

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2000-0715-F

FOIA Number:
2000-0715-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Baker, James A., III, Files
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files

OA/ID Number: 93012
Folder ID Number: 93012-005

Folder Title:
[Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [15]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	0	0	0	0

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet (George Bush Library)

Doc. No. / Type	Subject/Title	Date	Restriction	Classification
01. Fax Cover Sheet	From: Joseph Monju to James Baker Re: Rank and File thoughts [Redaction of personal information] (1 pp.)	10/14/92	(b)(6)	
02. Letter	From Yuhanna Bedikoglu To President Bush Re: Plans (1 pp.)	10/19/92	(b)(6)	
03. Letter with Attachment	From Susan Moore McEllen, J.D. To James Baker Re: Campaign Progress: Fighting the Successful Marketing of Misery [Open Upon Deed of Gift - March 16, 2015] (8 pp.)	10/16/92	PRM	

Page 1 of 1

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III, Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [15]

Pinksheet Number: dw47
OA/ID Number: 05600-015
Date Closed: 9/13/2001
FOIA/Sys Case #: 2000-0715-F
Re-review Case #:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Fax Cover Sheet	From: Joseph Monju to James Baker Re: Rank and File thoughts [Redaction of personal information] (1 pp.)	10/14/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [15]

Date Closed: 9/13/2001	OA/ID Number: 93012-005
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

TEL: Joseph J. Monju, Sr.

*WPN
suggestions*

MULTIFAX COVER SHEET

DATE: 10 14 92

Phone (504) 454-3798

FAX (504) 454-3798

FACSIMILE TO:

1-202-456-2461

MR. JAMES BAKER, CHAIRMAN OF PRESIDENT BUSH

REELECTION CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON, D.C.

FACSIMILE FROM:

JOSEPH MONJU

Joseph J. Monju, Sr.
4436 Barnett, Apt. C
Metairie, LA 70006

COMMENTS:

SOMETIME THE RANK AND FILE HAVE THOUGHTS THAT DESERVE

CONSIDERATION. WE OFTEN ARE CLOSER TO THE PULSE OF THE

MASSSES, IN FACT WE ARE THE MASSSES. I HAD NOTHING TO LOSE
IN WRITING DOWN WHAT I WOULD DO.

(b)(6)

[REDACTED]

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 75

SOME THOUGHTS ON HOW TO ACHIEVE AND ASSURE **PRESIDENT BUSH IS REELECTED.**

THE ELECTION OF A DEMOCRAT ASSURES AN INCREASE IN TAXES. THEY TELL US THIS NOW BEFORE THE ELECTION. BUT, THEY SAY ONLY THE RICH WILL BE SO TAXED. WHAT HAS NOT BEEN MENTIONED BUT WHICH MUST FOLLOW AS SURELY AS THE SUN WILL RISE TOMORROW IS THE STAIN ON THEIR RECORD AND IT IS A DEADLY ONE. THEY HAVE NOT MENTIONED IT EVEN ONCE IN ALL THEIR CHARGES OF THIS COUNTRY COMING APART AT THE SEAMS. I FEEL CERTAIN THEY HOPE TO DISTRACT PRESIDENT BUSH BY MAKING ALL TYPES OF CHARGES AND KEEPING HIM BUSY ANSWERING AND REFUTING THEIR CHARGES. BUT IT IS THE STAIN ON THEIR RECORD AND IT FOLLOWS THEM JUST AS THE STAIN OF MURDER FOLLOWED CAIN IN THE BIBLE, IT IS THE TAX THAT IS HIDDEN BUT IS THE RESULT OF THEIR POLICIES AND IT AFFECTS EVERY ONE OF US--- IT IS THE DEADLY SIN OF ----

-----**INFLATION**-----
INFLATION GOING RAMPANT AGAIN WOULD RESULT IN A DEPRESSION THE LIKES OF WHICH WE HAVE NEVER SEEN. THAT IS THE TAX INCREASE THAT WOULD FOLLOW THEIR ELECTION.

AT AGE 73 I VIVIDLY REMEMBER THE 20'S AND 30'S BUT MOST PEOPLE TODAY DON'T EVEN KNOW HOW DEADLY IT IS. THEY MUST BE SOLD IMMEDIATELY THE IMPORTANCE OF AVOIDING IT AT ALL COSTS-EXAMPLES ARE MEXICO. BRAZIL. ARGENTINA ETC.

SOME NEW AMERICAN'S FROM THESE COUNTRIES COULD HAVE SOME TELLING TALES OF THEIR OWN EXPERIENCES TO RELATE.

I FEEL CERTAIN YOU RECOGNIZE THE IMMEDIATE NEED TO BRING THIS TO THE ATTENTION OF THE PEOPLE. IT SHOULD BE RAINED ON THEM --- AS HITLER'S LIES WERE REPEATED TIME AND AGAIN UNTIL THE PEOPLE BELIEVED THEM THESE TRUTHS SHOULD BE REPEATED WITHOUT LETUP UNTIL THE SERIOUSNESS IS UNDERSTOOD.

ABORTION - ANOTHER DEADLY SIN.

I AM PRO-CHOICE AND ALSO PRO-LIFE

I AM OF THE OPINION A WOMAN HAS THE RIGHT TO GO TO BED WITH WHOMEVER SHE CHOOSES [OF COURSE SUBJECT TO AGE, MORALS. ETC.] . SO, IN THAT SENSE I'M PRO-CHOICE. SHE IS CONTROLLING HER OWN BODY.

AS AN EXAMPLE SHE IS WAITING TO CROSS A STREET AND A LARGE PUBLIC BUS IS APPROACHING--SO, IN CONTROLLING HER OWN BODY SHE CAN DECIDE TO WAIT, THE SAFER COURSE, [TO ABSTAIN] OR, TO TAKE A CHANCE AND TRY TO CROSS IN THE PATH OF THE BUS. IF SHE MAKES IT SAFELY, FINE. [NO PROBLEM, AT LEAST THAT SHE IS AWARE OF AT PRESENT]. BUT, IF THE CHANCE IMMEDIATELY BACKFIRES, AND SHE MISCALCULATED AND IS HIT BY THE BUS SHE GAVE UP CONTROL OF HER BODY AND ALL DECISIONS AS TO HER

CONTROL
ON
THIS
SHOULD RECALL BUSH
POLITICS BY MONT
5/22/92

BODY WILL BE MADE BY OTHERS. AND IN MOST CASES SHE WILL AGREE AND ADHERE TO THOSE DECISIONS. SO, TOO, IN THE CASE WHERE PREGNANCY FOLLOWS CONSENT SHE MADE HER CHOICE, SHE CONTROLLED HER OWN BODY, WITH THE FULL KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONSEQUENCES IF THE BUS HIT HER, OR IF PREGNANCY RESULTED. IT IS NO LONGER HER BODY TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT SHE WAS AWARE OF THIS POSSIBILITY WHEN SHE MADE HER DECISION. WHEN HIT BY THE BUS SHE AUTOMATICALLY GAVE CONTROL TO OTHERS AND THE SAME APPLIES HERE. THERE IS NO TURNING BACK, CHANGING HER MIND, IT IS TOO LATE. HER PURPOSE ON THIS EARTH HAS BEEN FULFILLED AND ANOTHER BODY HAS BEEN CREATED WITHIN HER. AND, JUST AS SHE CLAIMS THE RIGHT TO CONTROL HER OWN BODY, THE ONE WITHIN HER MUST HAVE THE SAME RIGHT. THE FACT THAT IT CAN NOT YET SPEAK FOR ITSELF DOES NOT PUT THAT RIGHT ASIDE, ESPECIALLY IN THIS COUNTRY OF OURS. THE OPPRESSED CAME AND FOUNDED THIS COUNTRY. IT IS A RIGHT THAT PRECEDED THE CONSTITUTION AND IS THE VERY CORNERSTONE OF THIS COUNTRY OF OURS. WE MUST STAND FOR THOSE WHO CAN NOT SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES IS OUR RIGHT AND INDEED OUR DUTY TO DO SO, AND SHAME ON ANYONE WHO FAILS IN THIS DUTY FOR "LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS" IS AMERICA.

ANOTHER COMMENT-- SHOULD THE RIGHT TO ABORT OR KILL IN THE WOMB CONTINUE, IF NOT ACTED UPON, BY THE TIME THE CHILD EMERGES FROM THE WOMB. TIME SHOULD NOT MATTER. ONLY THE ACT IS OF ANY CONSEQUENCE. CERTAINLY A PENALTY IS IN ORDER. NO ONE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO COMMIT PRE-MEDITATED MURDER.

-----CLINTON-----

MY CIRCUMSTANCES WERE VERY CLOSE TO HIS [MY FATHER DIED WHEN I WAS THREE LEAVING MY MOTHER WITH THREE CHILDREN AGES 4, 3, AND 2 WITH A FOURTH BORN 6 MOS. AFTER HIS DEATH. [YEARS LATER WHEN THE ABORTION ISSUE CAME UP WHEN ASKED IF SHE CONSIDERED IT SHE REPLIED "MY GOODNESS WHAT WOULD I HAVE DONE WITHOUT PAUL" SHE FACED THE WORLD WITH \$4000.00 LEFT BY MY FATHER AND NO INCOME, NO SOCIAL SECURITY, NO WELFARE, ETC. OUR SALVATION WAS TWO AUNTS, MY MOTHER'S SISTERS WHO TOOK US IN TO THEIR HOME. ONE WAS A TEACHER [3RD GRADE], AND THE OTHER THOUGH BADLY CRIPPLED ALL OF HER LIFE AN EXCELLENT SEAMSTRESS. THEY HAD VERY LITTLE BUT THEY SHARED IT WITH US.

I CALL THIS FAMILY VALUES.

SOME THOUGHTS ON CLINTON--HE APPARENTLY IS SMART AND A HARD WORKER. SO IS DAVID DUKE. SOME PEOPLE WANT TO PASS OFF HIS EARLY [?] EXPLOITS AS YOUTHFUL DEEDS SINCE RECANTED. HE HAS YET TO SAY SO AS BEST I KNOW.

TODAY WE GIVE AN 18 YEAR OLD THE RIGHT TO VOTE, WE SAY THEY ARE ADULTS AND AS SUCH ARE RESPONSIBLE CITIZENS. IF THEY COMMIT A CRIME THEY ARE EXPECTED TO PAY THE PRICE. WHY THEN

EXCUSE A PERSON WHO IS VERY SMART AND KNEW WHAT HE WAS DOING HE DODGED SERVING HIS COUNTRY WHEN CALLED TO SERVE. HE SOLD OTHERS ON FOLLOWING HIS EXAMPLE. -HE WAS NO LONGER 18 WHEN DOING THIS. HE EVEN THOUGHT OF THE CAREER HE PLANNED WHEN HE ACTED AND TRIED TO COVER HIS ACTIONS - TO HIDE HIS SHAME BUT HE STILL LED MARCHES AGAINST HIS COUNTRY. WHEN QUESTIONED ON THIS HIS SHAME SHOWS IN HIS RED FLUSTERED FACE. IT IS NOT FAIR HE IMPLIES. OTHERS SAY HE WAS TOO YOUNG. IF HE HAD COMMITTED MURDER HE COULD HAVE BEEN CONVICTED AND PAID THE PRICE. WELL DID HE?. AS SMART AS HE IS HE MIGHT HAVE MADE AN EXCELLENT OFFICER AND LED TROOPS AND SAVED LIVES WHO KNOWS?. NO ONE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO DODGE SERVING HIS COUNTRY. HIS EXAMPLE IS INEXCUSABLE AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD HE BE REWARDED WITH THE TITLES- COMMANDER- IN- CHIEF AND PRESIDENT. IF HE SHOULD ACCOMPLISH HIS GOAL IT WILL MARK ONE HUGE STEP BACKWARDS FOR AMERICA.

A WOMAN ON C-SPAN THIS MORNING MADE A VERY TELLING POINT- SHE SAID SHE HOPES SHE NEVER LIVES TO SEE THE DAY CLINTON AS PRESIDENT HAS THE HONOR AND DUTY TO LAY A WREATH ON THE TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDIER. HER HUSBAND AND ALL WAR VETERANS OF ALL WARS WOULD ABHOR THE ACT FOR HE IS NOT FIT TO DO SO, NOR EVEN APPROACH THE TOMB.

HE KNOWINGLY ACTED AS HE DID AND HE WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO REMOVE THE STAIN FROM HIS RECORD . I SAY NEVER. - PERHAPS A QUESTION WOULD REVEAL HIS CHARACTER ON NATIONAL TV--WHY NOT ASK HIM TODAY WHAT HIS ANSWER WOULD BE IF CALLED UPON TO GO INTO SERVICE ON THE FRONT LINES RIGHT NOW. TO BE UNDER FIRE AND EXPERIENCE THE POSSIBILITY OF DEATH OR DISMEMBERMENT.

*on would
he
dodge
again*

THE MAN IS NOT FIT TO RUN FOR OFFICE AND THOSE WHO SELECTED HIM WILL POINT TO OTHERS AND TRY TO SHIFT THE BLAME.

-----PEROT-----

HE IS A NAVAL OFFICER ACCUSTOMED TO ISSUING CRISP, TERSE, TENSE ORDERS AND GETTING IMMEDIATE RESULTS. THE SAME IS TRUE IN HIS BUSINESS LIFE. HE WAS VERY SUCCESSFUL BUT HIS PATH IS STREWN WITH BODIES. HE IS NOT A POLITICIAN AND IS NOT ACCUSTOMED NOR CAN HE BE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW THE PLANS HE HAS OUTLINED. THE BOARDS HE SAYS HE WILL APPOINT AND THE TOWN MEETINGS HE WILL HOLD REGULARLY WOULD BE NOVEL TO START -BUT IF MEMORY SERVES REGAN DID SOMETHING ON THIS ORDER AND AFTER INITIAL SUCCESS IT FADED AWAY. PEOPLE ELECT PRESIDENTS TO LOOK AFTER THEIR INTERESTS AND DONT INTENT TO CONTINALLY BE ASKED TO MAKE DECISIONS THEMSELVES.

HE COULD NEVER LEARN TO COMPROMISE IS NECESSARY EVERY DAY WHEN IN COMPANY OF DULY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES OF THE VARIOUS STATES OF THE UNION. EVERY ONE IS ENTITLED TO HIS

~~OPINION AND IS OBLIGATED TO MAKE CERTAIN IT IS HEARD.~~
~~IT IS SIMPLY IMPOSSIBLE TO EVEN COMPREHEND HIS MATCHING~~
~~PRESIDENT BUSH'S ACCOMPLISHMENT OF GULF WAR UNITY.~~
HE EXPECTS TO HAVE THE PEOPLE PRAISING AND CALLING FOR HIM.
WHEN IT SLOWED HE LOST INTEREST AND QUIT. HE STILL SAYS HE
WILL BE HERE IF THE PEOPLE WANT HIM. AND HE WILL NOT HESITATE
TO TAKE A WALK AGAIN IF THE PEOPLE ARE NOT PLEASED WITH HIS
PERFORMANCE. HE WOULD WALK FROM THE PRESIDENCY ALSO. ASK
HIM ON NATIONAL TV.

NOW FINALLY TO RE-ELECT PRESIDENT BUSH WHY NOT TAKE A SMALL
GAMBLE:

I FEEL CERTAIN HE IS GOING TO BE REELECTED BUT
GUARANTEE IT BY REQUESTING THE NATION AFTER LAYING THE
NECESSARY GROUND WORK IN ONE OF THE DEBATES TO SIMPLY CALL
IN, FAX IN, WRITE IN, TELEGRAPH IN. ASK THEM TO DO IT NOW. THEY
SHOULD STATE THE PHRASE "I DO" AND ADDRESS IT TO THE
WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON D.C. HAVE KEY PEOPLE ALL OVER THE
COUNTRY PREPARED TO DO WHAT IS REQUESTED AND START THE
DELUGE. BUT KEEP IT SECRET UNTIL SUGGESTED ON THE AIR.
PERHAPS READING FROM THIS MEMO WOULD BE THE MEANS TO INITIATE
IT.

KEEP IT SIMPLE. DO THEY LIKE THE WAY INFLATION WAS KEPT
UNDER CONTROL DURING THE REPUBLICAN ADMINISTRATION AND DON'T
THEY WANT IT KEPT THAT WAY IN FUTURE. REQUEST THE PHRASE
"I DO". PLAY UP OUR STRENGTHS AND RIDE IT TO
VICTORY.....

JOSEPH J. MONJU SR.
4436 BARNETT ST.
APT. C
METAIRIE, LA. 70006

[FOR YOUR INFO I AM A SUCCESSFUL SMALL BUSINESS MAN WHO
STARTED WITH NOTHING BUT AN INTENSE DETERMINATION TO
ACCOMPLISH THAT WHICH I DID. I AM FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO HAVE A
FAIRLY LARGE FAMILY AND I AND THEY WILL NEVER WANT IF I CAN
HELP IT. IT COULD ONLY BE DONE IN THIS COUNTRY. MY GRANDFATHER
CAME OVER FROM SPAIN IN 1858 RETURNED THERE AFTER MAKING A
FORTUNE IN FOUR YEARS AND THEN RETURNED TO AMERICA SOME 14
YEARS LATER WITH HIS FAMILY SOME 12 CHILDREN. HE KNEW A GOOD
THING WHEN HE SAW IT. MAY GOD BLESS AMERICA]

4

AUGUST DIRENZO
14 CHELMSFORD DR
GLEN HEAD NY 11545 18AM

**WESTERN
UNION** | **MAILGRAM**®



1-000692K292 10/18/92 ICS IPMBNGZ CSP WHSA
5166261012 MGMS TDRN GLEN HEAD NY 114 10-18 1124A EST

JAMES BAKER
REPUBLICAN CHAIRMAN
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20000

NRN

views

DEAR MR TURNER:
ON SUNDAY MORNING'S "MEET THE PRESS", SENTATOR BRADLEY MADE THE FOLLOWING COMMENT, "IF YOU REALLY WANT TO GET AN ECONOMIC PACKAGE THROUGH THE DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS, YOU ARE NOT GOING TO DO IT WITH BUSH AS PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES".

I THINK IT IS YOUR OBLIGATION AS ONE OF THE FOREMOST NEWS AGENCIES TO HELP EXPOSE AND REPORT THIS BLACKMAIL ON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE FROM THE DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS.

IF THE ECONOMIC PACKAGE PRESENTED BY A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENT IS GOOD FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, WHY SHOULD THEY PROMOTE "GRIDLOCK" TO PREVENT ITS APPROVAL? SENTATOR BRADLEY CONFIRMED WHAT BUSH HAS BEEN SAYING ALL ALONG.

11:22 EST

MGMCOMP

TO REPLY BY MAILGRAM MESSAGE, PHONE WESTERN UNION ANYTIME, DAY OR NIGHT:

FOR YOUR LOCAL NUMBER, SEE THE WHITE PAGES

OF YOUR LOCAL TELEPHONE DIRECTORY

OR

DIAL (TOLL-FREE) 800-325-6000

ROBERT KIRK
1 QUAYLE RUN RD
PISGAH FOREST NC 28768 18AM

**WESTERN
UNION** | **MAILGRAM**®



1-002371K292 10/18/92 ICS IPMBNGZ CSP WWSA
7048842631 MGMS TDRN PISGAH FOREST NC 72 10-18 0421P EST

CHIEF OF STAFF JAMES BAKER
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NON

*miss
suggestions*

MR BAKER PLEASE BEFORE ITS TOO LATE GET IT TOUCH WITH THE GOVERNOR OF OHIO AND HAVE HIM REPEAT FOR YOU SOME OF HIS EXCELLENT ANSWERS TO GOVERNOR CLINTON'S RECENT REMARKS. HE WAS ON THE DAVID BRINKLEY SHOW THIS SUNDAY MORNING. WE STILL HAVE ENOUGH TIME IF WE JUST MEET CLINTON TOE TO TOE WITH ANSWERS THAT EVERYONE CAN UNDERSTAND.
ROBERT KIRK

16:19 EST

MGMCMP

TO REPLY BY MAILGRAM MESSAGE, PHONE WESTERN UNION ANYTIME, DAY OR NIGHT:

FOR YOUR LOCAL NUMBER, SEE THE WHITE PAGES

OF YOUR LOCAL TELEPHONE DIRECTORY

OR

DIAL (TOLL-FREE) 800-325-6000

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60659
PHONE LONGBEACH 1-4546

*Suggestions
NPW*

October 13, 1992

James Baker
The White House
Washington D.C.

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing copies of correspondence forwarded to The White House and Colin Powell (with responses) to establish my identity and deep concern for our country's future.

Vice President Quayle located the weakest spot tonight in the Democratic Party's armor when Senator Gore talked about spending \$150 billion dollars to aid the economy by "taxing the rich" those with incomes of \$200,000 or over. However he did not go "for his jugular"

It is my firm belief that taxes so obtained will fall far short of \$150 Billion to be spent on Programs. Belief is one thing and Facts are another. It is immediately vital to develop substantive data from the IRS by computer analysis of the true number of incomes at two hundred thousand dollars (or over) per year. From this data you can arrive at the total funds that can be expected from "the rich" according to Clinton's tax plan. If the FACTS indicate a huge deficit in the expected yearly income tax, then the middle class will realize that it is next. Then launch a continuous daily barrage against the Democratic "tax and spend plan" A tremendous number of voters may change their vote intentions. This information will offer more evidence of Clinton "not telling the truth"

THE FACTS ARE NEEDED DESPERATELY. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE.

Sincerely,

Louis Klein
Louis Klein

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60659
PHONE LONGBEACH 1-4546

August 09, 1992

President George Bush
The White House
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

Enclosed are copies of correspondence previously sent and answered to establish identification and my concerns.

I was most impressed by your integrity and responsibility (and that of your staff) in answering, considering the tensions of that period. It distresses me greatly to hear and read the Democratic Party's comments repeated incessantly regarding your Presidency during which:

1. Taxes were raised impugning your integrity by constant repetition of "READ MY LIPS
2. "Sadams Army was not destroyed" The Persian Gulf battle was lost"

It is my perception that your advisers have failed to explain and counteract these remarks adequately. Personally I believe that I understand your motives in not destroying Sadam's army. It was predicated on your humanitarian and moral considerations.

The Tax Problem reflected your flexibility in dealing with an inflexible Congress in order to avoid havoc in meeting government obligations.

Sadly, a significant percentage of the Voting Public is easily swayed by propaganda without analyzing content for basic facts. You will recall that in World War Two propaganda was a most effective weapon. Consider Pat Buchanan's recent onslaught with his "America First" campaign.

The Tax Problem and The Persian Gulf War situation must be explained in depth. They must be EMPHASIZED OVER AND OVER TO COUNTERATTACK THE CONTINUED DAILY BARRAGE PARTICULARLY OF "READ MY LIPS".

Once the Voting Public will fully understand the truth, such Democratic Party propaganda will be discredited.

With best wishes and fervent hope for reelection,

Sincerely,

Louis Klein

Louis Klein M.D.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 3, 1991


Dear Dr. Klein:

Thank you for your message about the United States efforts in the Persian Gulf region. This was not a war we wanted, but there are times in the life of our country when we confront principles worth fighting for; this was one such time.

The cooperation of the community of nations in stopping Saddam Hussein's ruthless aggression and in liberating Kuwait is unprecedented. Certainly I am pleased that the war ended so quickly and that there were far fewer casualties than had been widely predicted. Operation Desert Storm's success belongs to our courageous troops. We are all tremendously proud of them, and I am delighted that they are coming home to the hero's welcome that they deserve.

As we assume our responsibility as a catalyst for peace and stability in the Middle East region, we will not forget those who gave their lives for this just cause, those who lost loved ones, or those innocent people who have suffered as a result of this conflict. I ask for your prayers for all those thus affected and for continued blessings on our great Nation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "G. H. W. Bush". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "George" written in a large, sweeping script.

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 North Lincoln Avenue
Chicago, IL 60659

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60659
PHONE LONGBEACH 1-4548

February 15, 1991

President George Bush
The White House
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

Copies of letters previously written to you and others in our government relating to our country's greatest crisis are enclosed for purposes of explaining my deep convictions and concerns.

Your judgement in handling the deceptive, manipulative behaviour of the Russians and Iraqis is superb.

May I submit one change in the United Nations' resolutions. It is no longer acceptable to offer Hussain and his army the option of leaving Kuwait unconditionally. His destructive, terroristic inhuman behavior in and out of Kuwait since August 2, 1990 should not be rewarded by permitting him to leave unconditionally.

Air force bombing and artillery barrages must be continued 24 hours a day until Saddam surrenders UNCONDITIONALLY. Only then will peace be assured. Furthermore no other nation will dare to engage in Hussain type adventures. There is no reason or room for "face-saving" for animals like Hussain and his ilk.

THE DEMAND MUST BE UNCONDITIONAL SURRENDER.

With the greatest respect and pride in the knowledge that America's future is in secure hands,

Sincerely yours,
Louis Klein MD
LOUIS KLEIN M.D.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 30, 1990

Dear Dr. Klein:

On behalf of President Bush, thank you for your message concerning the situation in the Middle East.

The President has condemned the invasion of Kuwait by Iraq as naked aggression, and he has called for the immediate and unconditional withdrawal of all Iraqi forces. He has also called on Iraq to release all foreigners, and he has made clear the United States' intention to hold the government of Iraq responsible for the safety and well-being of American citizens, who are being held against their will.

President Bush has been encouraged by the broad international support for the United Nations Security Council's resolutions condemning Iraq's illegal occupation of Kuwait and imposing mandatory economic sanctions against Iraq. The degree of individual and collective compliance with those sanctions thus far has been heartening.


The United States is committed to the security and stability of the Persian Gulf. America does not seek conflict, nor does it seek to chart the destiny of other nations. However, the President has pledged America's intention to stand by its friends, saying, "No one, friend or foe, should doubt our desire for peace, and no one should underestimate our determination to confront aggression."

The sovereign independence of Saudi Arabia and our other friends in the Middle East is of vital interest to the United States. Not just our country but most of the nations of the world depend on imported oil, a large share of which comes from this region. Iraq's history of aggression poses a threat not only to the freedom of Saudi Arabia and other nations in the Persian Gulf but also to the stability of the world's economy. As the President pointed out, "Our jobs, our way of life, our own freedom and the freedom of friendly countries around the world would all suffer if control of the world's great oil reserves fell into the hands of one man, Saddam Hussein. So, we've made our stand -- not simply to protect resources or real estate, but to protect the freedom of nations. We are striking a blow for the principle that might does not make right. Kuwait is small. But one conquered nation is one too many."

Therefore, President Bush responded to the Saudi request for U.S. assistance in defense of their homeland by ordering American armed forces deployed to Saudi Arabia. He has also responded to a request from the Emir of Kuwait to coordinate multinational efforts to enforce sanctions aimed at convincing Iraq to withdraw its troops from Kuwait and restore Kuwait's independence. He is heartened by the number of other countries -- including Arab nations -- that have joined this international defense effort.

The President appreciates your comments and suggestions concerning this matter of grave importance to our national security.

Sincerely,



Shirley M. Green
Special Assistant to the President
for Presidential Messages
and Correspondence

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 North Lincoln Avenue
Chicago, IL 60659

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 20, 1990


Dear Dr. Klein:

Thank you for your recent letter.

I appreciate your taking the time to
write and sharing your thoughts with me.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "John H. Sununu". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "John" being the most prominent.

John H. Sununu
Chief of Staff

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 North Lincoln Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60659

Louis Klein, M.D.
8962 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60659
PHONE LONGBEACH 1-4846

August 05, 1990

President George Bush
The White House
Washington D.C.

The world has become a steadily decreasing-sized "globe". An occurrence formerly considered remote and distant in one area interfaces and influences events in all other areas.

The Hussein aggression in Kuwait carries an ominous, destructive import not only to the U.S. but to the rest of the world also. It is totally unacceptable. It requires immediate bold action. What if the following strategy were considered? The available oil supply of the Arab Nations were to be internationalized by the United Nations in concert with the major world powers? Oil production could then be regulated to need and the price to reasonable levels of profit for the Arab Nations. To benefit the world, sovereignty in this respect would be set aside temporarily.

A geologic and geographic accident which accords possession of oil deposits to the Middle East must not be allowed to destroy the economic stability of the world, not to mention its effects upon the Third World countries.

The solution if rejected by Iraq, OPEC and the United Nations? Immediate round-the-clock combined U.S., Soviet, British and French air--power to be utilized to destroy strategic military areas of Iraq including the oil installations. If Hussein cannot produce, he cannot survive. The world can survive in the short run without his oil if the threat can be resolved.

Hussein utilizes brutality, terror and blackmail as his weapons. He is confident

Louis Klein, M.D.
3862 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60639
PHONE LONGBEACH 1-4346

Page 2

that based upon our past behaviour in such events, our response will
continue to be inhibited, delayed, and inadequate. Surprise him. MAKE HIM
PAY FOR HIS ACTIONS.

Sincerely,

Louis Klein

Louis Klein M.D.



THE JOINT STAFF
WASHINGTON, DC

25 September 1990

Dr. Louis Klein
5962 N. Lincoln Avenue
Chicago, IL 60659

Dear Dr. Klein,

Thank you for your recent letter, which General Powell has seen. We appreciate your interest and are glad you took the time to share your views with us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Theodore R. Coberly", is written over the typed name.

THEODORE R. COBERLY
Colonel, USA
Secretary, Joint Staff

Louis Klein, M.D.
5962 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60680
PHONE LONG BEACH 1-4846

September 2, 1990

General Colin Powell, Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff
The Pentagon, Washington D.C. 20310

Dear General Powell:

If I understand it correctly, the Stealth Bombers of the Air Force cannot be detected by radar. If so, I would recommend a bombing technique to be used against Iraq since events subsequent to their invasion of Kuwait leaves the Air Force as the most effective option.

The concept is that of using a single stealth bomber at a time to attack at irregular, unpredictable intervals 24 hour a day. The strategy is to employ this plan simultaneously near the Turkish and Saudi Arabia borders where their military concentrations are located. A single undetected plane can drop its bomb load in a few seconds on military targets and be away. The enemy on the ground will not be able to anticipate the exact bombing event leaving them unable to prepare counter measures. One can visualize their terror and the devastation produced by the intermittent but continuous bombing. Squadrons of planes can be hit by missiles aimed upward just as a flock of ducks will lose a number of birds even if shot at by poor marksmen. I am enclosing a copy of a letter to President Bush dated August 5th regarding the Middle East and possible solution of the oil problem by internationalization of the oil supply.
that
Since then it has become painfully apparent, the option of Air Force attacks are required to destroy Sadaam, his military bases, and his army.

Respectfully,

Louis Klein M.D.

Louis Klein, M.D.
8862 N. LINCOLN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60689
PHONE LONGBEACH 1-4846

February 1, 1991

General Colin Powell, Chairman The Joint Staff
The Pentagon
Washington D.C. 20310

Dear General Powell:

A copy of the enclosed letter forwarded to you on September 2nd 1980 will identify me. I wish to congratulate you and the Armed Forces on the strategy to continue the bombing. Ground war should be avoided if at all possible. I wish to offer a strategy to force Saddam out of the bunkers and "sucker " him into a trap.

Order withdrawal of our forces opposite the Iraqis military concentration at the Saudi Arabian border to a distance of thirty miles into Saudi Arabia. Also begin evacuating the various population areas to a thirty mile distance from the border. I am certain Saddam will become aware of this, Place censorship on any rumors or news associated with this as if it were a secret operation. Saddam will then become concerned that we are planning a nuclear attack. With his air force neutralized ,he will be unable ^{to monitor} our actions accurately. I predict that he will evacuate the area at the border withdrawing troops and military equipment into Iraq. It is this action in motion that will be vital, concentrating his men and equipment that will then make them accessible to continuous bombing by our air force using CONVENTIONAL bombs. I realize that secrecy is most important in staging this and I would question the wisdom of informing all our allies. It would be best to exclude those whose allegiance is questionable. Sincerely,

Louis Klein M.D.

WEN

suggestion
16 Oct 92

Dear Mr. Baker,

I believe that Mr. Clinton
should be attacked with the -

LIE HE CAN'T DENY

or explain away and the best way
to do it is a film clip of the man
promising the people of Arkansas
that if they would reelect him that
he would not run for President. I
would like for everyone in every state
to see him smiling and lying at that
time. He was in danger of losing the
race for governor of the state until he
*made that promise. He literally "stole"
the votes of the people that voted
for him as a result of that speech.

Whatever you do - I wish you
success.

You have proved to be the only
real statesman in Government in
quite a while —

RSr

Bob Riddle

I prefer you wouldn't use my name
because it would make living in a
solid Democrat community almost impossible.

* I'm sure you can confirm a neck and
neck race at that time and it was last
year - not twenty years ago.

ROBERT W. RIDDLE
P O BOX 6, PH. (501) 251-2823
LOCUST GROVE, AR 72550

ATTENTION:
JAMES BAKER

WHITE HOUSE
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500

AILS A BLOODWORTH
138 NW 46TH
NEWPORT OR 97365 17PM

WESTERN
UNION MAILGRAM®



1-004833K291 10/17/92 ICS IPMBNGZ CSP WHSB
5032655674 MGMB TDRN NEWPORT OR 26 10-17 0712P EST

Comments

JAMES BAKER
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NRN

STRESS FOUR YEARS. PEACE NOT WAR. DOW HIGHEST PRICES LOWEST. BARBARA
MAINTAINS ECONOMICAL WHITE HOUSE.

BEST WISHES,
AILS A BLOODWORTH

19:06 EST

MGMCOMP

TO REPLY BY MAILGRAM MESSAGE, PHONE WESTERN UNION ANYTIME, DAY OR NIGHT:

FOR YOUR LOCAL NUMBER, SEE THE WHITE PAGES

OF YOUR LOCAL TELEPHONE DIRECTORY

OR

DIAL (TOLL-FREE) 800-325-6000

JACK HUGHES
734 S LOOMIS
CHICAGO IL 60607 17AM

**WESTERN
UNION** | **MAILGRAM**®



1-004512K291 10/17/92 ICS IPMBNGZ CSP WHSA
3127332115 MGMB TDRN CHICAGO IL 56 10-17 0542P EST

▶ JAMES A BAKER
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NN news

THE ELECTORATE MUST BE TOLD THAT THE NEW WORLD ORDER ENDS WITH
BUSH/QUAYLE, THAT THE PORK BARREL DEMOCRATIC CONGRESSIONAL VULTURES
ARE WAITING TO ADD TO THE CLINTON BUDGET, THAT THE PRESENT SITUATION
IS NOT THE WORSE ECONOMIC TIMES SINCE THE GREAT DEPRESSION. SUPPORT
THIS WITH FACTS.
JACK HUGHES

17:40 EST

MGMCOMP

TO REPLY BY MAILGRAM MESSAGE, PHONE WESTERN UNION ANYTIME, DAY OR NIGHT:

FOR YOUR LOCAL NUMBER, SEE THE WHITE PAGES

OF YOUR LOCAL TELEPHONE DIRECTORY

OR

DIAL (TOLL-FREE) 800-325-6000

H. S. Rodgers

15 September 1992

Comments

P.O. BOX 26863
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92196
619 578-2745 ← FAX
578-1858
578-4709 ←

James Baker, III
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington DC 20500

NRN

Dear Mr Baker:

I deplore the president's stand on abortion, gun control, and the deficit, among other things.

But if Clinton gets elected, a democratic congress will go wild with tax-and-spend. Clinton won't be able to control that - he won't be able to veto bad legislation. If he did he would be overridden.

Sincerely,

Howard Rodgers

H S Rodgers

☆

H S *HSR* *Rodgers*

P O Box 26863

SAN DIEGO CA 92126



Mr James Baker, III
1600 Pennsylvania Ave
Washington DC 20500





Comments

October 14, 1992

NRW

Secretary of State James Baker
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:


The deficit, economic growth and medical costs are principal issues in this campaign. Voters want real answers instead of vague promises.

1. Let's hear it for the line item veto.
2. Bring back the Grace Commission and implement its findings.
3. Look at an actual hospital bill; \$19 for a \$4.99 pair of foot warmer socks; \$6 for a single aspirin!

No magic, smoke and mirrors or voodoo economics. Just limit the effects of special interests, waste and inflationary charges.

Sincerely,

HUNTINGTON DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION


David L. Smith
President

DLS:tl
(01)

October 16, 1992

Personal and Confidential

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff, President Bush Staff
White House
Washington, Texas 20500

NPW suggestion

Dear Mr. Baker:

I think you should use the following in one of President Bush's TV sound bits. Run this very slowing up the TV screen with an actor reading it who has a very strong and recognizable voice and with the tune of America playing faintly in the background.

People of genius are admired
People of wealth are envied
People of power are feared
Only people of character are trusted.

As an 19 year old, his Country trusted
Bush in 1941.
As an Employer, Bush's employees trusted
Bush to pay them in
the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s,
Voters trusted Bush in 1980, 1984 and 1988,
The World community trusted Bush in 1990/1991 in Kuwait.

*use an airplane
off a carrier
use an old drilling
rig.
etc*

Voters should....Trust Bush again in 1992.

Vote for President Bush in Nov 1992.
Bush is not perfect, but Bush has the character
to be trusted.

The above implies that Bush has been trusted all of his life by different people, give him another chance to make good on the economy for the voters.

I would like to see this advertisement ran similar to the TV ad that Ross Perot is running.

Run this with the possible pictures of Govahovac(spelling), someone who is a known genius and someone with a lot of wealth in the background of the first four lines, then switch to Bush's picture in the background. This shows that Bush has been trusted all of his life. Has Clinton or Perot?? Stop the direct Clinton character assassination. Slick Willie is not a Dukakis.

I'm quite sure that some ad agency can embellish what I have stated above. Best wishes.

*with
Family
Kids and
grand kids*

Very truly yours,

Max C. Glenn

Max C. Glenn
215 E. Freeman, Ste 107
Duncanville, Texas 75116

MAX C. GLENN
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT
P.O. BOX 226022
DALLAS, TEXAS 75222



Personal and Confidential
Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff, President Bush Staff
White House
Washington, Texas 20500



10-13-1992

Mr. James Baker -
Washington, D.C. -

suggestions

NRN

Dear Mr. Baker -

I am a Texan, a senior citizen, supposed to be on fixed income which has dropped from \$9 to 3. My rent up 60⁰⁰ in 2 years - What are the Feds

thinking about? lower interest rates don't help when you have no job.

Mr. Bush is experienced in many fields, as well as, you but he has
spent too much time on Desert Storm,
foreign policy, add to foreign countries
and has let the americans down.

I know he inherited all the Bureaus we have created since Roosevelt days but they have grown, grown.
Our local government is at fault

Also. Has been said Mr. Bush
looks old + tired, so what, he is not
a young man and under great pressure.
You do not look as young as you did
6 mos. ago, nor do I!

There are thousands of older people
who will vote and remember the
lower interest rates. Many people are
taking saving and buying stocks etc
but at a great risk.

I hope Mr. Quay makes a good
impression in the debate to night.

Mr. Lerot is a liar and his turning
note is too old - Don't blame Congress,
^{they were elected.}

Don't blame Mr. Clinton, he and
Mr. Howe are going in. And if the
Democrats don't win they are
missing their best chance -

Mr. Fitzwater is not very impressive, he
may be capable but doesn't know what it
takes. Pull the rabbit out of the hat!

Sincerely

Matthew C Park

Austin watchers say they weren't swayed

Local experts applaud Perot, Clinton

By Kerry Haglund
and Sterita Smith
American-Statesman Staff

Bill Clinton and Ross Perot outperformed President Bush in the first of three debates Sunday, according to political experts. But the debate failed to sway some Austin debate watchers who had already decided for whom to vote.

And the undecided mostly remained undecided.

"Bush was lethargic. He didn't give any real sense that he wanted four more years," said Roderick P. Hart, professor of communications and government at the University of Texas.

"He was not on his toes, he was on his heels. He looked like he needed Geritol. This is the eleventh hour. ... He didn't give any evidence that he had a single new idea for the future," Patricia Witherspoon, associate professor of speech communication at the University of Texas, said she saw no major surprises or gaffes during the debate.

"I thought Mr. Bush was going to be more on the offensive. He was put in the role of being the defender of the status quo.

"I think Mr. Clinton, positioned in the middle, spoke in confidence. He was a master of detail. He was able to articulate details of his plans."

Clinton also issued a counter-attack to Bush's charge that it was wrong for him to be involved in anti-war protests and managed to turn around the question on patriotism, Witherspoon said.

"When Joe McCarthy went around this country attacking people's patriotism, he was wrong. He was wrong. And a senator from Connecticut stood up to him named Prescott Bush. Your father was right to stand up to Joe McCarthy. You were wrong to attack my patriotism," Clinton said.

Perot, meanwhile, was able to hold his own among the two major contenders, experts said.

"I don't think anybody thinks Perot is going to win this thing. People find his apparent freshness and candor refreshing," said Walter Dean Burnham, a University of Texas professor of government and specialist on presidential psychology.

"Bush yapped a little bit more about this patriotism issue, but he got told off by Perot, and 63 percent (of the people) say (that issue is) a bum rap."

“(Bush) was not on his toes, he was on his heels. He looked like he needed Geritol.”

— Roderick P. Hart,
UT professor

George Edwards, director of Texas A&M's Center for Presidential Studies, said the candidates most likely solidified their support but didn't win votes from other camps.

"What Clinton needed to do, because he is ahead, is to just solidify his own support. And I think he probably was successful in that."

I do think Clinton was the most impressive. I thought his answers were thoughtful and organized. That doesn't mean his policies are right," Edwards said. "My wife, sitting right next to me, felt otherwise. She thought that Bush looked good."

At Mr. Gatti's near UT, Michael Rupprecht, 31, U.S. Postal Service worker and a Bush supporter, said he has concerns about Clinton's proposal to raise taxes on people with high incomes.

"If you would look back in history, they have said before 'sock it to the rich', but is that equality? Is that equality when everyone is equally poor?" he said.

At St. Edward's University, Luke Ikard, 18, said he'll be voting for Bush come November.

"Bush did real well. I thought Clinton didn't do well at all," Ikard said. "I think he showed the economy is not as bad as people say it is, and that it's a lot of the liberal media saying that."

But the undecided mostly remained undecided after Sunday's debate.

At Fire Station 17 on South First Street, Perot's comment on his controversial plan to raise the gasoline tax — "If there's a fairer way, I'm all ears" — garnered the heartiest laugh of the night.

Watchers at the station included Clinton and Perot supporters and several undecided voters.

Lt. Bill Davis said he'll be watching the next debates before making up his mind.

"I think all of them had some good points," he said. "This debate being the first one, hasn't swayed me one way or the other."



Mr. James Baker
Asst to the President
White House,
Washington, D.C.

~~20510~~

M.C. Park

1130 Camino La Costa # 269

Austin, Tex

78752

Gary L. Dikkers
PSC Box 1054 * APO AE 09130-1054
Tel. 011-49-6301-5378

suggestions

12 October, 1992

Mr. James Baker, Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington DC 20013

ADW

Dear Mr. Baker,

Attached to this letter is a column I wrote for the European edition of the **Stars and Stripes** newspaper. In it, I explain two points about Governor Clinton's draft record I have yet to see anyone else mention. Perhaps they can be of some use to you.

I am a recently retired Air Force officer, and the same age as Governor Clinton. I personally knew several draft dodgers, and they were all driven by one factor: **Fear**. If fear was also Governor Clinton's prime motivation, he is not qualified to be President, even if it was 23 years ago.

The second point appeared recently in an interview with Governor Clinton the **Air Force Times** published on October 5th. In that interview, Governor Clinton acknowledges seeing classified information when he worked on Senator Fulbright's Foreign relations Committee that helped him decide to avoid the draft. That makes him guilty of elitism and using information average Americans were not permitted to see.

These are the two points you may be able to exploit:

1. Did he avoid the draft because of fear? If he did--and my knowledge of draft dodgers says it's likely--he lacks the moral authority to be Commander-in-Chief.

2. Did he have access to inside information? Information that helped him make a decision average Americans couldn't make with the limited information they had. Was he guilty of elitism? If so, doesn't that effect his moral authority to lead the country?

Like most people, it doesn't matter to me that he avoided the draft. But it does matter greatly **why** he avoided the draft. The "why" says a great deal about character and potential, and is a factor to consider.

Sincerely,

Gary L. Dikkers

Gary L. Dikkers, Lt Col, USAF (Ret)

Enclosure

Dodging the Draft: Does it Matter?

Copyright 1992

Gary L. Dikkers

Is Governor Clinton's record of avoiding the draft a factor to consider when deciding whether to vote for him? The answer lies in his reasons. Reasons he has yet to adequately explain.

Governor Clinton and I are the same age, and I am familiar with the pressures and choices facing college students in the late 60s. I knew and even had several friends who were draft dodgers. Those I knew were motivated by only one factor: Fear. None of the draft dodgers I knew claimed political or moral reasons for their action. They were simply afraid of going to Vietnam and being killed or disabled. (In fact, there were at least five in my 1969 pilot training class. They joined the Air Force after receiving draft notices, and their objective was to finish high enough in our class so they could choose an airplane in which it was unlikely they would have to fight in Vietnam. They opted to do that instead of slogging through a rice paddy carrying an M-16. The general public would be surprised to learn how many of today's airline pilots, first learned to fly in the Air Force, while avoiding being drafted into the Army during the Second Indochina War.)

If, as with the people I knew, fear dictated Governor Clinton's actions, he is qualified to be neither President nor Commander-in-Chief. Our President can't be a person who lets fear control his decisions. What moral authority would a President Clinton have ordering our armed forces into a war during the next four years, if fear was his motivation for dodging the draft?

How would/could he handle those who might refuse to go in the future saying they don't believe in that particular war, as he says he didn't believe in Vietnam? Would he condone their action? Assuming Governor Clinton avoided the draft for reasons of conscience, how could he not pardon the actions of people of similar good conscience who might refuse during his Presidency?

Can we have an armed forces whose soldiers fight only for causes they personally believe in; where and when they feel like? Does a President who made that argument when young, and reportedly led demonstrations saying that, have the moral authority to lead our armed forces as Commander-in-Chief?

Gary Dikkers is a retired Air Force fighter pilot. He flew more than 300 combat missions as a Forward Air Controller in Indochina.

What if Governor Clinton avoided the draft not from fear, but because of elitism. Perhaps he thought himself better than his fellow citizens, and felt he had more important things to do with his life? In a recent interview published in the **Air Force Times**, he acknowledges seeing classified information as a staff member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, while a Georgetown University student, that convinced him the war was unwinnable. Classified information that helped him make up his mind, and also information average people didn't have. Does that make him guilty of using and benefiting from inside information? If so, again from where does he derive the moral authority to lead the armed forces?

If Governor Clinton was really concerned with protecting his political viability within the system, and achieving his lifelong ambition of becoming President, why didn't he eagerly seek service in Vietnam--unless fear played a role? He must have realized military experience can be a great asset in a political career. (As WW II service in the Navy helped his idol President Kennedy.)

The steel and determination Winston Churchill showed while leading Great Britain in World War II is in great part traceable to his combat experience against the Mahdi at Omdurman, and against Dutch settlers in the Boer War. (He was even a prisoner of war in South Africa.) Both those wars were of questionable moral validity, and Churchill could have legitimately refused to go on grounds of conscience, yet he also recognized military service as one of the great formative experiences in life, and he went. The result was the forging of one of the greatest statesmen-politicians of our time. How could a young Oxford student, determined to make a career in politics, not have been aware of that?

Governor Clinton has many good qualities, and there is little question it is time for a new generation of leadership in Washington, but his draft record troubles me deeply. Not just his mishandling of the issue over the last several months as the Republicans assert, but more importantly, his motivation to avoid service in the armed forces.

If he dodged the draft out of fear, or felt he was too good to go, he lacks the moral authority to be Commander-in-Chief and is not qualified to be President. I don't know he avoided the draft because of fear, but my personal knowledge of other draft dodgers suggests it is likely. Before getting my vote, he has to better explain why he avoided the draft.



Patrick H. Millwee
Financial Consultant

Private Client Group

425 West Capitol
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201
501 370 2055
800 937 0602

support
suggestions

Mr. Baker -

NRN

The president must
tell the story of Clinton's
record while emphasizing
his great achievements on
the economy - three years ago
we all feared total collapse -
the economy never approached
the levels of the Carter years --
under Mr. Bush's guidance.

We're getting awfully close
to be so far behind. Good luck!

Dear Friend,

Your vote for President is very important. You can help bring the FACTS to America. I'm one of many frustrated Arkansans who doesn't see the FACTS on national media about the Arkansas situation. Please photocopy and write all your friends about the FACTS. Please help as soon as possible.

QUICK CLINTON FACTS

- **Mr. Clinton is FOR abortion on demand, paid by taxes.
- **Mr. Clinton is AGAINST prayer in public schools and school choice.
- **Mr. Clinton is AGAINST a balanced budget amendment for FOR higher taxes.

UNDER MR. CLINTON'S POLICIES:

- **Arkansas is now 45th in teacher pay.
- **Only two other states have a higher percentage of people living in poverty.
- **Only three other states have lower median household incomes.
- **The Institute for Southern Studies Green Index rated Arkansas 48th in overall environmental quality and dead last in environmental policy initiatives.
- **Violent crime in Arkansas has virtually doubled (up 99% from 1981).
- **In 1984, Arkansas ranked 20th out of 29 states in ACT scores. By 1989 the state had fallen to 25th. The last 5 years Arkansas high school students have scored below the national average.
- **In 1990, Arkansas average weekly factory wage, \$364, ranked 49th, only one half the top ranking of \$710. In 1991 still ranked 49th.
- **In 1990, Renew America rated Arkansas 42nd in delivery of quality health care.
- **In 1992, The Development Report Card for the States in their Economic Report Card gave Arkansas an overall grade of F and rated Arkansas 47th.
- **Financial World Magazine April 17th, 1990 ranked management of Arkansas government among the 9 worst in the Nation.
- **Governor Clinton has balanced the state budget 11 times. He is required to do so by state law.

From 1980 through 1985 the teen pregnancy rate in Arkansas decreased 10 percent. In 1986 Mr. Clinton and the director of the Arkansas Dept. of Health began a campaign to dispense contraceptives to public school students through school-based clinics. Several are now dispensing contraceptives. The teen pregnancy rate in Arkansas rose 13 percent from 1985 through 1990.

LET'S LOOK AT ARKANSAS AFTER 11 YEARS OF BILL CLINTON.....

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. . . Arkansas' income has risen in the years since WWII-- from 56% of the national average in 1946 to 78% in 1978---BUT SINCE CLINTON became governor, per capita income has actually fallen to 76.6%. Manufacturing wages are about 75% of the national average, and in 1990, the average earning in manufacturing in Arkansas ranked 15th of 16 southern states. Also, in 1990, an independent study ranked Arkansas 49th in overall climate for workers, with the average weekly wage ranked 49th, and its median income for a family of four ranked 50th. Arkansas also holds down that 50th spot in worker safety programs and workmen's compensation policies. However, youth unemployment is way UP there, 2nd highest in the nation at 23.1%!!!!

FISCAL RECORD. . . During Clinton's tenure as governor, 128 TAX and FEE increases have been enacted into law. (A count done by local media and verified by several outside sources). A 1992 "Fiscal Policy Report on America's Governors", gave Clinton an "F" in the Tax Rate Category (one of only three such grades in the nation!), and MONEY magazine ranked Arkansas tax burden 17th highest in the nation. FINANCIAL WORLD magazine ranked the management quality of Arkansas' government 41st of 50 states. Clinton has increased taxes because he has increased spending by OVER 69% SINCE he regained office in 1983...and contrary to his assertions, he hasn't been "abandoned" by the federal government. Actually, federal aid to Arkansas has more than DOUBLED since 1983!!!

CIVIL RIGHTS. . . Don't let Bill Clinton's claims to the "best record on civil rights" fool you. After serving as governor for 12 of the last 14 years, Arkansas is still one of only two states that STILL has no civil rights law!!! And it is one of only nine states without a law banning housing discrimination.

CRIME AND DRUGS. . . These subjects are not mentioned very often by Clinton because these areas are low on his priority list. Arkansas ranked near the bottom in amount spent per capita on police protection and corrections - even though crime in the state rose 9% in 1990 - the 8th largest increase among rural states-- with violent crimes having doubled in the last 10 years, including an all-time record number of murders and rapes in 1990.

ENVIRONMENT. . . In an attempt to satisfy both sides, Clinton claims that good environment policy is good economic policy. Yet, Arkansas environmental rankings are as bad as his economic rankings!! The Institute for Southern States rated Arkansas 48th in overall environmental quality in 1991-92, and Clinton ranked dead last for his policy initiatives and proposals. The state 1991 "GREEN INDEX" rankings are: 50th in automobile gas mileage; 50th in relative use of mass transit; 45th in energy use and production; 44th in transportation efficiency; 32nd in air pollution; and 28th in toxic chemical waste. Clinton says the waste produced by animal livestock is the state's most urgent environmental issue, yet, after 11 years as governor, he still has not issued any regulation regarding animal waste!!

CHILDREN. . . Clinton's DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES -the agency charged with caring for Arkansas' abused and neglected children, has consistently, and unsuccessfully, fought for his attention and commitment, but not until a federal lawsuit threatened his presidential campaign did he act. The Center of the Study of Social Policy ranks Arkansas near or at the bottom of every significant category: 45th in the well-being of children; 45th in low weight babies; 49th in child death rates; 47th in teen violent death; 45th in single teen births, 47th in child poverty; and 42nd in the percentage of children in single-parent homes.

EDUCATION. . . According to the University of Arkansas, Clinton's Arkansas ranks 47th in per capita state and local funding for education, although Arkansas ranked 7th in FEDERAL expenditures, an increase of 34.5% between 1983 and 1992. At the same time, Arkansas ranks 5th highest in adult illiteracy!!!! The state ranks 43rd nationwide in percapita spending for higher education and 3 out of 4 high school graduates must take remedial courses as college freshmen...twice the national average!!! ARKANSAS RANKS 49TH IN TEACHERS' PAY!!!!

Wealthy paid more taxes in '80s, IRS records show

• SUNDAY, OCTOBER 11, 1992 • 5A

Democrat-Gazette Staff

Despite the call of Gov. Bill Clinton, the Democratic nominee for president, for the rich to pay additional income taxes, both the amount and percentage of taxes paid by the wealthy grew dramatically in the 1980s, according to the Internal Revenue Service.

Even though federal income tax rates were cut sharply from a top rate of 70 percent in 1980 to 28 per-

cent by the late 1980s, the total amount of taxes paid by the top 1 percent of taxpayers grew fivefold from \$19.5 billion in 1980 to more than \$100 billion by 1988.




An analysis of IRS statistics by the Arkansas Democrat-Gazette shows that these wealthy taxpayers accounted for 7.5 percent of the \$250 billion collected in federal income taxes from individuals in 1980. By 1988, that same group of taxpayers — earning more than \$200,000 a year — paid a total of \$100.3 billion, or 24.3 percent of the \$412 billion in taxes collected.

See TAXES, Page 5A



Federal individual income taxes

For individuals earning more than \$200,000




SOURCE: Statistics of Income, Treasury Department, Internal Revenue Service. Figures rounded


M. Storey / Democrat-Gazette

Federal individual income taxes

Adjusted gross income (in trillions of dollars)



Total federal individual income taxes (in billions of dollars)



SOURCE: Statistics of Income, Treasury Department, Internal Revenue Service.

*Figures rounded

M. Storey / Democrat-Gazette


Total federal individual income taxes

Percent paid by income group

YEAR	\$0 - \$20,000	\$20,000 - \$50,000	\$50,000 - \$200,000	\$200,000 + above
1988	7.0%	30.4%	38.3%	24.3%
1987	8.1%	33.2%	39.2%	19.5%
1986	8.8%	38.1%	34.3%	18.8%
1985	10.9%	41.6%	32.9%	14.6%
1984	11.9%	44.3%	30.4%	13.4%
1983	13.5%	47.4%	27.5%	11.6%
1982	14.7%	49.6%	26.0%	9.7%
1981	16.7%	50.3%	25.5%	7.5%
1980	19.5%	49.4%	23.6%	7.5%

SOURCE: Statistics of Income, Treasury Department, Internal Revenue Service.

M. Storey / Democrat-Gazette



Taxes

• Continued from Page 1A

Whether or not the rich were getting richer, they were definitely increasing in number, with more than a sixfold increase in the number of taxpayers earning more than \$200,000 a year. As measured by the number of tax returns filed, the number of taxpayers in this category grew from 117,250 in 1980 to 725,345 in 1988, the most recent figures available from the IRS.

'We have to ask the wealthiest Americans to pay their fair share again. Their incomes went up in the 1980s and their taxes went down. We can't ask the middle class to pay any more.'

These quarter-million taxpayers represent less than 1 percent of the 109 million American taxpayers filing returns in 1988. But they accounted for half of the increase in federal income taxes between 1980 and 1988.

Total federal individual income taxes in the United States increased from \$250 billion in 1980 to \$412 billion. Those making more than \$200,000 yearly accounted for \$81 billion of the \$162 billion increase.

In spite of that increase, Clinton has called for those in the top tax brackets to pay their fair share of taxes. In his economic proposals speech, Clinton said: "We have to ask the wealthiest Americans to pay their fair share again. Their incomes went up in the 1980s and their taxes went down. We can't ask the middle class to pay any more."

These significantly higher tax collections occurred during a period of declining tax rates. The top income tax rate, cut from 70 percent to 50 percent in 1982, was cut again to 38.5 percent in 1987, and again to 28 percent in 1988.

Despite these cuts, between 1980 and 1988 the share of all individual income taxes paid

by those making more than \$200,000 a year increased from 7.5 percent to 21.4 percent.

During this time, the share of federal individual income taxes paid by some middle-class groups actually declined, according to IRS figures. For example, the share of federal individual income taxes paid by those making \$20,000 to \$50,000 was 49.4 percent in 1980, but declined to 30.4 percent by 1988.

In looking at other income groups, those making less than \$20,000 a year paid 19.5 percent of federal income taxes in 1980. This declined to 7 percent in 1988.

The percentage of federal individual income taxes paid by those making between \$50,000 and \$200,000 per year increased from 23.6 percent in 1980 to 38.3 percent in 1988.

Those making more than \$200,000 a year are divided into three groups by the IRS: those with \$200,000 to \$500,000 in adjusted gross income, those between \$500,000 and \$1 million, and those above \$1 million a year.

The largest group in 1988 was the \$200,000 to \$500,000 group, for which there were 548,477 returns. There were 114,808 tax returns for those in the \$500,000 to \$1 million income bracket, and 62,065 returns for those making more than \$1 million.

While all three groups combined represented less than 1 percent of all taxpayers, their numbers increased significantly during the 1980s. There was a fivefold increase in those making between \$200,000 and \$500,000, from 10,369 in 1980 to 548,477 in 1988.

It is difficult to predict what an increase in tax rates will do to tax receipts.

For those making between \$500,000 and \$1 million, the number of tax returns increased from 12,667 in 1980 to 114,808 in 1988.

By 1988, there were 14 times as many making more than \$1 million a year, going from 4,414 in 1980 to 62,065.

The growth in the number of high-income taxpayers result-

ed in substantial tax revenue growth for the federal government, according to IRS figures. Total federal income tax collections from taxpayers making more than \$200,000 a year was \$100.3 billion in 1988, up from \$19.5 billion in 1980.

The largest growth came from those with more than \$1 million a year in income, a category in which income tax collections increased from \$4.4 billion in 1980 to \$42.4 billion in 1988, according to the IRS. In fact, the \$42.4 billion paid by 62,065 taxpayers topped the \$38.7 billion paid by the 548,477 taxpayers with income between \$200,000 and \$500,000.

Even though the top tax rate was down to 28 percent in 1988, income tax collections still increased. Federal income tax collections increased from \$367.3 billion in 1986 to \$412.8 billion in 1988.

More than two-thirds of this increased tax revenue came from taxpayers who earn more than \$200,000, for whom total

taxes increased from \$69.1 billion in 1986 to \$100.3 billion in 1988.

It was argued at the time that tax rates were reduced that tax shelters would not only be curtailed but would lose their appeal. It also was argued that many taxpayers would convert more of their wealth to income-producing, and hence tax-producing, property. For 1987 and 1988, tax revenues did increase. Income tax data after 1988 has not yet been released by the IRS.

Tax rates were increased in 1991 from 28 percent to 31 percent, and what impact that has had on tax receipts remains to be seen.

Clinton has proposed increasing rates from 31 percent to 36 percent, a 16 percent increase.

A number of factors could alter the amount realized by the U.S. Treasury. If incomes have grown since 1988 and continue to grow, tax collections would be higher in 1992 than in 1988.

Also, the tax rates have risen since 1988 to 31 percent and will possibly increase to 36 percent next year.

It is difficult to predict what an increase in tax rates will do to tax receipts. For example, the lowering of rates in 1987 and 1988 was followed by increased tax collections in those years.

It is conceivable that increasing rates may drive many of the 725,000 higher-income taxpayers back into tax-exempt bonds, overseas investments or other long-term investments that yield little current income or tax receipts.

Research for this article was conducted by Rebecca Patterson.

18950 Chapel
Detroit, MI 48219
October 13, 1992

*suggestion
no support*

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington D.C.

NRN

Dear Mr. Baker,

I read with dismay the TIME magazine article about your campaign strategy, dubbed 'reasonable doubt'. If this is indeed the strategy, there is not much doubt there will be a change in the resident of the White House as of January 20.

The rumor traveled from a source in Washington to Detroit last week that, if re-elected, George Bush intends to 'sack' Jack Kemp. Whether fiction or fact, the result was that builders, developers, and housing advocates, Republicans and Democrats) have been galvanized into action. A nation-wide fundraising effort was launched on behalf of Clinton/Gore.

I have never witnessed the phenomenon that has evolved in this presidential election year. The Democrat strategy has been brilliant - and very transparent:

*Pass legislation by Congress on issues of major concern to the American people.

*Draft that legislation in substance that will assure the President's veto.

*George Bush will veto the bill, and, as is his style, he will not explain or justify his actions, nor will the Republicans make a visible attempt to compromise.

*This 'Imperial' style will alienate increasing segments of voters. George Bush is perceived as believing we are all too stupid to understand reasonable or rational explanations.

*The President, in splendid isolation from grass-roots America, does not offer alternative positive programs to solve perplexing problems that plague our nation, and fight for his program on Capitol Hill.

*END PRODUCT: The biggest rout in modern political history.

In Detroit, this scenario is evident in the Bush administration failure to exercise any semblance of moral leadership when it comes to the plight of our blighted, crime-ridden large cities. Does he think this degradation stops at the city's borders?

The initial outpouring of support for Ross Perot has been due to the perception (not necessarily the fact) that Perot listens, welcomes, and cares what 'grass roots' America thinks. Ordinary people count with him. This astonishing appeal exposes the colossal failure of both Democrats and Republicans to consider the opinions and needs of the 'little' people across this nation. This in turn translates into the perception that George Bush has "sold out" to:

- * the gun lobby
- * Big Business
- * the 'far right'
- * the religious 'right'

Apparently George Bush believes that by continuing to campaign against Clinton by sniping at peripheral issues, the real problems that trouble people in this country will not need to be addressed. The President has said, "It's weird out there".

Wrong, George! It's weird inside the 'beltway', or inside the Republican campaign headquarters, which remains frightenly incapable of perceiving what's going on in OUR REAL WORLD.

What people in urban, suburban, and rural areas share is an overwhelming concern about:

- * Escalating health care costs
- * The burgeoning federal deficit
- * Lack of any effort to curb the drug trade
- * Crimes of violence increasing in both urban and suburban areas
- * Cities that are rotten to the core

Only some kind of miracle stands between George Bush and the most resounding defeat in recent times. Then, will the tattered remnants of the Republican party start listening to US?

A modest suggestion. The President could call for a nation wide TOWN MEETINGS OF AMERICANS; not to be financed by the federal government, but by private resources. What the President could offer is support (leadership and encouragement) such as pledge an 'open door' to government information. Establish a dialogue whereby ordinary people will discuss and wrestle with the issues that perplex all of us. Then, maybe, just maybe, it won't seem so "weird out there".

Sincerely,

Evangeline Lamberto

13220 136th Ave. NE,
Kirkland, Wa. 98034

suggestion

MRN

10/13/92

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff, White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker;

I would like to offer a few thoughts on
the current Presidential campaign.

I feel your campaign has failed to take
advantage of one of the most examples of leadership
in recent times, Mr. Bush's handling of the gulf
crises. Why not revisit and recall more of the
accomplishments that garnered the President an
80% approval rating at that time.

I could envision a closing debate statement
somewhat along the lines of Mr. Bush saying: "Governor
Clinton has made repeated claims of failed
leadership. Does he really understand leadership?
If he were presented with a gulf crises would
he understand the complexities of building a multi-
nation coalition, getting their financial and
military support and walking a political tight
rope worldwide and with reluctant Democrats

at home to accomplish the stated objectives.

We could be living in a different world if we had not successfully met that challenge.

We could have witnessed the rise of a monolithic power in the mid-east with the accompanying bloodshed. We could envision a cruel despot in power, void of all feelings for fellow humans with his hand on the nuclear trigger. We could be living with a situation where Mr. Perot's fifty cents tap on gas would seem like peanuts.

"Yes, my friends leadership ability must be weighed carefully in this election."

There is vagueness in the economics area of the campaign. Along with the world wide recession, state the other reasons we are in an economic trough now - cut backs in military spending, military personnel being turned into job seekers, era of transition into global economy, etc, etc. Give the economic turnaround effort the priority it deserves. Turn resources of the cold war towards that effort, give it a 'Great Society' level of identity, make it #1 priority, etc etc. Flesh out your own personal role in turnaround.

Possibly the head of a bipartisan economic council with representation from labor, corporate America, small business, academia, etc. Some quick fixes like capital gains tax would be considered but primarily council would lay out a measured plan for America's economic redevelopment.

Family Values - there's been a vagueness in voter's minds about what they mean. No other group affects the warp and woof of our every day lives and determines what we as individuals or a nation are today or will be tomorrow. Explain family values and that healthy family offer moral inspirations, sets standards, offers an economic safety net, etc, etc.

This for any attention you may give to the thoughts of a middle class type citizen out in the hinterland.

Sincerely
Robt. Lightfeldt
ROBERT LIGHTFELDT

RTE. 3, BOX 516
JACKSONVILLE TEXAS 75766

JOE W. FORT

(903) 795-3751

suggestions
MM

DATE

Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C.

October 12, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker:

I do not know what last minute plans are being made for the President for the last debate, but all of us who are supporters hope he does much better than in the first.

I forward these suggestions. Do with them what you will. Clinton's weak point is his desire to compromise on all points. Hit him hard on his waffling. If Clinton's daughter required surgery, should Bill and Hillary be required to give legal consent?

Get specific price tags on the Clinton economic program. Clinton won't do this - help him out. Provide actual estimates on the tax-the-rich proposal to finance these as well as his tax break for the middle class. Clinton is vague. Let Mr. Bush be specific. Point out that the Kennedy's, the Foley's etc. in congress can hardly wait to get their legislative programs to a President who won't dare veto any on them.

If Clinton's proposed programs are so good for jobs and investments, why has the stock market not soared with Clinton in the lead in the polls? Maybe the real investors know something Clinton doesn't.

As for Perot. Consider a REAL gridlock. Agree with him on the need to address the deficit. Two years from now, if economy were booming it would be good to seriously consider some of his ideas, but not now. Focus on his quitting the game when things don't go his way. Focus on his use on private investigators. We don't need a Ross "Big Brother" Perot. What would he do with F.B.I. files? Perot deserves an attack in a presidential way.

I am sure these random thoughts have already been discussed, but I feel better sending them along.

Very cordially yours,

Joe W. Fort

10-12-92

NBW

suggestion

Dear Mr. Baker,

I've written to President Bush concerning a suggestion that I have in order to save this election. I've done everything I can do to get him elected but I'm scared to death that Clinton may win. Something has to be done immediately to get everyone's attention and to bring back those voters that are skeptical or for whatever reason, not listening to the President's message. This is a radical suggestion. It has never been done and the liberal media, Congress and especially Governor Clinton will not know how to handle it. It will blow everyone's mind and will show a sincerity on the President's part that cannot be equalled. It should regain the respect and trust of the American people as soon as it's done and it will completely surprise Clinton and leave him speechless. my suggestion is as follows: During the last debate, President Bush should hold up for the American people to see, a prepared official resignation from him, directed to Congress, which would become effective on the day that he raises taxes or cuts entitlements, if he's elected President. Then

Challenge Governor Clinton to do the same-
thing before the election. Mr. Baker, this
will blow the minds of the liberals in
Congress, the media and especially Governor
Clinton's. There's no way in hell, Clinton
can or will do the same thing. It will
kill his offensive and then the President
will have everyone's attention. If the
President elects to throw the bomb,
so to speak, surprise is paramount. The
element of surprise would no doubt damage
Clinton on the last debate when
80,000,000 people are watching. Time is of
the essence.

Yours truly,

Robert L. Elliott

4931 S.W. 167 Ave.

Ft. Lauderdale, Florida

33331

NEW
October 15, 1992
Mentor, MN 56736

For Mr. Baker's attention -

Re: The "V.P. Debate" & Al Gore's
'abortion thing' challenge to
V. Pres. Quayle.

Dear Mr. Baker:

Would like to have done this yesterday, by phone, - but without an '800 No.'
I got cold feet. - But it's never too late.

If Mr. Quayle is subjected again to that ridiculous challenge of Al Gore to
put to rest the 'abortion thing' - "By just repeating after me... etc., etc.,"
We all heard it!!; -- or if Pres. Bush is subjected to anything similar, - I
suggest something like the following: (to be recast as you see fit, of course, -
and delivered: loudly, firmly; well articulated, oratorical,)

"Sen. Gore, my answer to your ridiculous tactic is simply this:

"I should be confident that every citizen of these United States, 'one nation
under God' - including yourself, every man, and every woman - would agree with
the fundamental and foundational precepts articulated by the framers of the Decla-
ration of Independence, namely: "We hold these truths to be self-evident; that
all men (people) are created equal; that they are endowed by their creator with
certain inalienable rights; that among these are the right to life, liberty, and
the pursuit of happiness, - and so on..."; -- "the right to life", i.e., to be
born, - to live - in freedom. - And, this 'liberty' is most surely not an immoral
license to destroy another's life but to help him/her protect it.

"In this view, no one may presume to have the 'Constitutional right' - 'under
God' to eliminate another's inalienable right to life!! -- The framers of the
Constitution most surely did not intend to abrogate the fundamental precepts of
the Declaration of Independence."

("But, having emphasized this truth, let us remember another: This fact of
the truth I have mentioned does not stand in opposition to the fact that civil
government 'under God' "does not wield the sword in vain", -- And so, civil gov-
ernment may not presume to mitigate its authority and responsibility to chastise, --
for the purpose of ensuring civil order, hopefully with civil tranquility!")

(If the opponent is insistent, - didn't listen -- "You have my answer, Period."


(Ans, "I trust you can understand my answer!" - "Play it back to yourself"
(Edward W. Johnshoy - (Norweg.!) "Read my lips!!"
(Mentor, MN 56736 (pastor em., LC-MS) E.W. Johnshoy

E. W. Johnshoy
RR 1, Box 770
Mentor, MN 56736



Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Office Staff



1070 S. Clearview Ave. #8
Tampa, FL 33629
October 13, 1992

Mr. James Baker
White House Chief-of-Staff
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC

NON

support
suggestions

Dear Mr. Baker:

First, I would like to congratulate you and thank you as well for doing such a good job in our government, wherever you have been asked to lend your services.

I'm writing now to express the real concerns I have about a possible Clinton presidency, in the hope that among them there might be found an idea for President Bush to emphasize in the remaining weeks of this campaign.

The basis for my concern is the striking similarity between Governor Clinton and former President Jimmy Carter. Never, in my seventy-one years, have I felt apprehension for what was happening to the country as I did during the Carter administration. This feeling began the day he took office and lasted until with tremendous relief I saw him defeated. There is no greater issue, in my mind, during this campaign, than the threat of a repetition of the Carter years. I really believe that if the discouraging events which were the result of the Carter presidency were recounted and their ill effects impressed upon today's voters, at the same time pointing out the similarities between Messrs. Carter and Clinton, it would surely turn people away from Clinton.

From President Carter's "first-order-of-business" urgency in granting amnesty to draft-dodgers, to his naïve deception by Mr. Breshnev, to his giving away the Panama Canal, to his friendly relations with the Sandinistas, to his double-digit inflation, to his "malaise" speech, to his handwringing helplessness in the face of the year-long humiliation by the events in Iran, there was so much to criticize it is difficult to understand how the public could not only forget, but now even consider electing a nearly identical type of individual.

The real question should be: Are we still better off in 1992 than we were in 1980? And the answer has to be an emphatic "YES!"

Not only that, but if we also ask: Are we better off in 1992 than we would have been had Jimmy Carter been re-elected? Again, the answer has to be a resounding "YES!"

Why, then, subject the country to another Carter-like presidency?

Governor Clinton Sunday night said that this election was about hope and fear. My GREATEST fear is the prospect of another Jimmy Carter in the White House! I hope that can be avoided.

Sincerely,

David C. G. Belaire
David C. G. Belaire

22. 3

Andrew S. Hasselbring, Ph.D.
Route 1 Box 517 D
Bartlesville, OK 74003
918/ 333-6165

suggestions
WPN

Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, D.C. 20500

October 13, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

I am a simple Republican with a doctorate in American History. One of my fields of study is American Presidents in the Twentieth Century. I am not a political operative, and to date I have watched the present campaign silently.

But no more. Bob Squires on this morning's "Today" show was the last straw. When Roger Ailes brought up Clinton and the draft, Squires rolled his eyes and his face said: why don't you talk about something real? Squires made me mad enough to write because he and the Clinton campaign have snookered the media, the American people, and--apparently--the Bush campaign into believing that the draft question is not a real issue.

It is. Most emphatically, it is. If polls show that few Americans care about Clinton's draft record, it is because the survey questions have not been asked right, and because the media and the Bush campaign have not educated them on the issue. I cannot believe that a majority of Americans do not care if their president was a draft "dodger." Stop now, for a moment, and think: which would you choose: My president is a war hero. My president is a draft dodger.

This issue is Clinton's jugular. It is his Achilles's heel. But the many and substantial defenses Clinton has built around himself on this issue must be penetrated. The media will not, are not doing it for the Bush campaign. It is time to take off the gloves. Clinton has already labeled the Republicans as the masters of negative campaigning--so go for it. At this point, you have nothing to lose. But the secret to success is to hammer Clinton on the character issue. No more discreet mentions on talk shows by Republican operatives. Hammer it. Be outraged. Be emotional. Set the stage. Don't do it where the opposition or reporters have a chance to reply. Do it in public speeches and in ads.

Here are some concrete ideas.

1. From now until the election run feel-good ads focusing on George Bush's exemplary character. Then, right before the

election run an ad like this: on a black screen list one-by-one the many ways Bill Clinton pulled strings (something Americans hate) to "dodge the draft." Then, fade into a panning shot of the Vietnam Memorial and the announcer asks: When Bill Clinton evaded the draft in 1969, who took his place?

2. Another ad could show what George Bush was doing 23 years ago, and what Bill Clinton was doing (using stock footage of peaceful anti-war protests).

3. Encourage Vietnam vets to protest Clinton's draft dodging wherever Clinton goes.

Right now, Clinton has backed the Bush campaign into the corner saying that any attack on Clinton's draft dodging is dredging up the past and is questioning Clinton's patriotism. Damn right! And don't back away from that. Forget the tsk, tsk, tsk you will hear from the media--they have written Bush off anyway. (Every day I hear reporters describe the Bush campaign with words like "failing" and "desperate.") Play to your strength: the common, middle American voter who believes in patriotism and in serving our country.

If you don't believe the draft issue is that important than think about this: In the primaries, did not Clinton himself become extremely depressed when his draft "dodging" was revealed? Only the wiles and hutzpah of his campaign manager has kept it from ruining Clinton's chances for the White House. If they are not worried about it, then why have they bothered to erect so many defenses against it?

Time is running out. Clinton's draft record, not the economy is the issue. A president can do little for the economy. But a president must be able to call men into the military. I, for one, will be ashamed to have a draft dodger for president.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Andrew S. Hasselbring". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Andrew" being the most prominent part.

Andrew S. Hasselbring, Ph.D.

now

term
limitation

October 14, 1992
18 Sunnyside Terrace
Wilbraham, MA 01095

Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I know you are a busy man. I am a christian mother and wife very concerned about the way the election is headed. I plan to vote for President Bush and Vice President Quayle. My husband took a pay cut when he left industry to teach at a college a little over three years ago. We know what a pay cut means especially in this economy. I want to suggest if the President and Vice President would voluntarily take a pay cut this might really speak to the people and also the Democratic Congress which I understand just passed a pay raise for themselves! My other question to you is whether there could be a ceiling on the number of terms Congressman serve as there is for the President and Vice President? How could this be done? I am very concerned that changes be made in the way Congress is run.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Curry
Elizabeth A. Curry

suppatt/
pusscott

Oct 13, 1992

2242 Canterbury Dr

Mechanicsburg Pa
17055

James Baker
Dear Sir

NRN

Lets hit Clinton on this one!
(attachment)

We must never lose control
of the aims of our military
forces.

Tell President Bush to hit
harder!

Yours Truly
J J Long

CLASSIFIED

S'92 cy & urity

L CLINTON



Clinton has outlined ideas that range from a more aggressive effort to stop the proliferation of military technology to giving the United Nations a permanent rapid deployment force.

*Hit him with
this one
Who can
best deal
with the
turbulence*

By David Wood
Washington Bureau

The last time Americans elected a president, a hard-line Politburo held sway in the Kremlin, the Pentagon was investing billions in new nuclear weapons and the United States was paying anti-communist fighters in Afghanistan, Angola and Nicaragua. America's enemies were clearly identifiable villains. And America's strategy was a simple and familiar one of nuclear deterrence and diplomatic "containment" of the Soviet Bear.

Today those certainties are gone. Worse, the era many had hoped would be

No!

TO: JAMES BAKER
FAX NUMBER (202) 456-2883

FROM: W. HOWARTH MEADOWCROFT
PHONE NUMBER (602) 282-6953

**FOR IMMEDIATE
DELIVERY**

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

Number of pages including this cover page 4

Please check transmission after last page is received. If not completely received or if poorly copied, please call secretary at (602) 282-6953.

Memorandum

To: James Baker
From: W. Howarth Meadowcroft & David Napp
Date: October 16, 1992
Subject: Last Minute Campaign Strategy.

(Left)

NW

East meets West with a few thoughts of the day.

David Napp, 31 year old businessman, New York attorney, and an owner/operator of this Sedona tennis resort - Enchantment and Howie Meadowcroft, 63 year old Weyerhaeuser executive at our unit at Sedona resort this week, offer some quick last minute campaign strategies to see if they fly!!

Today's press coverage has not reported a change in the voter polls either way. "Polls" say Perot supporters are evenly split between Bush and Clinton when asked who they would vote for if they knew Perot could not win the presidency.

**THEREFORE, YOU NEED TO BRING ROSS PEROT ON YOUR TEAM
IN A SPECIAL POSITION AS "ECONOMIC CZAR" IMMEDIATELY!!!**

If you Jim Baker (Washington D.C.) by personally meeting with Ross Perot (Dallas) by phonovision or any other means of communication that you feel advisable and propose a plan similar to the following two page strategy making Ross the "Economic Czar" for the Bush administration, we firmly believe the election can be won by Bush.

It is obvious that Ross Perot must agree.

We are at your disposal for any questions, comments or aid you may need if our plan interests you. You can contact us 24 hours a day at (602) 282-2900 at Enchantment Resort, 525 Boynton Canyon Road, Sedona, AZ 86336.

Take it from here!

Creating a Special "Economic Task Force" Position for Ross Perot

I. Strategy: To create a special "economic task force" position for Mr. Ross Perot within the Bush administration that will allow Ross to implement (a) his economic plans in order to decrease the national deficit over a four-year period; and (b) his other domestic economic plans to create jobs and build a long-term sound economic foundation for America.

- A. Ross would be directly working with President Bush and answerable only to President Bush.
- B. Position must allow Ross to "freewheel" and to act without the traditional constraints of the "gridlock" government that Ross has been campaigning against.
- C. When Ross and President Bush decide upon any final economic strategies, they must have the 100% backing of President Bush and the entire Republican hierarchy including the Republican Senators and Congressmen.
- D. Ross must be able to address Congress directly in proposing economic plans, he must be in a position to directly work with Congress and "get things done" and "plans implemented" in a business-like manner.

II. Positive Points:

- 1. Mr. Perot has the best business background of the three candidates.
- 2. Has a "working man's" mentality and manner of speech that is appealing to middle and lower income workers.
- 3. Has a genuine concern for the American youth and future opportunities for the American Family.
- 4. Has a genuine concern for "helping" America face up to its fiscal problems.
- 5. Will work for free allowing the government the rare opportunity to get genius talent at no cost.
- 6. Wants a "fast action" plan for fiscal overhaul to be completed within four years.
- 7. Will need plan drafted within 100 days of the election.
- 8. Appears to be a no-nonsense, get the job done leader, that if left alone to work on fiscal problems will come up with innovative practical solutions to real problems based on the "great plans that are all over Washington".

III. Suggested Timing of Announcement

- A. Monday, October 19, 1992 at the beginning of the Presidential Debate.

It appears that Governor Clinton will not be making any "Dukakis" like mistakes during the debates, as Clinton is clearly "playing out the clock" with a substantial lead over President Bush in the national polls. In order for President Bush to make up the ground he needs to win the election, a

nmw

suggestion

P.O. Box 83
Tuckerman AR 72473
October 12, 1992

James Baker
Campaign Director
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

President Bush gets to get tougher and more specific on Clinton's character.

Clinton dodged the call of the commander-in-chief. Now he wants to be commander-in-chief. While others were serving their country, Clinton was organizing protests against our government and vacationing in Moscow. Moscow was the communist headquarters that backed the Viet Cong.

Clinton has been excused on the basis that he was a student. But he was an ADULT of 23! Our country holds those over 18 responsible for what they do. If Clinton can be excused on the basis that he was 23, then so can those who commit crimes at the age of 23 and younger. If not, why not?

President Bush should quote General Schwartzkoff who questioned Clinton's ability to lead if there is an unpopular war during his presidency. How can he expect young men to serve their country and exercise authority over them? They can say "our president dodged the draft, so can we!" There will be a breakdown of authority. The president should press this issue.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dick Blackford
Dick Blackford

D'ARCANGELO & CO.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

3010 Westchester Ave., Purchase, New York 10577-2538

Tel: 914-694-4600

FAX: 914-694-3658

To: White House Chief of Staff James Baker

Subject: Thoughts Re Campaign Strategy

From: Rick D'Arcangelo

Date: October 19, 1992

TWO MAJOR AREAS OF CONCERN

1. We should refute unfounded charges and assumptions.
2. Stress the positive accomplishments of President Bush.

1. Unfounded Charges And Assumptions

President Bush has been made the fall guy for all of the problems of America.

Let us review some over which he has had little or no control.

- a. The distressed economic conditions of major countries of the world and the effect thereof on our imports and exports and the loss of thousands of jobs because of such.
- b. The part played by the Democrat controlled Congress. There should be an A.B.C. listing of the many areas in which their conduct has adversely affected the budget, the deficit and jobs.
- c. New technology which has cut out many jobs.
- d. The SURVIVAL steps taken by industry to cut costs, primarily jobs.
- e. The emergence of world wide competition in all fields.
- f. Is the President responsible for the short comings in industrial direction and changes?
- g. The loss of jobs because of changes from a war time economy and cold war setting to a peace time economy.

D'ARCANGELO & CO.

To: White House Chief Of Staff James Baker
October 19, 1992
Page 2.

2. Positive Accomplishments

In areas over which he has control and has excelled and received little or no credit:

- a. His part in ending the cold war. Think of the benefits to all because of such.
- b. The defeat of Sudam - the manner in which President Bush rallied world support and the U.N. was masterful.
 - 1) Where would oil prices be today if Sudam gained control of a large part of the world's oil supply? What would have been the effect on inflation and the economy?
 - 2) The conflict ended with all of our troops coming home safely. Every mother and family member should rejoice over the unbelievable success over Sudam. Many family members could have been lost if not for the outstanding leadership of President Bush.
- c. U.S. diplomacy in creating world wide cooperation in most areas, especially in the Middle East.

Suggestions

1. Have others than the President hit home on subjects covered by Quayle in his debate with Gore?

The personal attacks on Clinton by the President although justified are backfiring. In this respect Clinton has had the support of media and most commentators. Right or wrong, Clinton's carnival approach with select topics that he has repeated over and over has been successful.

D'ARCANGELO & CO.

To: White House Chief Of Staff James Baker
October 19, 1992
Page 3.

2. If the President is to be responsible for everything, challenge the responsibility of Congress. Is it to serve their respective parties and personal interests or the country?
3. As to the budget deficit and debt problems, list in simple clean language the causes for the problems and the specific steps to correct them. This topic is a major concern to all.

Mr. Baker, you have faced many difficult tasks before and have been successful. To repeat, the media and commentators have been unAmerican and unprofessional in their conduct. Yet, I believe you can carry the Republican torch to victory.

God bless you.

cc: Senator Dole
Senator D'Amato
Senator Gramm
William Buckley

10/20: bmm called → spoke to "Dottie" Told her WH could not provide a "memento" for this. NRV

C. D. EAST JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

628 RUTHERFORD ROAD
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17109
717 / PHONE 545-4703

WHARTON H. BITTLE, JR.
PRINCIPAL

ROBERT G. BRANDT
ASSISTANT PRINCIPAL

September 25, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker :

As in the past, my Civic's classes are conducting a non-partisan
VOTING REGISTRATION PROJECT for the fall General Election.

The students in the past Civic's classes have registered over
2000 new voters, and we will definately add to that figure this year.

Mr. Baker, these students are putting alot of effort
to obtain new voters, we are asking you to please donate an
item or memento, that I can award to these hard working teens.

Thank you Mr. Baker for your kind attention to this matter.

Respectfully yours,

Bob McCloskey

Mr. Robert McCloskey
Civics teacher

Bob

CENTRAL DAUPHIN EAST
JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL
628 RUTHERFORD ROAD
HARRISBURG, PA. 17109

TODAY WE LEARN

TOMORROW WE SERVE



MR. JAMES BAKER
CHIEF OF STAFF
WHITE HOUSE
1600 PA. AVE.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500

NRN

DAVID C. FRASER
POST OFFICE BOX 524
VOORHEES, NEW JERSEY 08043
609/346-4658

October 18, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I've been a committed Republican all of my adult life. I believe it is more imperative than ever before to do everything possible to prevent the Democratic candidate from winning election to the Presidency.

The thing of greatest importance is the character of the candidates. Although I'm quite aware that President Bush is not perfect, Bill Clinton is a rogue and significantly deficient in character. Any man who does not first determine the principles by which he will make choices - and then live within the framework which that foundation gives him - does not deserve to be the President of this Nation.

If we rank our National priorities by determining those things which are the greatest threats to our continuation as the greatest country in the world, then I believe that the budget deficit - and specifically, the consequences of not immediately reversing its foreboding trend - is our number-one enemy. Nothing less than drastic action is called for to halt America's economic decline. The studies of the Grace Commission confirm this truth.

The question becomes: How can a Presidential candidate propose taking the necessary action, since it certainly wouldn't be a very popular platform, and still gain election?

Page Two
The Honorable James A. Baker III
October 18, 1992

I want to make a bold recommendation. Why don't you make and immediately announce a deal that, when President Bush is re-elected, Ross Perot will be appointed to chair a Task Force of private-sector business leaders, which will be empowered to solve the deficit problem - not just to study it, but actually to bury it.


It would appear that Mr. Perot's foremost objective is embodied in this recommendation. He isn't really an electable candidate; but he does have the necessary talent, the patriotic passion and the "take no prisoners" approach to such things - all of which are prerequisites to getting this serious situation under control.

Furthermore, I believe that such a pre-emptive action as this is mandatory to secure the re-election of President Bush.

Just as a point of reference - I'm 50 years old, a former investment banker; presently Vice-Chairman of Texas State Optical and Chairman of a small oil trading company. I've been a member of the President's Club and the Senatorial Inner Circle; and I love America.

I'm praying for our victory - not simply because I respect President Bush, but because I believe the very integrity of our Nation is at stake in this election.

GOD BLESS AMERICA!



David Fraser

Master
Development
Incorporated

The Greens of Laurel Oak
1181 Laurel Oak Road, Suite 108
Voorhees, New Jersey 08043

THE HONORABLE JAMES A. BAKER III
CHIEF OF STAFF
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D. C 20500

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02. Letter	From Yuhanna Bedikoglu To President Bush Re: Plans (1 pp.)	10/19/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [15]

Date Closed: 9/13/2001	OA/ID Number: 93012-005
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

TO: <i>Jim Baker</i>	FROM: <i>Suean McKel</i>	DATE: <i>1/1</i>
FAX # <i>202-456-2461</i>	FAX # <i>205-321-2300</i>	PAGES INCLUDING THIS PAGE: <i>8</i>
	PHONE # <i>205-231-2761</i>	

VICTORY '92

*10/20 CJ spoke w/ Alice in her office to say "Thanks, good ideas, etc."
Said we'd share with political affairs/campaign*

October 16, 1992

Mr. James Baker III
Chief of Staff
White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C.

RE: Campaign Progress: Fighting the Successful Marketing of Misery

Dear Mr. Baker:

The good news is that our local ad campaign is helping motivate long-term Bush or Republican supporters who have never bother to register to vote to come in and register. My office is serving as a deputy registration point and in the last week we have registered over 100 voters alone, many of whom are middle-aged or elderly that have never registered to vote before. Many of them have made comments that they are registering for the first time because their candidate is in trouble and needs their help. One hundred voters may not sound like a lot, but in a town of 14,000, I think it's very positive. I hope my office is a microcosm of a national trend, but I don't know.

Many of these voters are also referencing the ad campaign that we have been running in the local newspaper (without having any way of knowing that I am involved in that). Our local campaign predominantly has a theme of "Don't Be a Victim of Media Bias." Our ads referencing the national campaign (we also have ones for state races) are stressing three areas:

- (1) domestic accomplishments of President Bush in his first term;
- (2) Clinton's record in Arkansas, including where Arkansas ranks nationally in key areas and putting his claims of No. 1 in growth and No. 2 tax burden in perspective; and
- (3) putting this past recession in historical perspective, and educating people as to the benefits of low inflation and low interest rates.

As I said above, these strategies are working in Alabama to motivate traditionally conservative citizens to register to vote for the first time.

In talking to a lot of people in this county, I can also tell you the following.

The people that voted for President Bush in the last election that are planning to vote for Clinton or Perot don't have solid reasons. They have this vague sense that "President Bush could have done a better job." When I press them to give me a specific example, they can't.

The Democrats can only win if they market misery well enough that most voters believe that the country is in worse shape than it actually is. The media has been assisting them in this regard because it sells papers and TV commercials.

The Democrats are succeeding on a national basis. The frustrating aspect of this is that the facts are on our side, but no one, especially the President are choosing to make the case, in specifics, to the American public.

If President Bush wants to win the election, I believe that he must:

(1) Use detailed comparisons on inflation, interest rates, and most importantly unemployment with prior recessions to show that this one has been milder than any peacetime recession since 1960. Most Americans believe that unemployment is at an all-time high. All President Bush has said in the debates to refute that is that "the economy isn't that bad."

In case you didn't watch the TV after the debate, NBC was polling an audience that watched the debate and interviewed several Bush supporters that changed their mind after the debate to vote for Clinton because "Bush doesn't want to change things enough." People think a change is needed because they think things are worse than they really are. Someone has to refute that with facts!

(2) Fire back at Clinton about where Arkansas ranks nationally after 12 years of his tenure. Clinton is attacking Bush on his record with details right and left, and President Bush isn't refuting one claim of how great Clinton has managed in Arkansas. Last night in the debate, when the question came up on crime, for example, would have been a perfect time for President Bush to say, "my opponent may talk tough on crime, but, Arkansas ranks 50th in per capita police spending, 48th in per capita spending on corrections, and 50th in per capita justice system spending.

If President Bush can't take off the gloves a little to fight Clinton on anything other than his character, when Clinton's record is so bad, than he will lose this election.

We have people coming into register to vote that have moved from Arkansas, and you should hear the horror stories that they tell. One man came in earlier this week and said that he had to register to vote so he could vote for Bush because his brothers in

Arkansas were voting for Clinton, so that they could get rid of him as governor.

(3) **Emphasize more specifically what he has accomplished in his first four years domestically.** President Bush's reference last night to the highway bill was good but too sparse. He missed the chance to tell people that he had doubled money on Head Start, his improvements to the program, and his proposed increases. He missed the opportunity to tell them that he had moved 2400 FBI agents onto the street to fight violent street crime and that he had established the first federal boot camps for criminals. He didn't tell people that he raised exports 40% in his term and reduced the national trade deficit by 40%. **If he doesn't tell the American people what he's done, who is going to?**


I've included copies of a couple of our ads that are proving effective. If you would like a copy of all of them, please call me at 205-234-2761.

We will do our part and get a record turnout in this county that votes for Bush. Nationally, if President Bush loses this election, I can only attribute it to some "group think" thing that is dominating the entire campaign headquarters in Washington.

I wish this letter would get through to someone who can listen to it. Your current strategy isn't working, in case you haven't noticed. We may be in Alabama, which you are sure of carrying, so this letter doesn't attract any attention, but many of the same problems and concerns that affect Americans are concerning those here in the Alabama heartland. Our unemployment situation isn't bad, but then again, it isn't in most of America. Thanks to the successful marketing of misery by the media and the Democratic campaigns, the American people think that their situation is much worse than it is. It is a lack of leadership on President Bush's part to not correct their perception with some facts. I realize that this must be done carefully so that he doesn't sound callous, but trying a few facts couldn't hurt. The existing approach hasn't worked.

If you don't listen to my letter, at least get some Ph.D. candidate in there so that he can at least get a wonderful case study of "group think" down for posterity. If President Bush loses the election, it is attributable 100% to a poorly run and strategized campaign that has let the media dupe the American people into thinking that they are in much worse shape than they are. Then President Bush will truly be guilty for the first time of the lack of leadership of which he has been accused.

Sincerely,



Susan Moore McEllen, J.D.

In 1976, when Jimmy Carter was elected,

inflation was 3.6%
(It's now 3.1%)

the prime rate was 6.5%
(it's now 6%)

unemployment was 7.5%
(it's now 7.5%)

**Four years later,
after a combination of
Carter and the Democratic Congress**

inflation was 13.5%
the prime rate was 21.5%
unemployment was 10.8%

It took us twelve years to recover...

***We Can't Afford
a Repeat Performance!***

Vote for a Change!

Vote Bush/Quayle and for a Republican Congress

Paid for by the Tallapoosa County Victory '92 Committee
Gerald Knight, Chairman

Don't Be A Victim of Misleading Ads

It's true...Arkansas has the 2nd Lowest Tax Burden
That's because after 12 years of Bill Clinton,
Its people have the 2nd Lowest Income!

It's true...Arkansas has a great job growth rate
(along with the rest of the Southeast)
When your base is ground zero
it's easy to have a high growth rate

With all that growth--
Arkansas still ranks *last in youth employment*
First in youth unemployment!

After 12 years of Bill Clinton
Arkansas is 48th
in the % of people living in poverty
Only 2 states are poorer

Yet its tax burden is considered
more "unfair" than 43 other states

Do We Want Clinton to Do to America
What He's Done to Arkansas?

Vote for the Proven Candidate--Vote Bush/Quayle

Paid for Tallapoosa County Victory '92 Committee
Gerald Knight, Chairman

Don't Be a Victim of Media Bias

Before You Vote Get The Facts

Look at What George Bush Accomplished Despite the Gridlock Congress

- ① Raised exports 40%, reclaiming America's position as the world's top exporter...
- ② Inflation and interest rates are at their lowest levels in 20 years, making homes more affordable and business more profitable
- ③ Doubled funding for Head Start
- ④ Secured enactment of the *Americans with Disabilities Act*--a historic civil rights law
- ⑤ Enforced environmental crime laws, obtaining a record 55 convictions, with \$91 million in fines
- ⑥ Farm exports reached \$40.1 billion in 1990, the highest level since 1981

These are just a few of the accomplishments
of the first four years of George Bush

Accomplishments Through Leadership Both in the U.S. *and* the World

Paid for the Tallapoosa County Victory '92 Committee
Gerald Knight, Chairman

Don't Be a Victim of Media Bias

Before You Vote Get The Facts!

After 12 years as Governor of Arkansas
Arkansas ranks, compared to other states:

(On a Scale of 1 to 50)

48th in disposable personal income

47th in per capita income

48th in percentage of people living in poverty

44th in tax fairness

50th for lack of government action to
protect the environment

48th in overall environmental quality

42nd in percent of clean rivers and streams

10th highest in per capita ozone depleting
emissions

***Do We Want Clinton to Do to America
What He's Done as
Arkansas's Governor?***

Get The Facts Before You Vote!

Paid for by Alexander City Victory '92 Committee
Gerald Knight, Chairman

Don't Be a Victim of Media Bias

Before You Vote Get The Facts

How Bad Was This Recession?

Compare this last Recession
to the Carter Recession

	Carter 1980	Bush 1992
Inflation	13.5%	3.1%
Prime Rate	21.5%	6.0%
Unemployment	10.7%	7.8%

Other Key Facts:

The number of Alabamians employed *now is greater* than ever before...

Under President Bush, the national trade deficit *fell 40%* to its lowest level in ten years...

Get The Facts Before You Vote!

Paid for by Alexander City Victory '92 Committee
Gerald Knight, Chairman



L.I. BATH INC.

FAX MESSAGE

TO:	MR. JAMES BAKER
	White House
FROM:	JOHN ROBBINS
	L.I. BATH

TOTAL PAGES TRANSMITTED: 4

OUR FAX # 516-432-2291

OUR PHONE # 516-432-2284

1 of 3

received 10/17/92
NRW

MR. JAMES BAKER
CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

DEAR MR. BAKER:

AT THE RISK OF BEING PRESUMPTUOUS, I THOUGHT I COULD ADD SOME "ORDINARY CITIZEN INSIGHT" INTO THE PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE TO ENABLE THE PRESIDENT TO BETTER ATTACK GOV. CLINTON'S PLAN FOR THE FUTURE OF OUR COUNTRY AND PROMOTE HIS OWN.

FIRSTLY, I THINK THE PRESIDENT SHOULD CAREFULLY EXPLAIN THE NATURE OF THIS RECESSION, WHY UNEMPLOYMENT HAS NOT FALLEN, SHOWING EVERYONE THAT HE IS NOT OUT OF TOUCH:

- 1.) HE SHOULD POINT OUT THAT WE ARE IN A NEW TYPE OF "INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION" AS COMPANIES SEEK TO UPGRADE THEIR PLANTS AND EQUIPMENT TO BECOME MORE COMPETITIVE IN THE GLOBAL MARKETS AND REDUCE LABOR REQUIREMENTS. THIS HAS DISPLACED MANY WORKERS.
- 2.) THE SAME HOLDS TRUE FOR OFFICE EMPLOYMENT. AS COMPANIES BRING IN MORE COMPUTERS, THEY NEED LESS OFFICE PERSONNEL.
- 3.) WHEN THE DEMOCRATS MAKE BIG CUTS IN DEFENSE SPENDING, WORKERS ARE PUT OUT OF WORK. IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR A COMPANY MAKING MISSILES TO MOVE INTO THE CONSUMER GOODS INDUSTRY IN 1 OR EVEN 2 YEARS. NO GOVERNMENT PROGRAM CAN CHANGE THAT.
- 4.) THERE HAS BEEN AN EXPANSION OF THE SUPER-STORE TYPE OF DISCOUNT OUTLET THAT IS GOOD FOR CONSUMERS, BUT MAKES IT HARDER FOR SMALL RETAILERS TO COMPETE. MANY MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE ARE RETAIL BUSINESS OWNERS, AND THEY ARE STRUGGLING TO STAY ALIVE.
- 5.) THE COMPETITION FROM ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS THAT TAKE MOST OF THE START-UP JOBS FROM PEOPLE IN THE INNER CITY.
- 6.) AMERICANS NOT BUYING PRODUCTS MADE IN AMERICA BY AMERICAN WORKERS. FOR EVERY 10(?) FOREIGN MADE CARS BOUGHT BY AMERICANS, 1 AMERICAN AUTO WORKER LOSES HIS OR HER JOB.

ONCE HE SHOWS THAT HE UNDERSTANDS THE PROBLEM, HE CAN SHOW WHAT HE HAS DONE ALREADY OR CAN BE DONE TO SOLVE IT:

- 1.) NOBODY CAN STOP COMPANIES FROM AUTOMATING. IT SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED AS NEW TYPES OF JOBS WILL EVENTUALLY BE CREATED. IF A MACHINE MAKES A CAR,

2 OF 3

YOU STILL NEED A WORKER TO MAKE THAT MACHINE, OR FIX THAT MACHINE, OR SELL THAT MACHINE TO THE AUTO INDUSTRY.

- 2.) I THINK PRES. BUSH HAS DONE A GREAT JOB OF ENCOURAGING FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THIS COUNTRY. ALMOST ALL JAPANESE CAR COMPANIES PRODUCE CARS HERE, AND NOW B.M.W. HAS COMMITTED TO A PLANT. IN ADDITION, I.B.M. HAS JUST COMPLETED A DEAL WITH A JAPANESE AND GERMAN COMPANY TO DEVELOP AND MANUFACTURE NEW COMPUTER CHIPS IN THIS COUNTRY.
- 3.) REPUBLICANS WANT TO CUT THE DEFENSE INDUSTRY MORE SLOWLY, REDUCING THE DANGER TO OUR SECURITY AND NOT THROWING MORE AMERICANS OUT OF WORK.
- 4.) THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT WITH MEXICO SHOULD REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS COMING INTO THE COUNTRY.
- 5.) BILL CLINTON'S PROPOSAL OF HAVING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INDUSTRIES OF THE FUTURE GOES AGAINST THE AMERICAN WAY. WE HAVE THE STOCK MARKET AND VENTURE CAPITAL TO DO THIS. IT DIDN'T WORK IN EASTERN EUROPE, N. KOREA, CHINA, SOVIET UNION. THERE IS NO GUARANTEE IT WILL WORK HERE. GOOD BUSINESSES WILL HAVE TO FIGHT FOR CAPITAL WITH BUSINESSES THE GOVERNMENT WANTS TO SUPPORT. THE ONLY THING THAT WILL BE CREATED WILL BE A LARGER BUREAUCRACY.
- **** 6.) THE PRESIDENT SHOULD ALSO TELL AMERICANS THAT THEY CAN GET THEMSELVES OUT OF THIS RECESSION WITHOUT ANY GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS:
 - A.) MAKE AN EFFORT TO BUY PRODUCTS MADE IN AMERICA BY AMERICAN WORKERS.
 - B.) MANUFACTURING, DISTRIBUTION AND WHOLESALE COMPANIES MUST INVEST IN NEW PRODUCTS SO THEY HAVE MORE TO SELL.
 - C.) RETAILERS SHOULD EXPAND THEIR OPERATIONS BY EITHER OFFERING NEW PRODUCTS FOR THEIR EXISTING CUSTOMERS OR EXPANDING SERVICES.
 - D.) ASK IF THE AMERICAN ENTERPRENUERIAL SPIRIT HAS FALLEN SO FAR THAT PEOPLE FEEL THAT ONLY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN MAKE THEM PROSPEROUS AGAIN.

CLINTON'S DRAFT-DODGING SHOULD BE TALKED ABOUT MORE IN "PATRIOTIC" TERMS THAN IN "CHARACTER" TERMS WHICH DOESN'T SEEM TO BE WORKING:

HOW CAN ANY PATRIOTIC AMERICAN NOT GET SICK THINKING ABOUT BILL CLINTON ON MEMORIAL DAY PLACING A WREATH ON THE TOMB OF THE "UNKNOWN SOLDIER". OR COULD WE BE SURE HE WOULDN'T BE SMILING TO HIMSELF AS HE PASSED THE VIETNAM

3 of 3

MEMORIAL SINCE HE WAS HAVING A JOLLY GOOD TIME IN ENGLAND AND "VACATIONING IN RUSSIA" (HIS TERMS) WHILE GUYS WERE DYING IN HIS PLACE IN THE JUNGLE. AMERICANS MUST ASK THEMSELVES HOW THIS MAN CAN ORDER MEN INTO BATTLE WHEN HE HIMSELF WAS TOO SLICK TO SERVE?

NEXT THE PRESIDENT SHOULD CONTINUE TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE PAY ENOUGH IN TAXES, AND THAT THE PEOPLE SHOULD NOT BE BLAMED FOR THE LARGE DEFICIT. THE GOVERNMENT CREATED THIS DEFICIT, SO WHY PUNISH THE TAXPAYERS?

- 1.) GOV. CLINTON "INVEST AND SPEND" PLAN MUST BE SHOWN TO BE COMPLETELY WRONG. THE PRESIDENT IS CORRECT WHEN HE SAYS THAT IT'S OUR MONEY, WE EARNED IT, IT BELONGS TO US, AND IT SHOULD BE INVESTED AS WE SEE FIT.
- 2.) EVERYONE KNOWS THAT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ARE WAY TOO INEFFICIENT. THE PRESIDENT SHOULD POINT TO THE POST OFFICE, WHICH WAS OPERATING WITH 1 SUPERVISOR FOR EVERY 6 PEOPLE, AS AN EXAMPLE OF GOVERNMENT WASTE. LET THEM CUT THE WASTE BEFORE THEY GET ANY MORE OF OUR MONEY.
- 3.) WHAT ABOUT THE WELFARE SYSTEM? IF YOU THINK IT HAS WORKED, THEN VOTE DEMOCRATIC - THEY CREATED IT. IF YOU'RE NOT ANGERED BY THE WASTE AND DON'T MIND THE GOVERNMENT "INVESTING" YOUR TAX DOLLARS IN LOW INCOME HOUSING OR HOMELESS SHELTERS IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, THEN MAKE BILL CLINTON YOUR NEXT PRESIDENT AND SEND MORE DEMOCRATS TO CONGRESS.

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE THE PRESIDENT "TEACH US" ABOUT FOREIGN AFFAIRS; WHAT HE SEES AS THE ANTICIPATED FUTURE PROBLEMS IN EUROPE; WHAT HIS PLAN IS TO STABILIZE THE MID-EAST; WHAT THE EMERGENCE OF THE PACIFIC RIM COUNTRIES MEAN TO AMERICANS. NONE OF THE OTHER CANDIDATES CAN TOUCH HIM ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS.

HE AND THE REPUBLICANS ARE GETTING A BUM RAP ON FAVORING THE RICH BY CUTTING THE CAPITAL GAINS TAX. HE MUST POINT OUT THAT THIS TAX MAKES THE GOVERNMENT YOUR PARTNER WHEN YOU SELL YOUR HOUSE OR BUSINESS. IN ADDITION, IT IS NOT ONLY THE RICH WHO BUY AND SELL STOCKS OR INVESTMENTS, AND THIS TAX ACTUALLY HURTS THE MIDDLE-CLASS ACCUMULATE WEALTH WHICH IN THE PAST HAS MADE OUR COUNTRY GREAT.

REGARDING CLINTON'S MANDATORY HEALTH PLAN, THE PRESIDENT SHOULD SHOW HOW IT WILL BURDEN SMALL BUSINESSES WITH MORE TAXES, AND ACTUALLY FORCE THESE BUSINESSES TO REDUCE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES AND SWITCH TO MORE PART-TIME EMPLOYEES THAT WILL NOT BE COVERED.

FINALLY, THE PRESIDENT SHOULD POINT OUT HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO HAVE CONSERVATIVE JUSTICES ON THE SUPREME COURT PROTECTING THE LAW-ABIDING CITIZENS RATHER THAN FAVORING THE CRIMINALS.

**Broad - Breck Development Corp.**9119 Brookpark Road
Parma, Ohio 44129

Phone: (216) 351-5511

Fax: (216) 351-5125

10/20
MMPLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:NAME: MR. JAMES BAKERFIRM: THE FUTURE OF PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSHFAX NO: [202] 456-2461NO. PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 15SENDER: CHARLES GEORGESENDER'S FAX NO.: [216] 351-5561If you do not receive all of the pages
transmitted, please call [216] 351-5511.*** CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ***

The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

COMMENTS: URGENT — PLEASE MR. BAKER
GIVE THIS TO PRESIDENT BUSH
TO READ IMMEDIATELY BEFORE
MONDAY'S PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE.

Mr. President I'm at your service,
to help protect America's future —


GOOD LUCK ON Monday and "WIN ONE
FOR THE GIPPER!"

Charles George



Mikhail S. Gorbachev finds the entrance blocked to his think tank in Moscow yesterday. Russian President Boris Yeltsin for the foundation's office building in his long-running feud with Gorbachev. Story on Page 5-A

Clinton punch up messages



Democrat saying hard work ahead

By MILES BENSON
NEWHOUSE NEWS SERVICE

KANSAS CITY, Mo.

With the prospect of victory growing, Bill Clinton's campaign message of "change" is undergoing a subtle — but significant — adjustment. **PP**

Much of the change Clinton now tells voters will have to be in themselves, in their expectations of government, in their values and the way they live and work.

Though Clinton is not using the word "sacrifice," the Democratic candidate and his staff are preparing everyone from welfare mothers and union members to students and corporate CEOs for changes in their way of life — changes they won't always find easy.

What Clinton is telling people is his administration "will not be a total free ride," said Curtis Gans, director of the Committee for the Study of the American Electorate. "I'd bet the first thing he does in his administration is create some form of national service."

Clinton throughout his campaign has stressed the need for increased personal responsibility, a greater sense of community and cooperation in a society he says has drifted too far toward a culture and psychology of entitlement.

Clinton's communications director, George Stephanopoulos, acknowledges Clinton's message about change may not be fully understood by all voters. "You need to challenge people to work harder, to pull together, to set higher sights, and to be willing to accept that it's going to take a lot of work to turn this country around," Stephanopoulos said.

SEE WORK/8-A

PICCOLI MONDO, W. 4th & ST. CLAIR
OPEN SUNDAYS FOR ALL BROWNS
HOME GAMES 10:30 A.M. - 7 P.M., ADV'S.

sign this B2, pre-
leader Bob Dole.

Some of the tax
bill were recommen-
year — before the pri-
ing in the polls. Re-

Suit f in int

By MICHAEL DREXLER
PLAIN DEALER REPORTER

Shaker Heights
longstanding policy
comes only into ar-
presence will help a-
tegration.

The policy, which
city into a nation-
model, prompted a fe-
a black woman who v-
ing in a neighborh-
city's estimation had
already.

The suit was settle-
Last May, Yasmi
black East Cleveland
phoned Shaker H-
housing service in se-
ment in Shaker Sc-
asked her race, and
she is black.

Suliman was the-
city's policy was to
dents in areas where
underrepresented. I
think it needed an

INSIDE



Nader lash

Consumer ad
proposed chemical
Nov. 3 ballot. Page

Business
Classified
Comics
Deaths
Editorials
Metro

Partly
Pers

into the
969 trav-
appears to
need.
sion and
with the
ing over
that pe-
potential
ding the
sits from
to urged

ent's in-
Wednes-
ive" was
Vietnam
Moscow

about it.
raised it
oy about
his is not
It's up
ton did

ere is a
ov. Clin-
rs about
" Bush
M. Tee-
id Clin-
his anti-
ation of
of a pat-
e when
s in the

President Bush campaigns in New Orleans for the North American Free Trade Agreement. His recent campaign attack on Gov. Clinton's anti-war activity appears to be deliberate and planned.

questions and he should not ignore it," an administration official said.

Dornan has been leading the Republican charge on the subject for a week, taking to the floor of the House to go well beyond Bush in suggesting Clinton's 1969 trip to Moscow, while he was a Rhodes scholar in England, was sinister.

Dornan, without presenting any evidence, has said in interviews he believes Clinton was a "dupe" of the Soviet secret police who wanted the young man to organize anti-war demonstrations.

Dornan, sources said, repeated that theory to Bush in a Monday session that included three other Republicans who served in Vietnam. The group argued that the "pattern"

of Clinton's behavior was alien to most Americans that the campaign should not ignore it.

Reps. Dornan, Sam Johnson of Texas, Duncan Hunter and Randy Cunningham, both of California, left the White House a letter they sent to Clinton that became part of a three-page news release distributed by the Bush-Quayle campaign Wednesday.

The letter and attack fax, as the daily campaign news release has become known, posed six questions for Clinton, all suggesting Soviet government involvement in Clinton's visit there. "Did any member of the Communist Party or the Soviet government contact you prior to the trip," one question asked. "Who sponsored your trip and how did you get your visa?" asked another.

sion excluded them un-
der the rules that they have
for the debates,"
Chapman, spokeswo-
man of the Fulani campaign. Four
the campaign sued, with-
out the debate commission
of Women Voters
excluded from debates.

on less than 1% of the
left-leaning New Alliance
8, and she will not pro-
viding vote this time,
knowledge.

gued that "the indepen-
dents added together with
make a difference." These
resent a very big
this country of people
to death with the two
Chapman.

tarian Party issued a
st. "I certainly think
issuing an opportunity to
side of the story," said
national director of the

Perot in, they're violat-
rules," he charged, be-
doesn't have a good
ing right now."

or-party candidates are
e plans.

hours before the presi-
Oct. 15 in Richmond,
Fulani will debate along
entative of Lyndon La-
political extremist impris-

Ross Perot, who has campaigned
only through television advertising
and talk shows since joining the
presidential race a week ago, has de-
layed the broadcasting of a

Perot aides suggested earlier in
the week that Perot would use 30
minutes of television time tonight to
promote his economic proposals,
which include heavy tax increases

Instead, the aides said yesterday,
Perot will use tonight's slot to re-run
a 30-minute presentation in which he
dissects the causes of the recession.

It will be shown tonight at 9:30
p.m. on ABC.

Work

FROM 1-A

Larry Sabato, a political analyst at
the University of Virginia, believes
"Clinton and his campaign are at a
point where they expect to win, and
they're starting to be a little con-
cerned about governing. He's saying
we'll change the White House, but
people have to change, too."

Clinton recognizes people have to
be weaned away from government
subsidies and entitlements. Sabato
said. "We've all become addicted to
them."

At several recent campaign stops,
Clinton has attempted to explain his
version of change. At a rally in Ra-
leigh, N.C., he explained his endorse-
ment of the North American Free
Trade Agreement with Mexico.

Many of Clinton's supporters in or-
ganized labor oppose the pact be-
cause increased imports from Mexico
are likely to displace tens of thou-
sands of American workers. But Clin-
ton said the agreement, over time,
would help create an even greater

Clinton also proposes changes in the income tax rates to extract more taxes from the nation's wealthiest.

number of new jobs in the United
States and displaced workers would
be retrained.

"There will be something for each
of us to do to require us to change,"
Clinton said. For many workers, he
said, change will mean "continuous
re-education" all their lives.

He had a message to management,
too. "People who run major compa-
nies will have to follow their best ex-
amples. They can't raise their pay by
more than their profits and their
workers' pay go up," he said.

At the same time, Clinton said,
businesses that do so now would
have to provide worker retraining
programs or pay a tax to finance gov-
ernment retraining programs for
them.

Clinton also proposes changes in
the income tax rates to extract more
taxes from the nation's wealthiest,

which he defines as individuals earn-
ing over \$150,000 and couples earn-
ing more than \$200,000.

Those on welfare should be given
more education, job training and
child care support, "and then be re-
quired to work when they can be-
cause people should move from wel-
fare to work," Clinton said. If they
cannot find work, Clinton said, they
should be required to perform com-
munity service.

More loans will be available for
students to attend college, Clinton
promised, but students will be re-
quired to repay the loans through the
tax system or through public service
jobs at reduced pay.

"People are ready to make the
changes they need to make to get
things moving," said Paul Begala, a
Clinton speech writer. What Clinton
is admitting, Begala acknowledges,
is that "no one politician, no one
president can do it alone, that we all
have to do it together."

**ODD
R
ERS**

CARAVAN FUR AND LEATHER SALE

1000 FURS ON SALE
30¢ ON THE \$1

**HARLEY HOTEL
SOUTH
INDEPENDENCE**
1-77 At Rockside Rd.
5300 Rockside Rd.
**HOLIDAY INN
MAYFIELD**
1-271 at Wilson Mills Rd.
780 Beta Dr.
Daily 11-8 & Sat 11-6

**Factory Direct
Fur
and Leather
Sale**



Boxer adva in California

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

SAN FRANCISCO

Democrat Dianne Feinstein has
pulled to an 18-point lead over Re-
publican John Seymour, while Dem-
ocrat Barbara Boxer's advantage has
dwindled to 10 points over the GOP's
Bruce Herschensohn, according to a
new poll of California's two Senate
races.

Both Democrats led by 14 points a
month ago in polling for the San
Francisco Examiner by Political/
Media Research Inc.

Feinstein, the former San Fran-
cisco mayor, moved to a command-
ing lead over Seymour — 54% to 36%,
with 10% undecided. In September,
she was ahead 51% to 37%. The two
are competing for the two-year seat,
which Seymour currently holds.

The Boxer-Herschensohn race to
replace U.S. Sen. Alan Cranston has
tightened, with the congresswoman
leading the Los Angeles media com-
mentator by 47% to 37%. A signifi-
cant number of voters ... 16% ...

The
Sen.
cong
corn

month
six-year

The
balance
and ran
through

Feins
cline fa-
margin
centage
pollster
he said
20 you
that wo
ity."

Furth
er's dro

FROM FACTORY DIRECT NEW FURS-DESIGNER FURS & LEATHERS

Asst. of MINK HATS \$99	FOX Trimmed MINK Coats Size 10-60 \$799	Swing Style LEATHER STROLLERS Red or Black \$199	MINK JACKETS S-M-L-XL \$499	Full Length LEATHER COATS FOX Trim. \$399	Unisex MINK/Leather Reversible JACKETS \$499	Asst. LEATHER JACKETS \$89	PRE-OWNED MINK COATS Strollers & Jackets \$99-\$299
---	--	---	---	--	---	--	--

• Generous Trade-In Allowances • All Major Credit Cards
• FREE LAY-A-WAY WITH MINIMUM DOWNPAYMENT
• Sizes Petite thru Size 60 • Selection of Mens Furs

**Christa
Furs**

Designer
Styled
FEMALE
MINK Coats

4

WHY VOTERS DON'T TRUST CLINTON

#BXBDJLXHCARR-RT-SORT#CR18
#4412960RB0119R90#5 JS JUL92
MR RUDY GEORGE OHOSRE#1
9119 BROOKPARK RD 0110#45878
GEORGE BROS INC FL00100
CLEVELAND OH 44129-6821

10/17

1.

Dear Mr. Baker:

I'm writing this letter to urge the President to be more specific in the defense of his record.

I'm a real estate developer and I remember when we were out of work back in 1980.

Tell the American people when Reagan/Bush took over there were 22% interest rates-now we have 3% plus; 11% inflation-now 3% plus; 10.5% unemployment-now 7.5% Don't just say in passing the Misery Index, define it over and over and over again until the American people are saying it in there

10/17/92 2.

The President must take responsibility for this recovery but don't say it in generalities - you must talk in specifics so the American people can hang their hat on something concrete. Not just a quick saying to let them try to remember what happen — TELL THEM WHAT IT WAS LIKE and even though we ^{are} ~~are~~ in a slowdown ~~to~~ we're STILL Better than what we were when Reagan/Bush took over. DON'T LET THEM SEPARATE YOU FROM PRESIDENT REAGAN'S YEARS!!! Every economist knows you have economic business cycles — no matter

10/17/92
continued

3.

It's unheard of to go twelve years without an economic slowdown - in fact compared to other economic slowdowns this one is the gentlest this century! QUIT SAYING the economy is bad!!! Tell them the American people were in an economic slowdown.

The Reagan/Bush cycle was the longest in history for a period of economic prosperity.

FOR GOD'S sake take credit for creating 18 million jobs!!!

4.

You fought the business cycle
and it would have been worse ~~the~~
like the Carter recession if you
weren't President.

When Clinton makes a misrepresent-
-ation — Call it a "Clintonism"

Say, "THATS OLD NEWS — WE'VE HEARD

IT BEFORE AND IT CAUSED THE

CARTER RECESSION — JUST ANOTHER

"SUCK" LIBERAL MISREPRESENTATION —

A "CLINTONISM"

When Clinton says 7.5% unemployment

Use the ~~the~~ WATER GLASS saying.
"There he GOES AGAIN"

Mr. Clinton always talks negatively —

3.

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ME AND
MR. CLINTON HE SEES AMERICA

7.5% EMPTY — I SEE AMERICA
92.5% FULL.

YOU LISTEN TO MR. CLINTON HE KNOCKS
OUR COUNTRY AND ~~THE~~ ITS SPIRIT BY
TELLING EVERYBODY AND ACTING LIKE WE'RE
IN THE GREAT DEPRESSION!

A TRUE LEADER FOCUSES ON HIS

PEOPLE'S ATTRIBUTES NOT THEIR NEGATIVES.

YOU MUST INSPIRE TO GET AHEAD NOT
FABRICATE THE TRUTH,
KNOCK ITS STRENGTH AND WILL TO IN ORDER FOR
PERSONAL SUCCESS.

6.

Every economist will tell you
that they consider zero unemployment
at 4% !!! AND WE ARE ^{truly} only
3.5% unemployed !!! ^{AND UNDER MY LEADERSHIP WE WILL GET BETTER.} — SAY THIS
^{AND UNDER} over & over again — You listen
to Bill and you think half the
country is out of work !!!

— The STOCK Market was AROUND
700 plus when REAGAN BUSH TOOK
over — NOW IT'S OVER 3200 plus ~~minus~~
— THATS CORPORATE AMERICA IN
DECLINE !!!

* BUT You must repeat these statements
specifically over and over again — Whenever.

7.

Tell the American people - I'm going to feel sorry for you if Bill Clinton gets into office. Because if Bill wins all his proposed plans to run the government are going to be altered by liberal ~~and~~ mulchers in Congress presently in hiding. And without a checks and balance system in Washington, the Democrats in Congress are going to turn this country from Capitalism to Socialism. So if you want a Socialist State vote for Bill Clinton!!

8.

- When Clinton counters you're wrong about his \$150, ~~000~~ billion dollar tax increase and he says you fail to describe the tax incentives to reduce the Tax Burden. Respond by saying: "Oh, now you want the burden of finding out and knowing all the tax loopholes ^{to be placed} on the middle class to save taxes. So who makes out — accountants and tax attorneys. This is not a tax incentive this is a tax burden on the middle class — a "SLICK SCAM"! Because in reality most people will never use the tax incentives.

9.

- ~~FOR~~ RUN A TV AD ~~Now~~ During the ~~the~~ last 3 DAYS of the election SHOWING WHAT Major Newspapers have endorsed President BUSH.
- ~~THE~~ THE President should ~~say~~ ask this question: When an employer wants to hire someone and he's approached by two qualified people ~~the~~ how does he decide? He bases his hiring on character!
- GET PRESIDENT Reagan on the Stump! to ~~defend~~ defend his great record. His popularity is still immense.
- STRESS LACK of ~~Clinton's~~ Arkansas Civil RIGHTS over & over again
- ~~Reagan~~ Say over & over again at the debate on the stump and on T.V. Bill Clinton led his state to
 1. Dead last in environmental policy
 2. 49th in average weekly wage
 3. 50th in youth employment
 4. 48th in unemployment
 5. 47th in ^{per capita} personal income
 6. 49th in state revenue for schools

10. 2.

Bill Clinton's true definition of
change as stated in this article —
IS NOT FOR WASHINGTON TO CHANGE
HE WANTS THE AMERICAN PUBLIC
TO CHANGE — THE OBNOXIOUS,
ARROGANT, GALL of HIM!

AS THIS Cleveland Plain Dealer Article
say — AND Read this in the debate!
"Much of the change Clinton now tells
voters, will have to be in themselves,
in their ~~experience~~ expectations of
Government, in their values and the
way they live and work." ???
Repeat this over & over again at the debate.

11.

Then in your closing arguments
re-state all these points and
close ~~with~~ by holding up the
TIME MAGAZINE COVER by saying
"IT'S A SAD STATE OF AFFAIRS
IN THIS COUNTRY WHEN A ~~PRE~~
PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE MAKES
THE COVER OF TIME MAGAZINE
AND THE CAPTION READS —
"WHY VOTERS DON'T TRUST CLINTON"
THANK YOU AND GOD BLESS AMERICA!

Ma. ~~Ma.~~

MR. PRESIDENT AND MR. BAKER I ~~TRULY~~
TRULY BELIEVE IF YOU JUST SAID ~~THE~~ WHAT
I HAVE WRITTEN IN THIS LETTER OVER AND OVER
AGAIN YOU WILL WIN THE ELECTION.

— I'M AT YOUR DISPOSAL,



FAX COVER SHEET

Please call Kinko's if transmittal is incomplete.

DATE:

10/17/92

NUMBER OF ORIGINALS INCLUDING COVER SHEET:

2

TO:

JAMES BAKER III

FROM:

ROBERT UNTAHAN

COMPANY:

WHITE HOUSE

COMPANY:

PHONE:

FAX:

(202) 456-2461

PHONE:

FAX:

MESSAGE:

kinko's georgetown

3329 M Street, NW • Washington, DC 20007 • Tel: (202) 965-1414 • Fax: (202) 333-7433

Robert Untalan
3400 P St. NW
WA., D.C. 20007

October 17, 1992

new

The Honorable James Baker III
Chief of Staff/White House
WA., D.C. 20500

Dear James Baker III:


Please reference my previous correspondence from August 27, 1992 through the present.

So that the CIA and everyone understands my position. If I do not receive a settlement satisfactory to me in my hands by October 31, 1992, I am going to turn this entire case over to a battery of lawyers. The names of which will include The Christic Institute, Elliot Richardson, etc. who will make sure my demands are met. This will start November 1, 1992.

There had better be some people held up to be made accountable for what happened. I want very important people held responsible. That means time in PRISON. If Gates and the CIA cannot compromise with me, I will personally make sure he is sent to prison for his involvement in this entire matter, including everyone else who was involved as I will not be selective. No one will escape the resolve that has built up inside me because of the lack of response and arrogance. I am after criminal charges. This does not mean 6 months in minimum security. I want hardcore prison. If someone can not decide who is responsible; I will.

THIS IS THE ALTERNATIVE IF MY DEMANDS ARE NOT MET. TIME IS RUNNING OUT ON MY WILLINGNESS TO COMPROMISE. FROM A PERSONAL VIEWPOINT, I WOULD PREFER TO SEE GATES AND SEVERAL PEOPLE THROUGHOUT THE GOVERNMENT AND HILL INTERNATIONAL, INC. INSIDE OF A PRISON CELL. I AM COMPROMISING WITH THE CIA BECAUSE I WANT TO USE THEM TO GET EVERYONE IN CONGRESS OUT AND IN THE REST OF THE GOVERNMENT TO CLEAN UP EVERYTHING! ONLY FOR THAT REASON! NO ONE SHOULD SECOND GUESS MY RESOLVE TO SEE THIS TO THE END.

Sincerely,


Robert Untalan