Vernon A. Walters, Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations	5/17/85	1/20/89
Clayton Yeutter, United States Trade Representative	6/28/85	1/20/89
James C. Miller III, Director of the Office of Management and Budget	10/5/85	10/15/88
David M. Abshire, Special Counsellor to the President of the United States of America (will serve as White House Coordinator for the Iran Inquiry)	1/5/87	4/6/87
Howard H. Baker, Jr., Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America	3/1/87	6/30/88
Beryl Wayne Sprinkel, Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers	10/29/87*	1/20/89
Kenneth M. Duberstein, Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America	7/1/88	1/20/89
Joseph Robert Wright, Jr., Director of the Office of Mangement and Budget	11/8/88	1/20/89

The effective date of Cabinet Rank is the date of appointment.

\* Date the Press Secretary announced that the President had accorded Chairman Sprinkel the rank. He had been appointed on 4/18/85.

INDIVIDUALS WHOM PRESIDENT BUSH HAS ACCORDED CABINET RANK

<u>Name and Title</u>	<u>Date of Rank</u>	<u>Terminated</u>
Richard G. Darman, Director of the Office of Management and Budget	1/25/89	
Edward J. Derwinski, Administrator of Veterans' Affairs	3/3/89	3/15/89 (became Sec. of VA)
Carla Anderson Hills, United States Trade Representative	2/1/89	
John H. Sununu, Counsellor to the President of the United States of America	12/16/91	3/1/92 (through this date per the President's letter of 12/3/91

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Monday, March 9, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
1. Review Monday and Tuesday schedules	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
2. Executive Branch correspondence  Tab A	_____ _____ _____	_____ _____ _____
<del>3. <u>Call EB. Gaines</u></del>	<del>Brent/Hunting Susan Requests</del>	_____ _____ _____
<del>4. <u>Mail office</u> <u>Secur. Service</u></del>	<del>John McGowan</del>	_____ _____ _____
<del>5. <u>Mark 18</u> <u>ULC NC</u></del>	<del>→ Bill S</del>	<sup>Susan</sup> tell _____ _____ _____
<del>6. <u>Dale</u> <u>POTUS</u> <u>LUNCH</u></del>	<u>Super</u> <u>has to do</u>	_____ _____ _____

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: Friday, March 6, 1992

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
<del>1. Review schedules for Friday and Saturday</del>	<del>3/9/92</del>	
<del>2. "Unauthorized Fundraising by 'Citizens for Bush'" Tab B</del>	<del>Shawed / POTUS Letter OK / POTUS 3/7/92</del>	
<del>3. Appreciation letter from Ohio Governor's office Tab C</del>	<del>Shawed / POTUS 3/7/92</del>	
<del>4. Candidacy of Dan Goldin Tab D</del>	<del>POTUS APPROVED 3/9/92</del>	
<del>5. Management of Agency for International Development Tab E</del>		
<del>6. <i>Calvin meets Free-trade</i></del>		

# DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT	SKS	STAFF
<p>1. <del>Ample</del> <del>Detroit Diesel</del></p>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<p>2.</p>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<p>3.</p>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<p>4.</p>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<p>5.</p>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<p>6.</p>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>