

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2000-0715-F

FOIA Number:
2000-0715-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Baker, James A., III, Files
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files

OA/ID Number: 93010
Folder ID Number: 93010-004

Folder Title:
[Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [4]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	0	0	0	0

10-15-92

Mr. Jim Baker, **NPN**

support suggestions

I don't know if you will get this before Monday's Debate, but I think character, and Trust are very important for a President.
I think this point should be made over and over.

The reason is, plans, purpose, intentions, sincerity ~~and etc~~, promises, means very little because circumstance changes, and you are in the Oval Office. The only thing people can truly believe in and the only thing that does not change is Character and Trust.

Just because a candidate has good intentions means very little when reality sets in.

I am a Black male Republican, and I can tell you that the Media has stacked the deck against President Bush. I still think that he is going to win, and I believe by a large margin.

Ivy FEATHERSTONE
3024 HUDSON ST.
Columbus, Ohio 43219
(614) 475-7986

Sincerely,
Ivy Featherstone

My daughter turned 18 during the Primary.
She voted for President Bush. You can
count on her and me in Nov.

MRN

OCTOBER 15, 1992

MR. BAKER

puppat

I REALIZE THIS IS A
SMALL CONSOLATION, BUT I
WILL VOTE FOR GEORGE
BUSH THIS YEAR. ALSO,
I HAVE GROWN TO RESPECT
DAN QUAYLE. AT LEAST
HE IS CREDIBLE.

Dale Johnson



MR. JAMES BAKER
CHIEF OF STAFF
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

DALE JOHNSON
1120 LAKESIDE
NEW LENOX, IL
60451

Elbert L. Smith
7527 Byron Pl.
St. Louis, Mo. 63105

MEM

James Baker
White House
1600 Penn Ave.
Washington D.C.

suggestion
support

Dear Mr. Baker

It appears to me that Mr. Bush's
Campaign isn't going to well. I have a
suggestion, that if you could find at
one of the T.V. stations in England a
video of Bill Clinton demonstrating it
would make a great commercial. Showing
Bill Clinton leading a protest, and a
simple message at the bottom of the com-
mercial DO YOU TRUST THIS MAN TO
Lead our Nation + Armed Forces

I think it would really send the
message home to the American on trust.

I pray for Mr. Bush, and will be
voting for him

Sincerely
Elbert L. Smith

suggestions
support

KARL JACOBSON
451 RINCONADA CT
LOS ALTOS CA 94022

NRN

OCTOBER 16, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker

Our President is in trouble!

He must say things using words
the public uses. He must look like
he likes the people he is talking to.
Ask Ronald Reagan to critique his
last two debate "performances".

The public has forgotten what
has happened the last 4 or 12 years.
The question is: What have you been
doing the last 4 years? Why are
so many people unemployed? Why
are you hurting "me" rather than
helping? Use a little of the Perot
chart approach. Show how many are
working. Show how much dislocation
is caused by the shift from defense
to social programs.

The Answer: In the last 12 years
the Republicans have led the development
of advanced weapons that together

with leadership of Bush, Baker
Cheney and Powell. Won the Gulf War!

The Democrats lost the Vietnam
war with Kennedy, Johnson and
MacNamara!

By building strong alliances and
a strong military and having world
leadership Reagan and Bush won
the Cold War!

Russia and Eastern Europe are now
free!

The US can safely cut Defense
and the President has led the move
to shift \$\$ from Defense to peaceful
growth.

During these 12 years the Democrats
(at best) have delayed and tried to
prevent winning strategies

Now despite the temporary unemployment
caused by Defense cuts, the President
is working to win the "Economic" War!

Put Powell on T.V.

Use "Rush" to analyze (on TV) right
before and after the debate!

Good luck and God Bless!
Karl Jacobson
YALE '48

NRN

October 16, 1992

pu g g a t i o n

James Baker
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

I have just read this editorial in the Los Angeles Times, Friday, October 16th, and I feel compelled to pass it on to you. Isn't this President Bush's obvious answer to Bill Clinton? This is typical of proposed legislation by a concerned President, anxious for the welfare of his country. The original purpose of the legislation has been totally buried by the "Special Interest Congress".

The consequences of a combined Democratic Congress and White House scare me to death. As a housewife, mother of three and grandmother of six, I am frightened for the future of our country. Bill Clinton said in the Presidential Debate of last evening, Thursday, October 15th, that he was afraid of a future in which today's children could not expect the same prosperity as those of us who are now adults have enjoyed. More of this type of distorted and engorged legislation would surely insure just such results.

(over)

In my opinion President Bush should make
a forceful!! and graphic!! presentation of this
specific example in the next debate.

Sincerely yours,

John LaVigne

227 South Woodburn Drive

Los Angeles, California 90049

A Tale of Gridlock City

The untimely death of a would-be urban aid act

At first it seemed as if the Los Angeles riots would prime the urban aid pump in Washington. After all but ignoring the plight of the deteriorating inner cities for years, President Bush promised relief to urban America. So did Congress. Before long all the attention whipped up a \$27-billion tax bill. Sounds good, right? There's one problem: The aid bill is not likely to become law—evidence of the gridlock that has become politics as usual.

The Urban Aid Act that was proposed shortly after the riots promised \$2.5 billion to help the cities by creating 50 enterprise zones—special business districts given tax incentives to entice investors into poor, inner-city neighborhoods. Such zones hold out the promise of jobs for the poor. Now those jobs will be on hold because of the stalemate that paralyzes much of Washington.

Politics and greed derailed the bill. By the time it arrived on the President's desk, it had become a huge tax bill disguised as the proverbial Christmas tree.

In the House, members added a multitude of tax breaks, some worthy, some not so worthy. The so-called urban aid package promised tax relief to real estate developers, veterans, camp counselors, yachtsmen and luxury car fanciers. The horse trading boosted the bill's cost to \$17 billion.

In the Senate, members,

proceeding as if there were no mountainous deficit and no lingering recession, also dabbled in tax code revisionism. The bill, sweetened by this and that tax giveaway, spiraled to \$31 billion.

When the two versions got to conference, wise old pros Dan Rostenkowski (D-Ill.), the House Ways and Means Committee chairman, and

Lloyd Bentsen (D-Tex.), the Senate Finance Committee chairman, managed to whittle the monstrosity down to \$27 billion. Even so, to finance the remaining

WHAT
NEXT
FOR
LOS
ANGELES?

■ One in a series



tax cuts there would have to be new fees, revenues and taxes. For that reason alone, President Bush—not wanting voters to doubt his lips just before the election—is not expected to sign it.

The tax bill is not, of course, all bad. The legislation would make permanent the low-income housing tax credit, which encourages investment in affordable housing. The bill also would permanently extend the tax exemption for mortgage revenue bonds, another key source of financing for low-income housing.

The problem was the other proposals—and they eventually overwhelmed the relief promised to the cities. In the final version, only a fraction would have been spent on economic incentives, help for the poor and other aid for the cities.

The urban aid bill promised something for everybody, but delivered nothing. Los Angeles is the biggest loser.

*suggestions
support*

NRN

DEAR SEC. BAKER

10-15-92

SUGGESTIONS FOR ADS-

1. SHOW UNEMPLOYMENT FIGURES FOR THE U.S.A. AND OTHER MAJOR NATIONS.

U.S.A.	APPROX 6-7%	??
GREAT BRITIAN	9.9%	N.Y. TIMES 10/14/92
	PROJECTED TO APPROX 12%	IN THE FUTURE
FRANCE	10.2%	N.Y. TIMES APPROX
10/7/92		
CANADA	11-12%	?
MOST OF EUROPE	10-15%	
IRELAND	20%	HBG PAPER 9-12-92
GERMANY	?	
ITALY	?	
JAPAN	?	

THINGS MAY NOT BE GREAT, BUT THIS PROBLEM IS WORLD WIDE. IT WILL TAKE THE LEADERS OF THE MAJOR NATIONS WORKING TOGETHER TO CORRECT THE PROBLEM. *IN THAT DEBATE - PRES. BUSH TALKED ABOUT THIS BUT NOT STRONG ENOUGH.*

DO THE SAME FOR INFLATION FIGURES.

THE REGAN - BUSH YEARS DESTROYED THE EVIL EMPIRE AND FREED OVER 500 MILLION PEOPLE - MANY IN CONGRESS WERE AGAINST EVERY STEP THEY TOOK. THE FRUITS OF A STRONG FOREIGN POLICY. *+* NOT A CARTER POLICY. STRONG LIKE HARRY TRUMAN'S.

BOTH GOV. CLINTON AND SEN. GORE SAID IN THE DEBATES =THEY WOULD PASS A LAW(s)
ONLY CONGRESS OR STATE LEG. CAN PASS BILLS. THE PRES/GOV SIGNS THEM INTO LAW. *THE PRESS FAILED TO POINT THIS OUT.*

2ND SUGGESTION

PRES. BUSH SUGGEST A COMMISSION TO FIND WAYS TO REDUCE GOVERNMENT WASTE AND MAKE IT MORE EFFECTIVE IN DEALING WITH PROBLEMS. ITS CHAIRMAN WOULD BE ROSS PEROT OR A PERSON HE PICKS, A PERSON APPOINTED BY PRES. BUSH AND A PERSON PICKED BY GOV. CLINTON.

THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMISSION SHOULD BE UP OR DOWN BY THE CONGRESS AS A TOTAL -AS DONE WITH BASE CLOSING.

THANK YOU,

Philip S. Solomon

PHILIP S. SOLOMON
5213 CREEKWOOD DR
HBG, PA 17109-5555

PRES. BUSH HAS MY VOTE

+ W.W II MAY HAVE DONE THE SAME - BUT
HOW MANY PEOPLE HAD TO DIE.

10.15.92

Jas Baker
White House

purppat

Dear Mr. Baker:

NRN

Please note enclosed editorial.

20th Century Christian is the largest
Sunday school publisher among
churches of Christ. We have
approx 1 1/4 to 2 million members.
I hope they all vote for Geo. Bush
in 1992 and you in 1996.

I think Dan Quayle is great and
should be president some day but
due to age + experience etc should
follow you.

WINSTON M. MOORE
6021 WELLESLEY WAY
BRENTWOOD, TN 37027

20th CENTURY CHRISTIAN, INC.
2809 GRANNY WHITE PIKE
P. O. BOX 40526
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37204

Sincerely

Winston M. Moore
Exec. V.P.
20th cc Inc.

If you wonder who I am ask Joe Rodgers, Pat Wilson, or Howard Baker

EDITORIALIZING

George Will, widely-read editorial columnist, in an article that appeared recently in the Hartford Courant characterized the present administration as having run out of meaningful programs. He then predicted that the Republicans will continue to attack the Democrats on the 'values' issue, concluding: "because morality is the last refuge of the politically desperate."

Politics aside, I found this statement a dismal commentary on the American system. If it is an accurate depiction of our political system, it is sad that the state of things has so impressed the usually erudite Will. If it is a correct portrayal, it is more tragic still. Can it be that moral values have fallen on such hard times as to become the hypocritical last resort of despairing politicians?

At the risk of sounding absurdly naive, I believe that there still is a majority of Americans to whom moral values remain important. And I am not so skeptical as to conclude that when national leaders uphold those standards they are necessarily being cynically manipulative.

"Righteousness exalts a nation, but sin is a disgrace to any people," Proverbs 14:34. God's people are blended into a society for their leavening influence. Whatever role you may fill, you are expected to exert a flavoring and preserving influence as the "salt of the earth" (Matthew 5:13). And that includes leadership roles. But it also includes the ordinary positions which most of us occupy. May our integrity and loving concern for others be obvious to all and so exert a saving influence. (EB)

SCHEDULE OF SERVICES

BIBLE CLASSES
MORNING WORSHIP

9:00 A.M.
10:00 A.M.

EVENING WORSHIP
MIDWEEK BIBLE CLASS

6:00 P.M.
7:00 P.M.

CHURCH OF CHRIST
394 LYDALL STREET
MANCHESTER, CT 06040

Nonprofit Organization
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Manchester, CT
Permit No. 144

Jan Baker

MANCHESTER REMINDER

PUBLISHED BY: CHURCH OF CHRIST 394 LYDALL ST., MANCHESTER, CT 06040
PHONE: 646-2903
MINISTER : EUGENE BREWER

VOLUME XXXI

AUGUST 23, 1992

NUMBER 48

10987654321...
COUNTDOWN

In July I announced the final six sermons of my ministry here and of my full-time preaching career. They began July 19 and will continue through August 30, not including this week when I will be in Windsor. It is unfortunate that these fell in the heaviest vacation time, causing many of you to miss some of them. I urge all members here to request tapes of those you did not hear and listen to them. Call Nancy Madrak in the office and she will make copies for you. The dates and titles are listed below.

July 19 -- An Admonition To Sound Doctrine
July 26 -- The Church In Retrospect
August 2 -- An Exhortation To Faithfulness
August 9 -- A Plea For Commitment
August 16 -- A Plan For Transition
August 30 -- A Swan Song

It is not that I think these are homiletical masterpieces, but they will help us adjust to the upcoming changes. (EB)

NEWS ON THE FONSECAS

They plan to move themselves by means of a rental truck. One of the brothers there will drive it, while Nancy and Raul will drive their cars. They plan to arrive in Manchester about mid-afternoon, Sunday, August 30. We will need a crew ready to help unload the truck. Doug Post will organize this project. Raul says they are eager to get here and begin their work with us for the Lord.

* * *

A THOUGHTFUL ACT

A food basket of fresh fruits, vegetables, canned ham, etc. is being planned to welcome the Fonseca family on their arrival. If you wish to help with this, give your money to Gail Jarvis, Elaine Caruthers, or Nancy Madrak.

* * *

A SAFETY REMINDER

Parents, please see that your children do not run on the parking lot. Keep them on the grass instead. The danger of moving cars is very real.

* * *

"THREE UNUSUAL DAYS"

Jack Exum will bring his program to Farmington this week -- August 21-23. Fri. at 7 p.m.; Sat. at 10 a.m. and 7 p.m.

* * *

FIRST NOTICE

The women in the Groton church will host a Ladies Day Oct. 17. Speaker will be Prue McBride of Nashua, NH.

* * *

KENNETH TODD

Born in 1944, Kenneth died Sunday at noon at age 47 in Murfreesboro, TN. He and Rhonda have three children: Jeff, 26, Jason, 22, Jennifer, 19. Kenneth was a charter member of this congregation, being 16 when we began meeting. He had battled cancer for several years, and had lapsed into a coma several days ago. Kenneth was a faithful Christian. Our sympathy is with the family. Jim, Fran and Sharon flew down for the funeral Tuesday. Contact with Rhonda: 1722 Somerset Dr., Murfreesboro, TN 37129.

* * *

MISSION WORK

Several here contributed to the support of Hannah Barry in her work in Sibiu, Romania this summer. We received a report on her experiences, copies of which are in the tract rack.

* * *

HERALD OF TRUTH PROGRAM

8/30 -- Blessed Are The Seekers

9/6 -- Dealing With Life's

Twists and Turns

9/13 -- The Truth That Sets Me

Free

9/20 -- The End Is Where We Start

9/27 -- Tough Questions Jesus

Asks Us

These are shown on Cox Cable channel 33, Thur. at 8 p.m.

* * *

PREACHER ELSEWHERE

Gene and Barbara will be in Windsor where he will preach Sunday morning. He will preach here at the evening hour.

STATISTICS - August 16

Classes	59	Wed. (08/12)	54
AM Worship	105	Offering	\$2070
PM Worship	70	Budget	\$3100

SERMONS

A.M. - "SITTIN' AT THE WELCOME TABLE" - Gary Yeats - Speaker
CHILDREN - WE HELP OUR MISSION-
ARIES - Part 2 (Scanlons)
P.M. - "USEFUL TO THE MASTER"

THOSE WHO SERVE

MORNING: August 23

Announce/Prayer - Greg Post

Song Leader - Phil Stice

Scripture - Jeff Brissette

Prayer - Russ Mosher

Communion Tho't - * * *

Lord's Table

North: - K.Wagner/J.Chung

South: - J.Madrak/F.Kennedy

Closing Prayer - G. Caruthers

Ushers - Charlie & Mike Silva

Shut-ins - George Caruthers

EVENING

Song Leader - George Caruthers

Scripture - Greg Post

Prayer - Doug Post

Communion Tho't - Mike Rizzo

Lord's Table - John Geysen

Closing Prayer - Ken Wagner

WEDNESDAY NIGHT: August 26

Song Leader - Gary Yeats

Scripture/Talk - G. Caruthers

Closing Prayer - Al Kelly

NURSERY

Alison & Mark Nadeau

ASSIST BAPTISM

Joyce Austin/Mike Rizzo

NEWS/NOTES

CHRISTOPHER TAGLIANETTI was ill with a fever Sunday.

STAFFORDS were visiting family out of state Sunday.

RIZZOS are vacationing at the Cape.

BETTY POINTER was unable to be in worship Sunday.

NEWMANS are camping out on Prince Edward Island.

RUSS MOSHER was ill last week with a severe case of bronchitis. And KEITH had it before him.

AL KELLY is improving at home, but has occasional setbacks.

KATHY CRAFT'S father had prostate surgery in Hartford Hospital Tuesday.

BECKY CHAPA has been on vacation the past two weeks.

HARRIET & SIMONE BRONSON were displaced from their apartment last week by flooding from plumbing problems. They have been staying with KARAN.

BARBARA BREWER was ill with a virus over the weekend.

* * *

RAINBOW SCHOOL UPDATE

We have the curriculum, we have the visual aids, we have the craft ideas, we have the children (lots and lots of them) -- what we don't have are the teachers! If we are going to run our eight week program (one day a week/two hours per session) this fall, we MUST HAVE TEACHERS. If you think you can help, please see Sue Scanlon as soon as possible. This is such a wonderful form of community outreach, let's keep it going!

MRN
Mr. Rush Limbaugh
Compuserve "E" Mail

Dear Rush:

Giga Dittos from one whose worried about Bill Clinton being elected President.

Rush, you and George Bush have to start telling the American public specific reasons why the supposedly, "Good For America Bills" are being vetoed by the President. Most people cannot identify with the esoteric terms like "The bill contained unnecessary spending measures" or "Pork Barrel Spending". Tell America what ludicrous spending items there are in these Bills that the President has vetoed. Tell them that the Bills contained subtle tax increases and that George Bush is trying to protect the American public from these little tax and spend foot notes that are buried in the these and most of the Bills that are enacted.

The American public gets most infuriated when they hear about bills which contain provisions which spend money to study the warfare potential of the frisbee or to renovate Liberace's old homestead. I think that inundating the American public with example after example of this type of waste will help get the President re-elected.

Hopefully this message will also convince the American public that they must also change the Congress. We need a Congress that will enact a line item veto, establish term limits and support business. Right now Congress has regulated business to the point where we can't compete with foreign businesses. Congress has driven many of our industries off shore. A new Congress would have the opportunity to help the American businessman and make America a more fertile business environment. Finally, we need a Congress that will trash the current tax structure and establish a consumption or value added tax.

America must know that Bill Clinton will allow all these wasteful provisions to be enacted and we will end up with four years of misery as bad or worse than the Carter years. Hopefully, if this does happen, the electorate will have sense enough to elect a more conservative Congress that will neutralize Slick Willie's negative impact.

From a devoted Listener,

Glenn Robbins
Raleigh, North Carolina

cc: President George Bush
James Baker

ACROPRINT TIME RECORDER CO.

5640 Departure Drive

Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

Protect your payroll
with
Acroprint



Mr. James Baker
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20006



-10/16/92 PM RALEIGH NC 276 #3

Clinton Gets Backing of Military Brass To Avert Being Tarnished by Bush Ad

By JEFFREY H. BIRNBAUM
And JOHN HARWOOD

Staff Reporters of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

Bill Clinton launched patriot missiles to intercept George Bush's latest attacks on his fitness to be commander-in-chief.

The patriots in question are an array of former top-ranking military leaders who endorsed the Democratic presidential nominee, blunting the impact of a new Bush attack ad accusing Mr. Clinton of lying about his draft history.

Among those endorsing the Arkansas governor were Lt. Gen. Calvin Waller, the second highest-ranking officer in Mr. Bush's greatest military triumph, Operation Desert Storm; Gen. John Wickham, a former Army chief of staff; and Gen. Mike Dugan, a former Air Force chief of staff.

Their support, coupled with the previously announced backing of Adm. William Crowe, former chairman of the joint chiefs, may provide a powerful inoculation against the charges in Mr. Bush's new television ad. Citing Mr. Clinton's maneuvers to keep himself out of service in the Vietnam War, the ad concludes: "The issue then was avoiding the draft. Now, for Bill Clinton, it's a question of avoiding the truth."

The Clinton team's quick response mirrored its upbeat attitude after Sunday night's debate, an attitude that contrasted with the Bush camp's, which played down claims of victory in favor of arguing that the president's performance had set the stage for further progress later in the campaign.

Robert Teeter, Mr. Bush's campaign chairman, told reporters the first debate was merely the beginning of the president's bid to focus voter attention on the difference between his economic plan and Mr. Clinton's over the last three weeks of the campaign.

He also argued that the strong debate

showing of independent candidate Ross Perot actually helped Mr. Bush. The series of debates "is going to open up the whole decision-making process," Mr. Teeter said. But polls taken by ABC News and CBS News after the debate showed Mr. Clinton retaining a double-digit lead over the president, 46% to 31% in the ABC poll and 47% to 35% in CBS's, and suggested that a good deal of Mr. Perot's increased support came at the president's expense.

Mr. Bush himself made no extravagant claims of victory, telling several thousand cheering supporters on a hillside in Springfield, Pa., that "I don't pretend to be an Oxford debater, but I think I did OK."

Some administration officials acknowledged that Mr. Bush failed to pummel Mr. Clinton effectively in the debate on such issues as the draft and conflicting statements about the Persian Gulf War. But they insisted there's still a chance for Mr. Bush to unload barrages in the two final debates.

Indeed, some in Republican circles suggest there's an internal discussion going on within the Bush campaign over whether to level new charges about Mr. Clinton, with some arguing that the charges might do more damage to the president than his rival.

Mr. Clinton, meanwhile, sought to capitalize on the debate by campaigning, despite a painfully hoarse voice, in ethnic neighborhoods in Philadelphia, and in Wilmington, Del., and Charlotte, N.C.

It was in Philadelphia that he accepted the endorsement of 22 retired admirals and generals. Besides Gens. Waller, Dugan, and Wickham, the group also included Adm. Richard Truly, the former administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Adm. Truly was fired by Mr. Bush in a policy dispute with the White House Space Council, headed by Vice President Dan Quayle. Gen. Dugan was also fired by Mr. Bush after he publicly commented on plans for the air war against Iraq.

White House spokesman Marlin Fitzwater brushed off the endorsements as sour grapes.

CAMPAIGN
★ **92**

WALL STREET JOURNAL

10-13-92

23 MILITARY
TOP SECRET
CAUTION
WRJ/61

POLITICS & POLICY

Many of Competitiveness Council's Beneficiaries Are Firms That Make Big Donations to the GOP

By Bob Davis
And Jill Abramson

Staff Reporters of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

WASHINGTON — When Big Oil had a big problem with proposed regulations on used motor and lubricating oil, it called on its friends on the Competitiveness Council, headed by Vice President Dan Quayle. The result: Within six months, the administration released weak rules that pleased the industry and enraged environmentalists.

"We all try to impose our will on decision makers in the White House," says Clifton Hilderley, a Washington representative of Ashland Oil Inc., which coordinated the oil industry's lobbying. "We all take advantage of what we can."

One of Ashland's biggest advantages in Washington is money. It has pumped \$76,727 into Republican National Committee coffers since the beginning of last year, according to a study done for The Wall Street Journal by the nonpartisan Center for Responsive Politics. Others involved in Ashland's Used Oil Recycling Coalition chipped in even more to the GOP, including Atlantic Richfield Co., \$515,800; Lyondell Petrochemical Co., \$100,000; and Pennzoil Co., \$80,000.

The Competitiveness Council operates without public notice or record, making it impossible to know the full extent of its activities on behalf of business interests. But what is clear is that it provides business — especially big business — with a forum to work around the government's regulatory procedures. And many of those who seek the council's help also supply the GOP with large contributions, many of them in the form of "soft money" — contributions for "party-building" efforts like get-out-the-vote drives, which aren't limited by federal elections laws.

System Draws Fire

Critics say the combination of unrestricted corporate campaign money and the council's secret activities is a toxic one, creating at the very least the appearance of regulatory favors doled out to GOP contributors. "When you have open-ended campaign contributions and a back-door opening into the regulatory decision-making operation, you have a very corrupt system," charges Joan Claybrook, president of Public Citizen, a liberal advocacy group.

Nonsense, replies the council. "We make calls on the merits," says David McIntosh, its executive director. "A lot of times we'll take positions that businesses don't like," he adds, citing airline opposition to a council plan to privatize airports.

Nevertheless, the council's regulatory role already is becoming an issue in the presidential campaign, and could even come up in tonight's vice presidential debate. Researchers for Tennessee Sen. Al Gore, the Democrats' vice presidential nominee, have been collecting documents concerning a December 1990 council decision to kill trash recycling at municipal dumps — a decision that could have helped Mr. Quayle's family newspaper business, which owns a stake in a big newsprint mill. A council spokesman says Mr. Quayle's newspaper holdings had nothing to do with the council decision.

The council, a group of cabinet officials served by a small staff loyal to the vice president, has risen to prominence by reviewing dozens of environmental, health and drug rules — and blocking or weakening those it deems too costly to businesses. The council's growth in power coincides with a surge in campaign contributions by large corporations, which have used every avenue provided by federal elections laws,

A Competitiveness Council Score Card

Selected actions by the Competitiveness Council on behalf of businesses:

DATE	ACTION	BENEFICIARIES
Dec. 1990	Killed EPA trash-recycling plan	Newsprint companies, incinerators
Dec. 1990	Blocked EPA ban of car-battery incineration	Waste disposers, battery retailers
March 1991	Eased HUD handicapped-access rules	Home builders
Nov. 1991	Proposed speedup in FDA drug approval procedures	Pharmaceutical makers
March 1992	Blocked EPA plan for car anti-pollution devices	Auto makers
May 1992	Blocked EPA plan for public input in air-pollution permit changes	Broad range of manufacturers

Sources: Competitiveness Council, congressional committees, Sierra Club

Atlantic Richfield, which lobbied Congress on used-oil issues, is a good case in point. It ranks among the GOP's top five donors in 1991-1992, having contributed \$515,800 overall to the Republican National Committee. Among its donations: \$100,000 to this spring's President's Dinner, the premier GOP fund-raising event, which featured appearances by the president and by Mr. Quayle.

In addition, Arco's political action committee has given \$118,534 to Republican candidates, and individual Arco executives have made coordinated \$1,000 contributions to the Bush-Quayle campaign in a fund-raising process known as bundling. (The company has also contributed to Democrats, but its donations to the GOP are vast by comparison.)

The used-oil controversy shows how big contributors use their muscle to influence the course of regulation. In this battle, big contributors worked together to secretly persuade the council to release a weak Environmental Protection Agency proposal they favored, which was being held up in the White House.

Currently, much used oil is burned in industrial furnaces even though it has elevated concentrations of lead, chromium and other pollutants. Environmental groups such as the Sierra Club were urging the EPA to require that used oil be cleaned and recycled as lubricating oils or burned under controlled conditions. But the EPA balked, arguing that tough regulation would push consumers and service stations to dump the stuff down sewers rather than be responsible for handling hazardous wastes. Instead, the EPA proposed paperwork and storage requirements that were easy for the big oil companies to meet.

White House Blocks Plan

In January, the White House's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, which reviews agency proposals, blocked the used oil plan. It argued that the EPA made "many serious errors" in analysis, and failed to assess the impact on small businesses and whether novel schemes, such as deposit-return systems, would boost recycling. A month later, it added that the proposal also ran afoul of the president's newly announced regulatory moratorium.

The EPA quietly put out the word to industry that it couldn't fight OIRA. So Ashland and Pennzoil took the lead. Both are large marketers of oil, both own chains of quick-lube shops that wanted to avoid regulation, and both are Republican powerhouses. Hugh Liedtke, Pennzoil's chair-

the final rule, says Jeffrey Leiter, who represents small lubricant companies. Barbara Steakley, a Pennzoil lobbyist, says she called the White House and was reassured that the rule would be released in two weeks. And it was, almost to the day — with none of the changes OIRA wanted.

Ell Lilly's Ties to Quayle

Some companies appear to have an inside track at the council, especially Ell Lilly & Co., the Indianapolis pharmaceutical maker, which has strong ties to the vice president. Lilly hired Mitch Daniels, a close political adviser to Mr. Quayle, as vice president for corporate affairs, a position in which he oversees government lobbying. In November, Mr. Daniels co-chaired a fund-raiser that collected \$600,000 for the Bush-Quayle campaign, including \$12,500 from Lilly executives. Now he is an unpaid adviser to the campaign, working on advertising strategy.

Last fall, says Mr. Daniels, the council asked Lilly to review the council's plan to revamp the Food and Drug Administration's drug-approval policy — which would greatly benefit Lilly — before the policy was made public. Earlier in 1991, the council also asked the EPA to make changes in proposed air-pollution permit rules that jibed almost exactly with requests Lilly had previously made of the EPA.

Mr. Daniels and the council's Mr. McIntosh say Lilly hasn't any undue influence with the council. But senior EPA officials say that they courted Lilly, believing it was a surrogate for the council. And even if the EPA was wrong about Lilly's role, says David Hawkins, a former EPA official who now works at the Natural Resources Defense Council, the agency's actions show how the regulatory process has become degraded through excessive secrecy. If an agency doesn't know how the council makes decisions, he says, it will grasp at any possible pressure point.



Jim Baker, Deputy President
George Bush, Dan Quayle
1600 Pennsylvania Ave
Washington DC 20050

NRN

suggestions

3740 Herchel Lane
Winston-Salem, NC 27106
October 13, 1992

The Honorable James Baker
Secretary of State and
Chairman of the Republican Campaign
White House
Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20050

My dear Mr. Baker:

To introduce myself briefly, I am female, age 59, live in Winston-Salem, N.C., my husband recently retired from 34 years of public school teaching, coaching and Principal, I have worked nearly twenty years in North Carolina State government, we have two children and three grandchildren. I am a registered Republican and support Mr. Bush in the coming election.

I, as well as others I have privately polled, feel the deck is stacked against President Bush by the media and 'yuppies' in this country. I disagree with a few issues the Republicans stand for, but the alternative of Clinton is against my strong patriotism and family values characteristics. I do not support the NO ABORTIONS issue, and, frankly, feel this issue should not be in the political arena...I believe the men in this country should bow out of the issue all together, and let the women decide this issue.

My husband and I discuss issues frequently and have paid close attention to the debates. I have been most pleased with the President's and Vice-President's participation in the debates. However, I feel the below-listed suggestions should be included in your forthcoming debates.

1.) Time is running out. We have heard a 'gracious plenty' about character issues from all sides. **GIVE US THE FACTS ON THE DEBATE FLOOR AND DEFINE THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE DEMOCRATIC PLAN AND REPUBLICAN PLAN.** We need to convince the public and sway the 'swing' vote before it is too late. If the President will present these issues during one of the forthcoming debates, I feel you will pick up many votes from those who are, so to speak, 'Sitting on the Fence.'

2.) I, also, suggest you make a list of the proposals President Bush presented to Congress, dealing with the economy, which were not ratified, due to Congressional 'cliques.'


Page 2
October 14, 1992

I feel the true things the public wants to hear are the ISSUES. Define for the public the steps to be taken to lift this country out of the recession, according to the recent booklet you have described. We all need to hear the PLAN/VISION designed by the staff, economists, etc.

I know there are millions out in this great land of ours who down deep inside want to support President Bush, but we must have the above issues brought out during the debates.

I will not take any more of your valuable time. Thank you for listening. We will all be hoping and waiting to hear some facts which can turn the tide toward four more years under Mr. Bush.

Sincerely,



Gretchen Hauser

CC: President and Mrs. George Bush

(home) 919/765-3318
(work) 919/896-7033

G.S. Hauser
3740 Herchel Lane
Winston-Salem, NC 27106



The Honorable James Baker
Chairman of the Republican Party
White House, Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20050



Robert H. Kroepel
20 South Shore Road
New Durham, NH 03855

603-859-7873

suggestions

James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

NRN

Mr. Baker:

In a previous letter I had expressed concern that perhaps Mr. Bush needs to be presenting visionary proposals to help change people's minds concerning re-electing him. One such proposal is to establish National Referendums wherein Congress proposes legislation and the people vote it into law, Initiatives wherein citizens by petition propose laws and the voters vote it into law, and Recalls wherein citizens by petition can propose to remove from office government officials and the voters do so by majority vote. I gave several reasons why although professional politicians fear the loss of power and control they would suffer because of this proposal they would in fact benefit from it and therefore ought to seriously consider passing it. To sum up some of the advantages of National Referendums, Initiatives, and Recalls for politicians —

1. Less pressure from PAC's and the possibility of being statesmen rather than politicians.
2. Personal views would not necessarily be issues in election/re-election campaigns.
Also, less stress from sloganeering and shoutfighting by citizens because of personal views.
3. Possible unlimited term of office. Once in and doing a good job, no problem—stay there.
4. Greater contact with constituents, greater awareness of their desires and views, greater chance of making friends and getting help in re-elections.

This proposal would give the people control over Congress and end the gridlock between the Congress and the White House. It may also help Mr. Bush get re-elected. If you did not receive my previous letter, please let me know and I can get you a copy.

In this letter I would like to reflect upon one issue that seems to excite voters but needs clarification and definition: jobs.

Mr. Clinton says he's going to create jobs. How? Tax and spend? Your campaign is pointing out how his proposals are going to cost taxpayers a lot more money in higher taxes.

But one of the things that has to be done is to figure out what really creates jobs and see how whatever that is can be improved, expanded, expedited.

Jobs are created by people. First of all, someone has an idea for a product or a service to sell. If enough buyers buy, then the product/service sells, the company makes money, jobs are created. One of the most stunning recent examples has been Apple Computer. Steven Wozniak was the technical genius, Steven Jobs the marketing genius: both had been footsoldiers for another company (Hewlett-Packard, I believe), but they had an idea, made it a reality, sold it, and created the personal computer market and the thousands of related jobs. In this way, footsoldiers became generals. In the American freemarket, footsoldiers can become generals.

There must be buyers, consumers, available who have enough money to buy the products/services, or nothing happens. But new products and services seem to find buyers, so the buyers must be out there somehow. Thus, if the new products and services are of value, there will be buyers to buy, the jobs to

provide the products and services will be created as dictated by supply and demand, the economy will get going, and the capitalistic economic system will work quite well.

So, who creates jobs? Men and women with ideas, visions, new products and services, or new ways to improve existing products and services. Men and women with marketing know-how. Men and women who can run a company. These are all people who need incentives for making the effort to dream, make real, and market the new products and services that require other men and women to be given jobs to help the process of making the products or providing the services.

Jobs are not created out of thin air. Jobs are created when interest rates are realistic and encouraging, so we can't expect the financial interests to help out if they demand high interest rates. But the Federal Reserve has a lot to say about that. Jobs are created when entrepreneurs get tax incentives—spelled tax breaks—in terms of capital gains tax relief and start-up and research and development (R&D) tax breaks. Although there may be many dreamers who would try to make real their dreams in spite of dreary economic conditions, most will not succeed unless somewhere along the line they either find a way to make money or they find someone who knows how to make money marketing the dreams as realities. and often the marketeers need incentives: they must trust the system to be on their side, not to take away from them the financial incentives they need to risk their investment of money, time and energy.

I am not saying anything new here, but what I want to emphasize is the fact that, as my father pointed out to me years ago, the Republican party has always supported business because business creates products and services that create jobs and stimulate the economy but the Democrats have always supported labor and the result has been the conflict between footsoldiers and generals as to how to run the economic war: trouble starts when footsoldiers try to tell generals how to run the war. If Democrats represent Big Labor and try to dictate to Big Business how to run Big Business, then we're all headed for serious economic trouble. Big Business' men and women are fewer in number than Big Labor's men and women. There are more footsoldiers than generals. If the footsoldiers have majority numbers, and if majority rules, then there is a possibility that the footsoldiers may cut their own throats dictating to the generals. We saw this here in New Hampshire when footsoldiers tried to tell the generals how to run the show and clothing industries with the result that the cost of labor got too high and the mills and factories shut down. A friend, George Robidas, described how his union officials dictated to a woman who owned a shoe factory in Farmington, NH, how she was to pay her laborers and refused to hear her when she said she could not afford the union proposals and would have to close the plant if the union demands were not moderated with the result that the union refused to moderate and the women closed the plant. Footsoldiers cannot dictate to generals how to run the economic war.

As long as the Democrats have no plans for realistic creation of jobs by providing the economic incentives for dreamers, manufacturers, and marketeers, jobs will not be created. Period.

You can't create free-market jobs by taxing people to provide training. You can create training jobs for teachers who will do the training, but what you really want are free-market jobs in business for the trainees to be trained for. All the training in the world means nothing if there are no jobs for the trainees to go to. If there is no war to fight, then footsoldiers are not needed.

I believe the voters need to be educated on the process by which jobs are created in order for them to see that they cannot condemn Big Business for present economic problems, nor can they champion Big Labor by wearing rose-colored glasses, taking from Big Business, and hoping for the best. Big Labor is going to protect and enhance Big Labor by dictating to and therefore taking from Big Business. Health care, family leave, increases in welfare, ADC, Social Security, Medicare, and other similar programs all take money from Big Business and give to Big Labor. Relatively few freemarket jobs are created in the process compared to the necessary government jobs, and the result is a lower standard of living for all working people but benefits and therefore higher standard of living for those who truly need those services and programs (as well as for those government workers needed for the programs).

management and therefore justify their existence to union members—the laborers.

In an army there are no unions. And this is where the analogy between an army and business breaks down. In an army, discipline requires the generals to be able to dictate to footsoldiers what is to happen, and the footsoldiers have no union stewards to complain to. In an ideal free-market business system, there is no way the generals have of enforcing discipline as long as the footsoldiers can go work for another army. Assuming no outright coercion and otherwise ideal conditions, laborers are free to go work for someone else—footsoldiers are free to hire themselves out to another general. And, there are in fact unions available to many footsoldiers so they can keep the generals from losing perspective on the fact that we're all in this together, that one hand needs to wash the other, and that, therefore, the needs of laborers need to be addressed where practical by management. Henry Ford could not have sold cars to Ford workers if Ford workers were not paid enough so they could afford a Ford and were well-treated enough so they would respect the Ford Company and would want to buy a Ford automobile.

All this means working together, teamwork instead of adversarial confrontation over issues. Footsoldiers working alongside and with generals. One side must see the realities faced by the other side. You can't create jobs by just saying so. You can't tax those who are working but are struggling to pay for job training and retraining if the taxpayers are not making enough money to afford being taxed. And job training and retraining is pointless if there are no jobs for the trainees to go to. The system breaks down with excessive and irresponsible taxation. The system works with tax incentives. The people have to see that or we are all in serious trouble. Dreamers and marketeers have to have reason for taking risks in order to create new jobs. Republicans have favored this approach for years, the people have seen so and have supported Republican politicians as the result.

Trickle-down economics does not mean make the rich richer, it means making sure the dreamers and marketeers have the incentive to create the products and services that buyers will buy for which jobs will be needed for production of those products and services. And if the rich provide those dreams, the marketing, and create more jobs but get richer in the process, then that is fair, it is the free-market system working, and it is true that everyone ultimately benefits. If the "rich" can't get richer, what will happen? They will move to Taiwan, South America, Mexico, and take with them the jobs Americans could have had and the money the American economy could have used. But, as in the case of Steven Jobs and Steven Wozniak, who became rich by their ideas and efforts, American workers can likewise follow their dreams and become rich if their ideas are marketable. No one is keeping anyone from making more money. But no one is interested in giving people more money just to be giving them more money. I have heard theories by naïve Democrats who have told me that the cure for the economic ills of this country is for businessmen to give more money to workers. They have given no reason for the justification for this idea. They have no idea where the businessman is to get the extra money. They are simply convinced that if workers have more money to spend, then business will improve and the economy will improve. To a certain extent they are right—if workers have more money to spend then everyone will have a better lifestyle. The problem is, of course, finding ways for businessmen to have more money to give to workers, and that means the businessmen must have the incentives to take risks and make the effort to make more money providing newer and better products and services. And that means Republican economics, and, unless the Democrats assimilate Republican economics, that means no new Democratic economics—no new Democratic taxes and spending.

Stimulating business may mean tax cuts which in turn may mean establishing new definitions of prime priorities of the Federal government and simplifying that government to reduce its cost and therefore its budget. Perhaps there are issues the government does not need to legislate, and, therefore, the Departments and personnel that theoretically are to deal with those issues may not be needed. Republicans have favored less government, but trimming cannot happen too fast. Witness how military base closings seem to bring on cries of pain from those who work them and who supply products and

If Democrats are going to take money from people making money to provide for social programs, then, once again the footsoldiers are dictating to the generals and we're all in trouble. You can't take money from a productive person to give to a non-productive person no matter how badly he needs it unless the productive person is making enough money so he can afford to be taxed in order to give to support the non-productive person. Americans are generous. I believe that. If they've got it—if they've got enough of it, then they will give it generously. But if they ain't got it to give, then they can't give and they ain't gonna give it. If working people are making enough money, then the social programs begin to make sense, at least financially.

Republicans tend to make sure people got it to give before trying to take it away from them to give to someone else. Legal plunder is the Democrat style, it is not the Republican style.

I am saying that there is an analogy between economic wars and military wars. There are footsoldiers and generals in each. In the ideal economic war the battlefield is the consumer's mind, for it is he by how he votes with his money when he buys products and services who will decide who wins and who loses. In a sense, the salesman is the frontline footsoldier, for it is he who must walk the battlefield and convince the buyer to buy. The laborer is also a footsoldier but he works behind the lines in support of the salesman by making the product or service the salesman has to sell. In that sense the laborer is insulated from the battlefield, especially if he does not see the problems the salesmen face when trying to convince the buyer to buy and if he does not gain a sense of the realities of the marketplace. If the footsoldiers—the laborers, salesmen, and others who can be replaced more readily compared to higher managers, technical people, dreamers, and marketeers—dictate to the generals how to run the war, we're in trouble.

But if everybody works together, then the system will work, and there is a chance that most everyone will win.

W. Edwards Deming has shown the Japanese how most everyone can work together to make and market products and services so most everyone can win. Big Labor can work with Big Business so the distinction between Big Labor and Big Business begins to diminish when footsoldiers are included in the policymaking and design/engineering that goes into marketing the products/services. When the footsoldiers have a chance to be heard by the generals, then their ideas and input can help make the difference between winning and losing the economic war. At the same time, the footsoldiers become more aware of the problems and responsibilities of the generals and can appreciate the process by which the generals must make decisions and try their best to win the war. When salesmen and laborers work with dreamers, management, and ownership to solve the problems of creating new products and services, improving present products and services, and marketing those products and services, then the footsoldiers and the generals become a team, a unified army, and the chances of success in the economic battlefield increase tremendously.

Dr. Deming is showing American companies how to avoid the confrontations between Big Labor and Big Business by finding ways in which the footsoldiers and the generals can work together. Ford Motor Company, as described by Don Peterson, former Ford CEO, in his book, **A Better Way**, is learning to use Dr. Deming's ideas to form teams to solve problems and make Ford cars and trucks better and better with the result that not only is Ford doing better than it had under the old system but footsoldiers are happier because they are getting along with the generals. The footsoldiers themselves are saying so. Unions are now working with management to make sure the company not only survives but is successful. I have seen recent reports in **Popular Science** boldly state that American car quality is now beginning to seriously rival that of the Japanese. I have heard rumors that things are going the other way in Japan wherein unions are becoming stronger and are making more demands and therefore are creating more of an adversarial problem with management. In the face of economic success, the Japanese may begin to lose sight of Dr. Deming's ideas and may be slipping back into problems created by conflicts of Big Labor vs. Big Business. Unions may not survive if they cannot create adversarial relations with

services to workers who work them. Close the other guy's military base, not ours!

The people are hurting, but I believe they will listen to reason if they are given examples of success. Dr. Deming's theories helped Japan and are now helping American companies like Ford. There are new ways of doing things that don't mean Big Labor has to conflict with Big Business. Apple Computer and Stevens Jobs and Wozniak are examples of how footsoldiers can become generals. Likewise Henry Ford, Thomas Edison, Tom Watson of IBM, and other businesspeople who were born like most people with the same potential for success but who made the effort and were rewarded for their success. One thing that does not happen in this country is the glorification of the men and women who are leaders in business who create the jobs by creating and marketing the successful ideas. Entertainers and athletes—those people who are glorified by their success—will have no audiences and fans if those fans do not have the jobs that will provide the discretionary money available to buy what the entertainers and athletes have to sell. But if the business leaders are doing their jobs, then workers will have jobs and the money to spend, and everyone wins.

But it all starts with the dream, the idea, the marketing, the hard work, effort, and educated guesses that business leaders make to get the economy going, and they must be protected from government interference in terms of excessive regulation on the one hand and excessive taxation on the other. Democratic tax and spend won't work, and somehow the people must learn this.

I hope the analogy of footsoldiers and generals working to fight the economic wars as far as it goes is helpful to you in helping to explain to the American voters how the system works so they can see that Mr. Clinton's ideas may very well ruin the country so they can see that, after all, Mr. Bush and Republicans in general are the politicians to vote for on election day.

By the way, in dealing with Ross Perot, what this country needs is not a businessman to run the country as a business, for government is not and never will be a business, but a politician who knows that government is a political system wherein politicians try to gain power and control by influencing those people who will be governed and who consent to being governed. We need politicians, not businessmen. But more than that, we need statesmen who are politicians who put the needs of the people first and foremost instead of politicians who worry first and foremost about getting elected and re-elected. Ross Perot is not a politician. He is a businessman. He talks about solving problems as if as President he would be able to dictate to politicians what is to happen, but he does not talk about having to negotiate with those politicians in order to compromise and satisfy as many of their needs as possible. As a businessman he may have been able to dictate and therefore bully those who worked for him, but as a politician by virtue of being President he will not have this privilege. Instead, he will have to compromise, bend, give a little here in order to take a little there, and I suspect he will not be effective in doing so. As a worst case scenario, it is possible that Republican and Democrats might work together to block his proposals in order to show the American people that independent Presidents can't get the job done, creating a legislative gridlock just as bad if not worse than what we now have. If the voters can be shown examples in which Ross Perot as a businessman dictated, bullied, and in general forced other people to do his bidding, using dirty tricks, etc., to show how he had done his job as a businessman and how he might function as President, then his influence on the election will be greatly diminished.

I hope you are able to find something of value to Mr. Bush in this letter. We need to find ways to get Mr. Bush re-elected and keep the Democrats from ruining the country through their tax and spend policies.

Best of luck.

Regards,



Robert H. Kroepel

Robert H. Kroepel
20 South Shore Road
New Durham, NH 03855



Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500



10/16/92

DEAR MR. BAKER:

NRN

LAST NIGHT DURING THE DEBATE PRESIDENT BUSH SAID, IF I CORRECTLY UNDERSTOOD, THAT THE FATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS, SUPPORTS HIS REELECTION.

SIR, IF THIS IS A FACT, I BELIEVE THAT IT SHOULD BE BROADCAST NATIONALLY. I THINK THAT IT SHOULD BECOME THE PRESIDENT'S NUMBER ONE ATTACK WEAPON.

CLINTON SAID THE 22 GENERALS AND ADMIRALS ARE SUPPORTING HIS BID FOR THE PRESIDENCY.

PRESIDENT BUSH CAN POINT OUT THAT _____ MEMBERS OF THE F.O.P. IN THE CAPITAL CITY OF ARKANSAS ARE SUPPORTING HIM. WHY? IF ^{THE} LAW AND ORDER PEOPLE IN LITTLE ROCK DO NOT SUPPORT CLINTON, EVERYBODY NEEDS TO KNOW WHY -!

RESPECTFULLY —

Richard Blumenthal

P.S. my thoughts —
NOT MERRILL LYNCH



SouthTrust Tower
Suite 2600
420 N. 20th Street
Birmingham, AL 35203
205 326 9596

Richard B. Wasson



MR. JAMES BAKER III
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Mr. Baker

NRN

suggestion

19 Oct - 92

I am a retired 30 year Marine
Loree have you name it etc.

So please get Gen Swartzkopf
to come out and speak for Mr.
Bush.

Get the full military, retirees
etc. behind him & the General
can help enormously.

Sincerely yours.

Harley R. Ewert

Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Erwin
Cabrillo Mobile Lodge
731 "G" St., #C-10
Chula Vista, CA 91910



Mr. James Baker, Chief of Staff
% White House
Washington, D.C.
20500

URGENT 11



- (A)

NRN

suggestion

El Dorado, Ar.

Oct. 14, 1992.

U. S. White House:

Mr. Jim Baker:

Campaign Manger:

Dear Mr. Baker lots of us Arkansans can't stand to hear Gov. Clinton brag about what he has done on jobs for the state. Gov. Clinton has raised taxes on everything he can in the past 12 years. The largest rip-off has been the Grand-Gulf Power Plant in Mississippi. Arkansas a P + L. Customers will be paying for this plant for years, + we don't even look; or use any power from this plant. If you have time

(9)

please look into this deal.
President Bush Supporter,
Respectfully,
Warren K. Goodwin



WARREN K GOODWIN
1118 S WASHINGTON
EL DORADO AR 71730



Mr. James Baker.
U. S. White House.
Washington D. C. 20500.

suggestions

R. Dean Pine
Mill House, Box 96
Kintersville,
PA 18930

October 12, 1992

MRN
Honorable James Baker
White House,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

A few campaign observations from a life long Republican. Hang them on CHANGE we stand for improvement - not a change in our system. Our capitalist system has many problems. We see them and are trying to remedy them. For four years they have been blocked by a Democratically controlled congress. We intend to keep on trying. But the remedy is not to change to some form of deficit engineered state socialism. Our system has for the most part served us well and produced millions of jobs. Let's not put them in hazard for a system of socialism and government management which has generally failed wherever tried. Let's open the way for entrepreneurs and trust the genius of our people.

Gore is a dangerous environmental ideolog There is little question of his sincerity and dedication. The question is whether he has the good sense to properly weigh or understand how far many desirable environmental laws should adopted at the expense of rendering factories uncompetitive and closing them with the consequent loss of American jobs. He evidently feels that the imposition of economically unworkable rules is simply a way of punishing the wealthy. He evidently fails to realize that when economic conditions so dictate production and jobs will be moved to more favorable climates and that this is happening every day. The question is how to stop it not how to promote it with more burdensome requirements.

Gore -How can a man who cannot understand and answer a question be expected to understand and suggest solutions to complicated economic problems? No wonder he prefers a change which he can talk about without the necessity of an understanding.

Gore- Picture Gore interrupting and shaking his finger at Quale. Or perhaps at a French President. Is this a skilled negotiator who can lead the Security Council - negotiate successful trade agreements - or deal with foreign nations on the stabilization of the dollar?

Gore Of course Quale is not a Jack Kennedy or a Bill Clinton. Quale sleeps at home.

Respectfully,

R. Dean Pine

Oct. 15, 1992

Dear Mr Baker,

I am offering you my advice for no other reason than that I don't want Bill Clinton in the White House. The following suggestions are the result of talking with many of my friends about this Presidential election.

1. Tell Mr Bush to stop saying "Whom do you trust to run this country." I say this because many people don't trust the President either. It also gives the impression that he is desperate and hungry for power.
2. Explain, in clear and simple terms, how we are going to benefit by sending (rather encouraging) our manufacturing jobs to Mexico. This is a BIG ONE that has puzzled even me. It isn't enough for the President to tell us that: "Its part of the big picture."
3. Explain how Mr Bush is going to rebuild our infrastructure--especially in light of his open door policies toward Japan and the far East, and encouraging our manufacturing plants to leave this country.
4. Continue to stress that President Bush is for parental choice in education while Governor Clinton is not--and therefore does not want to stimulate competition (a good thing) between public and private schools.
5. Regarding abortion. Mr Quayle blew it the other night because he would not answer the question that was posed by Al Gore: "Repeat after me. I support a woman's right to choose." Rather than answering the question and then turn the issue around, Vice President Quayle tried (I believe unsuccessfully) to simply turn it around. In doing this, he not only appeared to be weak and without any "strong beliefs," but he also lost a little respect in the process. I was hoping that Mr Quayle would tell us how he really feels about abortion. If the President has any feelings about this, such as: "Abortion is not the answer. I think its wrong." Or "I am all for a choice, but not when someone else's life is at stake." He might also mention that for every 1000 live births, there are 351 abortions and only 7 adoptions. Or that the right to life decision should be left up to the Creator of life rather than to man.

Note: If the President has the guts to say something similar to what I have mentioned above he will no doubt turn a few "died in the wool" liberals off, but he will also gain a lot of respect in the eyes of those in this country who do believe in God (the great majority) and who are looking for leadership in areas of morality. The choice is His, but he's

suggestions
NRN

not going to win by trying to be everything to everybody like Mr Clinton.

6. Keep stressing that Bill Clinton is for higher taxes and more government while Mr Bush is not.

7. Tell Mr. Bush to stop saying: "When I am elected..." Instead he should say "If I am elected..." This is a humble approach but I feel that Mr Bush needs to humble himself considering the circumstances.

8. Pray for wisdom and God's favor.

Sincerely,


Randy S. Berg



MR. RANDY BERG
2126 PACIFIC AVE #A
ALAMEDA CA 94501

WILLIAM G. KURTZ, JR.

Real Estate Investments

Attorney at Law

*minus
suggestions*

October 16, 1992

The Honorable James Baker
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

NRN

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I earnestly suggested to you some weeks ago that you seize upon the success in Rochester regarding control of health care costs and spreading health insurance almost universally there, by reason of an intense cooperative effort by and between the medical profession, the hospitals, Government, and insurers. To my severe regret, my suggestion didn't register; and now Bill Clinton has mentioned it twice. You have simply thrown away that opportunity, and now the President can't raise it without seeming to say "me too."

Of the three candidates, Clinton is the only one who has never run a business; he has never had to meet a payroll; he has never had to live with government regulations and mandates; he has never held a real job in the private sector; he has been on a government payroll all his life. He is the quintessential politician.

Why doesn't the President make something of all this?

He has one last chance to stay President. Now or never.

Very truly yours,

W. G. Kurtz

new

Oct. 16, 1992

suggestion

Dear Mr. Baker,

I awoke early this morning in part because I had difficulty sleeping after the apparently poor public reaction to the President's performance in last night's debate. I turned on C-Span which was running a tape of Sen. Phil Gramm's Oct. 15 speech before the National Press Club. At one point the senator addressed the question of the economic consequences of a Clinton victory. I was impressed with his presentation which was forceful and sincere. He spoke of the unchecked growth of government and regulation. As I listened, I couldn't help but think that I would have liked to have seen President Bush state the case against Clinton in a similar manner. I was wondering whether anyone at the White House had considered inviting Senator Gramm to coach President Bush in anticipation of the next debate.

I believe that the American people do not realize how much Clinton represents bigger, more costly government. I don't believe that most Americans want an explosive growth of government and regulation. I know that they don't want the higher taxes necessary to pay for them. Senator Gramm made the point that most of the governments of the world are moving toward more economic freedom and less government intrusion. They have come to realize that government intrusion is counterproductive and slows economic growth. It would be a sad irony if the United States were to be virtually the only government moving against this trend and the lessons of history. The President should make that point forcefully in the next debate with the necessary analysis of Clintonomics and statistics to back up his argument.

Also, I hope that the President will not back down on making Clinton's character an issue in the campaign. The press has labored mightily to discredit his efforts and declared character irrelevant, but I believe from my observations that there are many Americans who find it difficult to accept Clinton as Commander-in-Chief given his record. I would like to hear more of the details of Clinton's anti-war activities put in the context of the times and more on the wisdom of having David Ifshin as an advisor given his Hanoi propaganda efforts.

I have all but given up on the Bush campaign. I'm not sure that anyone at the White House is listening to the suggestions of frustrated rank-and-file Republicans and I'm not certain that anything now will not be too little and too late, but I hope that you will ask Sen. Gramm to help coach the President and formulate an assault on Clinton's economic policies. The stakes in this election are too high not to fight until the last vote is cast.

Sincerely,

Jim Cripe

5 Bode Rd.

Hoffman Ests., IL. 60194

Douglas W. Adams, CPA
5528 Eastbourne Drive
Springfield, VA 22151

*suggestions
views*

October 16, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff - White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20500

WEN

Dear Mr. Baker:

I have never considered it worth my time to write to the President because I always felt that, with the amount of mail you receive, my letter would never be addresses. However, I write out of sheer frustration watching the debates as more opportunities for the Bush/Quayle team to miss opportunities. Issues that should be losers to the Democrats, you are allowing them to turn to winners.

Specifically, Gov. Clinton has spent the entire campaign, particularly the Democratic Convention, trying to run from traditional Democratic liberalism. "We are new and different Democrats" he tells us. Yet, when push comes to shove, he resorts to the party line of the last 25 years, the politics of resentment and class envy. They tell us that the rich should pay their "fair share" of taxes, speak of the failure of "trickle-down" economics, and continue to want to load on business family leave, health care, job retraining and whole host of other costly programs, then tells business to be more competitive. His programs are "investment in infrastructure", committees on educational standards, a national police force, etc., all of which are just more government jobs. Unlike either of his two opponents, Gov. Clinton has never had to worry about keeping a business solvent or making a payroll. Do you lack the understanding or the courage to point out that although class rhetoric is expedient, it is the opportunity for success, more than anything the government can do, that is the fuel that drives the engine of the American economy? Can you ask Mr. Clinton if he favors free-market capitalism, and if so, why does he want to punish the capitalists.

I think of a guy who was probably just out of college the last time the Democrats were in the White House. He started a business on a wing and a prayer. Before long, his company became a major U. S. corporation, with products that became the standard for the way the world did business. He was an innovator in micro-computing and an employer of thousands. I doubt whether Bill Gates knew when he

started Microsoft that, by age 30, he would be one of the richest people in America. However, I'll bet if he knew at that time that Mr. Clinton, Mr. Gore and Congressional Democrats would want to make him pay it all back, he might have just said, "To heck with it, I'll go to work for the government."

I believe that this is the type of vision and the ideals that the American want to hear. Not a lot of crap about what the government can do for me.

Sincerely

Douglas W. Adams

WEN

Oct 10/1992
suggestions

Dear Mr. James Baker,

I've been wanting to send
this Clippings from our Registered Newspaper
in Orange Co. Ca.

You see I'm always rea-
ding the Daily issues of our newspaper
& I happened to find these items very
interesting, I don't think this is a good
proposal. Please let Pres. Bush
answer this very well as we in
Orange Co want to know about all
this, you see no one has answered
back this kind of Proposal in our place.

I'm a Republican since I've
started voting in Ca, as I'm a Filipino
who is now an American citizen,
so no matter what, I'll vote for the
whole Rep. ticket, but please see
to it that this kind of Clinton's pres-
cription would be answered.

Thank you,

P. S.

Louise Hudson

Please advise Pres. Bush 9601 Taron Dr.
to wear light suit on the next Huntington Beach
campaign debate, & look at the 92646
camera straight in the eye Ca
& hold your balance straight. U.S.A.

Tel 964-4743

(714)

Opin

THE ORANGE COUNTY

Register

A FREEDOM NEWSPAPER

"Nobody but a beggar chooses to depend chiefly upon the benevolence of his fellow-citizens."

Adam Smith



R. David Threshie, publisher
K.E. Grubbs Jr., editorial
and commentary director
N. Christian Anderson, editor
and vice president

R.C. Hoiles, co-publisher 1935-1970
C.H. Hoiles, co-publisher 1935-1979
Harry Hoiles, co-publisher 1975-1979

EDITORIALS

Clinton's prescription

Last week Gov. Bill Clinton finally fleshed out his health-care proposal. With one minor exception, it resembles long-standing plans by congressional Democrats to impose a version of socialized medicine on America. The one constructive proposal — boosting from 25 percent to 100 percent the tax exemption for the self-employed — is far overshadowed by the many increases in government power and meddling. Let's look at the individual planks in the Clinton plan:

■ Employers would be forced to provide health-care coverage or pay a hefty tax to enroll employees in a government health program. This so-called pay-or-play system resembles one proposed last year by the Rockefeller Commission, headed by Sen. Jay Rockefeller of West Virginia. The commission pegged the cost to the economy at \$40 billion per year, for starters. That number doesn't even factor in the reality that all government programs grow like cancer.

■ Price controls would be imposed on medical procedures and prescription drugs. Remember how Richard Nixon's 1971 general price controls distorted the economy as a whole, and contributed to the energy crisis? Nixonesque controls on health care would cause similar distortions. In particular, high-tech pharmaceutical companies, unable to depend on a free-market price structure, would slow the development of new drugs, conceding innovation to foreign companies. Not surprisingly, the day after Clinton made his health-care proposal, pharmaceutical and health stocks led a hefty 37-point drop in the stock market. Clinton's prescription not only would harm our health but cost jobs, to boot.

■ Even though Medicare costs are rising rapidly, risking the bankruptcy of the system, Gov. Clinton would begin new programs for in-home and long-term care.

“Even though Medicare costs are rising rapidly, risking the bankruptcy of the system, Gov. Clinton would begin new programs for in-home and long-term care. Gov. Clinton claims his proposal would reduce medical costs by \$700 billion.”

Gov. Clinton claims his proposal would reduce medical costs by \$700 billion over the next eight years, about \$90 billion a year. But when you add up the expense, more likely the proposal would cost that much in the reduced health of our people and in lost jobs.

Should Gov. Clinton be elected president, one thing does stand in the way of his proposal: The federal government is itself close to bankruptcy. Having raised taxes in 1990 to “reduce” the deficit, the government increased the deficit to nearly \$400 billion. Any more tax increases, including indirect levies through a pay-or-play health care system, would depress federal revenues, not raise them, thus increasing the deficit even further. Clinton's quack cure would apply the leeches of socialism to the body of American health care.

What's needed is a prescription from the free market. President Bush has come up with such a plan, mixing tax exemptions with reforms that would help small businesses cut medical costs. Unfortunately, Mr. Bush has pushed his proposals with his usual anemic effort. And there's always the question of what he actually would do after election day. However, with Clinton's plan costly and unworkable, no matter who wins on Nov. 3, eventually some market reforms will have to be prescribed.

WPN

Mr. James Baker
c/o Office the President
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C.
20500-0001

suggestions

Mr. Baker,

The basic blue collar and hourly worker is the key to a Bush Victory in November. I deal with these people on a regular basis, as you are aware the white collar and business owner are in your column. Two issues make the blue collar and hourly worker very nervous and doubt Clinton. First, less take home pay and increased dependants on Welfare. You need to run a TV ad as if Clinton won and the time is late 1993. Have the scene a shabby coffee shop and three or four laid off workers are discussing Mr. Clinton's plan's which have been imposed. Have one discuss mandatory health care and how one employee state that his employer could not afford to pay so he shut the business down. A second on how the Company went to part time employees with no benefits. A third on how tax increases took the incentive away for a employer who shut the business down.

Second, the country loves and trust
Barbara Bush, the president should have a
few fire side Chats, with Mrs. Bush at
his side. Discuss, global economics, the
end of the cold war, health care, tax's
and show the unity of the couple and
the down to earth style of the couple
this will serve in sharp contrast to
The Governor and Mrs. Clinton.

P.S.

You Must Win I want
my own business if
Clinton gets in I am
sunk.

Best Regards

Dan Kincaid
Box 14C
Richland, Indiana

47634

(812) 359-5342

*Economic
suggestions*

4924 Glade St
Fort Worth, Texas 76114

September 16, 1992

NEW

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff
The Whitehouse
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

I think President Bush and Vice President Quayle are missing an important point in their defense of the poor economy - recession. The cut in defense spending is the main culprit.

i.e.; Closing military facilities, reducing the armed forces, cancelling defense contract, etc.

Tell the people why this was done. i.e.; The american people demanded that the budget be cut dramatically. People are alarmed at the ever rising deficit.

Any time the defense programs are cut there is going to be a recession and a poor economy with millions losing their jobs.

Sincerely,

Herman M. Caldwell
Herman M. Caldwell=Capt. USAR Retired
4924 Glade St.
Fort Worth, Texas 76114
817-625-6682

NRN

Earl Asbury
3101-B Broadmoor Valley Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80906

suggestions

Oct. 12, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker:

I've sent ideas to help the president to him, this letter to the Vice president, and 10 days in a row to Mary Matalin. I think some of my ideas would be helpful, but I can't seem to get anyone to read them. The campaign's ideas certainly haven't been effective.

Two additional points:

- The president talks about Congressional gridlock, but he's never spelled it out that this has been deliberate Democratic strategy to vote down any bill that would help the president, no matter how beneficial it might be to the nation.
- George McGovern has said he wishes he had had his business experience (going broke with a hotel) before he was in the Senate. It would have given him a better perspective regarding business and the economy. Clinton has no experience except the government tit.

Earl Asbury

The points I've marked in red should be brought out in the debate.

September 28, 1992

Dear Mr. Vice President:

The odds are against Bush-Quayle winning, but I really don't want Clinton. These grass roots suggestions are intended to try to help rescue you and the president:

1. This year has been very confusing to voters. They can't grasp all the ideas floating around. Prioritize and select the five main reasons why Americans will be better served by Bush than Clinton.. Hammer home those five reasons.

2. If Clinton is elected, I can visualize a nightmare parade of goofy liberal legislation that will be enacted in the first year. E.g. tax increases, statehood for Washington, D.C., legislation pandering to every Democratic constituency, legislation hostile to business and to everyone making over \$40,000. You can predict what they'll pass better than I. Lay this out. Be specific. Nobody has done so yet.

3. Here are three big questions you should have voters ask themselves this year:

- 11 ★ a. If you team up a big-spending Congress with a big-spending Bill Clinton, where will there be any hope of restraint? There'll be nobody to say, "Whoa!"
- 11 ★ b. Will the country be better off if new jobs are created in the private sector, which is the Bush plan, or if the emphasis is on more government jobs, which is the Clinton plan?
- 11 ★ c. Four years from now, will your taxes be higher under Bush or Clinton?

4. You may want to make this point: If the so-called moderate Bill Clinton wins, he'll be deeply indebted to, and will owe big political favors to three extreme liberals:

- 11 a. George Mitchell, who developed and led the strategy to deliberately defeat any Bush legislation that might help the economy or otherwise help George Bush get re-elected.
- 11 b. Jesse Jackson, who kept out of sight during the campaign. Clinton will be obligated to give Jackson D.C. statehood.
- 11 c. Hillary, who stood quietly by her man through the campaign, even though he admitted stepping out on her. Many voters will be surprised when they discover the radical influence she will exert.

5. When I went to school I was never taught about capitalism, or how it works. Neither was my wife, our son or our grandchildren. It is rarely taught. Most Americans don't understand it. So when you and the president talk about capital gains taxes or the need for incentives to invest or the harm higher taxes do to capital formation, it goes over people's heads. You need to explain in detail exactly how your programs would help (and how Clinton's would hurt).

6. Lay off the abortion issue and family values. You've already beat them to death. Anybody who would be favorably influenced by these arguments already is. What you need to win now is the people in the middle

7. Nobody has made the point that we have always had business cycles, usually up two to four years, then down. Because of Reagan's policies the economy kept growing for seven years, much longer than usual. We were way overdue for a recession, and we're having it. This recession was worse than normal because of the 1990 tax increase Democrats insisted on, and other punitive laws directed at business and investors.

Good luck,

Earl Asbury
Earl Asbury
Phone (719) 576-7632

P.S. The U.S. is never going to balance the budget unless it adopts an attitude of frugality. Turn out the lights when not in use. Substitute a nice Buick or Mercury or Dodge for the limousines. Announce a 10% across the board cut in all cabinet departments (and executive) that the president controls. Go through Martin Gross's book and announce adoption of all his suggestions you agree with. THIS CAN CHANGE THE DYNAMICS OF THE ELECTION!

16 Sunrise Drive
Warren, NJ 07059

October 13, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker
Whitehouse Chief of Staff
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

NRN

suggestions

Dear Mr. Baker:

My family and I just finished watching the vice-presidential debates on television, and I felt compelled to finally write this letter, the likes of which I have never done before. My wife and I are both typical Republican hard-working Americans with two employed children, both recent college graduates, living at home. We all intend to vote for the President in the forthcoming election, but, short of a miracle, it does not look as if we will be on the winning side this time. Please consider the following suggestions from one who really wants you to succeed in your current venture.

The President mentions education, how important it is for qualifying for the jobs of the future, and how we are in competition with the rest of the world. Reports are rampant in the media on the dismal results of competitive testing of our school children versus those around the world. Governor Clinton strikes a resounding blow when he calls for change in this area. As a former school board member, I am painfully aware of how difficult it is to effect reform from the "grass roots". The leadership has got to come from above. In addition to the effective things the President is saying about free choice in education at the lower levels, there is an area that neither candidate has emphasized, at least not that I have heard. That area is what used to be called "vocational" training. We no longer use that term because it conjures up images of leather-jacketed losers taking up space in those "second rate classes in the basement". Instead, "apprenticeship" training is the current buzz phrase. The apprentice system is very successful in Europe where such graduates have jobs nailed down before they even graduate from our equivalent of high school.

I have attached two editorials that appeared in the recent trade press on apprenticeship training in one area - plastics. Please consider contacting someone like Charles Sholtis, President of Plastic Molding Technology, who can provide excellent specifics on how such programs, if implemented in secondary education, could provide the training non-college bound students need to perform effectively once they graduate from our school systems. Moreover, if the President got specific on concepts like this in the final debate, he could score heavily. Best of all, this kind of approach is one that fits Republican strategy with respect to willing participation by industry in implementing programs they have a stake in.

On a totally different matter, I would like to give you more ammunition for your "war on lawyers". The third attached article concerns industry regulation, particularly in the environmental area. The author, Larry Hansen, President of Windsor Plastics, points out that Superfund monies have mostly gone into lawyers' pockets, not into the actual cleanup of toxic dumps and other environmental disasters. I have seen estimates that range as high as 90% of Superfund dollars spent on legal and administrative costs. I am sure that this is not what the environmental proponents had in mind when this legislation was introduced.

Please consider this letter as "feed-back" from a strong supporter. I really want to hear the President come across effectively in the key areas of jobs and education by elaborating on the specifics of his plans in these critical areas.

Very truly yours

Richard B. Toothill

Blueprint for Growth



Charles E. Sholtis
President
Plastic Molding Technology Inc.
Seymour, Conn.

P.M.T. Corp., founded in 1973, is a full-service company specializing in insert molding. It has 52 employees and 24 presses ranging from 24 to 110 tons.

- *Manufacturing is the key to a high standard of living*

- *European-style apprenticeship program could replenish the waning manufacturing skills*

- *Young workers would be trained in work-study programs in technology parks in major cities*

Needed: A national apprenticeship program

The custom molding business, along with the rest of the U.S. manufacturing base, is wrestling with major problems—low productivity, high costs, shrinking market share and a poorly educated workforce. The recent riots in Los Angeles are a reflection of how far we have declined in providing meaningful jobs and education in our society.

I am a product of the 1950s, when a young man could enroll in a trade apprenticeship program and usually be assured of a lifetime job. At that time a high school diploma meant that you met basic educational standards like reading, writing and mathematical skills. It was a time when America was a major industrial power and led the world in manufacturing.

Get back on track

There is still time to get America back on track to become a world-class manufacturer again. But there is no quick fix. The solution must be a long-term, national youth apprentice program.

It is time to embrace European-style apprenticeships, especially in moldmaking, design, molding and robotics. Nearly 70% of the workers in West Germany entered the workforce through apprentice training. There, an apprentice works three or four days a week under a mentor and spends the rest of the week in a government-funded vocational school learning the academic skills needed for industry. The standards for the various occupations are set by panels drawn from labor boards, employers and government. Apprentices must pass examinations before entering their fields. Compare that system with our own, where just 10% of Americans in the manufacturing workforce receive formal training.

A plan for the U.S.

Good two-year programs for training plastics technicians already exist in the U.S. One example is Penn College, operated by Penn State University, which could be a model for similar schools elsewhere in the country. Most molding businesses need the skills such schools teach. The demand exists and the pay is good.

I envision a cooperative effort between government, education and industry to set up mini-technology parks in every inner-city neighborhood in the U.S. The parks would consist of technology training centers run by private universities with the best educators available, surrounded by small world-class factories. The plants would be run by private industry and be equipped with the latest technology to compete worldwide.

The federal government's responsibility would be to enact legislation to limit imports to 75% of certain product markets. Funding would be through grants, tax incentives and low interest loans. (We are spending the money now on entitlement programs and on job programs such as raking leaves and painting fences.)

This program would help us as a nation regain some of our lost skills and create a new manufacturing base. There is no quick fix, but it can be done over the long term. It will take years of effort and old-fashioned hard work to make America what it once was. We must start here and we must start now. A country that doesn't manufacture can't enjoy a high standard of living.

Blueprint for Growth



Fred P. Keller
President
Cascade Engineering
Grand Rapids, Mich.

Starting with two injection molding machines and six people in 1973, Cascade Engineering now employs 400 people and has 35 presses including one with a 9,000 ton clamp, the largest in the Americas. Its major customers are in the automotive, office furniture and refuse-collection industries.

● *The organizations with the lowest cost structures are the ones that will survive*

● *The keys to success today are teamwork, empowerment and training*

● *Employee empowerment won't work without education*

Rethink how to use your people assets

The need to get the fat out of our operations has inevitably focused the search on waste. By waste, I don't mean things we discard, but actions and procedures that fill no essential purpose for the business. Waste can be found in overproduction, cycle times, inventory counts, labor and, of course, scrap.

● You know your search is on the right track when people ask if this activity or report is essential. If it doesn't improve the quality, cost or performance of the product, it's a candidate for waste elimination.

Our American culture too often looks for someone to blame for a problem rather than looking at it as an opportunity to improve. The challenge is make finding and eliminating waste a positive activity.

● Making this happen takes an enormous amount of energy and determination in a culture that puts a premium on independent thinking. The keys to success today are teamwork, empowerment and education.

● Teamwork is not just for "them" on the shop floor, but must involve all levels in the organization. At Cascade Engineering, self-managed work teams operate at the production level to deliver the right product with the quality and on the time schedule required by the customer.

Department teams meet regularly to identify and meet the needs of internal customers. The senior management team plans and reviews progress, revising plans if necessary, to meet these goals.

● But the focus also has to be broadened beyond departmental issues. Cross-functional teams make another level of contribution to customer service. Advanced quality planning, for example, designs and develops new products and eases the transition from design to production. And we are going still further. We are aligning our organization by customer group to become more responsive to each customer's needs. In that way we will avoid the wasteful practice of trying to make each customer adapt to

the way we do business.

● Empowerment is a great idea, but unless it is done in steps and is preceded by education, the result can be chaos. Education includes training and skills to run new machines and processes—essential to competing globally. Education also must include an understanding of why a business functions the way it does.

But it is not complete until the employee also understands how reducing waste can create more profit and why reinvesting profits back into the business is the key to job security.

Educational opportunities

● At Cascade Engineering every employee must complete a 15-hour course in problem solving before participating in our cost of quality/continuous-improvement bonus program. College degree programs are offered on-site.

We have three learning centers, including computerized-training facilities where employees can learn at their own pace and schedule. Every employee allowed a minimum of \$1,000 to pursue an educational plan.

● Clearly the organizations with the lowest cost structures will be the survivors in this industry. Lowest-cost does not mean a schlock operation. To the contrary, those of us who use teamwork, empowerment and education will be the long-term survivors.

By getting the waste out fastest we will have the lowest prices and still have a decent return from our business to pay our employees well.

Blueprint for Growth



Hugh W. Silberman
Chief Executive Officer
Automatic Technologies Inc.
South Bend, Ind.

Automatic Technologies is a conglomerate of five companies that specialize in injection and structural foam molding, mold making, finishing and plating. Parent company, founded in 1968 and headed by Mr. Silberman, is South Bend-based Automatic Molded Plastics. Press sizes range from 28 to 1,500 tons.

- *World competition is forcing major companies to downsize*
- *Better trained workers are needed to help U.S. to compete*
- *Government-industry partnership must provide the training programs*

Better worker training an industry-wide need

The largest challenge facing the plastics industry is worker education and training.

For the past 10 years our country and all of its major corporations have been undergoing a radical change. The change has many names—downsizing, consolidation, early retirement, restructuring—but all the terms mean the same thing. They signify a transition among manufacturing companies from large to lean.

The change was initiated, in fact mandated, by the competitive pressures of the world economy. Corporations have been achieving world competitiveness by eliminating whole departments and outsourcing jobs and world-sourcing the goods and services they require.

This process has created large groups of dislocated workers, literally hundreds of thousands of both blue collar and white collar Americans. The process has been and will continue to be a major reason for high unemployment or underemployment in the U.S. for the foreseeable future.

Slogans not the answer

"Buy American" is not a productive answer to the nation's unemployment problems. The real solution is retraining, and reeducating our workforce.

The hope that a large recovery in the economy will soak up the unemployed is not in the cards this time. The ranks of dislocated workers will remain very full for a long time to come.

We as an industry, maybe for the very first time, have an opportunity to really shape public policy in this vital area. And we are moving to do just that. The national board of directors of the Society of the Plastics Industry has defined the areas for strategic planning for the needs of plastics processors. Included in

their varied list of areas needing attention are worker training, moldmaker apprenticeship programs and a focused effort to educate the general public on the contributions of plastics to the many facets of our standard of living.

Action is underway to obtain a consensus among plastics processors to define their needs for training and education, to be followed by the creation of a data base compiling the resources available from individual states and the federal government for these purposes. This body of data will provide the basis for a practical program to assist processors to meet their needs in an organized manner.


We anticipate the creation of public-private partnerships with the potential to furnish the skilled blue-collar and white-collar workers who are critically needed by the plastics industry.

\$400,000/year on education

In our own company we spend \$400,000 a year on education and training. We offer both general courses like statistical process control and specific technologies like mold making and process engineering.

The industry must come together on this critical issue or we will not be able to compete with better educated and trained competitors in the world economy.

Blueprint for Growth



Larry K. Hansen
President and COO
Windsor Plastics Inc.
Evansville, Ind.

Windsor Plastics has 750 employees and 42 injection molding machines in Evansville, Ind., and Grand Prairie, Texas. It molds, decorates and assembles parts for the automotive, business machine, appliance and medical industries.

● *Excessive regulation is inhibiting manufacturing growth*

● *Some legislation threatens, rather than protects jobs*

● *The industry can destroy itself with low-margin price competition*

Common sense missing in industry regulation

If I had to recommend an occupation to my children, it wouldn't be in manufacturing. This is a sad commentary, but it reflects my analysis of the status of manufacturing in the U.S. today. Given the current regulatory environment, I question whether manufacturing can even survive the 21st century.

In the public soul searching being done over environmental issues, manufacturing firms are increasingly being singled out as the primary source of the problem. Granted there are a few firms whose lack of integrity has resulted in dramatic, highly publicized incidents of contamination. Most companies want to be good corporate citizens, but they are looking for a balance between responsibility and good sense.

Unfortunately many politicians have seized on environmental issues and have decided to punish entire industries to demonstrate their commitment to the environment. In most cases the resulting legislation has had little effect on the purposeful polluter who deliberately acts to circumvent the law.

Restrictive laws hinder growth because of the long permit-approval process and the cost of equipment for abatement. I am not trivializing the need for legislation on issues important to our survival, but I do question how these regulations are being interpreted and enforced. For instance, even though the most serious air pollution problems are a direct function of population congestion, current regulations seek to correct the problems by attacking industries that may be producing only a fraction of the pollution. Another example is the Superfund, which is supported by taxes from manufacturers. The majority of the funds goes for legal and administrative costs, not the actual cleanup.

Counterproductive regulations

Many companies have had to cut back on capital investments because a project they subcontracted 20 years ago was handled inappropriately. Under environmental law manufacturers are deemed guilty unless they prove otherwise, so even innocence is costly

because of the legal fees involved.

Other regulations being forced on the manufacturing community, though well-intentioned, are not cost-effective. Standards to improve automotive fuel efficiency have the admirable goal of saving fossil fuels, but have resulted in curtailing the production of specific car models, thereby putting assemblers—and suppliers—out of work.

Legislation aimed at protecting jobs and benefits may have just the opposite effect. Companies may opt to remain below a certain size to remain exempt from legislation or choose not to participate in insurance or pension programs made too costly by regulations.

Shooting our own foot?

Manufacturing firms themselves are adopting policies that are as potentially destructive to their health as excessive regulation. Customer-supplier relationships began to disintegrate as soon as profits began falling during the recent recession. Suppliers were pitted against each other to see who could bear the lowest profit margin. This type of competition directly affects the companies' ability to invest in education, engineering and technology and, ultimately it weakens the competitive strength of the industry.

I am not suggesting that, left alone, manufacturers would solve all of society's problems and that none of them would violate their responsibilities to the public. Some controls are needed, but they should be equitable and within the scope of currently available technology and good economic sense.

I believe that it should be our common goal to perpetuate competitive manufacturing in this country. The current system, in which government, customers and suppliers view each other as antagonists, is not a healthy one—and is one that may not survive.

new

suggestion

Kerrville Texas
October 16, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Bush Campaign Director
% The White House
Washington, D.C.

Mr. Baker,

I have submitted the following text to several Texas newspapers. I believe that this is an important aspect of the coming election. Perhaps you will use said theme in a T.V. ad.

"With all the talk of "change" in the upcoming election, one important area is seldom mentioned. I refer to the fact that the Democratic controled congress is delaying the confirmation of over forty Federal district judges.

Whatever your opinion of the possible economy under the guidance of either candidate, keep in mind what you wish our court system to reflect in the future.

Remember, the Presidency is for four years. A Federal Judgeship is for life!"


I hope you use it.

Sincerely,

Harvey West

Harvey West

Phone 1-512-896-2450





ENZE CONSUMER PRODUCTS DIVISION, INC.

P.O. BOX 864 • WEST CALDWELL, NEW JERSEY 07007
91 CLINTON ROAD • SUITE 2D • FAIRFIELD, NJ 07004

TEL (201) 808-9343
FAX (201) 808-9311

October 16, 1992

President Bush's Re-Election Committee
C/O White House
2400 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C.

Attn: Jim Baker

Dear Mr. Baker:

The thought of Bill Clinton as President scares the hell out of me.

Why the people working for the President's re-election are allowing this to happen is totally incomprehensible to me.

WHY AREN'T YOU FIGHTING BACK?

The Democrats are blaming President Bush for the Tax increase he signed, AND NOW REGRETS, and you do nothing to counter the "inuendo" lies of their ads that constantly show the President saying "read my lips...no new taxes".

- 1: It was a Democratic Tax bill, NOT A REPUBLICAN ONE.
- 2: It was to be accompanied by cuts in spending, BUT THE DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS WENT BACK ON THEIR WORD TO PRESIDENT BUSH.
- 3: AL GORE HAPPILY SIGNED THIS BILL.
- 4: ALL, OR MOST, OF THE DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS (both houses) SIGNED THE TAX BILL.

This ad of the Democrats is very effective in fighting the President's claim that he is against taxes and that Clinton will raise taxes AND NOTHING IS BEING DONE TO COUNTER THIS.

Also:

- 1: The President is trying to paint Bill Clinton as a liar. Why isn't there an ad that:

*Comments/
suggestions*

NRN

- A: shows Ms. Flowers saying that Clinton asked her to lie.
- B: shows Clinton, when he ran for re-election, saying that he will not run for President... that he promises the people of Arkansas that he will finish out his term if they re-elect him.
- 2: Clinton keeps claiming that he created the most jobs as Governor and would do the same as President. THIS IS VERY CONVINCING, so why aren't you pointing out:
- A: that Arkansas is a "right-to-work" state... and hence, ANTI-UNION.
- B: that Arkansas gives BIG BUSINESS (the "rich" as he likes to say) massive tax breaks to set up in Arkansas, AND THEN RAISES TAXES ON THE "SMALL" PEOPLE TO BALANCE THE BUDGET, AS HE MUST DO SO BY LAW.
- C: that the businesses coming to his state ARE ALLOWED TO POLLUTE THE ENVIRONMENT, and hence, they save money that would normally be put towards running "environmentally safe" operations.
- D: that the wages paid in Arkansas are below most of the other states...due to ANTI-UNION "RIGHT-TO-WORK" LAWS.
- 3: Last night Clinton said that he was AGAINST TERM LIMITATIONS FOR CONGRESS. Do you plan to play on this and tell the voters that:
- A: all of the committees are headed by Democrats and that is why he is against limitations.
- B: by heading these committees the Democrats are able to bottle up Presidential legislation.

President Bush can win if you fight back.

Respectfully,
ENZE CONSUMER PRODUCTS



NORMAN Z. KELLER
PRESIDENT

NZK:bt

P.S. You are free to use all, or any part of this letter to aid the President's re-election campaign.

THE ENZE COMPANIES

P.O. BOX 864

WEST CALDWELL, NJ 07007



NEWARK NJ 071 PM 10/16/92 DCR #25

President George Bush
C/O The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C.

CONTAINS INFO. ON BILL CLINTON



OCTOBER 16, 1992

MR. JAMES BAKER, CHIEF OF STAFF
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

suggestions
NRW

DEAR MR. BAKER:

I HAVE WATCHED THE PRESIDENTIAL DEBATES AND AM PUZZLED. I KEEP WONDERING WHEN THE PRESIDENT IS GOING TO START QUESTIONING GOV. CLINTON'S COMMENTS REGARDING THE "GREAT" PERFORMANCE OF ARKANSAS.

ANY STUDENT OF BUSINESS OR ECONOMICS WOULD "HAVE" TO QUESTION THE GOV'S NUMBERS. EVERYONE KNOWS THAT YOU CAN MAKE YOURSELF LOOK GOOD WHEN YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT A VERY SMALL ECONOMIC BASE AND YOU THEN REFER TO YOUR "PERCENTAGE" INCREASE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU HAVE AN INDUSTRIAL BASE OF \$1 MILLION IN 1980 AND INCREASE IT TO \$2 MILLION BY 1992 YOU HAVE A 100% INCREASE WHICH SOUNDS IMPRESSIVE. BUT IF YOU TELL SOMEONE THAT YOUR INDUSTRIAL BASE INCREASED \$1 MILLION IN 12 YEARS AND THAT INFLATION DURING THAT SAME PERIOD ACCOUNTED FOR 75-80% OF THAT INCREASE YOUR PERFORMANCE NOW LOOKS VERY POOR.

HE KEEPS COMPARING HIMSELF TO THE "OTHER" STATES WHICH IS RELATIVE. FOR EXAMPLE, HE TRIES TO COMPARE HIMSELF TO CALIFORNIA, FLORIDA OR NEW YORK BY USING "PERCENTAGE" GROWTH WHICH IS NOT A VALID COMPARISON BECAUSE THOSE STATES ARE STARTING FROM A MUCH LARGER BASE. WHY DOESN'T HE TELL US HOW MANY "NEW" JOBS WERE CREATED AND THEN COMPARE THE ACTUAL NUMBER TO CALIFORNIA OR NEW YORK OVER THE PAST 12 YEARS (NOT USING PERCENTAGES BUT ACTUAL NUMBERS).

ANOTHER QUESTION THAT SHOULD BE ASKED OF GOV CLINTON IS, "DID THIS GROWTH THAT HE KEEPS REFERRING TO, COME FROM "REAL GROWTH WITH NEW BUSINESSES BEING FORMED TO CREATE NEW JOBS?" OR "DID THIS GROWTH COME FROM BUSINESSES "PERSUADED" TO MOVE TO ARKANSAS FROM ANOTHER STATE?" IN MY MIND THERE IS A BIG DIFFERENCE!

AS PRESIDENT, HE WOULD FIND IT MUCH MORE DIFFICULT TO GET A BUSINESS TO MOVE FROM ANOTHER COUNTRY THAN IT IS TO GET THEM TO MOVE FROM ANOTHER STATE. THAT IS NOT REAL GROWTH FOR THE COUNTRY, I WOULD CALL IT PIRACY!

GOV CLINTON ALSO LIKES TO TALK ABOUT THE TERRIBLE JOB WE ARE DOING IN EDUCATION. THE NEW AP TEST RESULTS FOR CALIFORNIA WERE JUST PUBLISHED IN OUR LOCAL PAPER AND THERE IS A DRAMATIC IMPROVEMENT. THE STATE AVERAGE FOR THE CLASS OF 83-84 WAS 7.2 AND THE CLASS OF 91-92 HAS AN AVERAGE OF 20.7 FOR AN INCREASE OF +188%.

PAGE 2

FINALLY, WHEN GOV CLINTON LOOKS AT THE OVERALL ECONOMIC HEALTH OF THE U.S. ECONOMY HE SAYS IT IS IN TERRIBLE SHAPE. THIS IS A HALF TRUTH. OUR ECONOMIC WOES ARE DUE IN LARGE PART TO THE GLOBAL RECESSION THAT HIT NOT ONLY THE U.S. BUT EUROPE AND JAPAN.


DOES GOV CLINTON REALLY EXPECT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TO BELIEVE THAT PRESIDENT BUSH IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS WORLD WIDE RECESSION? I THINK THAT WOULD BE A GOOD QUESTION TO ASK THE GOVERNOR IN THE NEXT DEBATE.

-ALL OF THE NEWSLETTERS THAT I GET FROM THE FINANCIAL ADVISORS- INDICATE THAT THERE HAS BEEN A 15% INCREASE IN M1 IN RECENT MONTHS WHICH WILL CREATE AN UPWARD SPIRAL IN INFLATION "IN THE VERY NEAR TERM". WITH THE DEMOCRATS CONTROLLING BOTH THE WHITE HOUSE AND CONGRESS WE CAN EXPECT EVEN MORE "PRIMING OF THE PUMP".

DO THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTRY REALIZE THAT THOSE HURT MOST BY INFLATION ARE WAGE EARNERS AND FIXED INCOME PEOPLE SUCH AS MYSELF (RECENTLY FORCED TO RETIRE BY THE SECURITY PACIFIC/BANK OF AMERICA MERGER)?

I KNOW IT DOESN'T LOOK GOOD FOR THE PRESIDENT, BUT I WOULD HOPE THAT HE WILL ASK GOV CLINTON TO RESPOND TO SOME OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS. HOPEFULLY, THE DEBATES WILL OPEN SOME OF THE PEOPLES EYES TO WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN TO THEM IF GOV CLINTON BECOMES OUR NEXT PRESIDENT.

SINCERELY,



VERNON D. BEHRENS
215 OAKWELL DRIVE
WALNUT, CALIF. 91789
(714) 594 3743

NRN

suggestion

TO. Honorable James Baker
White House, Washington, D.C.

Harold J. Loveless
6017 Casowary Lane
New Bern, N.C. 28560

Suggestion for President:

Clinton constantly chants that 12 years of Reagan trickle down economy has only helped the rich. President should remind him that Reagan had to devote first term reducing double digit interest rates and inflation caused by the last Democratic Governor elected president. Can we really afford to gamble on another Mister Fixit like the last one, particularly while many of the same old Democratic heads are still in Congress?

Hope this helps. A devoted Republican.

Harold J. Loveless

Harold J. Loveless
Lt. Col. USAF retired and Viet Vet

Harold J.Loveless
6017Cassowary Lane
New Bern, N.C.28560

Honorable James Baker
White House
Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington,D.C.20000

Boris Milvich
0209 Little Elk Creek
Snowmass, CO 81654
303-927-3105

suggestions
NRN

October 15, 1992

James Baker
White House Chief of Staff
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, DC 20201

URGENT
Campaign Material

Dear Mr. Baker,

Thank you for your request for some urgent campaign material.

I would like to add that **this presidential race can not be won unless:**

1. The President introduces proposals full of substance and vision,
2. Proposals that will produce a real change, and
3. That are possible to implement.

I hope that you can influence our President to introduce in the presidential campaign proposals and reforms that I am submitting. They are summaries from the book that I am writing: "*American Economic Catch 22 and The Way Out.*".

These proposals are very safe and they are also excellent campaign issues. If timely announced they will turn the campaign around and dramatically improve the chances of winning the election.

Sincerely,



Boris Milvich

P.S. **Question on timing for introducing these proposals this late in the campaign!**
Events that are occurring in Europe cast doubt in the European unity and a fast economic recovery in those countries. **These events are precipitating an immediate action from the USA at this very time.** The countries all over the world are waiting for the economic growth and demand to begin in USA that will start a world wide economic recovery.

*This is what
the American
voters want
to hear!*

*This is the only valid proposal left that the President can announce
and sway every sector of voters in his favor and win the election!*

AMERICAN AUTO INDUSTRY OF THE FUTURE The High-Tech Catalyst

How to transform US auto industry into a high-tech catalyst that will precipitate immediate economic recovery.

THE 15 YEAR PLAN

- 1. Declare auto and supporting industries as strategic industries.**
- 2. Impose a limit on car imports** and parts sold in US but made outside US and Canada. The limit would be achieved over a period of 5 years.
- 3. Unions are to accept concessions** or freeze in benefits for their members for a period of 15 years.
- 4. Auto manufacturers are to invest in production of new models** and bring on the market by a target year inexpensive but high quality and fuel efficient cars, doubling the present average fuel efficiency and emission performance. **The cars that will appear on the market will represent a real revolution in the car design and manufacturing.**
- 5. Auto manufacturers are to make substantial investment in training workers** to increase their skills and productivity.
- 6. De-unionize electric car manufacturing** for the period of 15 years. Increase the investment in research and development of electric cars and batteries and mass produce electric cars by a target year.
- 7. Replace all fuel inefficient cars within 10 years**, with low or no emission cars and, thus, dramatically reduce oil consumption, reduce air pollution and acid rain, reduce the threat of the global warming and help to prevent the destruction of the ozone layer.
- 8. All new models of cars to be made in metric measurements.**
- 9. Create a spirit of involvement** and cooperation from labor, industry and government to achieve set goals.
- 10. Set the stage for the American Tech-Leap.***

The Goal

- 1. Create favorable conditions to develop cars of the future** by American industry and auto manufacturers that the entire world would benefit from:
 - a. Gasoline powered cars that will travel up to 100 miles per gallon,
 - b. Electric power cars and a new generation of car power batteries,
 - c. Create funds for development and building super trains throughout US.
- 2. Transform auto manufacturing into a high-tech, high productive industry** with high skilled and productive employee base that can justify high wages.
- 3. Dramatically reduce air pollution** and the threat to the ozone layer.
- 4. Create a catalyst for the high-tech revolution** and the future economic growth in all sectors of the American economy.
- 5. Dramatically reduce our foreign trade deficit** and dependency on foreign oil.
- 6. Reduce US dependency on foreign oil.**

What would the Plan accomplish:

- 1. Prevent the collapse of the US auto industry and supporting industries** and **save hundreds of thousands of jobs** and provide a base for better jobs and wages in the future.
- 2. Provide a guaranteed market for domestic industries** that is necessary for long term capital investment.
- 3. Guarantee capital formation** that is necessary for financing new technologies.

4. Enable **capital formation in all supporting industries** like steel, rubber and plastic industries, that will finance long term capital expenditures in those industries.
5. Significantly **increase productivity of the auto industry**, and **increase the skill of the labor force**, that will enable the free labor market alone to push wages to higher levels.
6. Make possible to **develop technology to produce cars with much higher fuel efficiency**, doubling the present average performances, and aim to develop cars that will travel 100 miles per gallon of gas.
7. Develop cars with low and zero emissions that will significantly **reduce air pollution, acid rain, threat to the ozone layer**, and reduce other environmental problems caused by car emissions.
8. By switching to metric system with all new car models it would begin a stage of industry-wide **conversion to international standards of measurements** that would make products made in USA sellable on the foreign markets.
9. Dramatically **reduce US trade deficit**
10. Create realistic economic tax base for **reduction of the US federal deficit** through higher employment and higher economic growth.
11. **Reduce oil consumption and dependance on foreign oil.**
12. Create a **ripple effect** to stimulate the whole US economy.
14. **Set the stage for the Tech-Leap***, economic recovery and full employment.
14. When higher fuel efficiency is achieved, that would result in substantial reduction in the cost of owning and operating a vehicle, **only at that point an additional tax on gasoline is to be introduced** that would amount to one half of what the consumers are saving by this reduction in the cost of operating a car. This tax would vary depending on performances achieved. These funds would be used for:
 - a. Road construction and repairs.
 - c. Domestic oil and alternate anergy production.
 - d. Research of alternate means of transportation.
 - e. Research and development of super conductors.
 - f. Research and development of super trains.
15. When doubling of the average fuel efficiency is achieved by all registered cars, the value of tax revenues from gasoline would provide large funds, that in conjunction with private funds it would become possible to implement the results of the investments in the R&D in super conductors and super trains and begin **construction of the first US made Transamerican Super Train.**

What would unions have to accept:

1. Benefit cuts.
2. Assume a new role of being an employment agent that provides auto industry with the work force motivated for quality, integrity, productivity and new work ethics.
3. Be responsible for reduction of absenteeism and abuse of the system.
4. No strikes or slow-downs for the period of 15 years.
5. Permit and encourage capital formation if it is to be used in a specified way.
6. Accept the role of being a partner in the program.

What would auto manufacturers have to accept.

1. Top management will take a pay cut and let the achieved performance determine future monetary rewards.
2. Limit dividend payments for the first tree years.
3. Reinvest all profits in its own manufacturing plants.
4. Invest large amount of capital for training workers in order to create highly skilled, productive, and not easily replaceable labor force. Enable the productivity and skill of the workers to determine higher wages in the future under the free labor market.
5. Bring on the market the best fuel efficient cars by a specified year.
6. Accept and comply with much higher exhaust and safety standards.

7. Begin mass production of electric cars by a target year.
8. Increase the warranties of the cars sold to 30, 50 or even to 100 thousand miles, depending on the model.
9. Achieve high level of productivity and mass production and bring on the market cars with lower prices.
10. All new car models and manufacturing facilities to be switched to metric system.
11. Follow a comprehensive research & development funding to create new technologies.
12. All new workers to be union members, but the manufacturers would have a greater freedom whom to accept or dismiss.
13. Set goals and investment plan to produce best quality cars in the world, with the best fuel efficiency and performances attainable. Achieve greater mass production to keep autos reasonably priced. Equip all cars with air bags as standard equipment.
14. Limit advertising expenses in order to reduce the overall cost of production, and eliminate costly advertising wars. Let the performances sell the cars.
15. Establish relations with unions as partners in the program. Include union representatives in the management.

What would government have to do:

1. Enforce limits on foreign car imports and foreign owned car production.
2. Create a Department of Strategic Industries to implement and coordinate the revitalization of the industries that are designated as being of strategic importance.
3. Convert some of the military research facilities into centers for research of new technologies that will be applied in auto and transportation industries, alternate energy production, super conductors and super computers, and into research centers of new means of transportation.
4. When high fuel efficient and low emission cars become available government is to provide incentives and regulations that will help remove all fuel and emission deficient cars off the roads and create additional demand for new cars and make high level of mass production possible.
5. Federal Reserve Board is to provide banks with funds at special rates that will be used by consumers as car loans with low interest rates only when they trade their low fuel performance cars for cars with much higher fuel efficiency.
6. To become a motivating force that will unite energies of Labor, Industry and Government to accomplish set goals.

How would unions benefit from this action:

1. The plan will stop the decline of the union membership that will be especially felt when all the announced GM plant closings are completed.
2. Prevent future layoffs that will result from increased competition from cars manufactured in countries where wages are very low.
3. Improve the image of union members for being well paid because of merit rather than force.
4. Increase skill and productivity of the union work force that will justify high wages.
5. Increase the union membership.

How would the entire world benefit from this action:

1. Only an economically strong America can act as the best stabilizing factor in the world political and economic arena and influence and maintain the peace in the world.
2. The limit on car imports will increase and straighten American industries, bring recovery and with it more demand for foreign imports.
3. US economic recovery would have a ripple effect on the entire world economic recovery.
4. Even the countries that will be affected by the car import limitations, like Japan, in the end will benefit from these actions. Full employment and higher wages in US will result in an increased demand for all other goods that Japan and other countries can provide.

5. New technologies of fuel efficient cars, electric cars and car power battery manufacturing will be available to the entire world, and, in fact, can be created with participation of auto industries from other countries.

6. World wide air pollution problems as well as ozone layer threats will be substantially reduced by world wide introduction of fuel efficient and low emission vehicles, with mass production of electric cars with zero emissions.

7. **Only a technological revolution in the auto industry can prevent an imminent environmental threat** that will be appearing when population of Eastern Europe and Russia in masses begin to drive, or when China with its one billion plus citizens sheds its communism and takes the road of industrial progress that Korea or Taiwan have gone through. A potential of one billion extra cars will be on the roads world-wide at the beginning of the next century.

Conclusion

If the limitation of car imports is followed with a comprehensive plan of action that will bring new technologies that will be available to the entire world, and with a plan that will promote a large economic growth at home - then, the limitation of car imports will not be seen as a protectionist measure but as a plan to eliminate world wide air pollution and the threat to the ozone layer and as a plan that will promote economic growth throughout the world.

In view of the world wide benefits that this action can produce **USA has a unique chance to be the leader in developing new technologies that are the only hope for saving the planet Earth.**

*Tech-Leap is a term used by this author in the book that he is writing: *"American Economic Catch 22 and The Way Out"*.

*The best
campaign
slogan
that can
be found!*

*This is what
the American
voters want
to hear!*

First four years "Round One" - Peace Abroad

Successes and Achievements:

1. End of the cold war.
2. Collapse of the communism and its threat.
3. Defeat of Irak and terrorism.
4. Gain of respect from the entire world for restrain during the war with Irak, by closely following the UN resolutions.
5. Return of hostages.
6. Reduction of hostilities from the Arab world towards US.
7. Chinese promise for a switch to the free enterprise system without help from the West.
8. Exceptionally successful foreign policy - unprecedented promise for a permanent world peace.

NEXT FOUR YEARS: "ROUND TWO" - PROSPERITY AT HOME

Domestic Agenda

1. Plan for the ECONOMIC PROSPERITY

American Tech-Leap*. Only a switch to high-tech industries and to industries with high productivity can solve economic, social and pollution problems presently facing USA and the entire world. The President should introduce a plan for **creating** a new department:

"Department of the Strategic Industries" that would include **Industries of the Future:**

1. Automobiles of high performance
2. Electric car manufacturing
3. Super computers
4. Super conductors
5. Development of super trains using super conductor technologies
6. Genetic engeneering and high-tech pharmaceutical industries
7. Robotic engeneering
8. Industries of new high-tech materials
9. Manufacturing in space, space communication and travel
10. Cold fusion research

Goals and Tasks of the "Department of the Strategic Industries"

1. **Prepare a long term plan** for the American Tech-Leap*
2. **Coordinate the efforts** of the private industries with government's efforts.
3. **Prepare future guaranteed and protected market** for the Future Strategic Industries
4. **Coordinate the conversion of military research facilities** into the research centers of the future industries and coordinate the use of military technological innovation and discoveries by American industries.
5. **Finance and coordinate research at universities** throughout USA that will advance the selected industries.
6. **Focus the efforts on specific projects** that will yield maximum results
7. **Become catalyst** for the future economic growth throughout USA and the world.
8. **Transform US industries into high-tech industries** or industries of thought and innovation and into clean industries that require little raw materials and energy. They are to replace or transform US industries into industries of unimaginative productivity of new materials, robots, computers and computer guided machinery.
9. **Create a pollution free** high-tech manufacturing that will lead the way to new technological discoveries that will help reduce pollution of air, water and soil throughout the world, and reduce the threat to the ozone layer.
10. **Transform the jobs of sweat, toil and monotony into jobs of skill, thought, satisfaction, high productivity and high wages.**

*This is
what would
capture the
imagination
& enthusiasm
of all
Americans!*

*This is what
the President
should tell to
the American
people!*

Projects to be committed to in the next 10 years

1. **Develop and construct the first Transamerican Super Train** that will travel from coast to coast at speeds of 300 or more miles per hour.
2. **Develop cars of high fuel efficiency** that will double the present fuel efficiencies of the cars.
3. **Start mass production of electric cars** and electric car power batteries.
4. **Develop a new generation of super computers** and start mass production by a target year.
5. **Develop new technologies of super conductors** that will save energy consumption and become a base for many new technologies that will make USA the leader in the high-tech race.
6. **Form a commercial division of NASA** that will start commercial and profit motivated ventures in the space manufacturing and space exploration.
7. **Map the entire "genom"** or the genetic structure of the humans, research that will provide invaluable information and become the scientific base that will lead to medical discoveries on how to treat many diseases that are inflicting our society, and become a base for the future high-tech pharmaceutical industry.
8. **Develop industry of robotics** that will dramatically increase the productivity, precision and quality of goods made, reduce the use of energy and materials, and reduce the exposure of workers to hazards and injuries.

American Economic Resilience

Events that choked American economic progress:

1. Increased cost of doing business.
2. Collapse of S&Ls that created unprecedented adverse effects:
 - a. Gigantic budget deficit burden and
 - b. Loss of institutions of capital that traditionally financed many industries especially the construction industry.
3. High rate of increase of insurance and workers compensation premiums.
4. Loss of profits by insurance companies that was one of the traditional sources for formation of capital that is used for investments.
5. Unproductive expenditure in bailing-out the former communist countries from economic collapses, especially USSR, by industrialized nations, funds that would have been normally used in the free world's industrial and consumer spending.
6. Financing of the war with Irak by the industrialized countries.
7. Recessions in Europe and Japan.
8. Sudden end of the cold war that resulted in massive and abrupt restructuring of multitude of industries not only in the USA but in the entire world.

In spite of all of these unique, historic and unprecedented events American economy has shown remarkable resilience in adversities and has shown exceptional potential for future growth.

*Term used by this author in the book that he is writing "American Economic Catch 22 and The Way Out."

DAVID S. BROWN
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND GENERAL COUNSEL

UNITED LABORATORIES INC.
320 37th AVENUE • ST. CHARLES, ILLINOIS 60174
708 • 773 • 0252

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff
White House
Washington D.C.

Re: Radical stance for the President

Dear Mr. Baker:

"The country's future is bigger than me, Bill Clinton and Ross Perot. The Democratic Congress has put the Republican administration in cute political boxes resulting in gridlock. The recovery is stalled.

Despite my historic accomplishments, the media and pollsters would turn the country over to liberal novices who want to tax and spend you into oblivion.

My popularity plunged in a short three months after unprecedented successes. What happened? The media decided to pound me and bring in the liberals again. Voters should think twice about being so easily manipulated.

Tax and spend failed to move us out of the great depression, failed in the "Great Society" years, and led to the Jimmy Carter malaise. If we do it again we will back to double digit inflation and high interest rates, unavailable credit and scarce goods and services.

America is programmed for a strong recovery. We have lean companies poised to increase sales and exports to historic records. We have abundant goods and services of unprecedented quality. We have interest rates, credit and inflation under control. There is no reason to jump back to tax and spend and bigger deficits.

I have decided to take drastic action today to move us in the right direction, regardless of the election results. By Executive Order effective immediately:

1. I am ordering a freeze on prices of medical and drug providers;
2. I am ordering a freeze of entitlement expenditures;
3. I am ordering the 10% checkoff tax forms for 1992 and announcing across the board spending cuts to match the monies checked off;
4. I am ordering a lame duck session of Congress to enact the best of this campaign's ideas to be effective January 20th:
 - a. Economic stimulus package to get us moving immediately;
 - b. Small business incentive package
 - c. Enterprise zones

suggestions

NRN


- d. Health care program
- e. Tax incentive family leave
- f. Longterm deficit plan

5. I am starting a National campaign to convene a Constitutional Convention for five specific purposes:

- a. Election Reform
- b. Influence Reform
- c. Term limits (all offices and staffs)
- d. Line-item veto
- e. Balanced Budget Amendment"

I hope you can think of something.

Very truly yours,



David S. Brown



USE THIS AIRBILL FOR SHIPMENTS WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S.A., ALASKA AND HAWAII.
USE THE INTERNATIONAL AIR WAYBILL FOR SHIPMENTS TO PUERTO RICO AND ALL NON U.S. LOCATIONS.
QUESTIONS? CALL 800-238-5355 TOLL FREE.

AIRBILL
PACKAGE
TRACKING NUMBER

5320714965

5320714965



SENDER'S FEDERAL EXPRESS ACCOUNT NUMBER

1 1518-2224-0

Date

10/16/92

From (Your Name) Please Print

D.S. Brown

Company

United Laboratories, Inc.

Street Address

955 Hawthorn Dr.

City

Itasca, Illinois

State

ZIP Required

60143

Your Phone Number (Very Important)

(708) 883-0252

Department/Floor No.

To (Recipient's Name) Please Print

2 James Baker/Chief of Staff

Company

The White House

Exact Street Address (We Cannot Deliver to P.O. Boxes or P.O. Zip Codes.)

Recipient's Phone Number (Very Important)

(202) 456-1414

Department/Floor No.

City

Washington D.C.

State

ZIP Required

20500

YOUR INTERNAL BILLING REFERENCE INFORMATION (optional) (First 24 characters will appear on invoice.)

H

IF HOLD FOR PICK-UP, Print FEDEX Address Here

Street Address

City

State

ZIP Required

3 PAYMENT

1 Bill Sender 2 Bill Recipient's FedEx Acct. No. 3 Bill 3rd Party FedEx Acct. No. 4 Bill Credit Card

5 Cash/Check

6 Credit Card No.

0605-4227-9

4 SERVICE

(Check only one box)

Priority Overnight

(Delivers by next business morning)

11 OTHER PACKAGING

16 FEDEX LETTER*

12 FEDEX PAK*

13 FEDEX BOX

14 FEDEX TUBE

Standard Overnight

(Delivers by next business morning)

51 OTHER PACKAGING

56 FEDEX LETTER*

52 FEDEX PAK*

53 FEDEX BOX

54 FEDEX TUBE

Economy Two-Day

(Delivers by second business day)

30 ECONOMY

Government Overnight

(Delivers by next business morning)

45 GOVT LETTER

41 GOVT PACKAGE

Freight Service

(For packages over 150 lbs)

70 OVERNIGHT FREIGHT**

(Confirmed reservation required)

80 TWO-DAY FREIGHT**

(Confirmed reservation required)

1 Delivery commitment may be later in some areas.

*Declared Value Limit \$500. **Call for delivery schedule.

5 DELIVERY AND SPECIAL HANDLING

(Check services required)

HOLD (If in Box 14)

1 WEEKDAY

31 SATURDAY

2 WEEKDAY

3 SATURDAY (Extra charge)

4 DANGEROUS GOODS (Extra charge)

5 DRY ICE (Dangerous Goods; Shipper's Declaration not required)

6 OTHER SPECIAL SERVICE

7 SATURDAY PICK-UP (Extra charge)

8 DESCRIPTION

9 HOLIDAY DELIVERY (if offered)

(Extra charge)

6 PACKAGES

WEIGHT

(in Pounds)

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

Total

DIM SHIPMENT (Chargeable Weight)

1 Regular Stop

2 On-Call Stop

3 B.S.C.

4 Station

5 Signature

6 Release

7 Signature

8 Signature

9 Signature

10 Signature

Emp. No.

Date

Federal Express Use

1 Cash Received

2 Return Shipment

3 Third Party

4 Chg. To Del

5 Chg. To Hold

Street Address

City

State

Zip

Received By

X

Date/Time Received

FedEx Employee Number

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

Base Charges

Declared Value Charge

Other 1

Other 2

Total Charges

REVISION DATE 6/92

PART #137204 FXEM 9/92

FORMAT #136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

136

5320714965

5320714965

DESTINATION COPY

MULTIPLE PACKAGE SERVICE

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

DEFINITIONS

On this Airbill we, our and we refer to Federal Express Corporation, its employees and agents. You and your refer to the sender, its employees and agents.

AGREEMENT TO TERMS

By giving us your package to deliver, you agree to all the terms on this Airbill and in our current Service Guide, which is available on request. If there is a conflict between the current Service Guide and this Airbill, the Service Guide will control. No one is authorized to alter or modify the terms of our Agreement.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PACKAGING AND COMPLETING AIRBILL

You are responsible for adequately packaging your goods and for properly filling out this Airbill. Omission of the number of packages and weight per package from this Airbill will result in a billing based on our best estimate of the number of packages received from you and an estimated "default" weight per package, as determined and periodically adjusted by us.

AIR TRANSPORTATION TAX INCLUDED

Our basic rate includes a federal tax required by Internal Revenue Code Section 4271 on the air transportation portion of this service.

LIMITATIONS ON OUR LIABILITY AND LIABILITIES NOT ASSUMED

Our liability for loss or damage to your package is limited to your actual damages or \$100, whichever is less, unless you pay for and declare a higher authorized value. We do not provide cargo liability insurance, but you may pay an additional charge for each additional \$100 of declared value. If you declare a higher value and pay the additional charge, our liability will be the lesser of your declared value or the actual value of your package.

In any event we will not be liable for any damages, whether direct, incidental, special or consequential in excess of the declared value of a shipment, whether or not Federal Express had knowledge that such damages might be incurred including, but not limited to, loss of income or profits.

We won't be liable for your acts or omissions, including but not limited to improper or insufficient packing, securing, marking or addressing, or for the acts or omissions of the recipient or anyone else with an interest in the package. Also, we won't be liable, if you or the recipient violates any of the terms of our agreement. We won't be liable for loss of or damage to shipments of prohibited items.

We won't be liable for loss, damage or delay caused by events we cannot control, including but not limited to acts of God, perils of the air, weather conditions, acts of public enemies, war, strikes, civil commotions, or acts or omissions of public authorities (including customs and quarantine officials) with actual or apparent authority.

DECLARED VALUE LIMITS

The highest declared value we allow for FedEx Letter and FedEx Pak shipments is \$500. For other shipments, the highest declared value we allow is \$25,000 unless your package contains items of "extraordinary value," in which case the highest declared value we allow is \$500. Items of "extraordinary value" include artwork,

jewelry, furs, precious metals, negotiable instruments, and other items listed in our current Service Guide.

If you send more than one package on this Airbill, you may fill in the total declared value for all packages; not to exceed the \$100, \$500 or \$25,000 per package limit described above. (Example: 5 packages can have a total declared value of up to \$125,000.)

If more than one package is shipped on this Airbill, our liability for loss or damage will be limited to the actual value of the package(s) lost or damaged (not to exceed the lesser of the total declared value or the per package limits described above). You have the responsibility of proving the actual loss or damage.

FILING A CLAIM

ALL CLAIMS MUST BE MADE BY YOU IN WRITING. You must notify us of your claim within strict time limits. See current Service Guide.

We'll consider your claim filed if you call and notify our Customer Service Department at 800-238-5355 and notify us in writing as soon as possible.

Within 90 days after you notify us of your claim, you must send us all relevant information about it. We are not obligated to act on any claim until you have paid all transportation charges, and you may not deduct the amount of your claim from those charges.

If the recipient accepts your package without noting any damage on the delivery record, we will assume that the package was delivered in good condition. In order for us to process your claim, you must, to the extent possible, make the original shipping cartons and packing available for inspection.

RIGHT TO INSPECT

We may, at our option, open and inspect your packages prior to or after you give them to us to deliver.

NO C.O.D. SERVICES

NO C.O.D. SERVICES ON THIS AIRBILL. If C.O.D. Service is required, please use a Federal Express C.O.D. Airbill for this purpose.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PAYMENT

Even if you give us different payment instructions, you will always be primarily responsible for all delivery costs, as well as any cost we may incur in either returning your package to you or warehousing it pending disposition.

RIGHT OF REJECTION

We reserve the right to reject a shipment at any time, when such shipment would be likely to cause damage or delay to other shipments, equipment or personnel, or if the transportation of which is prohibited by law or is in violation of any rule contained in this Airbill or our current Service Guide.

MONEY-BACK GUARANTEE

In the event of untimely delivery, Federal Express will at your request and with some limitations, refund or credit all transportation charges. See current Service Guide for further information.

IF YOU ARE
MAKING AN MPS
SHIPMENT, APPLY
THE SELF-ADHESIVE
MPS COPY HERE

Part 137204/137205
Rev 6/92

RATCLIFF COMPANY, INC.

1655 Old County Road • San Carlos, CA 94070 • FAX (415) 595-5687 • PHONE (415) 595-3840

October 16, 1992

NRN *Tapes*

Chief of Staff James Baker
White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

The Democrats have shown their true intent. Forget about Clinton's proposal to tax people who make over \$200,000 per year. Congress wants to tax people who die with savings over \$200,000. See enclosed newspaper article.

If you have worked hard and saved all of your life and finally own a home, farm or business or expect to own a home, farm or business or expect to inherit one, forget it! Any net worth over \$200,000 would be taxed at 55%. Does working America think that a President Clinton would veto this proposed legislation from his own party? We need another term for President Bush to face down politicians who want to take more of our savings. Congress didn't earn our savings, we have already paid taxes on them and we need them. Why do they think we worked so hard in the first place.

I understand that Germany already has or is planning to eliminate estate taxes in order to increase their country's net worth. Smart move, in a few generations their net worth could conceivably exceed ours.

Sincerely,

Bruce E. Ratcliff

Bruce Ratcliff
President

08/28/92

07:56

201

Jorgensen

Continued from Page C8

income tax is due on the interest income until the bonds are cashed in.

The minimum purchase of series EE savings bonds is only \$25, but you can't stake your fortune on the bonds, you can only buy \$15,000 worth of bonds each year.

Plastic gets a new look

There was a time when finding a credit card meant a trip to the local bank. But not anymore as more and more giant companies elbow one another to grab the market for themselves. AT&T came out last year with a Visa and a MasterCard without an annual fee and it's now the nation's third-largest issuer of credit cards with about 12 million cardholders.

General Motors is expected to announce a new credit card with a way to earn discounts on the purchase of a new GM car. Now stories are circulating that General Electric is about to shake up the card industry with a new credit card with discounts on GE appliances based on card usage.

General Electric could be a powerful competitor with more than 40 million cardholders of their private label cards. If only one-third switched to their MasterCard, GE would jump into the top three issuers of credit cards.

Inheritance tips

Every time you attend an estate planning seminar you hear the same message: "There's no federal death taxes on estates up to \$600,000, or \$1.2 million if the couple uses a special type of trust."

But those in Congress, forced to take what amounts to a long walk on a political high wire to raise taxes, have come up with a plan to tax us after we pass on. Buried in the Long-Term Care Family Security Act of 1992 (H.R. 4848), as an amendment to the Social Security Act to assure universal access to long-term care, is Section 203. If this section, which has nothing to do with long-term care or Social Security, passes, it would drastically cut the federal estate and gift tax exemption from \$600,000 per person to only \$200,000. Stories are circulating that these lower limits could boost the estate taxes for most estates an additional \$138,000.

Jim Jorgensen, a former San Mateo resident, is host of "MoneyTalk" on KIRC radio every Sunday from 9 a.m. to noon. Readers can write to him at The Times, P.O. Box 5400, San Mateo, CA 94402.

Jobs

Continued from Page C8

Montana, North Dakota, Nebraska, New Hampshire and Rhode Island failed to attract any California firms.

Mirroring anecdotes from business people, the survey reported that 85 percent of related firms cited the state's business climate particularly the cost of doing business here as the main reason for leaving California. Problems with government red tape and environmental regulations were less problems cited by business people, the study stated.

More than three-fourths of lost jobs were positions in wage industries, the findings indicate.

During the next few months Sedilk hopes to determine information about the types of positions that are being lost, whether certain states have higher- or lower-wage job

Durables

Continued from Page C8

The backlog of unfilled jobs fell 1.4 percent to a seasonally adjusted 3461.2 billion in the lowest level since 1989. It was the 11th consecutive decline. That's an indication the current work for hire is having little keeping up with new hiring that the prospects for new hiring are dim.

SNEAKY



WHY SHOULD I GROW UP BIG AND STRONG? I WANT TO GO INTO PLASTICS!

support / suggestion
WEB PATON

521 Wildwood Lane
Bears Paw Country Club
Naples, Florida 33942
813/262-6067

10/17/92

WRN

Jim Baker
40 The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington D. C. 20500

Good Morning Mr. Baker:

attached is a copy of a letter
I sent to U. S. A. Today a
month ago. This letter has
never been acknowledged
or published.

I left Illinois October 6th
and now am at the above
address.

If there is anything in this
letter that will help
our main man please
use it.

Good Luck,

Web Paton

210 West Grant Village
Hinsdale, Illinois 60521
708/325-6434
September 14, 1992

Letter to the Editor
U.S.A. Today
1000 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22229

I am concerned about Clinton's promises to all interest groups. One cannot be all things to all people as a President of this country. Taxing the rich will not scratch the surface of paying for all he has promised. His programs add up to more taxes, more spending, and an increased federal deficit. No wonder he is leading in the polls; he has said all the things that the voters want to hear!

I am 72 years old, retired, have voted in twelve presidential elections, and survived that many or more recessions. The two outstanding issues in this election have to be the recession and the federal deficit.


Traditionally after each recession we have a recovery whether a Democrat or a Republican is president. We always have and probably always will. We are now on our way to recovering from the last recession although it is a slow one.

If past patterns prevail, 1993 should see unemployment down, the economy picking up further, and pork barrel legislation by Congress as usual, regardless of what party is in office.

Clinton believed our policy in Viet Nam was wrong, so he avoided the draft! I believe our tax system is wrong—does that mean I shouldn't pay taxes?

I like the president's fiscal responsibility and integrity.

In view of the above, when November arrives, I have decided to vote for "the George."

Webster D. Paton

Webster D. Paton

MULTIPLE PACKAGE SERVICE

**IF YOU ARE
MAKING AN MPS
SHIPMENT, APPLY
THE SELF ADHESIVE
MPS COPY HERE**

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

DEFINITIONS

On this Airbill, we, our and us refer to Federal Express Corporation, its employees and agents. You and your refer to the sender, its employees and agents.

AGREEMENT TO TERMS

By giving us your package to deliver, you agree to all the terms on this Airbill and in our current Service Guide, which is available on request. If there is a conflict between the current Service Guide and this Airbill, the Service Guide will control. No one is authorized to alter or modify the terms of our Agreement.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PACKAGING AND COMPLETING AIRBILL

You are responsible for adequately packaging your goods and for properly filling out this Airbill. Omission of the number of packages and weight per package from this Airbill will result in a billing based on our best estimate of the number of packages received from you and an estimated "default" weight per package, as determined and periodically adjusted by us.

AIR TRANSPORTATION TAX INCLUDED

Our basic rate includes a federal tax required by Internal Revenue Code Section 4271 on the air transportation portion of this service.

LIMITATIONS ON OUR LIABILITY AND LIABILITIES NOT ASSUMED

Our liability for loss or damage to your package is limited to your actual damages or \$100, whichever is less, unless you pay for and declare a higher authorized value. We do not provide cargo liability insurance, but you may pay an additional charge for each additional \$100 of declared value. If you declare a higher value and pay the additional charge, our liability will be the lesser of your declared value or the actual value of your package.

In any event we will not be liable for any damages, whether direct, incidental, special or consequential in excess of the declared value of a shipment, whether or not Federal Express had knowledge that such damages might be incurred including, but not limited to, loss of income or profits.

We won't be liable for your acts or omissions, including but not limited to improper or insufficient packing, securing, marking or addressing, or for the acts or omissions of the recipient or anyone else with an interest in the package. Also, we won't be liable if you or the recipient violates any of the terms of our agreement. We won't be liable for loss of, or damage to shipments of prohibited items.

We won't be liable for loss, damage or delay caused by events we cannot control, including but not limited to acts of God, perils of the air, weather conditions, acts of public enemies, war, strikes, civil commotions, or acts or omissions of public authorities (including customs and quarantine officials) with actual or apparent authority.

DECLARED VALUE LIMITS

The highest declared value we allow for FedEx Letter and FedEx Pak shipments is \$100. For other shipments, the highest declared value we allow is \$25,000 unless your package contains items of "extraordinary value," in which case the highest declared value we allow is \$500. Items of "extraordinary value" include artwork, jewelry, furs, precious metals, negotiable

instruments, and other items listed in our current Service Guide.

If you send more than one package on this Airbill, you may fill in the total declared value for all packages, not to exceed the \$100, \$500 or \$25,000 per package limit described above. (Example: 5 packages can have a total declared value of up to \$125,000.)

If more than one package is shipped on this airbill, our liability for loss or damage will be limited to the actual value of the package(s) lost or damaged (not to exceed the lesser of the total declared value or the per package limits described above). You have the responsibility of proving the actual loss or damage.

FILING A CLAIM

ALL CLAIMS MUST BE MADE BY YOU IN WRITING: You must notify us of your claim within strict time limits. See current Service Guide.

We'll consider your claim filed if you call and notify our Customer Service Department at 800-238-5355 and notify us in writing as soon as possible.

Within 90 days after you notify us of your claim, you must send us all relevant information about it. We are not obligated to act on any claim until you have paid all transportation charges, and you may not deduct the amount of your claim from those charges.

If the recipient accepts your package without noting any damage on the delivery record, we will assume that the package was delivered in good condition. In order for us to process your claim, you must, to the extent possible, make the original shipping cartons and packing available for inspection.

RIGHT TO INSPECT

We may, at our option, open and inspect your packages prior to or after you give them to us to deliver.

NO C.O.D. SERVICES

NO C.O.D. SERVICES ON THIS AIRBILL. If C.O.D. Service is required, please use a Federal Express C.O.D. airbill for this purpose.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PAYMENT

Even if you give us different payment instructions, you will always be primarily responsible for all delivery costs, as well as any costs we may incur in either returning your package to you or warehousing it pending disposition.

RIGHT OF REJECTION

We reserve the right to reject a shipment at any time, when such shipment would be likely to cause damage or delay to other shipments, equipment or personnel, or if the transportation of which is prohibited by law or is in violation of any rules contained in this Airbill or our current Service Guide.

MONEY-BACK GUARANTEE

In the event of untimely delivery, Federal Express will at your request and with some limitations, refund or credit all transportation charges. See current Service Guide for further information.

October 13, 1992

NRN

suggestions

450 S. LOMBARD RD. UNIT C
ADDISON, ILLINOIS 60101
OFFICE 780/627-0001
OUTSIDE ILLINOIS
1-800-422-3033
FAX (708) 627-9199

Mr. Jim Baker
The White House
Washington , D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

More ways to beat Bill Clinton:

- I. Point out that Republican success in foreign affairs has and will free up billions to reduce the deficit.
 - A. **The last time there was a Democrat in the White House we had hostages in the embassy in Iran and**
 - B. **The time before that the Democrats got us into Vietnam.**
- II. That there is a world wide recession.
 - A. Where commercial real estate prices in Europe has dropped as much as 60%.
 - B. The stock market in Japan has dropped almost 50%.
 - C. Over 100 countries are in recession worldwide.
 - D. The business cycle is an historical phenomenon.
 - E. George Bush is not responsible for world wide recession.
 - F. Bad **Democratic** policy like failure to pass the capital gains tax is responsible for prolonging and making deeper than necessary.
- III. **Contrast** 12 years of Republican leadership with 12 years of Clinton's management of Arkansas.
 - A. For example, **18 million new jobs** created since 1980.
 - B. Top income tax rate reduced from 70 to 32%.
 - C. **Inflation reduced from 13% to 3%. Food inflation reduced to 1%.**
 - D. **Interest rate's reduced from 20% to 6%.**
 - E. Overall balance of trade deficit reduced from 100 billion in 1989 to 11 billion in 1992.
 - F. Destruction of communism, America's #1 foe for 50 years, **without a shot fired.**

G. Attack Clinton's economic history and record in Arkansas.
That's all he has to sell.

1. Clinton wasn't honest with viewers about Arkansas growth. It has been lower than the general U.S. growth not higher. His local economy is doing worse than the U.S. not better.
2. Arkansas is last in the U.S. in per capita income.
3. Last in pollution, etc., etc.

G. Your historic free trade agreement.

IV. If the polls are still unfavorable before the final debate, consider embracing the 19% flat tax proposal of the Republican candidate from California.

- A. People hate the complexity of the tax code to do their taxes and its implied threats of compliance.
- B. Attack the countless loopholes it would eliminate as giving real fairness.
- C. How it would take Congress, and PAC influence out of the economy.

Thank you very much for reading this.

Sincerely,

Tom Schreiner

Tom Schreiner
President

ACTION NOTES

PLANNING NOTES

NRW
Hello Jim;

I am writing you out of concern for what the main media is trying to do to George Bush. I know that you are working on his staff so I thought I would give you my opinion for what it's worth.

I think Mr. Bush needs to do two or three key things to turn things around:

1

Define for the American People "Trickle down Economics."

Since the last tax and spend government, it has trickled down interest rates from around 21% to where some of my friends have re-financed their Mortgage from 7 to 8%.

Since the last tax and spend government "Trickle down Economics" has trickled inflation down from around 14% to about 3% to 0% and a minus on some items.

Gov. Clinton likes to use this term "Trickle down Economics" as a big gun. Mr. Bush don't need to be afraid of it but turn it around on him.

2

Appeal to the voters directly as

ACTION NOTES

PLANNING NOTES

Example:

What they are, intelligent people.

The Voters of this Country are intelligent people, they understand:

(a) that this Country is in great shape compared to what it was at the end of the last tax and spend administration.

(b) that this Country's news media is about 98% liberal and therefore about 2% reliable.

(c) that this Country is not nearly in as bad a recession as it was 12 years ago.

(d) that what this Country needs is a new Congress to implement some of the fine programs that not only have been proposed but are stuck in the mud. (The mud that needs to be thrown in this election is the Congress and it needs to be thrown out.)

It's like this Country has been to the doctor and received a prescription that would put it in good health, but can't get the prescription filled

ACTION NOTES

PLANNING NOTES

#3

Because the drug store is on strike.

Be Bold. Not only ask the American people to continue the government that rescued this country from tax and spend policies but give me a Congress that will help me.

I am a construction foreman who works for Richard Vaughn, a friend of N. C. Gov. Martin incidentally, but I am attending a Church Convention this week in Saratoga Springs, N.Y. and had a little time.

My prayers are with you. You probably hear that a lot but I have a good record of positive answers.

Sincerely
Lacy A. Mays

Lacy Mayes
Rt 1, Box 200
Elkin, N.C.
28621-9733

att: Jim Baker
Executive Office of White House
Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C.
20500

Arcadia Capital Corp.

Private Investment Banking

NRN

DATE: 10/20/92TOTAL PAGES: 3
(Including cover sheet)

TELECOPY COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:

NAME: JAMES A. PAKER, III
COMPANY: CHIEF-OF. STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT
PHONE NUMBER: 202/456-6797
TELECOPY NUMBER: 202/456-2883IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL
US AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AT 908/234-9408.

FROM: FRED BIERWIRTH
MESSAGE: _____

_____CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information which is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents to us at no cost to you.

Arcadia Capital Corp.*Private Investment Banking*

October 20, 1992

DELIVERY VIA FAX #202/456-2883

Mr. James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff to the President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

Re: One-liner factoids.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Great going last night! There were some hard body blows landed -- keep it up. The President badly needs to put Clinton into sharper PERSPECTIVE, so here are some quick one liners/factoids for the President:

- o How many Americans would vote for a MAYOR for President? Yet there are 18 MAYORS in America who govern more people than Clinton (1990 Census).
- o If truth in advertising were applied to Presidential campaigns, Clinton's Put America First economic plan would have to be re-titled Put Government First.
- o How can voters expect Clinton and Gore to expand private sector jobs in America when neither has ever held one?
- o Americans will never get the CHANGE they are seeking by electing "something-for-nothing" candidates like Bill Clinton's -- they'll get it when THEY CHANGE what THEY ASK from government.
- o If Character isn't an important issue, why isn't Teddy Kennedy President?
- o Read Clinton's lips -- "New Taxes!"
- o When Iran starts a new middle east Islamic revolution next year, who do you want sitting in the Oval Office? (WSJ - 10/19/92 - A15)
- o Keep running with "Annoy the press -- re-elect Bush", its a winner.

Arcadia Capital Corp.*Private Investment Banking*

You **BADLY** need a 30 minute prime time infomercial to get the truth out on the President's economic record -- which is **ABOVE AVERAGE**.

In spite of what the polls show, a **MATERIAL** number of voters remain "Undecided." If they'd already made their minds up, they wouldn't be paying such close attention to everything that going on. Keep the faith, and keep pounding away.

Sincerely,

ARCADIA CAPITAL CORP.



Frederick Wm. Bierwirth, II
President

FWB/hs

cc: Robert B. Zoellick
Margaret Tutwiler
William Kristol
Mary Matelin
Barbara Bush


MassMutual

300 Riverwalk Building
750 Front Street, NW
Grand Rapids, MI 49504-4420
616 459-7219
FAX 616 459-9726

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION SHEET

FAX NUMBER: (616) 459-9726

DATE: 10.20.92 TIME: _____

COMPANY: _____

PLEASE DELIVER TO: JAMES BAKER.FACSIMILE NUMBER: 1.202.4562883.FROM - NAME: CARROLL K. STREETER.

This transmission consists of cover sheet plus 2 page(s). If there is any problem with this transmission please call (616) 459-7219.

NOTES: _____

_____Priority Checklist

☒ ASAP (next 10 min)
☐ This AM
☒ This PM

Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company
and affiliated insurance companies
Springfield, MA 01111-0001

The West Michigan Agency
Michael J. Vanderveen, CLU, ChFC
General Agent

000

MassMutual300 Riverwalk Building
750 Front Street, NW
Grand Rapids, MI 49504-4420
616 459-7219
FAX 616 459-9726

10-20-92

Dear Mr. Baker:

I believe this could be a close election and I am concerned. Especially so because some friends of mine who have worked in the Republican party say they will vote for Clinton. I don't hear anyone speaking up for the President. This bothers me in my town. However, I have found when I tell a friend or an acquaintance - one or one - that I am voting for the President he says he is too. I have a feeling that if a person is undecided it is possible they won't vote at all.

To overcome this may I suggest an idea that could work and not ^{COST} money. I call 5 people or more that I know - not party people. Tell them I'm voting

Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company
and affiliated insurance companies
Springfield, MA 01103-0001
The West Michigan Agency
Michael J. Vanderveen, CLU, ChFC
General Agent

Registered Representative of
and securities offered through
MML Investors Services, Inc.,
a MassMutual subsidiary



for the President. They will respond that they are too or they are voting for someone else. If voting for someone else, I guess we will cancel each other's vote. If voting for the President, encourage them to be sure to vote early and would they be willing to help the President by calling 5 people or more that they know and tell them that they are voting for the President and get their response. If for the President ask them to call 5 or more people and so on. Remind everyone for the President to vote early.

By going through the party organization to your precinct workers to call people who normally are not involved in either party could produce a larger turnout for the President.

Thank you for the great job you do for our country. I hope you will be celebrating a victory or election night.

Sincerely,
C. Roll Streets

C. MERRITT WINSBY, CPA
620 DO Hollows Circle
Deerfield Beach, Florida 33442
(305) 429-3590

NRN

The Right Honorable James Baker, III
Chief of The White House Staff
Washington, DC
FAX (202) 456-2883

Re: Suggestions for Final Re-Election Campaign Strategy

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE

Dear Mr. Baker (or his designated aide):

If the polls are anywhere near correct, something more must be done.

Job Losses. Advances in micro-computer technology here rendered large headquarter and operational staff functions obsolete. Capable, experienced, hard-working employees are displaced by computer chips.

Result. The restructuring by American business organizations involving the removal of hundreds of thousands of employees from their payrolls.

This reached its peak during the Bush Administration's first term in office. Could he (or Gov. Clinton for that matter) have stopped it? No. Would either of them wanted to? Again, the answer is no. American industry must remain competitive, be lean and aggressive to remain in business.

So why, except for political reasons bash George Bush? A cheap shot? Or maybe Governor Clinton doesn't really understand what is going on despite 18 months of cramming?

Job creation (the other side of the coin). Most new job creations are in the private sector by firms employing less than 500 employees. These companies are not required to furnish employee data to the Federal Government.

Dr. Bruce Merrifield, formerly Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Productivity, Technology and Innovation made that point at a Transfer of Technology Seminar held at Santa Fe, New Mexico in February 1985. Suggestion, seek these figures. If you don't already have them available from Government sources try a private sector economic consulting firm.

Further fall-out from restructuring. The large reduction in force actions are normally accompanied by substantial charge-off against current earning and profits, which drastically reduce reported net income. Please check with IRS as to whether these restructuring costs are currently deductible from taxable income. If they are, try to obtain estimates as to the effect upon Federal (and even State) income tax receipts.

Foreign currency attacks on U.S. dollar abroad by currency speculators, discounting an anticipated Clinton-Gore election. According to my associate in England, President Bush was correct in his remark to Governor Clinton on this matter. Governor Clinton endeavored to put a different spin on this subject. My only suggestion is to poll the activity on foreign currency markets and read the media at those centers. Incidentally, I didn't hear any comment on this very important point, in post debate analysis.

Environment. This is all covered in the previous faxes and Federal Express package. (see postscript) Please get to the heart of this matter because it is one of the most important and costly areas. Since Clinton-Gore are wearing this hat, please read the comments from the Clean Air Handbook that I included in the Federal Express package.

However, I can't leave this topic without discussing LIDAR, the acronym for Light Detecting and Ranging, the optical equivalent of RADAR.

Developed (or adapted) by Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) which is financed by the Department of Energy and the adaptation of this technology to identify, measure and trace to its source (stationary sources). The installation of a LIDAR unit in an airplane to give a three dimensional reading was financed by E.P.A.

Working with IBM to develop and fine tune the software LIDAR is being utilized under a contract between LANL and Pemex, and the Mexican Department of Ecology. This technology will revolutionize the methods and means of monitoring air quality and help point the way to solutions.

Please read enclosed script of CNN Science and Technology Report on Pollution in Mexico and view the video tape of 3 1/2 minutes, forwarded with Federal Express package.

President Carlos Salinas has decreed that any source of potential air pollution which cannot meet clean air quality standards must move outside of the Federal Districts. LIDAR is his enforcement tool.

THE VOTERS MUST BE MADE AWARE OF THIS TECHNOLOGICAL ACHIEVEMENT.

Relationship with Congress. The attached excerpts are from the Florida Federation of Republican Women newsletter. Invite your attention now to the article entitled "Why We Need a New Congress - a Republican One".

You are more aware of the facts than I. However, there is one issue that is abundantly clear. Something is not working right. The voters are going to make the final decision.

The article points to the Democratic Party legislative strategy designed and orchestrated by them. Was this strategy a mere political ploy harmless, but as a matter of political expediency to embarrass the incumbent Republican President George Bush and make him appear ineffectual in pursuing an aggressive domestic program.

Or viewed realistically from the standpoint of worldwide economic conditions was it a dangerous "Russian Roulette" gamble with the national economy as a hostage, delaying by as many as 18 (?) months the pursuit of an effective bi-partisan legislative program to further the "national interest"?

One interpretation is politics as usual is the way the political process works.

The other, borders on dereliction in the performance of sworn duties. (Is this too rough?)

Respectfully submitted,

C. Merritt Winsby

C. Merritt Winsby

Attachments: Resume
Script - CNN Daybreak - Pollution in Mexico
Excerpts - FFRW Newsletter

P.S.

Past References: Faxes - Executive Summary - Sept. 25, 1992
Same Topic - Sept. 28, 1992
Federal Express Package - Forwarded Sept. 28, 1992

P.P.S. Please do not overlook the impetuosity which might be given to the economy and the investment capital market by amending the IRC to allow current deductibility of net long-term capital losses computed at tax rates applicable to capital gains.

C. MERRITT WINSBY
620 D.C. HOLLOWES CIRCLE
DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA 33442

D.O.B. June 25, 1914
1937 - Graduate St. Louis University, Evening School of Commerce &
Finance, Numerous other business courses at Tulsa University and
Wichita State University (Kansas)
1950 - Certified Public Accountant, Kansas

Employment and Relevant Activities:

1992 Vice-President, Administration and Finance, THE CHEMECH GROUP, INC. (CHEMECH). At present date we have submitted test data, technical papers, operating results, etc. to Dr. Sally Bravo, Secretary Economic Urban Development and Ecology (SEUDE) for evaluation. We have been invited by Dr. Javier Garfas, Scientific Advisor to Frs. Sergio Lupan, President of the National Institute of Ecology to submit for testing our gasoline catalyst additive at "Instituto Mexicano del Petroleo (IMP) with Mexican gasoline, at the height of Mexico City, and with cars assembled in Mexico". This all came about after I viewed the tape on LIDAR.

1990-1992 THE CHEMECH GROUP, INC. (A joint venture) successor to Catalytic Research and Development) Licensor of a water based chemical catalyst for use in wood burning stoves and fire places under the name "Anti Creosote" ACS. Also engaged in seeking funds for smog-chamber testing to prove the efficiency of our catalyst for preventing smog formation.

1991 Faxed letter to President Bush in re "Current Allowance Deductions to Investors" (Net capital losses computed at same rate applicable to capital gains.)

1990 Submitted request for Small Business Innovation Research Program of \$50,000 to EPA at Research Triangle Park, NC. The potential for the reduction of photochemical smog formation by the use of a combustion catalyst. The application was not acted on favorably.

1984-1989 Secretary, Catalyst Research and Development Company
See Above. Entered with licensing agreement with Rolfite Company, which went into bankruptcy.

1983 Headed a stockholder committee on a plan to restructure The Rolfite Company, Stamford, CT.

1979-Date Advisor and Partner to James A. Ryder in various ventures including a "Preventionization" Proposal of Federal Government Automotive and Truck Fleet submitted to General Service Administration (GSA). This proposal was refused by the buyer of government cars with the comment "This would cost me my job".

1978 Vice-President, Finance-Interterra Group of Companies

1974-1977 Vice-President James A. Ryder Corporation and President of four Operating Companies.

1969 Invested in Rolfite Technology, U.S. Patent #3,443,916 "Composition and Method For Reducing Air Pollutants".

1966-1974 Staff Vice-President Real Estate & Insurance, Rockwell International Corporation. Also serves as Personal Consultant to and compensated by W.R. Rockwell, Jr., Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in the areas of Investments, Real Estate and Tax Planning.

1960-1966 Executive Vice-President Wheeler, Kelly & Hagny Investment Group, a Real Estate Developer, Real Estate Broker, Mortgage Banker, and Insurance Agency.

1956-1966 President Wichita Bus Company (110 Propane Buses). Investment and Tax Consultant to Investment Group.

1946-1956 Staff Member, Partner, Managing Partner Elmer E. Fox & Co., CPA in Wichita, Kansas.

1945-1956 Internal Revenue Agent, Wichita Offices
Internal Revenue Agent in Charge

1941-1945 Assistant to Deputy Commander, Midwestern Air Forces Procurement District.

1931-1941 Management Trainee and Various Accounting Positions, Shell Oil Company.

**RADIO
TV REPORTS**41 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017

(212) 309-1400

FOR THE CHEMECH GROUP, INC. STATION CNN-TV

PROGRAM DAYBREAK CITY NEW YORK

DATE MARCH 16, 1992 8:22 A.M. AUDIENCE (3 1/2 "minutes")

BROADCAST EXCERPT

MOLLY MCCOY: It's time now for our Daybreak science report, pinpointing the source of pollution with a special telescope. Karen McDaniel reports that the results from this new device may help determine what laws are needed to reduce pollution.

KAREN MCDANIEL: The air in Mexico City is so polluted, it actually hurts to breathe. But the idea of trying to track down, identify, and stop polluters in this city of 20 million people is overwhelming. Now a joint project between the Los Alamos National Laboratory and the Mexican National Petroleum Company is putting an incredible tool into the hands of the Mexican government.

It's called the Ladar. It's a laser mounted on a telescope. The laser sends out a beam of light which bounces off particles in the atmosphere. The telescope sees the results. The equipment, built by Los Alamos scientists, figures out what it is.

Those scientists, along with experts from the Mexican Petroleum Institute, will be trying to figure out exactly what's in that cloud of pollution which envelopes Mexico City. This is the second year of a three-year project and they've already learned some interesting things about the way some Mexican industries work.

DAVID HOLTKAMP (LOS ALAMOS NATL LAB): We were surprised to see a plant emitting rather large amounts of material into the atmosphere from about 3:00 in the morning till about 5:00 in the morning. But shortly before sunrise, they shut off their emissions again. By that time, the emissions had filled a large portion of the valley, but through measurements like this, we could identify where it came from and pinpoint the sources.

- 2 -

MCDANIEL: This machine can literally track a plume of smoke back to the chimney it came from. The information they gather is put into very complex computer models developed by IBM. It will allow Mexican officials to look at plumes of pollution such as this one from a fiberglass plant and try to decide what laws are needed.

ANNE TELLIER (LOS ALAMOS NATL LAB): If you decide to put catalytic converters on all of the automobiles, you can then feed the information into the model. That will give you a visual picture, if you're a decision maker, of what the impact of that option might be.

MCDANIEL: There are now three versions of the Ladar, one about as big as a coffee table, one fits into a truck, and another has been modified to fit into an airplane. It was on stand-by to be used as a potential airborne detector of chemical or biological weapons in Operation Desert Storm. The end of the war came before those weapons were used, so the Ladar wasn't battle tested.

This research is particularly exciting because it promises to help solve one of the most complex environmental problems of our day. If we understand what's happening in our air, it's a giant step towards understanding what we can do about it. The Los Alamos machine is still being tested. They expect a patent and license for the technology soon. In Los Alamos, Karen McDaniel for CNN.

President's Report



Senator Albert Gore Is Not Qualified To Be Vice-President! The Clinton campaign is having major problems with Senator Gore's "Earth In The Balance." The "Wall Street Journal" obtained a copy of a memo sent August 11th by Democratic National Committee staffer, Jonathan Saffet, detailing possible problems. In the text the book is referred to as "EITB". Some of the excerpts pertaining to "campaign weakness" (contained in the book) are as follows:

"He has no principles. He admits he has voted for programs in which he does not believe (EITB - page 340), has changed his campaign tactics merely to suit the advice of political consultants (EITB - pages 8-9 and 167-69), and uses products that he simultaneously criticizes as being harmful to the environment (EITB - pages 9, 13, 15).

He is a bad scientist, who doesn't care enough to get his facts straight. The fact is, we can't be certain that global warming or the levels of CO₂, or even changes in the ozone layer pose a threat as burdensome as the cost of Gore's proposals.

Al is a radical environmentalist who wants to change the very fabric of America. He criticizes America - a place where people enjoy the benefits of an advanced standard of living (EITB - pages 147, 156, 161, 308).

He is a "Luddite" who holds the naive view that technology is evil and wants to abolish automobiles (EITB - pages 206 & 318). He wants to destroy

the U.S. Automotive industry and a lot of jobs along with it (EITB - page 325).

*In 18th century England, one of the rioters against the displacement of factory workers by machinery. (From a leader, Ned Lud.)

He is a big spender who believes that we have to support the environmental programs of the entire world (EITB - pages 297, 304, 317). He wants to raise a host of taxes including carbon taxes, pollution charges, a "Virgin Materials Fee", and a gasoline tax (EITB - pages 273, 348-49).

He wants to stop or retard economic growth (EITB - pages 297, 300-310, 341, 345) and "give away American technology."

Mr. Saffet noted further: "There are, of course, a series of other technical objections to the book. I am in the process of compiling them in a separate, more detailed list."

Al Gore, running as an environmentalist, is about to experience melt-down!

Why We Need A New Congress - A Republican One

By Zena R. Mehler

FFRW National Legislation Chairman

Here's a telling fact - as of November, 1991, not one of the 475 bills to reach the House Floor was a Republican bill, and that pattern continues.

Proxy voting is allowed in all Committees except in the Rules and Appropriation Committees. The work of Congress is done in these committees, chaired and controlled by Democrats. Seven Republicans can show up at a meeting where the Democrat Chairman sits alone with 12 proxies. Need more be said?

With so many committees involved in one bill, no one is accountable. The Democrat Majority Leader has, at times, resorted to the use of a secret group (no Republicans) to patch together legislation.

The Rules Committee decides when and if a bill will be brought to the House floor, how much time is allowed for debate and which, if any, amendments will be allowed. By 1990, 55% of the bills came to the House floor with rules that shut out effective Republican participation.

It is illegal for the President not to

Congress. If he wants to save taxpayer dollars, he has to notify Congress. If they fail to respond in 45 days (no debate-no vote), he is forced to waste our tax dollars.

The Democrat understate appropriations for programs such as AFDC or Food Stamps, knowing that the President will be forced to sign a Supplemental bill down the road. Overstated increases for inflation and expansion of services are incorporated in the 5 year budget proposals. If the actual appropriation is then less, the Democrats claim they "cut" spending.

For four years running, President Bush and Republican Congressional leaders have submitted economic growth proposals, criminal justice reforms, health care reforms, welfare reforms, and education reforms. All have been blocked by Congress.

The list of Democrat shenanigans can go on and on, and you don't read about it in the media or see it on the television. Yet, The Democrats have the gall to blame the President and the Republicans for the deficit. Too many people believe it because they don't know what goes on in Congress.

MEMORANDUM

NRN

To: James Baker 3d & Reelection Staff
From: Stephen G. Moyer
Date: October 20, 1992
Subject: Suggested Campaign Advertisement

I am a typical concerned Republican. After watching last night's debate and seeing the "Time Magazine" ad for the first time, I came up with a different approach. Attached is a sample script--if its rough, please know that I am in the securities business, not advertising. I realize this is probably too late to do any good, but maybe it will spark another idea. If you need to contact me, I can be reached at 312-574-6258.

SUGGESTED COMMERCIAL SCRIPT

Scene opens in Baghdad war room with Saddam Huessin, in front of military maps, presiding over meeting of generals.

Anonymous General: But your highness, we must act now. The people are getting impatient and the Kurds in the south are starting to regroup.

Saddam Huessin: No! I have learned the lesson of timing. We will wait until after the Americans elect this man Clinton--close to the holy days when it is hot. Then we will crush the Kurds and retake Kuwait claiming they harbor our enemies. Clinton has no stomach for war and since Kuwait is not yet producing oil, oil prices will remain steady which is all the Americans care about anyway. The Europeans are too preoccupied with Yugoslavia to get re involved. These new pacifists in Israel will not act for fear of derailing the Syrian negotiations. Even if Clinton tries to act, no one will follow. No, we will wait for spring and take what is ours!

Scene changes to Tehran war room with robed Ayatollah-types, in front of military maps, addressing a group of generals.

Anonymous Ayatollah: Generals, Allah commands us to avenge our loss and restore religious order to these Iraqi infidels! We have new weapons and fresh troops. Prepare for a holy war this spring! We will start it by making it appear that Huessin has committed terrorist acts against Israel. This will force Huessin to move his armies to the west to defend himself. Then we will attack--using his own plans no less!--from the east. We will do great honor to Allah!

Scene changes to equal size photos of Bush and Clinton. Bush is in a stern, finger pointing pose. Clinton is smiling and waving.

Narrator: No one hopes this will happen, but unfortunately the world is not safe. Its your choice. Who would you want in charge?

DATE: 10/20/92

TO: MR. JAMES BAKER -- WHITE HOUSE

TELEFAX NO: 202-456-2461 TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: RON W. BETTIN -- CHILLICOTHE, OHIO

NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: -2-

COMMENTS: PLEASE DELIVER IMMEDIATELY TO JIM BAKER'S OFFICE

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or arrange the return of the documents.

IF THERE IS A PROBLEM PLEASE CALL (614) 775-2464

THANK YOU

URGENT

URGENT

CONFIDENTIAL**URGENT**

TO: MR. JAMES BAKER
FROM: RON W. BETTIN
RE: HOW PRESIDENT BUSH CAN WIN THE ELECTION

You cannot tell people about experience, character and trust. You must SHOW them.

Consider a split screen television advertisement that shows a historical, chronological recap of what Bush and Clinton were doing at different points in history. The Bush story would include:

- 1. Youngest Air Force fighter pilot in W.W. II.**
 - Could show Bush in an aircraft or climbing aboard the submarine after being shot down serving his country.
 - Clinton's side of split screen would indicate he was not yet born.
- 2. Snapshot of Bush running his oil company.**
 - People think Perot's the only one who has ever run a business.
 - Clinton has never run a business.
- 3. Bush in Congress.**
- 4. Bush as Ambassador to China**
 - Could use old photo clip of George and Barbara riding their bicycles in a Chinese village.
- 5. Bush as head of C.I.A.**
- 6. Bush as Vice President**
- 7. Bush a President**
 - Could include Bush video clips surrounding Persian Gulf War that send message of determination, conviction and character.

Current T.V. commercials (including the one using Clinton's face) are pounding on the wrong message. People don't know the "pre-Vice President" George Bush; nor the background of Bill Clinton.

President Bush has the absolute best resume and has always "looked" presidential.

Bill Clinton's old photographs and history will make him look like a radical, screaming nut case.

Find all the old, goofy pictures of Clinton. The split screen T.V. ads will be visually correct -- Clinton will not look like someone to whom you will want to give your vote.

Clinton's profile could include:

- 1. Protesting the war and "organizing" overseas.**
 - Need goofy photo with puff hair and scraggly beard.**
- 2. Photo when he was defeated second term as Governor.**
 - Could include some of his dumb remarks at the time.**

NOTE: Remember, his wife wouldn't change her last name to Clinton when he first ran; and, during his first term as Governor he brought in a very young and liberal staff which upset the people of Arkansas.

Jim, a co-worker of mine, Edward R. Kunzelman, gave me the above ideas in a recent conversation regarding the election. His suggestions are "on-target, and he has always been extremely intuitive at knowing how to relate and win people over.

Contact us if either can be of further assistance. Good luck!

**Ron W. Bettin
784 Massleville Rd.
Chillicothe, OH 45601
Work:
(614) 775-2464
(614) 775-7053 (after 6 p.m.)
Home:
(614) 663-5775**

**Edward R. Kunzelman
5 Oakwood Drive
Chillicothe, OH 45601
Work:
(614) 775-2464
(614) 775-7053 (after 6 p.m.)
Home:
(614) 773-5367**



ATLANTIC NATIONAL ACQUISITIONS & MERGERS, INC.

1450 Route 22 West, Mountainside, New Jersey 07092-2603

(908) 654-1111

Fax 908-654-1111

PLEASE DELIVER

October 20, 1992

TO: James Baker
The White House

FAX 202-456-2461

FROM: Allen H. Good, President
Atlantic National Acquisitions & Mergers, Inc.

NRN

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am a staunch Republican and am disappointed in the President's lack of putting across the true picture of his programs.

The Party should pull a "Ross Perot" i.e. 30 minutes of paid television on prime time (\$380,000) for a documented presentation of his policies using visuals.

1. Health Care: coverage, cost and how implemented
2. Small Business Incentives: Tax credits for capital investment, etc. Resultant effect for business.
3. Defer Taxes on Capital Gains: Approach as in the NY Times editorial page a few days ago. Defer taxes on capital gains as long as proceeds of a sale are reinvested within specific period of time. Will spur reinvestment and will get away from "Capital gains tax cut which favors the rich".
- **4. ANNOUNCE THE APPOINTMENT OF AN ECONOMIC CRISES TEAM. Name appointees now. Formulate an agenda they will be given.
5. Forget the character issue for now. It is old. No one seems to like Clinton, but he appears to have a program.
6. Many Reagan Democrats as well as Republicans have defected because the message has not been defined in specifics. The lack of communication with the electorate has been a major shortcoming.
7. SUM UP WITH CHARTS THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE CLINTON AND BUSH PROGRAMS.

THE APPROACH MUST BE BOLD AND DEFINITIVE

JAMES McDONALD ASSOCIATES

2650 Mission Street
San Marino CA 91108

Telephone 818 799 6620 Telex 6714973 Fax 818 799 6208

October 20 92

The Honorable James A. Baker III
The White House
Washington DC 20500

NEW

Dear Mr. Baker: Re Arkansas Statistics

The average American does not realize just how insignificant (or irrelevant - Perot's description) Arkansas is. If one looks at a map one sees some square miles like 52082 which is about 1.7% of the continental US area. It is 27th in size when comparing the 50 states. The population is about 2.5 million or about ONE PERCENT of the 50 state population.

Ross Perot said it : "just because you can run a corner grocery store is no proof that you could run Walmart" Not exactly the quote but close.

Is it not time to inform the American public about the very poor statistics re Arkansas. This is income per capita, crime rates, education lack as measured by the illiterate and a whole host of other numbers which have been given very little publicity.

President Bush touched on these at the Oct 19 debate but did not have the time or opportunity to get it all out.

The way it was left with the media is that Clinton defended his record and they seemed to feel that he had cancelled President Bush out.

How about some hard-hitting TV 30 second shots showing Arkansas as it really is after 12 years of Clinton's CHANGE!!!!

James McDonald

M JAR-MON CONSULTANTS, INC.

Gary L. Jarmin
President

MEMORANDUM

NRN

TO: Baker, Teeter, Black, et.al.
FROM: Gary L. Jarmin
RE: TV Commercials/Debate
DATE: October 20, 1992

Bush still needs to steal the change theme away from Clinton. He can do this by nailing Congress more and by proclaiming that the most revolutionary change would be to give the American people a Republican President and a GOP Congress.

One thing is for certain. The current crop of campaign commercials not are not moving Bush in the polls. I suggest new commercials be produced featuring the President. The people need to see Bush, the man, emphasizing his leadership, compassion and most importantly, hear his vision of economic renewal.

These commercials can be clips form his campaign speeches or best lines in the debate. Scenes of Bush in shirtsleeves talking to workers, ethnic minorities, women, children, i.e., a man of the people.

The campaign should also consider a one-half hour fireside chat (a la Reagan). Bush could use this time to talk directly to the American people about his vision for change, why Congress has blocked his programs, and to refute Clinton's false claims about his economic reforms and record in Arkansas.

The bottom line is that impersonal attack commercials do not make Bush more credible. He must sell himself! Bush, the product, has not yet been sold -- voters still suspect his sincerity, motives, and whether or not he really does have a sound economic plan.

No one can sell Bush except Bush. If he can't sell himself, then its all over. Right now it is all over unless Bush can turn it around. Get new commercials featuring Bush!

M

208 North Patrick Street • Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 548-4904
FAX # 548-1424

Page 2.

RNC Counter Demos Change Commercial

The RNC produced commercials attacking the Democratic Congress. Maybe they are being aired but I haven't seen any. Again, we must convince voters that the real problem hasn't been 12 years of Republican rule, but, instead, its the 38 years of Democrats rule in Congress. Change the economy? Change the Congress!

GLJ/nb

PLEASE read it !!

OLDRICH PODANY
1019 BEACH 9TH STREET
FAR ROCKAWAY, NEW YORK 11691
(718) 327-8910

Fax 718 327 5051

Oct. 17/92
Express Mail

CONFIDENTIAL - URGENT

Att. Jim Baker

new

Dear Mr. Baker :

You find enclosed copy of Presidential Speech,
pushing in DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS

a script which can be modified according
to latest situation — I have ready additional strong points,

i.e. : more rewards to inventors
distinctions, decorate, titles.

I know the invention business, I am in for
26 years in USA, an inventor to,
where a point advertising is very important.

I am sure this push will turnaround this
situation, an an afraid ethical one.

Good luck !

Sincerely yours

Oldrich Podany

Call please immediately if accepted.

10/17/92.
To get quick picture, what's about,

READ FIRST please

Sentences I. — II. — III. — and IV.

The world economy is worsening. It has become an economic war. The United States is losing ground. Competitors are choking us because of our inferior products. We must produce new superior quality products and use the latest technology to cut the cost and time frames.

Only inventors and innovators can turn our economy around. I will mobilize all U.S. inventors, innovators and thinkers. I will unleash the traditional ingenuity of the American inventor by GIVING THEM INCENTIVES SUCH AS:

1. Tax-free income from licensing fees or any other source connected with his invention.
2. Make tax deductible all expenses involving his activities.
3. Remove all obstacles impeding their creative time.
4. Protect them with new infringement and counterfeiting laws, imposing a ten-fold penalty for damages. Mandatory jail terms for the executive of a corporation who was aware of the infringement. I will include retailers and street vendors.

I WILL ESTABLISH THE FOLLOWING SERVICES FREE OF CHARGE FOR INVENTORS:

- A. Service center for speedier patent search.
- B. Center for simplifying patent application and procedures for patent applications to speed up the process.
- C. Center for coordination between inventors working on mutual projects.
- D. Center for marketing and licensing of patents and know-how for inventors.
- E. Surveillance center to protect U.S. industry against industrial espionage.
- F. Establish a center for inventor registration so that the corporation can contact the right inventor for its product.

Superior patented products generate very high profits because competition is eliminated. Corporations will be able to pay higher wages, provide better fringe benefits including quality health care, and can reinvest millions to build new factories.

This example will demonstrate the difference in profits between a high quality product and an inferior one:

A high-quality, German-made eyeglass frame sold at wholesale price for \$45.00 while the manufacturing cost is \$7.00. The profit is \$38.00. A U.S. made frame similar to this one but without patented advantages is sold at wholesale for \$7.00 while the manufacturing cost is \$5.50. The profit is only \$1.50. The difference in profit is \$38.00 to \$1.50; that is a ratio of 25 to 1. A remarkable difference!

~~#~~ III — Therefore, the Japanese and Germans are investing so much in research and development resulting in the accumulation of brain and money power, enabling them to put some U.S. companies already out of business and force them to import their products, including military parts.

We would be able to balance our budget very shortly, provide free health care, free social services and free education, and generate new well-paid jobs.

We will stop the exporting of raw materials like wood, ore and agricultural products. We will fabricate them into new superior products and export them around the world with high profits.

IV — Voters are fed up with rhetoric, scandals, wrong-doing and mud-slinging, and they just don't trust most politicians. But they will have full confidence in inventors and innovators because they are most trustworthy decision-makers and problem-solvers.

In these desperate times, I urge all inventors and innovators to participate in this undertaking, and to call my office for more information on how to participate. We will turn the situation around. We will once again be the scientific, technological and engineering world power we were in the past.

Olavich Podany
1019 9th St.
Far Rockaway NY NY
11691

G. E. L. CO.
1019 Beach 9th Street
Far Rockaway
New York, N. Y. 11691

Personal - Confidential - Urgend

VIA AIR MAIL

Mr. Jim Baker,
Bush Election Com. Headquarters
310 FIRST ST. S.E.
Washington D.C. 20003.

78761

NRN

500 Glendale Street
Carlisle, PA 17013
October 19, 1992

Mr James A. Baker III
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr Baker:

Please allow me to make a couple of suggestions to you about the manner in which President George Bush is conducting his re-election campaign for President of the United States of America.

1. It is obvious that many of the voters want a change in the way the government operates. Bill Clinton caters to this desire for a change by blaming George Bush for everything that is wrong with the government. Bush blames everything on the Congress. Since the voters want a change, they perceive that Bush must be replaced. In order to get Bush's point across that Congress is the problem and it's members need changed, Bush needs to go on the offensive against the specific members of Congress who have interfered with Bush's implementation of his programs. Bush should not continue on a defensive battle with Clinton.

President Bush does not communicate well to the ordinary voter when he uses "inside the beltway government speak" such as "sequester" and "OMB" which few voters understand. Clinton uses communication language that voters understand. Bush must stop touting his "experience", and begin speaking in a language that voters can understand.

2. Clinton is a socialist and he is proposing socialist policies. Bush needs to call Clinton a socialist and identify Clinton's socialist policys with other socialist experiments that have failed, such as the USSR. Bush needs to identify Clinton as engaging in "class warfare" by saying that only by taxing the rich is he going to solve the countrys economic problems. Bush needs to identify the "rich" that Clinton intends to tax as the small business entrepreneurs that create the most new jobs in this country. Small business entrepreneurs currently provide more than two-thirds of our country's employment.


If Clinton is elected, many of these entrepreneurs will be wiped out by Clintons tax policies, and the balance will be taxed heavily which will prohibit them from continuing to be able to create new jobs. Clinton has said that he will take this former job creation money and squander it on his socialist programs. Bush must tell the story that capitalism creates jobs and socialism does not create jobs. By following the failed socialist policies of the USSR, we will destroy the small business class and our engine for job creation in this country.

Entrepreneurs (Small Business Persons) are a valuable resource for a country, and once destroyed, are lost for a considerable period of time. Capitalism, with all of it's faults, is the only true job creation type of political system. Russia, in it's efforts to re-create capitalism, has not understood that you cannot have a national lottery of state resources and create capitalism. The entrepreneur is a valuable and scarce national resource, and, once destroyed, will remove the ability of our country to create new jobs. Recent congressional activities tend to restrict small business efforts at job creation. Bush should highlight what he would do in this area. Bush should ask the voters to provide him with the congresspersons who will help him move America forward.

3. It is time for George Bush to take off the "boxing" gloves and put on his brass knuckles if he is going to have a prayer of a chance of being re-elected. When John F. Buckley says that Bush cannot be re-elected, what does Bush have to lose by getting offensive? Even George Will has given up on Bush. Why don't you hire a small business oriented consultant and give George Bush the shot of adrenaline that the "uncommitted" voters are waiting to see?

It just might work!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "George F. Gardner". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

George F. Gardner

OFFICE OF
WASSON, SOURS & HARRIS
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
300 GALLERIA PARKWAY, N.W.
SUITE 1000
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30339
FAX NO. (404) 956-1086
(404) 956-1700

TELECOMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL

DATE: October 16, 1992 TO: Honorable James Baker

FROM: W. Hensell Harris, Jr.

FAX #: 202-456-2461

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: 3 INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET.

Message / Instructions:

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT ME AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER LISTED BELOW:

TELEPHONE NUMBER : 404/956-1700 (for verification)

TELECOMMUNICATOR: Ida

TELECOPIER NUMBER: 404/956-1086 (Omnifax 9S)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

OFFICES OF
WASSON, SOURS & HARRIS
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
300 GALLERIA PARKWAY, N.W.
SUITE 1000
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30339
FAX NO. (404) 956-1086
(404) 956-1700

NRN

October 16, 1992

VIA FACSIMILE

Honorable James Baker
c/o The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:

This will probably be my last communication to the Bush Administration in The White House, unless there is a drastic change in the next two and one-half weeks. My several other communications in the last few months have apparently been ignored, as I am sure will be the case with this one.

The biggest total failing of the Bush Re-election Campaign, at least in my eyes, is the failure to demonstrate any numerical specifics in terms that taxpayers can understand. George Bush continues to say that Bill Clinton's tax increase and spending increase won't work with the numbers suggested. Two days ago I heard a big six firm CPA on a talk show state that he had taken four hours of his time, utilized available income information from the Internal Revenue Service as to the breakdown of the "top 2%," or those making "over \$200,000," and determined that Clinton's numbers won't work.

Why can't you, George Bush, or someone get a piece of poster board and a black magic marker, and using data available from the Internal Revenue Service as of December 31, 1991, demonstrate what the total potentially taxable income is of those making above \$200,000, apply Clinton's proposed increase in tax rates to 36%, and demonstrate that it won't work? Let George Bush do it at the final debate and hand the magic marker to Bill Clinton. Put Clinton on the spot. Don't simply generalize that "it won't work." People are tired of hearing it.

Honorable James Baker
October 16, 1992
Page 2


On a final note, I understand that the Democratic Platform calls for a massive reduction in the federal estate tax floor from \$600,000 to \$200,000, at which no taxes are paid. Why not let the President give the statistical information on how many American families, and particularly farmers, under the Clinton plan would have to sell the family home or farm to pay the federal taxes on an estate greater than \$200,000 and less than \$600,000. Hit where people understand, not in generalizations.

My partner, John Sours, who is the Chairman of the Fulton County, Georgia Republican Party, just handed me the "Backgrounder," enclosing facts and figures, which he received a few moments ago. Why is such specific information not being fed to the 235 million Americans, instead of simply to the people who are going to vote for George Bush anyway?

Good luck!

Sincerely,

WASSON, SOURS & HARRIS


W. Hensell Harris, Jr.

WHH/iep

cc: Honorable Newt Gingrich
Honorable Jack Kemp
John D. Sours, Esq.



The Crown Group

Ingenious Political Strategies That Bring Results

Corporate Headquarters, Suite F
36 Robbins Ct.
Bricktown, NJ 08724
1-908-840-6486
1-800-442-5044

International
FAX
1-908-458-8684

FAX TRANSMITTAL

TO: MR. M. FITZWATER / MR. J. BAKER

CLIENT: Bush Quayle 92

DIVISION/DEPARTMENT: THE WHITE HOUSE

FAX #: 1-202-456-2461

FROM: CHARLES P. HOFFMAN

NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED: ①

MESSAGE: MR FITZWATER / MR. BAKER,

I HAVE BEEN ALERTED BY BUSH & QUAYLE NJ, HEADQUARTERS
THAT THE PRESIDENT WILL BE CAMPAIGNING IN N.J.
Thursday 10/22/92.

ONE OF HIS STOPS WILL BE SOMEWHERE IN MERCER
COUNTY, AND I HOPE THAT HE HAS DECIDED TO FOLLOW MY
ADVICE OVER THE PAST 3 WEEKS TO APPEAR IN TRENTON RIGHT
IN FRONT OF THE STATE HOUSE, AND TAKE ON JIM FLIM FLAM
HERO, WHICH WILL TOTALLY CRIPPLE THE CLINTON CAMPAIGN. IF THIS IS
SO PLEASE ADVISE ME IMMEDIATELY SINCE I WILL BE ATTENDING
A GOP CAMPAIGN RALLY TONIGHT AND WILL RALLY SUPPORT FOR THE

Political Campaign • Candidacy Strategists • Public Relations
C. Hoffman

Staff.
Please forward
to Mr. Fitzwater
or Mr. Baker
Immediately.
So that I can
muster support for
the President in
Mercer County on
Thursday.
Awaiting reply!

URN

10/20- CJ called; said thanks
for message, would show
JAB; encouraged him to
continue
working w/
Mary Matalin.
CJ

EDWARD J. PETRUS, M.D.
3413 Spanish Oak Drive
Austin, Texas 78731
Fax (512) 453-0066
(512) 454-6500

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal memo 7671		# of pages	2
To	JIM BAKER	From	E J PETRUS
Co.		Co.	
Dept.		Phone #	512-454-6500
Fax #	202-456-2461	Fax #	512-453-0066

October 17, 1992

NRN

To: Mr. James Baker
White House Chief of Staff

Texas still likes George Bush. As State Coordinator for Perot Supporters for Bush for the State of Texas, I believe this would be the ideal time for a meeting of Perot Supporters for Bush to meet with him and declare their support. October 19 is the date of the last debate. I am suggesting that on October 20-21, Perot supporters meet to announce that after listening to all three candidates, that George Bush offers the best programs and has the character and experience to lead this country for the next four years. Peter Berg is the National Coordinator for Perot Supporters for Bush. He has been working with Mary Matalin to obtain a meeting of Perot Supporters for Bush from key states, with President Bush in the White House. The timing of this meeting may be crucial in a state such as Texas where the polls show the race to be a dead heat.


I am not going to preach to the choir, but we are angry at the manner in which the media has been treating Bush during this campaign. It was very obvious to me and many others who saw the last debate, that Carolyn Simpson, the moderator, deliberately selected questioners who were favorable to Clinton, and sandbagged Bush by asking that no discussion of character be brought up for discussion. George Bush is faced with a world wide recession that is more severe in Europe than the United States, but local economic conditions make a more significant impact. The state of the economy is hurting Bush. What is not being addressed by Bush is the fact that after every war (Civil War, WW I, WW II, Korea, Cold War, Kuwait) there is a corresponding recession. We just ended a four decade cold war. Many of the votes for Clinton, are really votes against Bush, out of frustration and anger for economic duress. Blaming Bush for the world-wide recession is like blaming the returning troops for increased unemployment. To counter the misinformation regarding the recession I am attaching a copy of the Op-ed article from the New York Times, October 16, 1992. Use it to your advantage.

In some way I hope that the Perot Supporters for Bush can make the difference in getting George Bush reelected. I look forward to your comments.


THE NEW YORK TIMES **OP-ED** FRIDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1992

Economic Scare Stories

Real Gross Domestic Product
(Billions of 1987 dollars)



Unemployment, 1910-1992
(Percent)



By Robert E. Hall
and John B. Taylor

PEOPLE'S perceptions about the economy affect elections and the policies of elected officials. The U.S. economy grew by 2 percent in the first half of this election year. That pace is not quite fast enough to reduce unemployment or improve perceptions about the economy. But in recent months, press reporting about the economy has become so pessimistic that it has completely lost touch with reality.

The view that America has had the slowest growth in 50 years has become gospel. The view that we are in — or soon may be in — a period as bad as the Depression is going unchallenged.

These views are gross distortions that serve to reduce consumer confidence and prevent Americans from understanding and dealing with the real problems they face. This pessimism raises fears that something is

Robert E. Hall and John B. Taylor are professors of economics at Stanford.

drastically wrong with our economic system and it could lead to destructive policies.

It is time for a reality check. Consider two simple charts.

The first one, on the left above, shows real gross domestic product — total output, adjusted for inflation — from 1910 to 1992. This is the most comprehensive measure of the overall economy. As shown, real G.D.P. fell by 30 percent in the Depression compared with a 1 percent decline from 1990 to 1991. (Real gross domestic product is estimated to increase about 2 percent from 1991 to 1992.)

In the last 30 years there have been many times when growth has been slower than it has been in the last few years. Although real G.D.P. fell in 1991, the chart shows that economic conditions were much worse during the four slow-growth years that began in 1978 and deepened into the 1980-82 recessions.

From the fourth quarter of 1978 through the fourth quarter of 1982, real G.D.P. did not grow at all: it was lower in 1982 than in 1978! By contrast, from the second quarter of 1983 through the second quarter of 1992, real G.D.P. grew 4 percent. Similar calculations can be made for longer or shorter periods. They tell the same story.

The chart also shows that we com-

pleted the longest peacetime expansion in U.S. history in 1990. Only the Vietnam War expansion in the 1960's lasted longer. Moreover, in the late 1970's and early 80's, interest rates and inflation were in double digits; today inflation and interest rates are lower than they have been for 30 years. Economists agree that low in-

**Past recessions
have been worse.
Here's the record.**

terest rates reduce the cost of capital and they stimulate investment and growth. They are a sign that the rest of the 1990's could be very good.

The second chart shows that unemployment rose to above 25 percent in the 30's. Unemployment reached 16.8 percent in the early 80's. The current rate is 7.5 percent.

Clearly it is a great distortion to think of the last few years as even remotely comparable with the Depression. Even the last recession was much worse.

JAB-07A

ALBERT F. FAHY
RIVER HOUSE - C1512
1600 SOUTH JOYCE STREET
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202

703/521-4617

NRN
ci called to
thank
for kind
words.

16 Oct '92

Dear Mr. Baker.

- The American people owe you a debt of gratitude for your hard and effective work as Secretary of State.
Let me add my thanks and appreciation.
- Clinton says he has a budget:
 - ① To increase tax on \$200,000 + up incomes. This produces very little gross tax revenue as studies have shown.
 - ② Tax foreign corporations on business in U.S..
Foreign corporations already pay federal income tax. If Clinton intends each state to tax these corporations, then state tax credits will reduce federal tax.
No additional gross revenue.

Mr. Bush should push for:
a 50% cut (at least) on capital
gains tax on existing investments
and a zero capital gains tax on
new investment.

Result immediate tax inflow
plus incentive to make new
investment.

- We are two(2) votes for Pres. Bush.
- Courtesy reply not expected in
these busy days.

Sincerely

Albert J. J. J.

Colonel USAF ret - Wg Commander SAC

LLD - Harvard AMP

Ret. V. Pres. Petroquímica Argentina
(Conoco)

(4)

Marilyn - would you please hand-deliver this to Mr. Baker?

B.A.S.S., Inc.

P.O. BOX 17900 • MONTGOMERY, AL 36141-0900 • (205) 272-9530 • FAX (205) 279-7148

Thank
Wayne

NRN - 1st letter
forwarded
to campaign.

Wayne Goble
Research, Advertising Services
Newsstand Sales, Trade Circulation
Senior Writer

October 13, 1992

Jim Baker
Chief of Staff
THE WHITE HOUSE
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

sent via FAX: (202)456-1121

Dear Mr. Baker:

We are doing everything we can to get the President re-elected. So far, we have:

1. Endorsed George Bush in BASSMASTER Magazine. Copies will be mailed to the 550,000+ members of the Bass Anglers Sportsman Society on October 15.
2. Supplied the campaign with our mailing list of 2,300 B.A.S.S. Clubs throughout America. Via that list, videos are being sent to B.A.S.S. Club presidents for viewing by all club members as well as others. Along with the video is a personalized letter from Helen Sevier encouraging their viewership.
3. Supplied the campaign with our mailing list of 5,500+ tackle stores throughout the country. It was our understanding that each store was to receive a bundle of tabloids espousing the Bush/Quayle message.
4. Call B.A.S.S. Chapter presidents inviting them to be there when Air Force One touches down (when we know where the President will be).

But we want to do more.

In eight battleground states, we wish to mail personalized letters to some 163,000 B.A.S.S. members. The letters can be from Ray Scott, Helen Sevier, Jim Baker ... whoever. Helen's idea is that the letter come from George Bush because he has an excellent record from what all he has done for the nation's fisheries. Also, he's a member of B.A.S.S. and the one-on-one letter from a fellow B.A.S.S. member would be the nice touch.

We need your help.

Postage for this first-class mailing would approximate \$47,000. If the campaign could send us a check for the postage, letterhead and window


Jim Baker
October 13, 1992
Page 2

envelopes, we could move forward expeditiously. Our data processing people have informed me that it would take a day and a half to print the laser letters. As the letters are being printed, we can fold and stuff. The net of nets = 163,000 avid fisherman would receive the correct message prior to the election.

We are trying to work our way up through the many layers of the campaign, but, and if you like our idea, please call Mimi Dawson at (202)336-7222 and ask her to carry this forward.

Ray has informed me that he's ready, and the President knows this, to do whatever, whenever. Like everyone else here at B.A.S.S.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Wayne Goble", followed by a long horizontal flourish line.

Wayne Goble
Research Director

cc: Helen Sevier
Ray Scott

George Bush Presidential Library Transfer Sheet

COLLECTION:

Bush Presidential Records

ACCESSION NUMBER:

1993.0001

FOIA/SYSTEMATIC
PROCESSING CASE
NUMBER (if app.):

☐ Transferred During Accessioning

☒ Transferred During Processing

2000-0715-F

The following material was transferred to:

☐ Audiovisual Collection ☐ Book Collection ☒ Museum Collection ☐ Other

Other (Specify):

DESCRIPTION:

November 1992 "Bassmaster Magazine" with an article "George Bush: Still the Best for the Job" on page 8.

When
transferring
material to the
museum
collection,
complete the
following.

Donor:

Donor Org.:

Address:

Telephone:

Book Location:

Row: Section: Shelf: Position:

Map Case Location:**Series:**

Office of the Chief of Staff

Box Number:**Folder Title:**

[Public Correspondence, October 1992] [4 of 16]

OA/ID Number:

05600

Transferred by: Deborah Wheeler

Date of Transfer: 08/21/01

Received by: Amy Day

Date Received: 08/21/01

Go to Database
Navigator

Go to Accession
Register

Go to Withdrawal Sheet

Print Record

BASSMASTER[®]

MAGAZINE

NOVEMBER 1992

PUBLISHED FOR

B.A.S.S. MEMBERS



*Destiny Fulfilled:
Robert
Hamilton
Wins the
Classic*

(page 18)

**Top Spots For
Fall Fishing**

(page 54)

**All
About
Topwaters**

(page 18)



**23-PAGE
SECTION:
New
Bass
Tackle
For '93**

(page 42)

(page 61)

George Bush: Still The Best For The Job



To me the choice of who will best serve our nation as president is so very clear. When George Bush first ran for president in 1979 he was even then the most qualified candidate. He offered himself with a pedigree of experience that had never been equaled by any presidential candidate. His was a record of dedicated service to our nation that remains unparalleled.

He served two terms as a member of the U.S. House of Representatives. The youngest congressman to be appointed to the powerful Ways and Means Committee, George Bush was later to serve as the very first envoy to Communist China. He provided exemplary leadership as director of the Central Intelligence Agency, then served a tour as the United States' Ambassador to the United Nations — at a time when the Cold War was at its peak.

Qualifications and experience are almost everything when it comes to applying for a job. George Bush had those qualifications in 1979.

He was a patriot as well. In 1942 he walked in and volunteered to serve in World War II. He served honorably and with distinction. George Bush was commissioned as the youngest-ever U.S. Navy pilot. He was decorated for valor. He wasn't just shot at; he was shot down while on a dive-bombing mission over a Japanese island.

When it came to serving his country, there were no second thoughts. He could have chosen to dodge the draft by staying in college or by other means, but he felt it was his duty to offer himself for service. That's just the way he is.

Add to that list of credentials two terms as the most active vice president in our nation's history and four years as our president. What is more, he was president of these United States during a time that has seen the world honestly become a much safer place to live. That awful cold, stone wall that for so long separated the free people of Berlin from the enslaved folks on the communist side of that wall is no more. They are breathing the same free air you and I have always taken for granted. The world is a safer place for you, me and our loved ones.

Let's remember that the freedoms we enjoy are bought. There is a price paid for freedom that includes the supreme price for many — the sacrifice of life by men who willingly and faithfully served — that we might be free.

If you're just about to suspect that I'm endorsing the re-election of George Bush, you are correct.

Just as you and I aren't perfect, George Bush isn't, either. But I guarantee you this — he's had more practice trying to become perfect than Bill Clinton or anyone else who might seek the office.

George Bush is a fisherman. Like most of us Bassmasters, fishing offers him those moments for the brain to relax and cleanse itself of the "muddle" it collects. George Bush told me once that bass fishing "sort of freshens my mind." You and I know what he is talking about.

I had the good fortune of first meeting and getting to know George Bush in 1979, before he was a candidate for president. The thing I remember about our first meeting was that I did most of the talking.

I was knee-deep at the time in a frustrated effort to get Congress to pass some very important legislation to benefit America's fisheries. B.A.S.S. and several other fishing groups were struggling to get more money for the states' suffering fishery programs. We had worked hard for some time, but to no avail.

Jimmy Carter was president then. Congress and the country were in a glorified mess. Inflation was at 15.8 percent that year, and interest rates were bumping 20 percent. And we were getting nowhere with our efforts to get an increase in a self-imposed excise tax on fishing tackle and marine products.

George Bush promised me that day that he would support such a tax since it was a "user-pays tax." Our battle for passage of the Wallop-Breaux amendment to the Sport Fish Restoration Act continued as we had to fight our way through the maze of Congress. After he was elected vice president, George Bush lived up to his word. As legislative roadblocks would appear and our campaign became dead in the water, I could call on him. Not once did he fail to take my call, and every time, the stumbling blocks disappeared.

In August 1984, the legislation passed the House and Senate, and President Reagan signed it into law. Since then, an additional \$1 billion has flowed directly into the 50 states to help improve fishing for everyone.

I can tell you one thing for certain: Without George Bush's faithful support, it would not be law today, and fishing quality would not be nearly as good.

By the way, those gosh-awful inflation and interest rates of 13 years ago — they are lower now than they've been in most of our lifetimes.

Four years ago, George Bush was campaigning for his first term as president. In an interview with *The New York Times*, he was asked about his reading habits and such. The last question was, "Mr. Bush, what is your favorite magazine?" Without a blink, George Bush said, "BASSMASTER." That word, "BASSMASTER," ended the interview.

It is important, yes it's imperative, that you and I vote on Tuesday, Nov. 3. I hope you'll vote for George Bush. You and I can't take a chance.

Choosing a president is a lot like picking a fishing lure. You pick the lure that has proved to you that it has worked well in the past. Let's use the same good judgment when we cast our vote on Nov. 3.

Sincerely,

Ray Scott, President
Bass Anglers Sportsman Society

*The only thing
worse than not
voting for
George Bush
for president
is not voting.*