

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):  
2000-0715-F

FOIA Number:  
2000-0715-F

# FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an  
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential  
Library Staff.**

---

**Record Group/Collection:** George H.W. Bush Presidential Records  
**Collection/Office of Origin:** Chief of Staff, White House Office of  
**Series:** Baker, James A., III, Files  
**Subseries:** Public Correspondence Files

---

**OA/ID Number:** 93010  
**Folder ID Number:** 93010-003

---

**Folder Title:**  
[Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [3]

---

| Stack:   | Row:     | Section: | Shelf:   | Position: |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| <b>G</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>0</b>  |

---

P.O. Bx. 4573  
Pueblo, Colo. 81003



December 15, 1791,  
the states complete  
ratification of 10 of  
the 12 amendments  
submitted by Congress  
in September 1789.

*Ratification of the Bill of Rights*

**USA 19**

Jim Baker  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington, D.C. 20500



Dear Jim

WRN Oct.16,92

I hope you will run this by the President for his approval. I would like to see him set a precedent. When ever the cost of liveing goes up a percentage, he would take a pay cut for the same amount, this should apply to all of Congress and their hired help. If this were done , it would be the first time in our History that our leaders would show some concern for these trying times.

Kindest Regards

Red Withers

Red

James A Baker III

support  
suggestion

Dear Mr Baker.

(NRN)

I am writing you because I want to see the President re-elected for 4 more years, but I am worried things do not look that good.

It is in the best interest for our country to reelect George Bush.

For example if you had a bus driver one who never drove a bus and one who has driven for 4 years. I would rather ride with the one with experience.

I have a plan I wish you would read, it may or may not work but one thing I know it will get construction workers their votes.

There are many of unemployed Americans all over the country waiting to hear some kind of a plan any kind for jobs.

Clinton does not have one for jobs - just talk. Perot has one for the Budget, which will not work.

To win this election the President must have a specific plan so as to give hope to many Americans. This plan could be the knock-out punch the President needs

Thank you,  
Richard P. Shank  
11 Forest Hill Drive  
Sutton Mass 01590

James A Baker III

NRN

suggestion  
support

October 20, 1992

Mr. James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

Attached is a thought for the campaign as you and your staff attempt to close the gap in these final two weeks. I have done my own testing of the attached concept which received the appropriate response.

My thoughts and opinions are not necessarily those of my firm.

Keep your spirits up and best of luck!

Very truly yours,



Darrell J. Tamosuinas  
430 Pheasant Ridge Road  
Medina, MN 55391  
(612) 449-9573

## **Outline**

### **Same Story/Different Faces**

**File Title:** Same Story/Different Faces

**Medium:** TV time slot

**Approx. Time:** 1 minute

**Props/Research:**

- Visual and voice of 4-5 prior Democratic leaders delivering approximately the same message about tax (investment) and spend or promoting big government.
- Peel away rubber mask (something like those in the Lite commercials)
- Opening statement - block/still frame and voice over
- Closing statement - block/still frame and voice over

**Concept:** Capture Clinton, Dukakis, McGovern and Carter (or others) on tape promoting either:

- Tax the rich/promote big government (spend)
- Government intervention
- Associate Clinton with these past leaders
- Remind the public that they have time and again rejected these leaders and that today's Democrat message is the same just with different faces.

## Same Story/Different Faces

Opening Frame

They say change but isn't it the same  
tired story

(solid confident narrative voice  
reads message)

First Action

Face and voice of Bill Clinton  
delivering a tax and spend or big  
government promotion

Transition/Action

Clinton mask peeled away with right  
hand dramatically grabbing mask from  
under chin to reveal next old line  
Democrat - Dukakis

Second Action

Face and voice of Dukakis delivering a  
tax and spend or big government  
promotion

Subsequent Transition

Peel mask away

Subsequent Action

Dukakis, McGovern, Carter, etc.  
Similar speeches from tape library

Closing Frame

You can't disguise the message

October 3, 1992

President Bush's record: Flew 58 combat missions in World War II; Congressman from Texas; ran a successful business; Ambassador to China; Ambassador to the United Nations; Director of the CIA; Vice President of the United States; President of the United States; Leader of the Free World; highly respected world-wide; defeated Communism in Russia; a leader in transforming Russia into a democracy and stopping the Cold War; tried to get Congress to pass his economic policies, but Democrats do not care about Deficit and continue to pass pork-barrel legislation. There is a world-wide economic recession, and President Bush has experience and knowledge to help the U.S. economy. President Bush's character, background and experience are excellent.

Governor Clinton's record: Avoided draft during war and marched and led protests against our heroic soldiers in Vietnam, thereby encouraging our country's enemy; Governor of Arkansas, one of the smallest states in our country (only position he ever held); his experience background to run the most powerful country in the world is extremely poor; his family background is very poor (father left the family and his mother married four times and gambles); his brother was on drugs; Clinton went eleven years with another girl while he was married to his present wife; he has tried drugs but didn't inhale. None of the leaders in the World have ever heard of Clinton until he ran for President. He ran the Democratic Convention where every speaker tried to ruin Bush's reputation.

NRT

Clinton is a Liberal and chose Gore for Vice President. Gore votes the same as Liberal Ted Kennedy. Gov. Clinton's character background and experience are very poor. Which would you choose for Leader of our Country and the Free World?

support

Dear Secretary Baker,

If voters in our Country could study this "Comparison" of President Bush and Governor Clinton, President Bush would win the election hands down. We live in a strange country with one group trying to tear down our Country and the good groups trying to build it up. I hope you have success in getting this "Comparison" in front of the American Voters before election.

Thank you for doing an A+ job in world diplomacy and in helping our Country maintain its secretness.

With kind regards and "Good Luck"

Sincerely,

Jack Colbaugh

50 Woodland Dr.

Vero Beach, FL 32962

(407) 567 5439

Jack Colbrough  
50 Woodland Dr.  
Vero Beach, Fl. 32962

(Personal)  
Important

Secretary of State  
Jim Baker  
White House  
Washington, D.C. 20003



*suggestion*

715 Maiden Choice Lane, CR 507  
Baltimore, Maryland 21228  
October 17, 1992

Hon. James Baker  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am deeply concerned about the "draft and demonstrations"  
issue of Governor Clinton. Below is my question:

"Governor, with your background of draft evasion, and organization of, and participation in, foreign demonstrations against the actions of your own country, how, in case of a world emergency, could you as President and Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces, with any degree of self esteem and respect for the Presidency, expect to have and hold the support of the American people?

"What would you do when the first young son or daughter says: 'No, Mr. President. You did not serve. Why should I?'"

Why cannot someone, somehow get such information to the public? It has not been made clear to the voters.

By way of introduction: I am a registered Democrat in Maryland - for Bush, and a retired government employee with twenty years at the White House (Presidents Roosevelt and Truman); eight years on the NSC staff, and over seven years on the Peace Corps staff (having started at the outset in 1961 to help establish the agency).

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely yours,

*Roma Lee Klar*  
Roma Lee Klar

Roma Lee Klar  
715 Maiden Choice Lane, CR 507  
Baltimore, Maryland 21228



Hon. James Baker  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.



Mr. James Baker  
The White House  
Washington, D. C. 20500

NRN

support  
suggestion

Dear Mr. Baker,

I know that it is late, but I believe I have an idea to salvage some undecided votes. I think that it would be a good idea to buy T.V. time on the weekend prior to the election and have well respected, highly visible people speak on behalf of the president. People from various walks of American life could influence different segments of the undecided populous.

Each participant could speak from three to five minutes, making an interesting and unusual hour program. These people need to be of high character and of utmost integrity, to exemplify the character and quality of our candidates. Someone from education, another from medicine, another from law enforcement, entertainment, law, sports, business, labor, etc. Maybe there could be one hour on each network on Friday, Saturday, and Sunday nights, with different people each night.

It is apparent that the Bush, Quayle ticket is having a hard time getting its message across because of the present economic climate, so it is my supposition that quality spokespeople might have a positive influence.

It is my heart felt concern that all possible should be done to reelect President Bush, because we shudder at the consequences of the alternative. Our prayers are with you.

Sincerely,

*Jim Lodovic*  
Jim Lodovic

Jim Lodovic  
17408 Lynworth  
Dallas, Texas 75248



urgent

Mr. James Baker  
c/o The White House  
Washington, D.C.  
20500

Sec. James Baker  
Administrative Ass't.  
To the President  
Washington, D. C.

Samuel K. Skinner  
General Chairman  
Republican National  
Committee, Wash., D.C.

George W. Bush  
c/o Texas Rangers  
Baseball  
Arlington, TX

Honorable Gentlemen:

*confirmation copy of fax of about 12:30 PM, 10-19-92 - THANKS!*  
*P.S. - WHAT ABOUT CLINTON'S ABSENTEEISM FROM HIS ELECTED JOB FOR A YEAR OR MORE VS. THE PRESIDENTS?*

I'm writing this in a great hurry as a reminder for my previous letter to Sec. Baker, because I'm overdue for some other campaign duties.

If the decision has previously been made that the President not make an extended whistlestop tour of ten days to two weeks; that decision was wrong, **W R O N G, W R O N G ! ! !** In this kind of campaign, with the opposition continuing to lead at the polls (not that they are infallible) we are not going to close the gap in the polls against the media and the strong opposition! I know that the campaign needs to peak the weekend before election day, but there's no better way than such a whistlestop tour, at least through all the questionable states! All available RR sidings should be used for stopovers.

(With Amtrak's help I could plan & schedule such a trip in a few hrs)  
Advantages (which can't be gained by TV since TV is a standoff for both sides):

1. Makes a captive audience of major portions of the media if Pullman cars can be provided for them--- I suggest six or eight, plus a couple of dining cars would be necessary. Dan Rather (Biased-in my opinion), Tom Brokaw, and Peter Jennings, etc. should all be urgently & persuasively ~~be~~ invited to make the trip with the proviso that the tour will always stop at some major TV market city at the proper time so they can do their evening news from there, etc. Same for the newspapermen who could use the same locations to file their stories, etc.
2. Advance men, Radio, and TV spot commercials all along the route, could notify all the farmers, etc. in the surrounding countryside, to bring their children, etc. so they can see a real live President.
3. Such a tour would receive constant local, state and national news coverage!
4. It would give the President, like Truman, a terrific forum to blast the liberal spedthrifths in the Congress (& Congress itself therefore) for running up the national debt in spite of his Vetos, etc. There is a lot of built up sentiment for term limitation etc. to do something about the Congress. The campaign is not concentrating on this as it could. Please remember, the average disinterested (most of the time) citizen voter, thinks that the President runs the County, including spending all the money. Of course, it is the Congress that does most of it (all the money) and in terms of one syllable, the people need to be constantly reminded of that!

In addition, AND THIS IS FOR THE MEDIA EXPERTS OF THE CAMPAIGN ASAP, did others notice that in the Thursday Presidential TV debate, on practically every subject heading on the debate agenda, Clinton said he had spent many, many years studying the subject. Someone in our audience at Texas State HQ in Dallas here, piped-up that "when did he ever sleep". I mentioned that when did he do the other things married people do, and Governor's do? A TV commercial, run constantly until election day could cover all the debate subjects by a discussion among a group of young adults (not college students) like another recent commercial. I have the feeling that Clinton is "Conning" the people-- isn't he a confidence man? Let's call a spade a spade on this.-- carefully so as not to be called mud-slingers because that turns off many supporters!

If not already being implemented, let's do both the above ASAP, Thanks,

*LET'S NOT LOSE TEXAS,  
& THUS THE ELECTION  
BY DEFAULT ON THIS!*

*Stuart R. Tears*  
Stuart R. Tears, 40 yr. campaigner  
Chair, Precinct 2265- 35 yrs  
214-348-3532 6207 Berryhill, Dallas, TX 75231

6207 Currey Hill  
Dallas TX 75231



Secretary James Baker to President  
Administrative Assh.  
White House  
1000 Pennsy. Avenue  
Washington D.C. 20500

JOHN R. NORDHAM  
P.O. BOX 169  
LAKEHURST, NEW JERSEY 08733

~~registered~~  
news

908  
(201) 657-1697

OCT. 13, 1992

NRN

JIM BAKER  
CHIEF OF STAFF  
THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON, DC

DEAR MR. BAKER,

PROTECT US FROM 'LIL ABNER'S  
DOG PATCH ECONOMICS  
IT DOES NOT WORK FOR HIM IN  
ARKANSAS AND IT DOES NOT ADD  
UP FOR AMERICA.

GOOD LUCK.

SINCERELY  
John R Nordham

suggestion

October 16, 1992

Mr Jim Baker

The White House

Dear Mr. Baker

NOW

If this reaches you before the next debate, I would like to offer some advice on the format for the debate. If it reaches you too late, it still would be good to use in adds on TV and the news lines.

First, cut the personal and character talk. People have heard it enough by now.

Second, I would tally up all the pork for the last twelve years, and show how much it has contributed to the national deficit and the resulting interest on it.

But first, since most people do not know the definition of pork, have the President explain what it means in the affairs of congress.

Hope you can use this important tip.

Sincerely

C.B. LEFEBER

6735 Riveredge Dr.  
Titusville, FL. 32780



The White House

Washington, DC

20003

ATT. JIM BAKER  
Rush Please:



support

Yu Teh Wang

180 Van Cortlandt Park South  
Bronx, New York 10463  
October 19, 1992

NPW

Honorable James Baker  
The Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

Here enclosed the material that sent for my neighborhood to persuade them to vote President Bush.

Now, it is the final stroke for the grassroot volunteers to work for the President. As you know, even, our own members are wondering the charm of "CHANGE". How do they challenge the charm of "CHANGE"?

I hope that the enclosed article is the spell to release the charm of "CHANGE".

Best Regards

Sincerely yours,



Yu Teh Wang

Dear Registered Voters:

It is time for your to decide the future of our country by your conscience of morality. It is not the time to vote for the next president, it is time to vote for our future.

There are three candidates in this election.

Do you want our country to be destoried by the charm of salesmanship and to force the country to become the dictatorship under the bribery?


Do you want our country to be collapsed by the charm of "CHANGE" under the concept of high taxes and big government spending?

Do you want our country to face the 21st century on the course of family values and to reach the goal of harmony, moderateness, peace and prosperity of our country and the world? ☐

Here enclosed the article of "FAMILY VALUES ARE THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION TO SAVE AND RESCUE THE U.S. ECONOMIC RECESSION" for your reference.

Best Regards

Very truly yours,

  
Yu Teh Wang

P.S. If you think that it is time for you to save our country from the current disasters, please mail these three letters for your friends and your relatives to vote for President Bush.

FAMILY VALUES ARE THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION  
TO SAVE AND RESCUE THE U.S. ECONOMIC RECESSION

In the current election, the Democratic Presidential Candidate - The Governor Bill Clinton uses the slogan of "CHANGE" for economic recovery and "CHANGE" for reducing the high unemployment rate, the Republican President Candidate - the President Bush declares "FAMILY VALUES" as his campaign strategy. But, the culture elites of the United States do not understand the importance of family values and the economic development has the direct connection with the family values, therefore, the general public can not understand the message of the economic reform from The President Bush.

The current economic recession and the high unemployment rate are not the result of the current government policy. They are the final products of corruption of education and corruption of social morality in these three decades. From the fact that Mrs. Clinton (the wife of the Democratic Presidential Candidate) supports the current case that the son went to sue his natural mother for divorce, it means that the family values are broken in our society. As you know, even, Karl Marx is a great economist and philosopher in the world, his great invention of communism is not the right economic theory to win the war of poverty, because this great economist and philosopher did not understand the family values as our current culture elites. Even, there are few Nobel Prize Winners to support Clinton's big government spending policy, but the fact of history proves to us that the communism is collapsed in Soviet Union and in the Eastern Communist Block of Europe, because the communism and the socialism are lack of family values.

The blue print of the big government spending policy is the theory of communism and the socialism. It will collapse the strength of our international competitiveness in the world market. As you know, thirty years of government subsidy collapsed our ship building industry, our shipping industry, our steel industry, our textile industry, our auto industry, our electronic industry and other industries. If we do not use the education reform to improve our quality of working force, all the current profitable industries will disappear from our country.

Contrary to the collapse of communism, there are the economic booming in Taiwan, Hong Kong, Singapore, and Japan, especially, in this long term world economic depression. According to the researchers of economy and philosophy, they all admit that all those countries are influenced by the Chinese Culture of Family Values and also discover that the economic development has the direct relationship with the family values.


What is the meaning of family values? They are duty, obligation and responsibility.

Japan is the most economic prosperous country in the world. Many of the business executives in the United States have already found that most of the Japanese big corporation like the big family. The Japanese Executives manage their corporation by family values. The Labor Force to work hard for the corporation is like the son to be loyal to the family, and the management executives to take care of their labor force is more than that the parents take care of their own sons and daughters. The booming of economy is under the mutualism of family values, but it is not under the hostility between the management executives and the labor force.

If we want to revive the current U.S. Economy and to reduce the high unemployment rate, it is not the simple slogan of "CHANGE" under the concept of big government. To revive the strength of international competitiveness, we should go to revive the family values through education reform.

As you know, Japan is one of the countries that know the family values most, but the Japanese Government did not think that it is enough. In 1989, Japanese Government began to prevail the new education reform. During these three years, all the teachers and the candidates who want to be the teacher are sent back to the morality education college for two year morality education. This year 91992), all the school in Japan adopt this new education reform, and especially, the family values are the major curriculum in this new education reform.

In the tendency of new thought of family values, we can not be charmed by the sweet coat of "CHANGE" to the failure system of communism and socialism. For the future of our country, we should stand up to support President Bush's "FAMILY VALUES" as our education reform and to save our country from sweet coat of "CHANGE". We should stand up to follow the inspiration of President Bush's trustworthiness and to face the 21st century with family values and the American Traditional Values.

  
Yu Teh Wang  
180 Van Cortlandt Park South  
Bronx, New York 10463  
Phone: (212) 601-4534



Donald D. Paullo  
7040 Africa Road  
Galena, OH 43021

NRN

Oct 17 1992

puppet  
suggestion

Mr James A Baker III  
White House chief of staff  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr Baker

As an interested observer  
and supporter of President Bush  
I have a suggestion to make  
for the final days of the 92  
campaign. Perhaps you can relay  
my view.

It is apparent to me  
and I think other thinking Americans  
(those who give a damn) that  
thru the presidents efforts and  
yours I'm sure that he has  
performed a considerable economic  
miracle already in support of  
our domestic economic policy. From  
my view he and the campaign  
effort must be specific as to  
the enormous benefits brought  
about through <sup>his</sup> international peace  
efforts. We are about to see  
and are seeing the effects currently.

US & vis the economic ties with  
the rest of the world. I think  
the populace can comprehend that  
we have ~~and~~ other nations have  
put a cap on military actions  
and that nations will be enhancing  
their economic well being as well as  
improving the lot of their citizens.  
The example to cite is that  
our business concerns are going  
to be given the opportunity to  
develop the commonwealth of  
Independent states a group of  
nations geographically several  
times larger than our own United  
States. The benefits to our  
business concerns will be considerable.  
I encourage you to emphasize  
this matter in the final weeks  
of the campaign. I stand by  
my earlier position that no other  
president in our history (& Administration)  
has accomplished more than President  
Bush. - (Hammer this point home

Respectfully


Donald W. Rumsfeld

my regards to you  
all please wish the  
President & Mrs Bush  
well.



Donald D. Paullo  
7040 Africa Road  
Galena, OH 43021

(Airmail)



Mr James a Baker III  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania ave  
Washington D.C. (20500)

Personal & Confidential

October 19, 1992

# Memo

From  
MR. EDWIN Z. GABRIEL

To Hon. James A. Baker, 3<sup>rd</sup>  
Dear Hon. Baker: *suggestions*

May I express my appreciation for all you are trying to do to help the President revive the economy, and win the election in November.

The President needs to know that he really cares about the poor and the able unemployed. He has been portrayed as pro-rich, and unconcerned about the plight of the cities, - the drugs, the crime, the homeless, the single-parent families and youngsters roaming the streets. I have addressed the above problems in the enclosed articles. People want to know the President's detailed economic plans for reviving the economy and for finding work for the able jobless.

EDWIN Z. GABRIEL  
Gabriel Engineering Consultants  
91 Mt. Tabor Way  
Ocean Grove, NJ 07756  
208-775-6111

Sincerely yours,  
Edwin Zenith Gabriel  
Enclosures

EDWIN Z. GABRIEL  
91 MT. TABOR WAY  
OCEAN GROVE, N. J. 07756  
PHONE 908-775-6111

October 17, 1992

THE WAY TO WIN THE HEARTS OF THE VOTERS  
PARTICULARLY THOSE WHO ARE HURTING FINANCIALLY

Today many men and women are looking for work to support themselves and their families. How can we effectively and immediately help those able men and women looking for work? Many have lost their jobs because of today's recession, -some because of automation, others because of factory and business closings. Families are really hurting. We need to address their hurts. The breadwinner of a family needs first priority for assistance. Here is one way we can help a hurting family:

1. Provide free aptitude testing and other testing if needed;
2. Provide free retraining for jobs or positions that are available;
3. Assist the person in finding work, by assisting in his writing a resume, by helping him make connections with prospective employers.

The person may have worked for the same corporations for many years and not know how to prepare for an interview. We need to provide assistance, so he can make a good impression On the company's personnel officer.

Funds for this project could be obtained from taxing the rich who are not hurting much from this recession, if at all. By helping the poor job-seeker find work, the rich are really helping themselves. The unemployed will not be on relief receiving unemployment benefits; he will not be tempted to shop-lift or engage in other criminal activity; he will feel better about himself because he is working for a living and not being a burden on society. His self-esteem and self-worth will improve. Because he is working, marriages will not suffer. There could be fewer divorces, because there would be less fighting over finances and over money to buy food and pay the bills.

\* The amount needed to assist and retrain the displaced unemployed would amount to \$5 - \$8 billion. Present government employees could be used to assist in this effort. For example, 5% of the 7000 non-essential employees at Fort Monmouth, NJ, could assist temporarily without appreciably reducing the effectiveness of the Fort's mission in electronic communication. Gov. Bill Clinton wants to spend \$150 billion to assist the unemployed, which could mean more taxes for the middle class American.

Edwin Zenith Gabriel

## AMERICA IS EXPERIENCING DEVASTATING ECONOMIC AND SPIRITUAL CRISES

This nation is in an economic crisis situation and it is deepening. We need to recognize that this nation's crises should be our No. 1 priority. We cannot be a world leader unless we address our emergency problems and try to find inexpensive, workable solutions. Below are four national problems and suggested solutions.

1. The unemployment rate is high and worsening. Corporations are becoming leaner in order to be more competitive internationally and profitable. Stop the flight of jobs to Mexico, Central America, elsewhere. The rich should have more compassion for the poor working man and keep him employed, so he can provide for his family.
2. Teen unemployment, particularly among minorities, is worsening, one reason for teen violence, unwanted pregnancies, drug abuse, venereal diseases, such as AIDS. Here is where the U.S. Army could be very helpful. Take Army truck loads of minority teens to the country and have them build latrines, sewer lines, paths, roads, barracks, playgrounds, and beautify the surroundings, all under proper supervision. These teens are calling for help through their violence and muggings. We need to come to their aid. Twenty-seven per cent of teens have contemplated suicide.
3. Teen morality should be part of the big picture in order to reduce healthcare, welfare and crime costs; their education should be such as to encourage sexual abstinence and the use of their energies for constructive activities, such as keeping the environment clean and helping each other. Evolution should not be taught as a fact to avoid making humans a little better than animals. Sex education should be taught in a manner to discourage sexual experimentation. No nation has survived for long with this nation's immoral life-styles. The Holy Bible needs to be present in the public school classroom.
4. Today's educational system is not working for minorities. Minorities are graduating from high school functionally illiterate and lacking in proper communication skills for obtaining employment. In addition, pupils need to be graded on their after-school activities. Are their activities constructive or destructive? They need to be given more love and attention to make up for the lack of such at home. They could be provided with nutritious snacks, such as milk and cookies.

Academic Component Institutions:  
The University of Texas at Arlington  
The University of Texas at Austin  
The University of Texas at Brownsville  
The University of Texas at Dallas  
The University of Texas at El Paso  
The University of Texas-Pan American  
The University of Texas at the Permian Basin  
The University of Texas at San Antonio  
The University of Texas Institute of Texan Cultures at San Antonio  
The University of Texas at Tyler



Health Component Institutions:  
The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas  
The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston  
The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston  
The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio  
The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center  
The University of Texas Health Center at Tyler

10/19. cj left  
msg. w/this ofc.  
that we'd rec'd  
a wld show JAB  
when he returned  
from debate trip

## THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

601 COLORADO STREET AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

Office of the Chancellor  
(512) 499-4200

4201

.NRN

October 13, 1992

Chief of Staff Jim Baker  
Office of the President  
White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Chief of Staff Baker:

I write to you to encourage urgent action by the President in connection with the V-22 Osprey program. It is my understanding that Bell Helicopter Textron of Fort Worth and Boeing Helicopters of Philadelphia continue to experience delays. They have responded to a Department of Defense request for proposal to address the reservations regarding this initiative which have been stated by Secretary of Defense Dick Cheney.

I believe that it is in the best interests of Texas and the nation that action be taken on this proposal as soon as possible. Although the state will obviously benefit through increased employment and investment, subcontractors across the nation who are also involved will benefit. In addition, this activity will ensure that the nation remains at the leading edge in aerospace technology.

Your assistance with this very critical matter would be greatly appreciated. Thank you and best personal regards.

Sincerely,

William H. Cunningham  
Chancellor

WHC:mk

COPY TO JIM BAKER

JOHN B. THOSTESON  
325 SOUTHWIND CIRCLE  
ROSWELL GA 30076  
(404) 992-9944

NRN

TO RON KAUFMAN:

FROM THIS VANTAGE POINT IT LOOKS <sup>VERY</sup> ~~PRETTY~~ GOOD FOR THE PRESIDENT.

I WOULD SUGGEST A MAJOR EFFORT, BY THE PRESIDENT. AT THIS POINT, SUGGESTING AT EVERY STOP, THAT HE MUST HAVE A REPUBLICAN CONGRESS (ASK AND YE SHALL RECEIVE).

MY 2 CENTS, UNDER SEPARATE COVER, FOR THE LOCATION OF THE NEXT TRAIN TRIP: NEW YORK STATE !!! LOOK AT DINKIN'S POLLS VS RESULTS AND AT CUOMO'S 53%. THAT WOULD ALSO CREATE A FURTHER PSYCHOLOGICAL IMBALANCE IN THE CLINTON CAMPAIGN.

2'ND CHOICE --- MISSOURI - ILLINOIS

REMEMBER, HUMOR WON IN MN & WI  
PEROT IS A PLUS

IT'S A GREAT TIME TO BE A REPUBLICAN

JOHN

*John*

OCTOBER 21, 1992

NRN  
Secretary James Baker  
Administrative Ass't  
To The President  
Washington, D. C.  
FAX 1-202-456-2883

Samuel K. Skinner  
General Chairman  
Republican Nat'l Comm.  
Washington, D. C.  
FAX 1-202-863-8773

George W. Bush  
c/o Texas Rangers  
Baseball  
Arlington, TX  
FAX 214-891-3141

Honorable Gentlemen:

MASSIVE EARLY VOTING IS HAPPENING HERE  
(AND ELSEWHERE?) OCT. 14 THRU OCT. 30!!! HOW MANY  
OF THEM HAVE ALREADY VOTED WRONG AND  
WILL REGRET IT DUE TO THE FOLLOWING???

WHEN WILL WE SEE THE SECRETARY OF THE  
TREASURY AND THE DIRECTOR OF THE INTER-  
NAL REVENUE SERVICE IN A TELEVISION  
COMMERCIAL, ETC. (FACTUAL & NON-PARTISAN?) CONFIRM-  
ING THAT EVEN TAKING 90% OF THE INCOME  
OF ALL MILLIONAIRES (& BILLIONAIRES) WOULD NOT  
SATISFY THE COST OF CLINTON'S / LIBERAL  
DEMOCRAT'S TAX & SPEND DISPROVEN IDEAS  
WITHOUT LARGE TAX INCREASE ON MOST  
OTHER TAXPAYERS?! (CLINTON IS ALREADY ON THE DEFENSIVE  
ON THIS BUT NOT ENOUGH-- THE ABOVE OUGHT TO WIPE OUT MUCH OF HIS SUPPORT,  
GAINED, WE BELIEVE, BECAUSE AS "SLICK WILLIE" HE REALLY HAS CONNED MANY  
VOTERS UP TO NOW!) I remember Demo Presidents doing this, why not us?

OTHER CABINET MEMBERS, MILITARY LEADERS, ETC. SHOULD ALSO BE USED ON SOME OF  
CLINTON'S OTHER WILD IDEAS WHICH ONLY SOUND GOOD. LET'S PUT BENTSEN ON THE  
HOT SEAT ON SOME OF THIS BECAUSE HE KNOWS BETTER, AND AS A FORMER V. P.  
CANDIDATE HE HAS A MEASURE OF RESPECT AMONG INDEPENDENTS, PARTICULARLY IN  
CRITICAL TEXAS! CAN WE ALSO SEE MUCH MORE OF REAGAN & BARBARA ON T.V.?

THANKS FOR THE WHISTLE STOP TOURS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED-- PLEASE EXTEND THEM! --  
& LET'S GET ALL OUR VOTE OUT!

Hopefully,

*Stuart R. Tears*

6207 Berryhill  
Dallas, TX 75231 214-348-3532

Stuart R. Tears, 35 yr. Pct. Chair  
Pct. 2265, 40 yr. campaigner

(NPN)

**ROBERT S. LEBAIR**  
828 NORTH FAIRWAY ROAD  
NORTH HILLS, PENNSYLVANIA 19038  
(215) 572-1960

October 16, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker, III  
Chief of Staff  
The Bush-Quayle Campaign  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20500

FAX No. 202-456-2461

Dear Mr. Baker:

The second presidential debate has been concluded and I'm afraid Mr. Bush did not communicate well with the American public.

My biggest concern has been what I believe is President Bush apologizing for having spent so much time on foreign policy and too little on domestic. It appears to me by what is an attitude of apology that he implies that it has only been recent that he has come up with a domestic policy.

Foreign policy and defense strategies, both of which are interwoven, have been handled brilliantly by both President Bush and yourself. What I believe the Republican Administration has failed to do is to inform the American public that foreign policy is not a dirty phrase. The Administration has failed to stress and point out that there would be no domestic policy needed if it were not for the Reagan/Bush foreign policy and a strong defense.

The American public should be asked what would our economy be like if President Bush had not forced Iraq out of Kuwait. If this had not happened and Iraq had continued into Saudi Arabia, well over 50% of the world's oil supply would have been controlled by a mad man.

The American public should be informed what it would have been like at home if the Mitchell's and Gore's had been in charge. It should be stressed that with our dependence on imported oil, we would have been paying exorbitant prices and would have quadruple digit inflation such as we had during the last Democratic administration. Perhaps even worse, we would not have had heat in our homes for our children and grandchildren.

By the way, Admiral Crowe would not have used ground troops against Iraq and would have continued with the embargo -- what a dreamer, yet he is the hero of the liberal Democrats. I trust that the Administration will hammer home the opinions of General Powell and General Schwarzkopf, and also incidentally, Admiral Stockdale's in reference to Mr. Clinton's qualifications.

The Honorable James A. Baker, III  
October 16, 1992  
Page 2.

Going back to the domestic policy, there has not been enough stressing or communications pointing out to the American public that time and again, the liberal Democrat Congress has failed to enact most of the domestic programs advocated by the Bush Administration. The American public, according to the so-called polls, have wanted term limitation, entitlements reduced, pork barrel expenditures reduced, and taxes held in line. The capital gains tax reduction, so important to small business, was sabotaged by Mr. Mitchell. It should be emphasized that capital gains reduction helps all our citizens, all businesses, and in particular, small business. It is not a tax reduction for the rich.

Communications with the American public in reference to the above is imperative on the part of the Republican party for the next few weeks. To me, over and over, it should be stressed to the American public that **domestic policy = foreign policy = strong defense** -- all are one and woven together. There would be no country left if the strong foreign and military defense policies of the past 12 years had not been so effective.

Best wishes for a successful campaign and continued service with the American public in the years to come.

Yours sincerely,




Robert S. Lebair  
Princeton Class of '46

RSL/ee

Robert S. Lebair  
828 North Fairway Road  
North Hills, PA 19038



The Honorable James A. Baker, III  
Chief of Staff  
The Bush-Quayle Campaign  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20500



NPN

October 20, 1992

The Honorable James Baker, Chairman  
Bush-Quayle 92 Election Campaign Committee  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I sincerely believe that the American people want to embrace the President in this election, but they are wanting him to give them some assurance on the economy. Please consider the following suggestions:


1. No tariff. But, what about an incentive to buy American? A plan where anyone purchasing a product primarily made in the U.S. (say 50 percent or more) would receive tax credits. The product would be labeled and coded. Tax credits could be given at time of purchase or by allowing income tax deductions for those purchases. Purchases of American products could be so labeled on the sales slip.
2. A temporary regional "New Deal" type of work program that could be implemented in areas of high unemployment. Let's say the unemployment in a specific region (or regions) exceeds eight percent. Then a temporary, graduated government work program would begin in that region that would employ one percent of the region's unemployed adult (18 years and over) population. If the unemployment figures were two percent above the established index (i.e. - 10 percent) then two percent of the region's adult work force would be included in the program, etc. When the economy of the region began to recover and jobs in the private sector began to open up, then the government program would be proportionately phased out. Thus, the government work program for each region would operate on an escalator basis regulated by the unemployment figures for that region. Different regions may require the program at different times and for differing lengths of time. Some regions may rarely or never require the program.

Also, in the October 19 debate Governor Clinton sidestepped the question regarding his draft record being a problem for him as Commander-in-Chief and sending young Americans into war. He

responded by saying that three American presidents, Abraham Lincoln, Woodrow Wilson, and Franklin D. Roosevelt had not served in the military but had proved to be excellent C-of-C's. First, he dodged the question. Secondly, he was wrong, Lincoln served as captain of militia in the Black Hawk War, Wilson, born in 1856, was too old for the Spanish-American War, and Roosevelt was Assistant Secretary of the Navy during World War I. He considered resigning and joining the military in April 1917, but was pressured to stay at his post by Josephus Daniels. NONE OF THEM EVER TRIED TO AVOID THE DRAFT.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jim Daddysman".

Jim Daddysman, Ph.D.  
Professor of History  
Alderson-Broadus College  
Philippi, WV 26416

Daddysman  
ALDERSON-BROADDUS COLLEGE  
PHILIPPI, WEST VIRGINIA 26416

The Honorable James Baker, Chairman  
Bush-Quayle 92 Election Campaign Committee  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

## World Series

Game 1

Braves 3 Blue Jays 1

■ Complete game coverage, 1C

### LIVING

## Parents Ed. 101

■ Vermont educators are reaching out to a familiar resource, hoping that families are the key to better students, 1D



### VERMONT

## Direct line to state?

■ Lawmakers say New England Telephone's support of an education project gave it influence over the state's telecommunications policy, 1B

# The Burlington Free Press

Sunday, October 18, 1992 • • • +

Vermont's Newspaper

\$1.50, nine news sections

## From binge to bust



MARK SASAHARA (inset), JYM WILSON *Free Press*  
Chittenden Bank foreclosed on this property at 169½ North St. (inset) and another on Johnson Street, both owned by Nicholas J.H. Wylie.

### Real estate market's fallout

## Perot chief: No Clinton

### Swindle says vote GOP if you must

By Betsy Lilley  
*Free Press Staff Writer*

RANDOLPH CENTER — The head of the third-party effort to get Ross Perot elected president urged Vermonters to vote for President Bush if they can't bring themselves to vote for Perot.

After a speech to the state convention of United We Stand, America — Perot's campaign organization — executive director Orson Swindle, a lifelong Republican, said the Democratic Party can't be trusted to run the government.

While clearly not endorsing Bush, Swindle said voting for Bush would be preferable to voting for Clinton. Swindle's comments about Bush could take on added significance if Perot drops out of the race again, as he did in August.

"If you vote for Bill Clinton things are going to go right down the drain," he said.

Swindle, a Reagan appointee to the Farmer's Home Administration and later an assistant secretary in the U.S. Com-

■ A long relationship, 2A

■ Perot returns to television, 2A

merce Department, said the aftermath of the Carter presidency had convinced him that Democrats are too smitten with Washington's bureaucracy and only squander taxpayers' money.

"I could tell you stories about political abuse," he said.

Speaking earlier to about 120 supporters at Vermont Technical College, Swindle pumped up the crowd, urging them to get out the vote for Perot.

"Folks, we have a mountain to climb," he said. "It's a long shot. It's been one all along. We're working against the establishment."

Reiterating Perot's message, Swindle questioned whether Bush or Clinton could stimulate the change needed to make the American economy rebound.

"If people are satisfied with mediocre cereal, that's about all they'll get on their shelves," he said.



ADAM PIKE RIEBNER, *Free Press*

Orson Swindle addresses a Ross Perot rally Saturday afternoon at Vermont Technical College in Randolph Center.

## Bush needs knockout punch

Monday's debate might be last

**MARK SASAHARA (inset), JYM WILSON Free Press**  
Chittenden Bank foreclosed on this property at 169½ North St. (inset) and another on Johnson Street, both owned by Nicholas J.H. Wylie.

## Real estate market's fallout clouds banks, buildings

**W**hen the intoxicating real estate market of the 1980s was over, many players were nursing hangovers.

Banks came to with severe foreclosure headaches. Savings and loans had to call Congress to bail them out. Investors woke up without their wallets.

The real estate suffered, too.

This is the story of how a portfolio of apartment buildings in Burlington's Old North End got left behind when their owner left the party.

For most of the buildings, the story has a moderately happy ending. Banks have taken possession and fixed most of them enough to go back on the market.

But the foreclosures have taken more than a year. In that time, maintenance was deferred, plumbing froze, tenants saw their quality of life deteriorate. Many units became uninhabitable.

Nor is the wreckage from the party cleaned up. Investors are fighting collection efforts in court, and a bank is defending itself against allegations of fraud.

The story starts in 1985.

□ □ □

When Nicholas J.H. Wylie and his partners bought four apartment buildings from Old North End landlord Richard Shuler, the deal was a sweet one, but no sweeter than many real estate investments of the day.

It was October 1985, and, typical of the times, the deal cost them nothing. No money down, and they began collecting rents at once.

"In the 1980s," real estate broker Richard Feeley said recently, "owning an apartment building was like owning a piece of the Mother Lode. ... They were dealing in a very heated market with a very low vacancy rate."

The following June, Wylie did it again, buying five more Shuler tenements, again with little or no money down.

Five years later, the deals had gone sour.

In the lawsuits that followed Wylie's April 1991 mortgage defaults, lawyers would refer to these two deals as Shuler I and Shuler II.

The owners had divided up the properties by then, and Wylie's partners had gone separate ways.

**Inside**

- Foreclosure the start of a costly process, 4A
- Investor says loan approval constitutes fraud, 4A
- Condemned properties pose new problems, 5A
- Proposed law would speed foreclosure, 5A

assault and kidnapping.

In the meantime, Wylie had acquired other properties, and other banks shared the fallout when he failed.

Nor was this the full extent of the troubles that have followed those Shuler deals.

By the time banks had finished taking over Wylie's properties earlier this year, many apartments had become vacant and uninhabitable. Feeley's firm, Coburn & Feeley Real Estate, was among several called in to rescue them.

One of the banks that foreclosed, Chittenden Trust Co., contends that the worth of the foreclosed properties fails to cover what is owed on them. It is suing

# Bush needs knockout punch

## Monday's debate might be last chance to score

By Tom Raum  
The Associated Press

WASHINGTON — President Bush goes into the final debate needing something — a dramatic gesture, a major flub by Bill Clinton — to end his long stall. But political veterans don't expect either, and a sense of resignation seems to have settled over the Bush camp.

A week crammed with presidential and vice presidential debates did little to chip away at Clinton's lead. And the campaign's final face-to-face confrontation is Monday in East Lansing, Mich.

Sixteen days before Election Day, Bush has failed to redefine the election agenda despite repeated tries.

"In these debates, he's shown no sign of strong leadership on the questions that people care about the most," said Merle Black, a political scientist at Emory University in Atlanta.

Questions from the audience during Thursday's debate in Richmond, Va., underscored the economic concerns uppermost in the minds of voters. The discussion focused almost entirely on domestic issues, giving Bush little opportunity to spotlight his foreign policy prowess or question Clinton's character.

Democrats are concerned that Bush might make one last effort to shake things up with a dramatic gesture in the final debate, perhaps pressing far harder on what Republicans generically refer to



LENNOX McLENDON, The Associated Press

Cathy Gould, wife of slain police officer Rick Gould, holds up a picture of her husband with President Bush. "We truly thought that Bush was on the side of law enforcement; how wrong we were," she said, flanked by her children Saturday during a rally for Bill Clinton in Romulus, Mich.

- Is it curtains for Bush? 5A
- Senator accused of sexual abuse, 5A

as "the trust issue."

"He's got no choice but to throw a long bomb," Democratic strategist Mark Mellman said. "It's the fourth down, he's on his own 30 yard line and it's 30 yards to a first down."

Indeed, Friday in New Jersey, Bush made his harshest attack on Clinton's draft record and accused him of "a pattern of deception."

But Mary Matalin, deputy Bush campaign manager, said there are no dramat-

See DEBATE, 2A

**Call in  
your  
debate  
reaction**



To register your vote for the winner of Monday's presidential debate, call one of the three numbers below on Monday night from 8:30 to 9:30.

■ The debate airs at 7 p.m. on all major networks. Results will appear in Tuesday's newspaper.

| BUSH             | CLINTON          | PEROT            |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Call<br>660-1853 | Call<br>660-1856 | Call<br>660-1859 |

## Audit says USDA official hired friends, broke rules

By Jennifer Dixon  
The Associated Press

WASHINGTON — Investigators say Agriculture Department official Sarita Schotta awarded jobs and contracts to acquaintances, spent thousands of dollars on questionable training programs and used her government car phone for calls to a gourmet shop and beauty salon.

USDA's Office of Inspector General found that under Schotta's direction, there have been numerous violations of federal laws or regulations involving hiring, training, contracts and travel.

Schotta, deputy administrator for management with the Agricultural Stabi-

require competitive bidding.

The audit could be the "tip of the iceberg," said Sen. Patrick Leahy, D-Vt., chairman of the Senate Agriculture Committee. It indicated "a pattern of behavior by high-level USDA officials to violate federal rules designed to save the taxpayers money," he said.

"In order to funnel contracts to associates, government employees went to extraordinary lengths to circumvent federal law," Leahy said.

Auditors said Schotta was involved in hiring two temporary workers who did not meet agency qualifications and who performed duties restricted to career employees. Schotta had a working

## INSIDE

### Few drug companies invest in AIDS vaccine

Even though AIDS has infected 1 million people in the United States and 12 million worldwide, U.S. pharmaceutical giants are pumping millions of dollars into drugs to treat AIDS patients — and spending only a small fraction of that amount to find a vaccine. Story, Page 10A

Volume 166, No. 292

## WEATHER

40 percent chance of rain or wet snow, highs 45. Tonight, light snow, low 30.  
Regional forecast, 10A

Times

NPN

October 19, 1992

Honorable James Baker III  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am strongly urging you to ignore media criticism and use Hillary Clinton's bizarre views <sup>as</sup> an election issue.

Also, the close associates of Clinton need to be aired and exposed for their views. So the people of America would wake up as to what would happen with smooth Willies in the White House -- a real disaster.

Frankly, I am concerned about President Bush's new "world order" ideas -- I greatly fear for our future sovereignty in the world.

The main reason I have already voted for him by absentee ballot is because of his stand on abortion. Can't we have subtle TV ads on just how radical the Democrats are on this issue?

Very sincerely,

*Dorothy Millard*



Dorothy Millard  
6484 Cleo Street  
San Diego, CA 92115  
619-582-4998

HILLARY CLINTON'S AGENDA TO ALTER THE AMERICAN FAMILY  
Hillary's Leftist Views DO Make Her a Legitimate Object of Scrutiny

"Buy one, get one free."

-- Bill Clinton, January 18, 1992

"People call us two-for-one. The blue-light special."

-- Hillary Clinton, March 16, 1992

**Clinton Watch**

Traditionally, voters have refrained from scrutinizing a presidential candidate's spouse as a basis for voting one way or the other. Certainly the Bush Campaign has been cowed on this score by the media, who have jumped hard on any presidential attempt to portray HILLARY CLINTON as a major player in a Clinton Administration. But in the case of Hillary, it is completely legitimate to scrutinize her leftist views and background. Your editor recently acquired a copy of "The Rights of Children," published in 1974 by the Harvard Educational Review. Its lead story, entitled "Children Under the Law," by Hillary Rodham (now Clinton), is a case study of left-wing hostility to the traditional sanctity of the family.

To put it mildly, Hillary believes that the traditional social consensus that children's best interests are synonymous with those of their parents is outmoded and needs to be changed. Hillary believes that court appointments should be made consistent with her view that adults' legal rights should be extended to children. She also believes that courts must recognize children as a special-interest group like any other, and enforce those rights accordingly.

→ "...the powerlessness of a family," Hillary notes, "because of political, psychological, or economic reasons, renders it susceptible to benevolent intrusion." This "benevolent intrusion" Hillary refers to would be decided by citizen boards appointed by the government. "A common complaint about the exercise of discretion in neglect cases is that alien values, usually middle-class, are used to judge a family's child-rearing practices. One way to answer that complaint is to entrust the discretion necessary to persons representing the milieu in which a family lives. Boards composed of citizens representing identifiable constituencies -- racial, religious, ethnic, geographical -- could make the initial decision regarding intervention."

→ Hillary's proposed citizen boards would include parental and "professional representation, perhaps children as well." (Read the term professional representation to mean psychologists and family planners.)

Child Seizure Precedents to Be Established in Ghettos. Then Used on Middle America

One other point about Hillary's proposals: If she achieves power, sweeping legal precedents undermining parents' ability to discipline children will be sought and found in the nation's welfare ghettos, where liberal policies have literally destroyed the family structure. With "foot-in-the-door" legal precedents established in the nation's troubled inner cities, sweeping government power to assault middle-class rearing practices will be set in concrete. So far, the Bush campaign has been too intimidated to make an issue of Hillary's radical views. But Hillary is far more than an enthusiastic booster of ANITA HILL -- her plans for a judicial overhaul of child-rearing practices make "family values," and herself, legitimate campaign issues.

► Action to Take: Send a copy of this story to White House Chief of Staff JAMES BAKER, demanding that the campaign ignore media criticism and make Hillary's bizarre views an election issue! Write to: Hon. James Baker III, Chief of Staff, The White House, Washington, D.C. 20500.



Dorothy Millard  
6484 Cleo Street  
San Diego, CA 92115



Hon. James Baker III  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500



Dear Mr. Baker:

NPN

OCT. 14, 1992

It is time for President Bush to positively attack Gov. Clinton. Rather than accepting Clinton's promise that we are in a "depression", the President should hammer away that overall the economy is in good shape. Point to Dept. of Labor figures that illustrate uptrends in pay, and total numbers of people working. We sometime lose sight of the fact that 94 to 95 % of the people are working. Times are very good for the overwhelming majority of people. The highways are filled with working commuters and millions of people enjoy dining out not only on the weekend, but during the week - this is a depression? NO WAY!

Keep hammering away that Clinton's tax program will mean additional taxes for the two income family - i.e. two school teachers each earning \$45,000 per year, gross \$90,000 per year and will be hit hard by Clinton's tax increases.

In regard to the high income tax proposal of Clinton's, there is an example in last Friday issue of Investor's <sup>Business</sup> DAILY ~~Investor~~ (Oct. 9, 1992), which illustrates the mischief caused by raising income tax rates on the wealthy. In the article, Mr. Shearer, a liberal economic adviser, who advocates such increase, was politely warned by Oliver Stone, the left-wing movie director, that if taxes are raised, he would purchase a fourth home in Europe.

Apparently, Mr. Stone, the liberal, would prefer to buy an unneeded home in Europe rather than to pay more in taxes. This parable vividly illustrates the problem with raising the tax rates on the wealthy and Communist economic advisers such as Shearer simply do not comprehend these realities.

By the way, the Campaign Staff should examine Mr. Shearer's background and his tie to the Santa Monica Reds; such as Jane Fonda and her former husband.

For the record, I am a middle income worker who strongly supports the President. I recognize the complexity of the U.S.' intervention in Kuwait and, if not for that intervention, oil would be substantially higher.

Good luck in the  
Campaign.

Very truly yours,  
William F. Brown

WILLIAM F. BROWN  
10279 N. Crossett Hill, NW.  
Pickerington, Ohio 43147

NPN

JOAN E. BURGIN  
1052 Stratford Lane  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304  
313-644-7968

October 16, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker III  
Chief of Staff  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am writing you in genuine concern regarding the likelihood of Bill Clinton becoming our next president. Having admired your abilities, I only hope that you will be able to achieve President Bush's re-election.

Basically, I have had such uncomfortable feelings regarding Mr. Clinton which all evolve around the character issue. From the time the "letter" revealed that he had wanted to avoid the draft, this event made me highly suspect.

I believe the letter was too politically correct for those times and feel maybe the letter wasn't actually written 25 years ago. Could this letter be authenticated - possibly type of paper, typewriter, etc., if it were true?

In closing, I hope to see you become president in 1996. The country deserves someone of your distinction.

Sincerely,

*Joan E. Burgin*

Joan E. Burgin

Joan E. Burgin  
1052 Stratford Lane  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304



ROYAL OAK MI 480 DCR#4 10/17/92 PM

Mr. James A. Baker III  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington, D.C.



RONALD W. LEE & ASSOCIATES  
2031 WINDOVER ROAD  
PASADENA, CA 91107  
(818) 791-2325

*support  
suggestions*

October 19, 1992

*NPR*

Mr. James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:


President Bush did an excellent job tonight on the Presidential debates. I would like to suggest that he capitalize on this fresh start with two one half hour "infomercials" a la Ross Perot. I would suggest the following:

- 1 - Use a non-biased "moderator" like Jim Lehrer (not Rush Limbaugh please), to ask the tough and important questions.
- 2 - Include Mr. Bush's economic plan versus the Democrats. Include statistics on why we are not in the worst economic climate in 50 years !! Let's refute some of the "facts".
- 3 - Discuss the President's education plan and Health plan as to the facts and how it differs from Governor Clinton's. Again facts and charts !
4. Answer the tough questions such as "read my lips", Iraq-Gate, Noriega, Perot's questions on the pending "Banking Scandal", etc., etc. Let's have the fact on Arkansas --How does it stack up and where does Clinton's claims come from?
- 5 - Re-emphasize the President's plans including term limits, line item veto, balanced budget amendment, (what about "sunset provisions" on all appropriation bills), etc. Explain why these have been forestalled and why it is critical to the people.
- 6 - Avoid the "right wing" emphasis on "pro life", gun control, etc. You have that vote and most of the republicans don't agree with it anyway. Avoid the embarrassment of the National Convention please !!
- 7 - It strikes me that there are a lot of Perot supporters who can be won over if you just emphasize reducing the deficit, show why the NAFTA agreement makes sense, why "trickle down" government is a big mistake and why voting for Bush is a lot closer to what Perot wants to accomplish -- i.e. term limits etc. -- than what they can accomplish by voting for Perot and leaving a huge "mandate" for Governor Clinton"

There is not much time, but I think the President made an excellent start tonight. Stay at home, use the television to communicate and please avoid attacking either candidate. Just focus on what has been accomplished domestically - we all know about the international accomplishments. Tell everyone about the fundamental differences of the three candidates and why it makes so much sense and answer honestly the questions raised in the debates and the public will support you. They don't read anyone's platforms or plans. You must spell it out to them including the true facts - not just "sound bites"!

Thank you for your years of service and dedication. I think that you and the President can win this one. We need you!

Sincerely,

  
Ronald W. Lee

NRN

FROM: ELSIE VARTANIAN

NOTES:

Although unrequested I  
hope you will take time  
to consider this proposal.

**U.S. Department of Labor**

Office of the Secretary  
Women's Bureau  
Washington, D.C. 20210



October 21, 1992

**MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT**

**THROUGH:** SECRETARY LYNN MARTIN  
JAMES A. BAKER, III  
BOB TEETER  
FRED MALEK  
RICH BOND  
MARY MATALIN  
ROBERT MOSBACHER  
JEANIE AUSTIN  
CRAIG FULLER  
CHARLIE BLACK

**FROM:** ELSIE VARTANIAN *(CV)*

**SUBJECT:** Bush Administration Record

**BACKGROUND**

During the final two weeks of this Presidential campaign, it is imperative President Bush present himself, his accomplishments, and his plans for the future to the greatest number of Americans as possible. He needs to build on the positive reaction he received from the final Presidential Debate in Michigan to retain his core supporters and to attract swing voters.

**PROPOSAL**

A positive step for the President would be to purchase 30 minutes of television time to discuss the issues. Ross Perot has demonstrated the positive effects of this strategy by the number of viewers he is attracting -- 78 million at last count! Conventional wisdom had voters "too volatile" or "too disgusted" to focus any more attention to this campaign -- this is wrong.

President Bush is at his best when he is talking directly to the American people. Take away the microphones and podiums of the debates and give George Bush the opportunity to present his accomplishments -- voters from across the country will watch and listen and understand.

If deemed necessary, bring in members of his Cabinet to follow and elaborate on some points, speak of success stories, and reemphasize the Administration's point of view.

There are millions of people who believe in the great ability of President George Bush -- others may need that "one more reason" to be convinced. The interest in the electorate is there. We need to capitalize on it.

NPN

WILLIAM F. MEISTER  
207 SYMPHERD DRIVE  
OAKLANDS  
NEWARK, DE 19711-3626

support  
suggestions

October 16, 1992

Mr James A. Baker III  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

To get our economy started again,  
primarily create jobs etc., I suggest  
you encourage the President to do the  
following:

Invite the business leaders, who met  
recently, to the White House and encourage  
them to proceed with their currently shelved  
plans to modernize plants, build new plants etc.

Today's low interest rates certainly  
will encourage business to invest in the  
future and help the country get out of present  
day doldrums.

I'm sure the American public would  
welcome news of this initiative and help  
the President win the election.

Sincerely,

William F. Meister

## GILLCRIST MUNICIPALS MANAGEMENT

236 MONTEZUMA AVENUE

SANTA FE, NM 87501

505/988-1325 FAX 505/988-1794

Jimmy

friend?

10-19-92

- Indian chief gets cancer - goes away for 8 months gets completely cured & return to the reservation.
- He gets his acting chief aside to ask how everything has gone and are there any problems.
- acting chief says good news & bad news. Chief wants the bad news first.
- Bad news is that the federal government decided there were too many trial lawyers in the country and they were shipping 125,000 of them to this reservation. The chief is, naturally, nauseated. asks what was the good news.
- The Good news, says the acting chief, is that they taste like buffalo.

P.S.

If you were serious, I am up for the file. kids  
 open up at the airport.

 Sperm Wright  
459 Grover Cleveland Hwy.  
Amherst, New York  
14226-2965

(NRN)

October 18, 1992

we answered  
his last letter

Dear Jim; friend?

Please forgive an old broadcaster,  
and also one who ran more than a  
few successful political campaigns in  
my time, to take a moment of your  
hustic schedule, but sitting here  
reading the landslide predictions in the  
paper, the gloom for the President  
on TV and radio, and those damn  
ratings, I have a suggestion.

After the last debate, which will  
be history by the time you get this  
letter, I have continually been  
thinking of this idea. Maybe you  
already have plans similar, or

(2)

maybe you don't think much of it,  
but I wonder if you could get a  
half hour on the major networks,  
across the board, possibly same  
time, and just have the President  
have a heart-to-heart talk with  
the people of America - laying his  
cards on the table in a most sincere  
way, which he can handle well,  
(reminds me of JDA's old "fireside  
chats" that were so effective) As I  
say, it's a thought, Jim, from one  
who really cares, to try to get those  
people who say they think they want  
to vote for Clinton, BUT AREN'T SURE

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## (George Bush Library)

| Document No.<br>and Type | Subject/Title of Document                                                                                | Date     | Restriction | Class. |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| 01. Letter               | From: Sherm Wright to James Baker<br>Re: Political advice<br>[Redaction of personal information] (2 pp.) | 10/18/92 | (b)(6)      |        |

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the  
**Series:** Baker, James A. III  
**Subseries:** Public Correspondence Files  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** [Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [3]

|                                     |                                |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Date Closed:</b> 8/2/2001        | <b>OA/ID Number:</b> 93010-003 |
| <b>FOIA/SYS Case #:</b> 2000-0715-F | <b>Appeal Case #:</b>          |
| <b>Re-review Case #:</b>            | <b>Appeal Disposition:</b>     |
| <b>P-2/P-5 Review Case #:</b>       | <b>Disposition Date:</b>       |
| <b>AR Case #:</b>                   | <b>MR Case #:</b>              |
| <b>AR Disposition:</b>              | <b>MR Disposition:</b>         |
| <b>AR Disposition Date:</b>         | <b>MR Disposition Date:</b>    |

### RESTRICTION CODES

**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]  
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]  
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]  
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]  
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]  
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.


**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]  
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]  
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]  
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]  
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]  
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]  
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]  
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

(3)

AT ALL ABOUT HIM AS A  
LEADER." I think, and I hope I'm  
right, there are thousands and  
thousands in that category that could  
help save the day!

My own personal opinion is —  
the guy just doesn't look "presidential",  
and I wouldn't waste my time to  
write this letter if I didn't  
feel so strongly about the need  
for the President you can trust to be  
re-elected. I hope this gets through  
to you, Jim — forgive my writing as



(4)

[REDACTED]

Jim - all the best, whatever  
you decide to do. God bless -

Warmest personal regards -

Most Sincerely  
Sham

[REDACTED]

P.S. Best regards to the old  
quarterback, and my dear  
friend, Jack Kemp!

There's still time, and as you and  
I know, "timing" is so important!



Sherm Wright  
459 Grover Cleveland Hwy.  
Amherst, New York  
14226-2965



The Honorable Jim Baker  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, D.C. 20500

URGENT!!  
Personal!!

10/20/92

(NEN)

To: Mr. Jim Baker

Here is a great idea for a  
15 second television ad for  
the President Campaign against  
Clinton;

Bill Clinton says he wants Change  
After Tax and Spend

All America has left is loose Change  
(the television ad would blow an empty wallet)

Sincerely,  
Gordon Li

Gordon Lin  
10207 Longmont  
Houston Texas 77042

Mr. Jim Baker  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington D.C.

party & all it stands for. <sup>views</sup>  
George Bush can't win if <sup>the</sup> <sup>support</sup> <sup>new</sup>  
uses his time to "blast" <sup>the</sup> <sup>new</sup>  
the democrats. All my faithful  
Republican friends are leaving him  
because they want positive answers  
& not what he ~~did~~ did in the past.

You won't get this for the Thurs. debate  
but, for Leavens sake, let him give a great  
review of what he can do for the economy.  
Sincerely, Ruth Gost Snyder

R Y S Oct. 13, 92

My Dear Mr. Baker,

I know most of the mail goes in the  
basket but please listen to me.

I'm 77 yrs old - a Republican all  
my life & have always voted for a Republican  
president. The "debate" (not really)  
was dreadful last night!!

Why don't you & the Committee stop Mr.

Bush + Mr. Duale from using  
the same tired phrases. Ask  
our Guest Pres. to tell the people  
what he will do in the way of

Re-educating people for jobs.  
I'm tired of hearing all this talk  
about the same ~~one~~ old thing over  
& over. I taught school for 33 yrs. &  
retired with a salary of \$14,000. I  
paid for my own Master's Degree & 60  
Credits alone that, all with my own  
money, from my little salary. I'd  
better wonder. When I hear them speak  
of pipe dreams \$30 - \$50,000 being  
hand up I wish they could see  
me trying to maintain a home,  
by food & medicine. My drug bill  
is about \$100.00 - \$200.00 per month  
from a \$700.00 retirement - check. In  
spite of this I'm still for the Republicans

Mrs Lehman J Snyder  
1400 Harding Ave.  
Williamsport, PA 17701



The Honorable James Baker  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Penna. Avenue

Mrs. Lehman J. Snyder  
1400 Harding Avenue  
Williamsport, Pennsylvania 17701

*Important*

69 69

**Arcadia Capital Corp.**

Private Investment Banking

NRN

October 22, 1992

DELIVERY VIA FAX #202/456-2883

Mr. James A. Baker, III  
Chief of Staff to the President  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

Re: Humor and two images that can destroy Clinton.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I watched "FRONTLINE - CHOICE '92" on PBS last night and was struck by two images which completely rip the underpinning out from under Clinton's entire campaign. Put these visual images into a TV ad, add a little humor, run them the final week, and you'll do SIGNIFICANT damage.

IMAGE #1 - Clinton and others describe Arkansas as a poor state, but footage of rural Arkansas homes looks more like a THIRD WORLD COUNTRY than it does AMERICA.

IMAGE #2 - Clinton's entire campaign is based on his promise to create new jobs but, it turns out, the only jobs he has created in Arkansas are in the poultry business.

SUGGESTED AD - Add a little humor and run an ad along the following lines:

VISUAL: Third World images of rural Arkansas homes; changing to poultry plant workers; changing to Clinton.

AUDIO: "Clinton claims his experience managing Arkansas qualifies him to manage the world's most powerful country... That sounds pretty good to the eighteen Mayors in America who govern more people than Clinton and would love to be President too... In the ten years Clinton has served as the state's governor, Arkansas' residents has moved all the way from 49th in average personal income -- to 49th... Bill Clinton brags about all the jobs he's created in Arkansas, but it turns out they're all in the poultry business -- wing jobs, drumstick jobs, breast jobs, gizzard jobs, neck jobs, broiler jobs and fryer jobs... Now that Clinton's finished with Arkansas, he wants to go to work on America."

**Arcadia Capital Corp.***Private Investment Banking*


This ad would visually reinforce Perot's devastating attack on Clinton's experience during the third debate, and would be remembered in the voting booth for its humor. The use of humor at this stage also conveys confidence.

Roger Ailes did a mock ad along these lines for Comedy Central during the Democratic Convention. It was hilarious and very devastating, but I never dreamed it was true -- get a copy quick.

Best of luck -- GO GET HIM.

Sincerely,

ARCADIA CAPITAL CORP.



Frederick Wm. Bierwirth, II  
President

FWB/hs

cc: Robert B. Zoellick  
Margaret Tutwiler  
William Kristol  
Mary Matalin  
Barbara Bush

**Arcadia Capital Corp.**

Private Investment Banking

DATE: 10/22/92TOTAL PAGES: 3  
(Including cover sheet)

## TELECOPY COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:

NAME: JAMES A. BAKER, III  
COMPANY: CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT  
PHONE NUMBER: 202/456-6797  
TELECOPY NUMBER: 202/456-2853IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL  
US AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AT 908/234-9408.

\*\*\*\*\*

FROM: FRED BREWSTER.MESSAGE: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information which is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents to us at no cost to you.

**Mobile  
Infirmiry  
Medical  
Center**

10/22 - CJ called his ofc.  
to thank -  
also sent copy of  
POTUS health care  
proposal.  
John O. Tucker, FACHE  
President Emeritus

October 14, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker, III  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Jim:

Thank you for this opportunity to present some thoughts on health care reform. These remarks will be prefaced with some background information about the Mobile Infirmiry Medical Center and the Infirmiry Health System, Inc.

The Mobile Infirmiry Medical Center is a 704-bed acute care hospital that serves as a regional referral center for the Gulf Coast area of the southwest section of Alabama and the southeast portion of Mississippi. Approximately 24,000 patients are admitted annually and over 65% of the patients are Medicare and Medicaid. The inpatient occupancy rate of 60% of licensed beds is misleading because over 150 are designated as intensive care and telemetry monitored beds and have an occupancy level in excess of 90%. Our facility also has a complete spectrum of outpatient services with 20% of total revenues derived from outpatient procedures.

Traditionally, the cost of providing care at Mobile Infirmiry Medical Center has been lower than any other similar provider in the area. In an effort to promote these lower costs, the Infirmiry Health System, Inc. operates a variety of managed care programs. These programs operated by and through Gulf Health Plans include:

- 1) A Health Maintenance Organization (HMO).
- 2) A Preferred Provider Organization (PPO).
- 3) Third Party Administration (self insured) plans (TPA).
- 4) Modified Physician Hospital Organization (PHO).

This wide variety of health insurance programs offered by a single health care corporation is relatively unique as there are probably less than 20 other similar systems in the country.

## Mobile Infirmary Medical Center

The Honorable James A. Baker, III

Page 2

October 14, 1992

The Infirmary Health System has the only medically oriented fitness and rehabilitation center in the mid Gulf Coast area. This center stresses not only a wellness concept, but also a vigorous rehabilitation program for cardiac patients and pulmonary disease related problems, as well as outpatient physical and occupational therapy. To sum up this brief description, the Infirmary Health System is strategically positioned to survive in any health care arena that may be defined when health care reform is initiated.

As to thoughts on health care reform, there are so many proposals being considered, that commenting on all of them would be impossible. Therefore, these comments will pertain to a few concepts. The "Accountable Health Partnerships", as outlined by Paul Elwood, M.D. and the "Jackson Hole Group" have many good features. I understand that the plan proposed by President Bush is somewhat based on the "Jackson Hole Group" proposals. Most of the reform proposals agree that:

- 1) Access for all Americans should be available.
- 2) Cost controls and management of quality care should be a part of reform.
- 3) Outcomes - focused health care should be developed.

Many thoughts have been expressed that managed care (HMO, PPO or other arrangements) would be an efficient method of health care delivery over the more traditional methods. The managed care solutions will not allow individual choice of either a physician or hospital. The choice issue must be controlled to enhance the cost benefit. Managed care also implies that utilization of the resources of the system will be closely monitored and this is extremely important. Unlimited benefits with no participation by recipients in cost sharing has significantly increased the costs of some managed care programs. Cost sharing by those covered must be an integral aspect of any managed care plan.

Another missing ingredient at this point in time is control of the malpractice crisis. Federal legislation probably can not solve this. However, the states will need some type of federal assistance to develop appropriate state legislation.

None of the plans for health care reform being proposed provide for incentives for those who are excelling in cost control. There also needs to be consideration for incentives for providers who are treating a disproportionate share of Medicare patients. (Medicaid disproportionate share incentives are available in many states.) Additionally, there should be incentives given to those organizations who can document better than average outcomes when these criteria are developed.

Another issue, perhaps the most critical one, which must be addressed is the level of health care which will be publically funded as opposed to that amount which should be individually funded. Our government mandates that publically funded education will be made universally available only through high school. Beyond that point it is the individual's responsibility to find funding for more advanced education. Should not health care funding be handled the same way?

Mobile Infirmary Medical Center

The Honorable James A. Baker, III

Page 3

October 14, 1992

We all recognize that health care reform is not an issue that will be resolved either easily or without trauma to the present system. Whatever takes place will have to evolve from health systems utilizing managed care. The Mobile Infirmary Medical Center and the Infirmary Health System would give consideration to participation in any proposed studies concerning outcomes research and the development of a national database of clinical quality.

Again, I am truly supportive of the President's bid for four more years in the White House. Keep up the good work in directing those efforts.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jack".

JOHN O. TUCKER  
President Emeritus

JOT/mgd



**Episcopal Diocese of Texas**

Office of the Bishop

October 14, 1992

10/19 - CJ called & left  
msg. that JAG  
travelling w/ POTUS, but  
we'd show upon  
return, know  
he'll appreciate,  
etc.

NRN

The Honorable James A. Baker  
Secretary of State  
Department of State  
2201 C Street N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Jim,

I first wish to congratulate you on the monumental responsibility you assumed in the White House. At first I had real reservations and hated to see you leave the realm of working directly in Foreign Affairs, but I have concluded that George's re-election is imperative for the well-being of this nation, and thereby the world, in the years ahead. May God bless you in the challenging work you are doing.

Next, although I spoke on the telephone earlier today to a member of your staff in the White House, I nevertheless thought I would express my message to you in a note. As you know, I know nothing about politics, as my business is religion. Therefore, take my suggestion for what it is worth, and if it has already been thought about and rejected, just chalk it up to the thinking of a preacher who is out of his field!

As I listened to the Presidential debates the other evening, I concluded that the polls being where they are, probably the best source of additional votes for George is from the Perot supporters. Therefore, it seemed to me that George may at this time wish to make a public statement, first thanking Ross Perot for entering the race, and especially for highlighting before the American people the need to reduce and eliminate the National deficit, which is spending the heritage that we should pass on to our children and grandchildren. By saying nice things about Ross Perot, he wouldn't lose any votes, and indeed he might gain some supporters.

He next could say that he is as ardently in favor of eliminating the National deficit as is Ross Perot, although they might disagree about methodology in a few minor ways. Therefore, George should announce that the first thing he will do on November 4, after he is elected for a second term, is to invite Ross Perot to come immediately to Washington and chair that task force of which he was speaking in order to address seriously and launch that effort to reduce and eventually eliminate the Federal deficit.

The Hon. James A. Baker

October 14, 1992

Page Two

Mr. Perot might try to say now that he would have to decline for certain reasons, but I cannot seriously believe that he would make a public statement of being unwilling to serve the country in helping carry out the cardinal program that he is espousing in his campaign.

Whatever he says, George could say that he nevertheless will on November 4, after his re-election, do everything that he can to persuade Ross Perot to come and help implement the program which is the key reason for the support that he is receiving from those who say they plan to vote for him.

I would think that to make such a public announcement now could not hurt George and that it might gain him some of the additional support that he needs in order for him to be re-elected.

Once again, feel free to discard this suggestion as coming from one who is very naive in political matters, yet one who is strongly hoping for you and our President to pull off a great victory in November.

With warmest regards to you and to Susan,

Faithfully,



Maurice M. Benitez, D.D.  
Bishop of Texas

October 17, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker, III  
XRepublican National Committee  
310 1st St. SE  
Washington, D.C., 20003

Dear Mr. Baker:

We are a middle-aged couple living in a middle-class neighborhood. We are thankful for our freedoms and our American heritage. We can see that socialist governments around the world are struggling; however, many of our fellow Americans want us to take the same path because of the ideology of "getting something for nothing."

We are disturbed by the obvious and blatant efforts of the news media to discredit President Bush and to promote presidential candidate, Bill Clinton, who would take us into socialism. Although we live in a free society, the news media should not use the freedom of press or speech to persuade the citizens of America that they should elect one candidate over another.

The recent movie, "Newsies," portrays a small group fighting the powers of the news media and succeeding. It is the eleventh hour prior to the election, but the Republican Party can still rally against the distorted picture that is being painted by the news media.

We recommend that President Bush and the election committee prepare a flyer listing what has been accomplished under the Bush administration, and the benefits of the wonderful lifestyle that Americans enjoy today. The flyer could also list President Bush's beliefs, and what he plans to accomplish in the next four years regarding education, employment, inter-city problems, the national debt, health care, social security, and foreign policies. The flyers could be distributed through state, county, and city republican organizations. Volunteers could then distribute the flyers to their neighborhoods. We would be happy to be the volunteers in our neighborhood.

We appreciate your consideration of the recommendations outlined herein. We hope that President Bush and the Republican Party will renew their enthusiasm, and will continue to fight hard against the current political virus of discontent.

pc: President George Bush  
Utah Republican Party  
Rush Limbaugh

Sincerely,  
  
Sterling & Nancy Albrecht  
424 S. 850 E., Orem, UT 84058

Mr. & Mrs. S. J. Albrecht  
424 South 850 East  
Orem, UT 84058



MLDCR 67



Mr. James A. BAKER, III  
% REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE  
310 - 1<sup>ST</sup> ST. S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

Why don't you put this man on  
television. It would pull a lot of  
weight!

(NRN)



Alan S. Weiner for The New York Times

"I think for me, the character of Bill Clinton is personified by the draft incident," said Cliff Jackson, who studied with Mr. Clinton at Oxford. "He's not a man of conviction. He's not a man of principle." Mr. Jackson is telling everyone who will listen that Mr. Clinton is not fit to be President.

## Behind the Scenes

# Once Friendly, Schoolmate Returns as Clinton Foe

By PETER APPLEBOME  
Special to The New York Times

LITTLE ROCK, Oct. 2 — There's an American tale worthy of John Steinbeck in the story of the two Oxford-educated golden boys from Arkansas, one within reach of being elected President, the other doing everything he can to stop it from happening.

The former is Bill Clinton. The latter is Cliff Jackson, who studied with Mr. Clinton at Oxford in 1969 and is now telling everyone who will listen that Mr. Clinton has lied about his draft history and is not fit to be President.

But though Mr. Jackson casts his story in terms of clear, "universal values" like truth and integrity — not to be confused with the Republican family values campaign — the further he goes the murkier it gets. Even Mr. Jackson seems to concede that if Mr. Clinton flunks his test for worthiness to be President, it is not clear if any current or recent candidate would pass.

For a time, Mr. Clinton and Mr. Jackson, a tall, thin 46-year-old lawyer, seemed to be traveling on almost identical paths. Both were the consummate

high school overachievers who grew up 20 miles apart, Mr. Clinton in Hot Springs, Mr. Jackson in Donaldson.

Both won scholarships, Mr. Clinton a Rhodes, Mr. Jackson a Fulbright, to study at Oxford, where in 1969 they played on the same campus basketball team and became friends. Both went on to law school and went home to Arkansas.

Both soon ran unsuccessfully for political office. Mr. Clinton lost a race for Congress in 1974. Mr. Jackson lost a bid for local prosecuting attorney in 1976. From there, the paths diverged, and the gap between them has never stopped widening.

Mr. Clinton was elected Lieutenant Governor of Arkansas in 1976, then Governor in 1978 and now is reaching for the Presidency. Mr. Jackson never again sought elective office but continued on a contentious, often high-profile legal career that has often touched on political issues.

He has been in the public eye in Little Rock for lawsuits against a local utility in 1985, a successful challenge to a 1975 constitutional convention and even a

1986 legal brief written in rhyme and released to the press about a client filing a \$2 million lawsuit over what she said was a maggot-infested candy bar.

### Trying for a Year

For the past year, much of his energy has gone into trying to get Mr. Clinton defeated. During the New Hampshire primary, Mr. Jackson was a leader of a local anti-Clinton group that spent \$25,000 in advertisements critical of Mr. Clinton's economic record. And over the past months, Mr. Jackson, who has worked for the state Republican Party but considers himself an independent "new paradigm" with views not far from Mr. Clinton's on many issues, has become the most persistent critic of Mr. Clinton's draft history.

In interviews and appearances on programs like "Larry King Live" on CNN, he cites letters he wrote in college about his efforts to help Mr. Clinton obtain an R.O.T.C. deferment and his feeling that Mr. Clinton "betrayed" him by using that help to avoid the draft.

"I think for me, the character of Bill Clinton is personified by the draft incident," he said. "He's not a man of conviction. He's not a man of principle."

Betsy Wright, a spokeswoman for Mr. Clinton, said Mr. Jackson was distorting history for political purposes.

"He's doing pretty much what the whole Bush-Quayle effort is doing, which is trying to divert the issues onto some sort of character assassination," she said.

But Mr. Jackson's account has helped lead to the information that Mr. Clinton received a draft notice in 1969 and that efforts were made on his behalf to avoid induction.

Mr. Jackson said he has no vendetta against Mr. Clinton, only "a strong sense of right or wrong" and a frustration with what he sees as a decline in values common to political life and the Presidency.

"If I could write the epitaph on my tombstone," said Mr. Jackson, "it would be, 'He did what was right.'"

He paused a second and then added, "Or at least what I thought was right."

*NY Times 10/8/92*



MLDCR #C3

Mr. James A. Baker 3<sup>rd</sup>

Washington DC

20000 ?

NRN

IPMWH1 WSH  
1-002575A296007 10/22/92  
ICS IPMRNOC RNO  
84788 RENO NV 10-22 1001A PDT  
ICS IPMWHDS

2 OCT 22 11:08

84788 RENO NV 10-22 0953A PDT  
1-000835S296 10/22/92  
ICS IPMBNGZ CSP

8059698822 FRB TDBN MONTECITO CA 123 10-22 1153A EST  
ICS IPMMOZZ  
PRESIDENT BUSH **JAMES BAKER** GEORGE BOND COPY MESSAGE  
WHITE HOUSE DC 20500

FINISH CAMPAIGN ON A HIGH HARD HITTING NOTE. SATURATE TV NETWORKS WITH VOTE FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH AND THE REPUBLICAN TICKET AND UNITED STATES GETS HONOR, LOYALTY, EXPERIENCE, INTELLIGENCE, FIRST LADY, FAMILY. REMEMBER BUSH PHI BETA KAPPA YALE 19--? CAPTAIN BASEBALL YALE 19--? DECORATED US NAVY AIR PILOT WWII, 58 MISSIONS, SHOT DOWN, RESCUED AT SEA, US CONGRESSMAN TEXAS 19--, DIRECTOR CIA 19--, CHAIRMAN NATIONAL REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE 19--, US REPRESENTATIVE UNITED NATIONS 19--, US AMBASSADOR 19--, REAGAN VICE-PRESIDENT 19--, COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF PERSIAN GULF WAR 1991. WORLD LEADERS MARGARET THATCHER ENGLAND, KOCH GERMANY, MITTERAND FRANCE, RABIN ISRAEL. REMEMBER FOR LOYALTY, INTELLIGENCE, EXPERIENCE, PRESTIGE VOTE FOR PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH AND A REPUBLICAN CONGRESS TO HELP HIM.

JUDGE RETIRED AND MRS ARCH TUTHILL

300 HOT SPRINGS RD  
MONTECITO CA 93108

1155 EST  
>(EX/>140).

1210 EST

IPMWHD1 WSH

beautiful ad in Ronald Reagan's  
campaign was the one of him &  
Nancy walking together. We must  
elect Mr. Bush. Hurry! <sup>perapat  
suggestion</sup>

NRN

Marge Nyhagen  
963 Robin  
College Place, Wa  
99324

Dear Mr. Baker,


You must get an ad on  
T.V. immediately with "Barbara  
Bush & husband". Show these  
two wonderful people & ask  
the voters to listen to her  
tell about George Bush. Please  
please she is an asset you  
are not using. The most

Photography by Peter Gridley  
FPG International

TRUE COLORS

Current

©CURRENT, INC., COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80941



Urgent!



James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave  
Washington, D.C. 20500

A Concerned Voter.  
963 Robin Dr.  
College Place, Wa.



**PETER G. COFFEY**  
7 Partridge Drive  
Ridgefield, CT 06877

*support  
suggestions*

October 20, 1992

NRN

Mr. James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I regret that it may be too late to salvage the Presidency for Mr. Bush, but let me offer some last minute suggestions to the campaign in the off-chance it can help to reverse the Clinton lead in the polls. Maybe a barrage of TV and radio spots can reach people who want to believe in Mr. Bush but are leaning away.

1. Clinton and overseas demonstrations during the Vietnam War: This attack has lacked impact because so many people demonstrated against the war. It can be made more visceral by having Dan Quayle (not the President!) refer to Clinton as "the Jane Fonda of Presidential politics." Few public figures carry as much long-term political baggage as Ms. Fonda. Look at what happened a year or two ago when she tried to film a movie in blue-collar Waterbury, CT.

2. Running against the Congress on economic, rather than character, issues: Mr. Bush has acknowledged his mistake in meeting the Congress half way on a tax bill. To convey the risk of a Democratic president and Congress, tally the cost of programs Mr. Bush has vetoed over four years. For further impact, hammer away at the way the economy took a nose dive after George Mitchell filibustered against investment incentives (capital gains tax relief). The link back to the Clinton candidacy is clear: Clinton keeps talking about "investment" in the economy, but wants it in the form of government spending rather than private investment in the economic base of the country. Does anyone believe government spending is more efficient than private investment? Not Russia!

3. Leadership: The President showed leadership during the Gulf War. That's what people want in the economic arena.

a. Aggressively reject the "tax breaks for the rich" claims of the Clinton campaign, and demonstrate how the proportion of the federal taxes paid by the middle and lower income taxpayers has declined over the Reagan and Bush administrations. The Democrats confuse tax rates with tax revenues.

Everyone, at every level of the economy, wants lower taxes. Clinton's pitch is that "your taxes are higher than they ought to be because the rich aren't paying." It's the Big Lie. Refute it.

b. Point out how the Democratic prescription for health care cost management will destroy one of the only world-class industries we have left in this country. Reinforce how the Republican program can control costs without destroying this vital industry, with its associated jobs and exports.


c. Offer a concise domestic agenda that voters can absorb and identify with over the next few weeks.

- Savings incentives: the Japanese and Germans don't tax savings and so people have an incentive to save vs. spend. We should do the same. Democratic intellectual leaders want to discourage spending by adding a consumption tax to supply more money for the government to spend.
- Investment incentives: Don't call it capital gains. It's too politically tainted at this point. Promote lower taxes for longer term investment to reduce speculative excesses on Wall Street (a populist pitch) while encouraging investment in the productive capacity needed to maintain or regain world-class competitiveness. People shouldn't be taxed on inflationary gains. (Populism, again.) Note the chance to point out that the Democrats were, for years, sneaking tax increases out of the common man until the Reagan tax cut ended the game and indexed tax brackets.
- Education: Tax credits for job training will create the incentive for companies to train workers rather than replace them as technology changes. It's cheaper than unemployment and welfare costs. Keep hammering away at freedom of choice for schooling through vouchers. Dan Quayle did a great job on this one in the VP debate. Clinton would rather pander to the teachers lobby than get better education at a lower cost for our kids.

4. World leadership: Don't let the democrats claim that Communism fell of its own accord. It collapsed because its bankrupt economic system couldn't afford to meet the challenge of a strong American defense policy. Such a policy is still needed. A "New World Order" doesn't mean an orderly world. It means that the defense establishment will have to prepare for different threats. Savings are possible, but readiness is still essential for the leader of an increasingly free, but turbulent world.

Good luck...for all of our interests.

Sincerely,



Peter G. Coffey

NRN

*views  
no support*

October 19, 1992

James Baker  
Bush Re-election Campaign  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:


Want to win the election? Perhaps a few comments from the public will help. It appears that you have the Oklahoma vote, but it doesn't look good in the country as a whole. You waited too long to start your campaign and Slick Willie got the jump on you. I am not too happy to vote for you, but I sure don't want the democrats to win.

1. UNEMPLOYMENT: Tell it like it is. Due to government cut backs on the "Cold War" spending, many government contracts have not been renewed. This means layoffs and cut-backs by industry. This affects other industries also. What is planned to compensate for these job losses? There is a price to pay for peace.
2. BUSH ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Enumerate what has happened the past 4 years; Cold War ended; Desert Storm; total number of persons employed now vs 1988; negotiations started in the Middle East which were never possible before; beat your own drum; etc.
3. NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE: Many companies already furnish health insurance to employees, but the cost has escalated to where the companies need help in the way of price controls. Rate small groups (1-10) same as large groups. Consider major medical coverage instead of health insurance for every little illness.
4. INTEREST: Increase interest rates somewhat. Lowering them so low has not helped. In fact, you have probably lost some votes, especially from the retired, because of the CD rates under 4%. Tote the 3% cost of living increase.
5. PUBLIC IMAGE: Stop aggravating the Press (which you seem to have done already) Stop losing your temper at demonstrators. This hurts your image. (Have someone else in charge do this for you.)
6. Give Bush a Republican congress and see what he can accomplish for you. Clinton has stolen your tax incentive proposal for the rich to invest. Erase the image that you are for the rich only. Your desire is to tax the rich, even if it is 90%, then give them tax incentives to invest in America to lower their tax liability.

Hope you can find at least one idea above. Good luck.

Respectfully yours,

Paul W. Muratet  
3733 S. Sandusky  
Tulsa, OK 74135



(over)

LAY THE BLAME WHERE IT BELONGS: The Democrats claim Bush has spent us into the deficit we have. People dont seem to understand the way our govt. functions. Let them know the Democratic congress appropriates the money to spend. Then the President is required by law to spend this money the way congress has directed. Clinton's plans call for a huge increase in the deficit. He has already said at the outset, "I'll take the money we have spent on defense and give it to the poor." We dont need to increase the deficit any more. We need to build our tax base by increasing investments in business.

MR. & MRS. PAUL W. MURATET  
3733 S SANDUSKY AVE.  
TULSA, OK 74135-2313



USA  
MOVIE  
29



James Baker  
Bush Re-Election Campaign  
Washington, D.C. 20500



NRN

suggestion

8945 Beverly Hills Rd  
Lakeland, FL 33809

10-19-92

Dear Sir:

I am a 68 year old retired woman. It occurs to me that you need more visual ads for the Campaign.

My brother lives in Arkansas for 30 years and has nothing good to say about the Governor. If you could get some one from each section of Ark. (north, south, east, west) to tell on screen what they think of him and what he's done it might make an impact. My thought is to interview each one in his yard or on his porch. But my husband thinks it would be better to interview them randomly on the street.

It may be too late. But I don't think his record in Ark has been stressed enough.

Sincerely yours  
Marjorie Benfield

His state ranks **50th** in spending on criminal justice (*US Justice Dept.*)  
**50th** in spending on police protection (*US Justice Dept.*)  
**50th** in policy initiatives on the environment (*Institute for Southern Studies*)  
**50th** in median family income (*U.S. Census*)  
**47th** in children in poverty (*Center for Study of Social Policy*)  
**46th** in teacher pay (*University of Arkansas*)

# What will he do for America?

In  
Bill Clinton's  
America ...

You'll pay for  
growing older.



# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## (George Bush Library)

| Document No.<br>and Type | Subject/Title of Document                                                                                   | Date     | Restriction | Class. |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| 02. Letter               | From: Forest E. Block to James Baker<br>Re: Political advice<br>[Redaction of personal information] (1 pp.) | 10/16/92 | (b)(6)      |        |

**Collection:**

**Record Group:** Bush Presidential Records  
**Office:** Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the  
**Series:** Baker, James A. III  
**Subseries:** Public Correspondence Files  
**WHORM Cat.:**  
**File Location:** [Public Correspondence, Oct 1992] [3]

|                                     |                                |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Date Closed:</b> 8/2/2001        | <b>OA/ID Number:</b> 93010-003 |
| <b>FOIA/SYS Case #:</b> 2000-0715-F | <b>Appeal Case #:</b>          |
| <b>Re-review Case #:</b>            | <b>Appeal Disposition:</b>     |
| <b>P-2/P-5 Review Case #:</b>       | <b>Disposition Date:</b>       |
| <b>AR Case #:</b>                   | <b>MR Case #:</b>              |
| <b>AR Disposition:</b>              | <b>MR Disposition:</b>         |
| <b>AR Disposition Date:</b>         | <b>MR Disposition Date:</b>    |

### RESTRICTION CODES

**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]  
 P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]  
 P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]  
 P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]  
 P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]  
 P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]  
 (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

MR. BAKER  
CHIEF OF STAFF  
WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON D.C.

NPN

10/16/1992

put in  
Clinton's  
media

DEAR SIR,

I'VE BEEN A SUSTAINING MEMBER OF THE RNC  
SINCE 1972

I'VE WATCHED THIS YEARS DEBATES & ALSO PEROTS PURCHASED  
TV TIME.

PRESIDENT BUSH IS DOING A GOOD JOB IN HIS  
PRESENTATION BUT IN ANALYSIS THE MEDIA IS MANIPULATING  
HIS PRESENTATION. THE MEDIA CONDUCTS THINGS IN SUCH  
A MANNER TO CIRCUMVENT THE IMPORTANT POINTS THE  
PRESIDENT WISHES TO BRING ACROSS. ON THE DEBATE OF 10/15/92  
I WATCHED THE NBC CHANNEL & WHILE PRES. BUSH WAS  
SPEAKING 90% OF THE TIME NBC SELECTED A SIDE VIEW  
CAMERA WHICH PUT BILL CLINTON IN PRES. BUSH'S BACKGROUND  
& WHILE THE PRESIDENT SPOKE CLINTON WAS SMILING — SMIRKING  
& SHAKING HIS HEAD!

I COME FROM A BUSINESS BACKGROUND (NOW RETIRED) &  
BUSINESS & THE MILITARY HAVE ONE COMMON COMPARISON. WHEN

THE PLAN TO ACHIEVE IS NOT PRODUCING RESULTS THEN SWIFTLY  
THE PLAN MUST BE CHANGED TO DEAL WITH CHANGING CONDITIONS.  
— OTHERWISE DEFEAT WILL ENSUE. CHANGES IN PLANS  
DON'T HAVE TO BE NECESSARILY "NEW" — EVEN TO COPY  
A FORMAT THAT IS SUCCESSFUL IS OFTEN WISE. MR.  
PEROT HAS DONE SOME CREDITABLE THINGS. GIVE  
HIM CREDIT BY HIS 1/2 HOUR PURCHASED TV TIME TALKS.  
HE GETS HIS POINTS ACROSS AS HE WISHES THEM  
WITHOUT ANY MEDIA INTERFERENCE. LIKEWISE PRESIDENT  
BUSH IS REALLY GOOD ON SUCH A PRESENTATION.  
PEROT SAID HE PAID <sup>\$</sup>338,000 FOR HIS HALF HOUR.

YOU MAY WANT TO CONSIDER REVISING YOUR  
PLAN FORMAT FOR PRESIDENT BUSH'S RE-ELECTION  
EFFORTS OF WHICH PART OF THE PITCH IS TO SEND  
REPUBLICANS TO CONGRESS — A 1/2 HOUR PURCHASED TV.

RIGHT NOW AS THE POLLS UNFOLD THE PRESIDENT  
NEEDS SOMETHING TO PUT HIM ON THE SPOT & MAKE  
PEOPLE ANALYZE WHAT HE'S SAYING. SO NOW YOU  
HAVE A SUGGESTED WAY.

CORDIALLY,

Forest E. Block

FOREST E. BLOCK  
4892 SPRING BROOK ROAD  
ROCKFORD, IL 61114

FOREST E. BLOCK  
4892 Spring Brook Road  
Rockford, Illinois 61114



MI DCR #08

61125 10/19/92 16:59

MR. BAKER  
CHIEF OF STAFF  
WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON D.C.

James Baker III  
Chief of Staff  
White House  
Washington, D.C.  
20500

Support  
suggestions  
re: Health  
Care

NRN

HC01-Box 309  
Peltie, Mich. 49958  
Oct. 17, 1992  
Telephone (906) 353-7336

Dear Mr. Baker:

In response to the presidential debates of this past week I suggest you use for the health care reform issue lowering the malpractice insurance premiums radically and the elimination of frivolous lawsuits against doctors and medical care workers.

This is very very important to the small towns and rural areas who often have no obstetrician or general practitioner to deliver babies because of the \$80,000 premiums to the malpractice insurance. When a small general practitioner who delivers maybe 10-12 babies per year it does not pay him to deliver those 10-12 babies for an \$80,000 insurance premium and become liable until the child reaches adolescence.

In our Upper Peninsula of Michigan in the Keweenaw Peninsula we have only one obstetrician for 4 counties - Keweenaw, Houghton, Baraga, and Ontonagon counties. When a woman goes into labor it may be necessary to travel 100 miles for her delivery in Hancock or Marquette. In the winter this is very very dangerous due to the snow, which can be as high as 300 inches a year, the icy roads, and freezing temperatures.

Gov. Clinton does not mention anything about malpractice insurance in his health care reform plan. Gov. Clinton is endorsed and has received campaign contributions from the Trial Lawyers Association and his wife, Hillary, is a lawyer.

Hopeing this will be helpful to you and President Bush in your campaign. You may use this letter if you wish and my name, or not, or you can use this message as your own. We are sustaining members of the Republican party.

You may call us if you wish  
Telephone (906) 353-7336

Yours truly,  
Mrs. Helen S. Miller, R.N., M.A.  
(University of Mich. - R.N. (3yrs)  
Columbia University, Teachers  
College B.S.  
Univ. Minn. M.A.)

NRA

suggestion 10-19-92

Dear Sir.

I was wondering if you could have this article run in some of the large newspapers across the country. It is from the Sun Herald, Port Charlotte, Fla. I certainly agree with it and I think millions of others will too.

Respectfully

Robert Jensen

# Have America's Unknown Soldiers died in vain?

Picture this:

The date is Nov. 11, 1993. The president of the United States approaches the Tombs Of The Unknowns at Arlington National Cemetery. After prayer, a bugler plays taps while Commander-in-Chief Bill Clinton lays a wreath of flowers where lie the bodies of unidentified soldiers killed in action during World War I, World War II, the Korean War, and the Vietnam War.

All the Unknown Soldiers volunteered or were drafted to serve their country. They responded patriotically — even though with trepidation.

Question:

Does Clinton — an acknowledged draft dodger who organized Vietnam protests in front of the U.S. Embassy in England, and stated in a letter to the Reserve Officers Training Corps that he "loathed the



Lindsey Williams

military" — have the moral right to lay that wreath?

Consider:

Article III, Section 3, of the U.S. Constitution states, "Treason against the United States shall consist only in levying War against them, OR in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort."

Many Americans, including this writer, feel Clinton's public demonstrations in a foreign country — against a war in which the United States successfully halted the spread of communism — constituted giving comfort to the enemy.

Certainly the anti-war movement encouraged the North Vietnamese to persevere — confident that American resolve was being undermined by the "flower children" and a pacifist press.

Say what you will, the first issue in this, and all other political elections, is character. Only after the candidates have met the tests of honesty, integrity and honor can they presume to address issues. Clinton falls short, way short.

Clinton's intense activity in Washington, D.C., to transform cowardice into "conscientious objection," is a classic in propaganda. Arkansas Sen. William

Fulbright, himself a Rhodes scholar and prominent anti-war activist, helped obtain a Rhodes scholarship for Clinton, who used his time at Oxford, England promoting the anti-war agenda in a foreign land.

Clinton was so busy organizing demonstrations among the other draft dodgers at Oxford he did not finish the scholarship. One of the reasons was that he attended a "peace conference" in Oslo, Norway, another haven for draft dodgers, and went on from there to Moscow. Others on that trip went on to Hanoi to sign a "peoples' peace treaty."

Clinton can not remember what he did in the Soviet Union except "ride the trains and eat black bread." This at a time when American prisoners of war were starving in North Vietnamese death camps.

Clinton says he was simply a "student touring Europe."

However, his itinerary did not include France, Spain, Italy, Austria, Switzerland, Holland, Belgium, Denmark or Germany. It is fair to ask how Clinton obtained a Soviet visa at the height of the cold war.

The matter of Clinton's 12-year affair with Genifer Flowers has been dismissed as "of no consequence" to the political campaign. His defense is that his marriage at that time was going through periods of severe strain, and if Hillary insisted now with their marriage to one else's business.

Young American adults write Clinton's experiment with marriage as "youthful indiscretion" — a understandable position if, as the press insists, most youth in the 60s tried pot. Clinton declares he "didn't like it and, anyway, did not inhale." The character flaw is that Clinton knows it was wrong and

tries to trivialize it today. His defense is the same that Adam used against Eve.

Perhaps the most distressing aspect of Clinton's lack of morality is that public opinion polls appear to bear out a lack of concern. Draft dodging, junior-grade treason, and adultery are said to be "private matters" having no effect on a candidate's judgment in governing the rest of us who do our duty, obey the laws, and respect our marriage vows.

This rationale boils down this: sleaze is OK as long as the sleazor gives us a piece of the action.

If Clinton accurately exemplifies the ethical standard of this nation, then decadence has overtaken the ideal of democracy and the Unknown Soldiers have died in vain.

Lindsey Williams is a Sun-Herald columnist.



ROBERT W. JENSEN  
838 LEE TER. N. W.  
PORT CHARLOTTE, FL 33952



MI DIX #12



Mr. James Baker  
The White House  
Washington D.C. 200

NPN

suggestion

Mr. Baker

This was in our weekly  
paper here in Fallbrook,

I feel the same, if someone  
don't do something we are  
going to loose  
you were given the job. Please  
now do it

Eva Mae Patterson

wouldn't be bombarded on a daily basis by the Liberal-Democrat controlled media (print, radio and T.V.) on these critical areas of candidate vulnerability? Not on your life!

The voting public must not be allowed to drift into an apathetic mode because the liberal media carefully avoids allowing these messages from getting to the people. (This letter may not be published for the same reason). I'm wondering if Mr. Baker and everyone else at Republican National Headquarters have become brain dead!

Why aren't Clinton's evasive tactics being called what they were . . . Draft Dodging! Isn't anyone the least bit curious about what ever happened to the "other woman" in Clinton's odious affair? I wonder how her undeniable taped telephone conversations fade conveniently into the sunset, never to be heard from again.

If the situation were reversed, there would be an army of Liberal Democratic investigative reporters following up on that story.

Mr. Baker . . . It's time to take off the gloves and start counter-attacking all of the baloney that the Liberal Democrats are desperately trying to feed us. It's time to start running paid newspaper, radio and T.V. ads to wake up the voters of America and to remind them of what the Liberal Democrats and their controlled media would like them to forget.

Mr. Baker . . . If you continue to tippy-toe around these critical areas of Clinton vulnerability under the guise of being . . . "Presidential," you may tippy-toe President Bush right out of the White House!

Richard Heinzman  
Fallbrook

## NATIONAL POLITICS

Editor:

For the life of me, I can't understand why Mr. James Baker and the other decision-makers at the Republican National Campaign Headquarters are not hammering away at Mr. Clinton's serious character flaws.

I can't believe that mainstream America will overlook Slick Willie's draft dodging and the subsequent litany of ever-changing lies about the circumstances surrounding this carefully planned, willful act of cowardice.

I can't believe mainstream America will overlook Clinton's 12 year adulterous affair with the "other woman."

Mainstream America will not accept Draft-dodging and adultery as desirable characteristics for a President and leader of our great country. I can't imagine how any veteran could ever, under any circumstance, vote for a draft dodger to be Commander-in-Chief of all of our armed forces. I can't imagine how any responsible voter of good moral character could accept cheating on one's wife, pot smoking and draft dodging as being Presidential prerequisites!

Mainstream America will not forget or overlook these serious character flaws on election day . . . unless, they are conned into the Liberal-Democratic position of "boys will be boys."

Do you think for a minute that if the situation were reversed (Clinton/Bush), that we



EVA MAE PATTERSON  
1638 CALAVO #10  
FALLBROOK, CA. 92028-4419



MLDCR

Begin an  
Giant Proport  
Collect Stamp



*Special*

Mr James Baker  
White House Pennsylvania Ave.  
1600  
Washington D.C.  
20502

**C C POLLEN CO.**

3627 East Indian School Road, Suite 209, Phoenix, Arizona 85018-5126

FAX: (602) 381-3130

Telex: 559-834

Cable: CCPOLLEN

Phone: (602) 957-0096

October 19, 1992

From: Royden Brown  
Chairman of the BoardFAX: 202-456-2461  
James Baker, Chief of Staff  
The White House

NRN

You no doubt agree with the general consensus of opinion that President George Bush is not going to be re-elected unless he pulls a miracle.

We are offering you that miracle ----- !

We have previously sent more than 20 faxes to Marc J. Scheineson, Associate Commissioner for Legislative Affairs, FDA, with carbon copies to President George Bush, Vice President Dan Quayle, Senator Orrin Hatch, Senator Edward G. Kennedy and Congressman John Dingle pleading with the FDA to release the 32 Investigational New Drug Applications (IND) filed with the FDA which are the GRAS safe cures of virtually all degenerative diseases.

We are sending you by UPS Overnight a copy of these several faxes.

President George Bush could electrify the 260 million Americans by announcing that he has discovered and is causing the FDA to release the GRAS safe cures of virtually all degenerative diseases. That announcement would cause so much joy and happiness throughout America that a groundswell of gratitude would spill over in the polls and elect George Bush to a second term by an overwhelming majority.

If George Bush does not make this announcement he is sure to go down in defeat -- no question about it.

→ SEND US A FAX IF YOU  
WANT these Copies -- YOUR  
ONLY Hope

10/21  
10/21

**alphaGraphics**

Printshops Of The Future

## Fax Transmittal Sheet

To: Js. A. Baker, III  
Company: White Hse.  
Fax Number: (202) 456-2461  
From: Randy Reece  
Total Number Of Pages, Including This One: 2

Message: Please read and act with it  
and send to the President ASAP.

*If you are experiencing any difficulties receiving this fax transmission,  
please call us at (512) 478-8981. Thank You!!*

**AlphaGraphics No. 78**  
**620 North Congress Avenue**  
**Austin, Texas 78701**  
**Tel: (512) 478-8981 • Fax: (512) 478-8598**

21 x 92

## POST DEBATE

to Bush via Baker:

Clinton and Perot on two divergent paths, both heavily steeped in lies; your truth, on the other hand, in the Debate shone like a star driving to victory. Artistically done. Democracy again given impetus by you as head-above-others moral leader of world.

Your truth stands too large to be deterred. Beautiful faith & confidence in remarks you've made on campaign trail since. Whatever it'll take for you to win -- condition of the American electorate's mind, God will effect whatever it'll take for it to happen. (Sound like a familiar refrain? "Whatever it takes?")

The upheaval will happen without an indication in polls in one or both of two possible ways: Perot gets a certain letter causing him emotional re-anchoring sufficient to open eyes to available evidence of your visionary conduct of Gulf War and visionary stewardship of economy. Therefore not to be disruptive to stream of truth, while still acting out of his own peculiar control mechanism to single-handedly be a catalyst for rescuing America, Perot will bow out of race, instructing his voters to vote for you on Nov. 3rd.

Without that 10% gain, you will win anyway because of deeper trend in America's mind already ticking toward culmination on Nov. 3rd: doubts about Clinton's presumed good will toward other people, his seamy but slick, always apologetic aspect in public appearance will stick in the craw of Americans too much to overcome on Nov. 3rd; people notice him slacking off his normal malicious disposition in reference to you as he tries to come off to them in an ingratiating way. The calculatedness of it will cause a surge of distaste in the voters' minds sufficient to cause them to reject Clinton and vote for you.

Keep up your spiritual progress for the next thirteen days, and you and the wonderful Mr. Quayle will emerge with as much joy of victory on election day as it is possible for Americans to gloat over.

God bless you!!!

Randy Beece

*Reflections***FACSIMILIE TRANSMISSION INFORMATION**

**DATE:** 10-21-92 **TIME:** 12:05PM **TOTAL PAGES:** 13 (THIRTEEN)  
**TO:** MRT. JAMES BAKER **COMPANY:** Chief of Staff  
**PHONE:** \_\_\_\_\_ **PROJECT:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**FROM:** Gene Bennett

**MESSAGE:**

URGENT --- please deliver ASAP

October 19, 1992

Mr. James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Secretary;

You alone can influence the outcome of this election and help destroy the power base of liberalism and socialism for decades to come.

President Bush MUST be re-elected AND given a conservative majority in both houses of Congress. The ends most definitely justify the means. Not even God can help us if the Democrats win. This country would soon go the way of the Roman Empire.

You have the President's ear, his confidence and his respect, justly earned. He will listen to you. You must tell him, before it's too late-----His campaign is as stalled as the economy. He's playing against a stacked deck. What he has accomplished will continue to be downplayed and sabotaged by the media at every turn.

Please tell him to forget about kissing babies and pressing the flesh. Tell him to can all the rhetoric about family values, free trade, legal reform, education, pro-life/pro-choice, etc., etc., ad nauseum. It is not working and will not win the election. None of it!

In the limited time remaining, he must concentrate ALL of his attention and effort on a single theme --- "JUMP START THE ECONOMY AND PUT AMERICA BACK TO WORK."

Whether we are or not in a recession is irrelevant. Perception is what counts. The media has convinced the majority of voters that the country IS falling apart by hyping all the negative news they can invent. There are 27 Million functional illiterates out there who get all their news and views from television. I wouldn't be surprised if most of them are registered Democrats.

The truth is that the "Average American Taxpayer/Voter" IS disillusioned and very receptive to the call for "change". He or she wants a Champion in the White House who will do something for him or her personally, on an individual level. A "Free Trade Agreement" means nothing to a person who fears being layed off. An unemployed person cares more about putting beans on the table than he does about the collapse of Communism. Unfortunately, the general attitude is "Yeah, you won the Gulf War, but what are you going to do for me NOW?"

The ONLY issue that will determine the election is the individual purse, wallet or pocketbook.

What I am suggesting is a half hour "State Of The Union" type address, in Prime Time on all the networks. It might have to be run a second time. Too many people have already written him off, but the whole world will be watching when the word gets out. It should be done A S A P, to give the voters time to digest it. All the Congressional candidates will need time to check the wind direction before they jump on the bandwagon and claim they support the President and have all along.

IT WILL WORK. It will get every thinking voter's immediate attention and support. The message will blow the Democrats out of the water, by-pass media spin control and send the President back with a mandate AND the Congressional help needed to accomplish his primary mission.

#### SUGGESTED BASICS:

"My Fellow Americans,

There are a number of issues to be considered in this election process, most of them very important to many of you.

There is however, one over-riding issue that's the most important to each and every one of you. That issue is the current state of our economy. Right now, our economy is not as strong as it should be or can be. We must "JUMP START THE ECONOMY AND PUT ALL OF AMERICA BACK TO WORK!"

There are many who consider that they ARE better off now than they were four years ago, for they appreciate that they now live in a safer world. ---- Remember when Mr. Krushchev said "We will Bury you"? Little did he know. --- Now Communism is in it's death throes, throughout the world, soon to be buried alongside Mr. Krushchev. --- But there is still more work to be done to make it safer still. There will always be petty tyrants like Saddam Hussein to contend with.

Consider what would have happened to our economy and the cause of world peace, had we not defanged him.---- What would our economy be like, today, if Saddam had been allowed to succeed with his aggression--- if the Gulf War hadn't been fought?

Let me give you General Norman Schwarzkopf's answer to the questions, because I totally agree with him. Quote: "First, Saddam would now control ALL the oil from Kuwait and perhaps from the entire Arabian Peninsula. Let's not forget, that prior to the war, Saddam's threats were against both Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates. The only way to get to the United Arab Emirates from Kuwait is through Saudi Arabian oil producing territory. But even if we assume that he would have limited his aggression to Kuwait, he would have sent a powerful signal to the rest of the gulf nations which they could not have ignored. They would have been intimidated in every future decision. Saddam would have achieved his stated aim of dramatically raising the price of oil, with the resultant stress on an already shaky world economy. Worse yet, if he followed patterns of the past, his greatly increased oil revenues would have gone to the strengthening of his already strong (relative to the other Middle Eastern nations) military forces and the expansion of his developing nuclear and biological and chemical arsenals. It is not hard to imagine what this could have meant to the future of Israel and the cause of world Peace.

*Orlando G. Silva, M.D., P.A., F.A.C.P.*Internal Medicine  
Oncology and Hematology335 S.W. 40th St., Suite 101  
Miami, Florida 33155Telephone:  
Office: 662-5086  
Fax: 662-7944*Orlando G. Silva, M.D., P.A., F.A.C.P.*Internal Medicine  
Oncology and Hematology335 S.W. 40th St., Suite 101  
Miami, Florida 33155Telephone:  
Office: 662-5086  
Fax: 662-7944FAX SHEET

TO:

MR. JAMES BAKER

FROM:

DR. ORLANDO G. SILVA

DATE:

OCTOBER 21, 1992

FAX NUMBER:

(202) 456-2461

NUMBER OF PAGES:

2

OPERATOR:

MESSAGE (IF ANY)

*Orlando G. Silva, M.D., P.A., F.A.C.P.*

Internal Medicine  
Oncology and Hematology

6035 S.W. 40th St., Suite 101  
Miami, Florida 33155

Telephone:  
Office: 662-5086  
Fax: 662-7944

*NRW*

October 21, 1992

Mr. James Baker  
Head of the Political Campaign  
The Whitehouse  
Washington, D.C.

Mr. Baker:

Suggestions for last minutes impact.

"GO AMERICAN, GO BUSH"

"DO NOT GAMBLE UPON YOUR NATIONS DESTINY"

"DO NOT USE YOUR POLITICAL DECISION AS A LOTTERY RISK"

"VOTING FOR CLINTON IS "BURNING YOUR FLAG"

BECAUSE IS VOTING FOR "MCGOVERN"

"MANDELA"

"CASTRO"

"COMUNISM"

"MARIJUANA LEGALIZATION"

"FAMILIAL DESERTION"

"NATIONAL TREASON"

"IS FORGETTING OUR FELLOW MEN FALLING AT WAR"

"ABRAHAM LINCOLN AND GEORGE WASHINGTON ARE WALKING WITH YOU IN THIS POLITICAL  
PARADE AND SYMBOLICALLY INVITES THIS NATION TO GO AMERICAN, TO GO BUSH"

"GOD SAVE AMERICA, GOD GOES BUSH"

Respectfully,

  
Orlando G. Silva, M.D., P.A., F.A.C.P.

ND N

## MEMORANDUM

To: James Baker  
From: Ray Cromer  
Subject: Important Clinton Information  
Date: October 22, 1992

I am a conservative Christian Bush supporter and have been in the advertising field for fifteen years. I would never assume that neither you nor your advertising consultants have a good handle on President Bush's campaign. In my business, however, I would also never assume that no good marketing strategies can come from outside my own head or those of my marketing group. With that in mind, I beg a few minutes of your valuable time to read this memo.

Last night I watched the Frontline Show: The Choice '92, produced by WGBH in Boston. I was rocked out of my chair by the comments being made about Mr. Clinton's performance as Governor by Arkansas citizens, business people, newspaper editors, etc. I was also rocked by comments made by his close friends from his days at Oxford. I thoroughly agree with your current campaign strategy of creating doubt about Mr. Clinton's reliability, character, etc. If you have not seen this Frontline Show, I implore you to get a hold of it immediately. You can order it from WGBH at 800-328-PBSI. Once you view it, you will see the absolutely devastating effect that the various people's comments about Mr. Clinton would have on his credibility.

Following are some of the comments made on last night's show, most of which were made in the second hour of the program. I was writing them down as fast as I could, but I cannot guarantee the total exactness of each quote. I can, however, assure you of their basic content, thereby giving you a good flavor of how damaging they could be to Mr. Clinton's credibility. These are some examples:

1. A poor black Arkansas man explains with rage and disbelief: "We're giving away the store." This referred to Mr. Clinton's "Bail out of International Paper." Blackmailed by IP's threat to move out of state, Clinton gave them huge tax breaks to stay, with the subsequent additional tax burden having to be picked up by the citizens.
2. The show's narrator commented that "After eleven years of Clinton as Governor, Arkansas still had the 49th lowest average per capita income in the country. Thank God for Mississippi."
3. On the environment, Clinton's allegiance to the big Agri-businesses resulted in very few environmental restrictions on them. The comment was made that "in northwest Arkansas, 90% of the streams were unfit for human contact."

4. In remarks about Clinton's political modus operandi, an Arkansas editor stated something about "He can't say no to your face, so he'll make you a promise and then break it behind your back." How's that for an unbiased commentary on his reliability!

5. A couple of former Oxford buddies remarked about how they and Clinton would sit around each others' dorm rooms trying to figure out ways to avoid the draft. One friend of Clintons condemned him for his actions.

6. On the pro-Bush side, a black man who was a higher-up in the National Committee for Enterprise Zones (I can't recall the group's exact name), commenting on his meeting with Mr. Bush after the LA riots, "I think that the kind of sincerity I saw with the Presidents is something that you can't fake."

In closing, I appreciate your taking the time to read this memo. As I stated earlier, I strongly agree with your strategy to create big doubts in peoples minds about Mr. Clinton's integrity, credibility, reliability, etc. There is no doubt in my mind that if you painted a solitary picture of the men and women of America stepping into the voting booth, as the curtain draws closed behind them, and they reach to pull the lever, and they pause for one more second to finally consider which man they really can trust to have as their leader - and as they play back in their minds the comments made by Clinton's own friends and citizens of Arkansas - I really believe that this type of approach could create just enough reasonable doubt to get President Bush re-elected. If nothing else, I strongly encourage you to have one of your aides invest two hours to watch the show. You've got nothing to lose, and a re-election to gain.

Best regards,

Ray Conway

Charlotte, NC

704/362-7799

1221 Farm Creek Rd  
Waxhaw, NC 28103

**U.S. Machine Co., Inc.***Special Machine Development and Product Manufacturing*17730 14 Mile Road  
Fraser, Michigan 48026(313) 294-5670  
FAX (313) 294-7022

|                              |                     |        |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| <b>FAX</b>                   | DATE 10-22-92       | PAGE 1 |
| TO JAMES BAKER               |                     |        |
| WASHINGTON, D.C.             |                     |        |
| CC WHITE HOUSE               | FAX# 202 456-2961   |        |
| FROM E. STEUDLE              |                     |        |
| CC                           | PHIFAX# 313-2947022 |        |
| MESSAGE ELECTION INFORMATION | EVERY FAX           |        |

October 22, 1992

Election Chairman James Baker  
President Bush Election Committee  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker,

Please take a moment from your busy schedule to consider the following suggestion as a possible way of helping President Bush in his bid for re-election.

As a supporter of President Bush I feel that if President Bush would include in his campaign rhetoric to the American people, a promise that when re-elected he would make an offer to Ross Perot to join his administration as an Ombudsman to pursue some of the issues that Perot has brought to light during the campaign and in the debates, we would probably be able to recapture a lot of the voter confidence that is presently teetering in a swing mode.

Doing this, the voting public would feel that they would not be losing or giving up the appealing ideas that Perot has brought to light but instead would be getting the best of both candidates by re-electing President Bush without giving up Perot's ideas and without electing Bill Clinton.

Thank you for taking the time for my suggestion.

Good luck to you and to President Bush, let's make sure he stays in.



Ernst W. Steudle  
U.S. Machine Co., Inc.

TOTAL P.01

NRN

suggestions

DAVID K. STEELE  
10271 Wembley Cir.  
Westminster, CA 92683  
phone: 531-6778 or 990-1251

JAMES A. BAKER, III  
THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON D.C. 20006

Dear Sir,

I am a Republican who believes that Mr. Bush is in danger of losing the presidency because his message is not coming across in a way that the people can easily digest (read: 30-second sound bite).

Following are my suggestions to counter recent Democrat attacks on the Bush presidency. The responses are given as if in a 30 second commercial.




You will notice that I have used the word "Change" in every ad. I believe that the most effective word the Democrats have is the word "Change". It's time we take the word from them, and use it against them. In appropriating the word "Change," and embracing it as a Republican ideal, it effectively nullifies the Democrats' most successful campaign message.

If Mr. Bush can accomplish only one thing in the remaining two weeks, if he would just hammer Mr. Clinton's abysmal record, he may pick up votes of people who actually believe the Democrats' commercials saying how wonderful Clinton has been for Arkansas.

Fortunately, numbers do not lie. Arkansas is pathetic in personal income, dreadful on education spending, and pretty bad when it comes to environmental concerns. But I'd stick with Income and Education. Clinton's record is indefensible on these points.

I hope these suggestions can be useful to the campaign.

Sincerely,

  
David Steele

ATTACK RECORD,  
NOT CHARACTER.

AD #1

Democrat Attack #1, "The Worst Economy."

Use a sound bite from Clinton or Gore, or both. Show Clinton/Gore with smug expressions, as if they are glad the U.S. is suffering. The sound bite used should be the harshest, shrillest example we can find.

Clinton (voiceover)

"We are suffering the worst economic crisis in the last 50 years."

Next, we see President Bush from the Oval Office. The President speaks directly to the public, calmly, presidentially.

Mr. Bush

The worst in the last 50 years?

(smiles)

Remember when we had  
7.5% unemployment,  
a 21.5% prime interest rate,  
and 12% inflation,  
all at the same time?

(pause, then, quietly)

It was only 12 years ago,  
under a president who used to be  
the governor of a small southern state.

(another pause, briefly)

Yes, things are tough today,  
but not nearly as bad as they were  
12 years ago.

(now, excited)

This election, we're going to have  
over a hundred new Congressmen  
and women...and we will change this  
government.

(brief pause)

But we'll change it for the better.

Blackout to the words "President George Bush and the New Congress.  
Changing the World...Shaping our Future."

END OF AD

AD #2

Democrat Attack #2. "The President has no plan"

Use a sound bite from Clinton. Show a smug picture of same.

Clinton (voiceover)

"If George Bush spent as much time on the U.S. economy as he does worrying about other countries, maybe we wouldn't be in the mess we're in."

Next, we see President Bush in the Treaty Room. An Agenda for American Renewal is on the desk in front of Mr. Bush.

Mr. Bush

President Yeltsin and I signed the START treaty in this room.

(pause)

Some people have said my greatest successes have been in foreign affairs. That's probably true.

(now seriously)

When I made a move on foreign affairs, I made the move decisively, quickly, and, as we've seen with Russia, the Berlin Wall, and Kuwait, correctly.

(more serious)

But when I sent anything up to Capitol Hill, the Democrats were waiting to shoot it down.

(excited)

Well, with this new Congress, we're going to have over a hundred new faces up there.

(show Agenda)

With the backing of the American people, we'll pass my Agenda for American Renewal within the first hundred days.

(Agenda down, smile)

With my Agenda, cooperation from Congress, and your support, we will change this country. And we'll change it for the better.

Blackout to the words "President George Bush and the New Congress. Working Together, for a Change."

END OF AD

AD #3

Democrat Attack #3. The myth of Arkansas as a haven for jobs.

Use Clinton's own rhetoric about how "I've created more jobs in Arkansas than in any other state," or whatever nonsense about job creation he spouts.

Show Clinton's figures on the screen during Clinton's words.

Now, switch to a listing of states ranked #1 through #10, with dollar values next to each state. Above this list are the words "Personal Income--1980"

Mr. Bush (voiceover)

Let's take a closer look at Governor Clinton's record. When Mr. Clinton took over as Governor in 1980, 12 years ago, his state ranked 49th in personal income.

During Mr. Bush's voiceover, the camera has "fallen", until we stop at #50. At #49, right near the bottom, is Arkansas. The title "Personal Income--1980" is still at the top of the list, which now includes only #41 to #50. Use actual dollar figure next to Arkansas to show how low their income was.

Now, next to this list, another list appears, with the title "Personal Income--1991". Again, the top ten states are listed, and we begin to fall as the president speaks.

Mr. Bush (voiceover)

In 1991, after eleven years of Mr. Clinton's leadership, after eleven years of Mr. Clinton's economic policies, his state ranked...

The list stops with Arkansas as #48, blinking for emphasis. The 1991 Arkansas is right next to the 1980 Arkansas, just a single notch higher on the ladder.

Mr. Bush (voiceover)

48th.

Cut to a smiling George Bush in the Oval Office.

(CONTINUED)

# AD#3 (CONTINUED)

Mr. Bush

Bill Clinton managed to move Arkansas one notch in eleven years. At that rate, his state would reach number one in only...517 years.

(big smile)

I'm afraid we just can't wait that long.

Blackout to the words "President George Bush and the New Congress. Because we can't wait 517 years to change."

(Now, obviously, some figures may have to be corrected. I am not certain of Arkansas' exact ranking, though I am certain it is in the bottom five of both Income and Education Dollars spent per student, and has been there for the past decade. Since I don't have access to actual figures, I used sample figures to show what kind of effect I am going for.)

A similar ad for Education Spending could also be used, with the same format and same "punchline".

Income and Education are two hot buttons that are pretty dear to voters. And Mr. Clinton's record on both is both abysmal and indefensible.

## FINAL STATS

### PERSONAL INCOME - 1980

|    |          |          |
|----|----------|----------|
| 41 | ---      | \$ --    |
| 42 | ---      | ---      |
| 43 | ---      | ---      |
| 44 | ---      | ---      |
| 45 | ---      | ---      |
| 46 | ---      | ---      |
| 47 | ---      | ---      |
| 48 | ---      | ---      |
| 49 | ARKANSAS | \$ X,XXX |
| 50 | ---      | #        |

### PERSONAL INCOME - 1991

|    |          |         |
|----|----------|---------|
| 41 | ---      | \$ --   |
| 42 | ---      | ---     |
| 43 | ---      | ---     |
| 44 | ---      | ---     |
| 45 | ---      | ---     |
| 46 | ---      | ---     |
| 47 | ---      | ---     |
| 48 | ARKANSAS | # X,XXX |
| 49 | ---      | ---     |
| 50 | ---      | ---     |

BOOM!  
NAILED 'EM!

DOROTHA HUBANKS  
356 W DUARTE RD #D  
MONROVIA CA 91016 21AM

**WESTERN  
UNION** | **MAILGRAM**®



1-005130K295 10/21/92 ICS IPMBNGZ CSP WHSB  
8183588601 MGMB TDRN MONROVIA CA 71 10-21 0618P EST

▶ MARY MADELINE OR JAMES BAKER  
CAMPAIGN MANAGER  
WHITE HOUSE  
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE  
WASHINGTON DC 20001

NPN

*suggestion*

PLEASE PLAY TAPE OF CLINTONS FINAL REMARKS DURING LAST DEBATE. IN IT  
HE STATES HE WOULD TAX MIDDLE CLASS, JUST SLOWER THAN PEROT. WHEN  
QUESTIONED IF HE WOULD WEAR A UNIFORM OR NOT AND TO GIVE THE LOWEST  
FIGURE HE WOULD TAX, NEVER ANSWERED EITHER ONE.


THIS SHOULD WAKE UP AMERICA.  
DOROTHA HUBANKS  
BORN AGAIN CHRISTIAN

18:11 EST

MGMCOMP

# NORTHERN STEAMSHIP SERVICES

402 FORD STREET • OGDENSBURG, NEW YORK 13669 • U.S.A.



HEAVY LIFT SALVAGE  
STEAMSHIP AGENTS  
TERMINAL OPERATORS  
STEVEDORE CONTRACTORS

(315) 393-2370

409-426-6293

Edward J. Keenan  
1905 Pine Tree Drive  
Edgewater, N.J. 32141

NRN

support

Oct 19, 1992

Mr James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a letter I sent to the  
New Smyrna Beach, Fl. News Observer  
They deleted the portions of my letter  
I have high lighted

Some people may wake up if these points  
are given nation wide attention.

Good Luck, I hope you can pull  
the rabbit out of the hat. I do believe  
President Bush's problems began with  
Mr John Sununu and his approach to  
Congress

Sincerely,  
Edward J. Keenan

P.S. Bush. Quail signs are on my lawn.

CORRESPONDENTS IN ALL WORLD TRADE CENTERS

I

OCT 6, 1992

TO: EDITOR

DEAR SIR;

WHY, WHY, WHY is BILL CLINTON PLAYING ALL THESE MONKEY SHINING GAMES ABOUT DODGING THE DRAFT? ALL HE HAD TO DO, WAS ENLIST. MANY YOUNG MEN ATTENDING COLLEGE DID. THEY RETURNED AND FINISHED LATER, THEIR EDUCATION. NUMEROUS OTHER YOUNG MEN, DURING OUR NATION'S TIME OF NEED, ENLISTED ALSO. CLINTON DIDN'T HAVE TO WAIT OR TRY TO AVOID THE DRAFT. NEITHER GEORGE BUSH OR JOHN F. KENNEDY DID, THEY ENLISTED. I WOULD NOT LIKE TO TRUST THE LIVES OF MY CHILDREN, IN THE SERVICE WITH CLINTON AS COMMANDER AND CHIEF! WOULD YOU?

PEOPLE, SHOULD, I HOPE REALIZE FOREIGN DIPLOMACY AND POLICY IS VERY INTERENTWINED WITH FOREIGN TRADE. SKILLFULL HANDLING OF THESE MATTERS CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE LONG RUN. THE WORLD IS IN A DEPRESSED STATE BUSINESS WISE. NO QUICK FIX WILL SOLVE THIS. ONLY PATIENCE, TOGETHER WITH SKILLFULL AND ASSERTIVE DIPLOMACY, TRADE NEGOTIATIONS, UNDERSTANDING AND COOPERATION, WILL WE AND OUR FOREIGN TRADING PARTNERS, COME BACK TO BETTER TIMES. GEORGE BUSH CAN BE TRUSTED TO CONTINUE ALONG THESE LINES. HE HAS THIS TYPE OF EXPERIENCE AND IS TRUSTED AND RESPECTED BY OUR OVERSEAS PARTNER. CLINTON DOES'NT HAVE A CLUE. ONLY SUPPOSED EXPERTS, OF QUESTIONABLE QUALIFICATIONS.

NOW THE LATEST POSSIBILITY, MRS CLINTON WILL BE APPOINTED TO A CABINET POSITION OF SOME CAPACITY! HOW ABOUT JENNIFER FLOWES BEING APPOINTED SOCIAL SECRETARY? SHE COULD THEN KEEP TRACK OF WHEN MRS. CLINTON IS OUT OF TOWN!

ALL THE PROMISES CLINTON MADE CAN COST OVER \$250 BILLION DOLLARS. GOD HELP, THE RICH, THE MIDDLE CLASS AND THE BIGGEST POOL OF FEDERAL MONEY, SOCIAL SECURITY FUNDS. ALL OF THESE SOURCES WOULD BE PRIME TARGETS, TO PAY FOR THESE PROGRAMS. MOSTLY FOR SHORT TIME JOBS AND SERVICES.

THIS COULD CAUSE INCREASED INFLATION, INCREASED TAXATION AND INCREASED INTEREST RATES. TOGETHER WE COULD RETURN TO SQUARE ONE, OF THE CARTER ADMINISTRATION.

LET'S STOP KIDDING OURSELVES. BE SERIOUS, ELECT GEORGE BUSH, A MAN WHO IS TRYING HIS BEST IN A KNOWLEDGEABLE WAY. WE MUST ALSO KEEP OUR SENSE OF HUMOR EVEN THOUGH POLITICS ARE SERIOUS BUSINESS AND AFFECT OUR LIVES AND POCKET BOOKS. LET'S KEEP IT ALL IN PROSPECTIVE.

Sincerely  
Ed Exelman  
EDGEWATER

Edward J. Hansen  
1905 Pine View Drive  
Sarasota, FL 32141



Mr. James Baker  
Chief of Staff to Pres. Bush  
White House  
Washington,  
D.C. 20500

October 20, 1992

NPN

Negative  
media

Denis Brusco  
4200 University  
Dallas, TX 75205  
214-526-8844

Secretary James Baker  
Secretary of State  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:

This year's electronic coverage is offering some special actions that are hard not to notice. It has me wondering about the supportive selling of liberals and the less positive selling of conservatives. Maybe conservatives should pander to liberals and use the word "moderate" instead of conservative. Moderates could then see how long it would take liberals to politically correct "moderate" to mean something else.

It seems the media could be guilty of selling bad news about a good man - George Bush - and good news about a bad man - Bill Clinton. Could this have something to do with liberal media support of Clinton since their well publicized Hollywood Party. I wonder how it will affect taxpayers should Clinton win and be able to have "favorably controlled news"?

George Bush has done a fine job of showing Americans how 38 years of Democratic domination of legislation has caused economic havoc. Had the leading Democrats in Washington had term limits, Americans might believe the Democratic party is capable of change. A note for humor - along with term limits in Washington, we might want to consider term limits on TV, Radio and Print to avoid being subjected to gutter journalism.

Some media lapses during Campaign 92 include: neglecting to explain why Bill Clinton's Arkansas does not have "affirmative action" laws; the passed over "Black woman up for promotion" story of the Jennifer Flowers\Bill Clinton job placement policy; the not necessary to look into, or provide further information on, the Clinton camp hiring a Law firm and Investigative firm because 19 women came forward with complaints about Clinton's exploitations of women. It looks like the media feels these 19 women are lying but Anita Hill was telling the truth, even after Joe Biden explained the "Cockrobbin" Kate Michaelman conspiracy to deminish Clarence Thomas. Throw in the new Iran Contra stories after the Democrats spent millions and found nothing, and the word attack has new meaning.

These lapses lend about as much confidence to media as Tom Brokaw running for office lends to media not reporting that Sinead O'Connor found it necessary to wear a star of David choker to rip the Pope. Imagine a media that supports taking character out of a Presidential election and making it a non-issue to benefit Bill Clinton. What could media elite have on their mind? I wonder what liberal media and political correctness stand to gain by going out of their way to conceal Clinton's background.

Sincerely,

Denis Brusco

NRN

support -  
neg. media

3303 E. County 15th St  
Yuma, Arizona 85365  
October 16th, 1992

Mr. James Baker III  
Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am sending the enclosed letter to my daily newspaper, to the New York Times, The Washington Post, Mr. John Sununu of Crossfire, and Mr. Ted Koppel of Nightline because I feel very strongly about the character issue and I don't believe the media is responding to it. I hope some of these people will.

I was a registered Democrat the greater part of my life until JFK played fast and loose with the Presidency. I abhorred his womanizing not only because he was my President but also because he was a married man of my faith. Then along came Nixon and that side was tarnished, but my image of the Presidency was restored under Reagan and Bush. I feel so strongly about the character of the person who will be President that I think there can never be too much discussing of it if there is a possibility of the character being flawed. I am not referring to broken political promises. It is hard enough for any President to keep his promises but when opposed by an opposition Congress it is almost impossible,

Senator Alan Simpson on Nightline last night put forth the same opinion that I have----this Democratic congress has deliberately set out to win the White House by making ever effort of the incumbent President seem to fail and the media has been so biased against President Bush it's a wonder we get any news at all.

I hope that in some small way perhaps my letter will be of help to you--I'm sure passing the word every way I can.

Sincerely

*Alice M Woods*

Alice M. Woods

P.S. I write locally under my maiden name to avoid crank phone calls.

3303 E. County 15th St  
Yuma, Arizona 85365  
October 16, 1992

Letters to the Editor  
The Yuma Daily Sun  
P.O. Box 271  
Yuma, Arizona 85366

Sir:

After the second presidential debate the media began singing the praises of the young man who asked the candidates to stop the mud slinging and stick to the issues. The mud slinging being the Republican attacks on the character of Clinton.

Many people don't seem to realize that the character of the person who wants to sit in that Oval office is a viable issue in a presidential campaign. If that character is lacking in integrity, honesty and trustworthiness, is that person qualified to hold our highest office?

Richard Nixon was a very able President in many areas, but his basic character fell far short when the chips were down resulting in the worst Presidential scandal in history.

Would we have had the S & L scandals if the managers of such institutions had had a strong character of integrity and honesty? We, here in Yuma County, should be well aware of what happens when our public officials lack character traits of honesty, integrity and trustworthiness as our recent property tax scandal shows.

Some have said that what a person has done twenty years ago is not important now---tell that to Jane Fonda. In my opinion to demonstrate against your own government on foreign soil when that government is fighting a war is tantamount to aiding and abetting the enemy. If we had stood solidly together during the Vietnam years as we did in Desert Storm might there not have been a different outcome to the action? Thank heavens the media acted responsibly during Desert Storm and did not give dissenters the prime slot on the evening news.

Does the integrity of our Congress shine forth when they play politics with our lives? Has anyone ever considered that the Democratic controlled Congress may have deliberately played politics the last four years so that in an election year they could say we have a failed President and the country needs a change? Is their integrity, honesty and trustworthiness showing when they hook pork barrel items on the President's programs and then crow when the

veto comes through, saying the President doesn't care about the people because he vetoes the family leave bill, the unemployment benefits extension bill and on and on? The media never tells why the President vetoes a bill and neglects to report long on his signing a restructured unemployment benefits bill.

The President mentioned in the debate last night that he had vetoed some of his own programs because of the pork tied to them but did the media have the integrity to mention that?

Where is the integrity of Time-Warner when they say the First Amendment protects their right to sell records advocating the killing of policemen?

If more of our citizens had the moral character of integrity, honesty and trustworthiness wouldn't our society be a better place to live in? We'd have less marital infidelity, less corporate crime, less children on welfare for lack of fatherly support, less consumer rip offs, and perhaps even less crime in our streets.

Therefore, isn't the character of the man we choose to lead this great nation of ours an issue to be discussed?

*A. M. Crummett*

A. M. Crummett

NPN

14714 Bramblewood  
Houston TX 77079  
15 October 1992purppat  
puggat

Mr. James Baker, Chairman  
Bush-Quayle Campaign Headquarters  
1030 15<sup>th</sup> Street NW, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington DC 20005

Dear Mr. Baker -- or his deputy,

Even though this is not a beautifully typed letter, please, please read it and give some attention to my suggestions regarding President Bush's campaign before this election is irreversibly lost! I wish to make a few specific suggestions as to what could be done/said in future speeches/debates/appearances by Bush and Quayle. I respectfully beg you to heed them!

1. Whenever Clinton or Gore throws out their oft-repeated statistic that "American workers' wages have fallen from 1<sup>st</sup> to 13<sup>th</sup> in the world," nail them to the wall with these questions:
  - a. Are those take-home wages?
  - b. What is the purchasing power of those foreigners' wages?

Your campaign people will need to do some research to get the figures on this, but (having lived abroad a lot) I feel absolutely certain that:

- a. This "13<sup>th</sup> ranking" is of gross wages, not net, and that in take-home pay we're still at or near the top because all those affluent countries (like Germany, Sweden, & Norway) have enormously high rates of income tax. (In Norway, for

Clinton's famous "over \$200,000" category, the income tax rate is, I believe, around 80%. Even the lowest rate in Europe is high.) Telling the American public about these figures would be very eye-opening.

- b. I suspect that the U.S. workers' purchasing power is still 1<sup>st</sup> in the world among major industrial nations; you'd have to check on this. Prices abroad, in developed affluent nations, are astronomical! A lot of this is due to their use of the V.A.T. (usually between 15% & 20%) added to the cost of goods (& services, I think) at every step of production and transportation. I think that the Democratic Party has in the past come out in favor of V.A.T.

Pursuant to this, point out the irony & hypocrisy of the Clinton/Gore statements: <sup>(the Dems)</sup> They feel that it's wicked for well-off American individuals & businesses to actually succeed and make money, and that the right thing to do is to spread all that money around among the less fortunate. But to them it's right for the U.S.A., the richest country in the world (when all is said & done) to keep making even more money and to begrudge other countries a similar rise in affluence. How selfish & mean-spirited can you get?! What hypocrisy!!

2. Whenever the Democrats berate trickle-down Economics (their favorite tactic), hit them with these facts & observations (in order to make it clear to the average American who's listening):
- a. Emphasize that trickle-down did

work all through the 80's and that our economy stalled only after the Democratic program of tax increases (which the President reluctantly agreed to go along with) was put into effect. That's when things started going downhill. <sup>We have had 12 bad years!! Only the last 2 or 3 have been recession.</sup>

b. Emphasize more emphatically that the recession is world-wide. Point out that even Germany & Japan are mired in it, too (with office buildings now standing empty in downtown Tokyo and Japanese former <sup>company</sup> executives now driving cabs). It is not President Bush's fault; it is a natural consequence of a lot of things, including (I suspect... but I'm no economist!) the break-up of the Soviet Union and the resulting change and uncertainty attendant thereto, worldwide.

c. Hit them again with the irony & hypocrisy of their statements, because their entire program of "change & improvement" is also based on trickle-down -- only they want trickle-down through government rather than through business & the people themselves. (Always the paternalistic government approach!) Ask the electorate directly, "which sounds better & more likely to succeed -- to have trickle-down through the ever-increasing bureaucracy of the government, or to have trickle-down through the people, to have money to put into businesses and wages?"

3. Point out to the people emphatically that the only way to effect real change in this country is to get rid

of the liberals who now control all the major institutions which direct life in this country - the courts, the universities, public education, as well as the Congress. They are the real power structure as it exists now. Vote them out where possible!

4. Point out that the East Europeans themselves credit Reagan directly with the collapse of the Soviet Union - that this is not just something invented by the Republican party. If doves, placators, and wafflers had been in the White House/Chal Office, it would not have occurred.
5. Ask "What is it that creates jobs and increases employment?" and then answer, "It's encouraging employers." Then ask, "Now, does it encourage employers to slap a new 7% additional tax on their businesses, as Clinton is proposing?" And answer, "Of course not! That discourages employers, who then lay off workers. What you do is offer incentives..." etc., etc. etc.

... especially since the profit margin of most businesses is only 5-10%.

10/16/92  
P.S. Today's national trade figures would indicate otherwise, however.

6. Point out specifically all the <sup>areas</sup> ~~where~~ that the economy is doing well (or at least better) in, to show that things are doing better than the Democrats & the media would have <sup>you</sup> ~~you~~ believe. See the enclosed clipping on home purchases this year. "Does that sound like a rotten economy? NOT! Things are improving on many fronts."

7. Bush must stop being the gentleman that he is and must speak with more fire and punch! He should speak against Clinton & Gore in the same way & with the same vigor that he did

against Saddam Hussein! His  
very gentlemanly demeanor, for  
which he should be justifiably  
proud, comes across to viewers &  
listeners as weakness, not polite-  
ness. Where's the fire of 18 months  
ago?!? He's got to sound more  
strong & confident!!

I am more concerned about this election  
than any other in my lifetime. (I'm  
middle-aged.) I see it as a watershed,  
not for the next 4 years but (because  
of Supreme Court appointments) for the  
next 25-30 years. If liberals succeed  
in taking control now, I feel sure that  
the trend they will continue toward  
license rather than liberty and  
toward the suppression of so many  
of our time-honored values will be  
irreversible. The country's moral  
fiber is at stake, in my opinion.  
People - goaded by the media - keep  
going on and on about the economy;  
but I ask the old Biblical question,  
"What does it profit a man to gain  
the whole world and lose his soul?"

I hope that you find at least some  
of my ideas useful. If not, I  
feel a bit better to have expressed  
them anyway.

Thank you for your attention.

Very truly yours,  
Mary Kay Smith  
(Mrs. Louis E. Smith)

• Jim — friend?

Great article, we  
need more of this  
type thing!

Dr. M. C. Corliss  
1114 Jell Annie, Apt. 6  
Humboldt, N. 77019

## Outlook

Items on this page do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Houston Chronicle.

# Flat wrong to accuse Bush of coddling Saddam

By BRENT SCOWCROFT

**G**OV. Bill Clinton and Sen. Al Gore, aided and abetted by more than a few Democratic congressmen and the editorial pages of several of this country's leading newspapers, have worked hard to deceive the American people. In pursuit of the White House, they have energetically promoted a campaign to discredit U.S. policy toward Iraq prior to Saddam Hussein's invasion of Kuwait, to malign President Bush's proven foreign policy competence and to obscure their own inconsistency.

The facts — based on the entire record and not selective portions of it — are clear. During the worst days of the Iran-Iraq war in the mid-1980s, the United States was intent on preventing either Iraq or Iran from dominating a part of the world of undeniable strategic importance to the United States. No American president operating in the strategic and diplomatic climate of the 1980s could ignore the threat that either Iran or Iraq might dominate the Persian Gulf.

There was a broad bipartisan consensus behind the open U.S. policy of providing political and economic support to Iraq during the latter stages of its war with Iran. The U.S. Navy openly intervened in the Persian Gulf. Congress debated and approved Operation Earnest Will. Congressional intelligence committees reviewed and concurred with our activities in the region.

At the war's end, Congress did not challenge our policy of trying to moderate Iraqi behavior with a mix of limited incentives and strong disincentives. Our purpose, broadly understood and supported at the time, was to convince Iraq that moderate international and domestic behavior would be rewarded. We were right to attempt to convince Saddam that he had more to gain from peaceful relations with the West and southern Persian Gulf states than from confrontation, radicalism and aggression. We were right to try

Scowcroft is national security adviser to the president.

to induce stability into the region without the force of American arms and the risk of American lives.

Our policy had universal support within the Arab world. Kuwait strongly supported it. So did moderate states like Egypt. So did every European power. So did virtually every American expert on the Middle East. So did most members of Congress. Our policy contributed substantially to our subsequent success in forging a victorious U.N. coalition to defeat Iraqi aggression in Kuwait.

We in no way "coddled" Saddam. Our public and our private statements critical of Iraqi policies, including its human rights abuses and its threats against Israel and gulf neighbors, were so sharp that our Arab allies — including Kuwait — cautioned us about our harshness.

To give Saddam incentives to moderate his behavior, the Bush administration, with considerable congressional support, authorized \$1 billion in credit guarantees — not loans or cash — to U.S. exporters selling grain to Iraq. Only half of those funds were ever released. The Banca Nazionale del Lavoro, which has been accused of illegal transactions with Iraq, was not involved in any of the credit guarantees approved by the Bush administration. Contrary to our critics' assertions, no investigation — by Congress, the U.S. attorney or a federal agency — has established that Iraq misused credit guarantees to purchase weapons or diverted commodities to a third country.

In fact, this extension of credit guarantees was more than offset by Iraqi hard-currency payments to the United States for earlier agricultural exports that reduced Iraq's debt to the United States by more than \$450 million. Most of

the money still owed by Iraq to the United States is for credit guarantees extended during the Iran-Iraq war. The administration intends to recover this debt from Iraq's frozen assets.

Perhaps the most egregious falsehood that our political critics have forced on the public is that the Bush administration sold high technology to Saddam to enhance his nuclear, chemical and biological weapons program. The U.S. government's export controls toward Iraq were tougher than those of any other industrial country. We followed a strict policy of denying the export of weapons to Iraq. Whenever we learned of an attempt to evade U.S. law, we stepped in and clamped down and worked hard to get other countries to do the same.

We have no reason to conceal any of this from Congress. The charge of a cover-up is outrageous and irresponsible. The administration has provided literally thousands of documents to Congress at a cost of tens of thousands of man hours and hundreds of thousands of dollars. We have not denied Congress access to a single document.

Similarly, there is no justification for the charges of illegal conduct or wrongdoing by senior administration officials. The demand for an independent counsel when there is no basis for one is sheer McCarthyism — an attempt to transform a legitimate policy debate into a criminal conspiracy.

These are the facts about U.S. policy toward Iraq. It is too bad that our critics have chosen to distort them into unfounded accusations and lies. It would be better if their energies and ours were spent in constructive debate about how best to deal with the challenges posed by governments such as Iraq — bad, but important actors on the world scene. We would welcome such debate, but regrettably, it has not occurred. Clinton, Gore and their teammates are too busy rewriting history to learn from it.

Jim!  
Excellent  
Nan

JAB-07A

NRN

October 20, 1992

Mr. James Baker  
Chief of Staff  
White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.; NW  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

Let me, first of all, express my admiration to you for the superb job you did as the Secretary of State.

As the election campaign is winding down, I am concerned about the liberal press (print and electronic) attempting to make their dream come true, by declaring Mr. Clinton a victor, and then hoping for a self-fulfilled prophecy.

I have sent the attached article to several newspapers and magazines, but I am not sure it will see the light of day, given the bias of the press. Therefore, I am sending a copy of it to you, in the hope that you may be able to use in the final days of the campaign.

I end by expressing my hope that you will have an opportunity to serve the country for 4 more years, with President Bush.

Sincerely,

*B. I. Martins*  
(Bambino I. Martins)  
35 Birchly Court  
Westville  
NJ 08093

609-384-1984 (eve.)

October 17, 1992

**ONE PERSON'S MEAT IS ANOTHER ONE'S POISON**

by Bambino I. Martins

This presidential election pits an incumbent republican president against a democratic candidate, who, in his campaign ads, disavows his old democratic heritage and claims to be for change.

I am a Catholic immigrant from Goa, India. I present, below, my critique of Mr. Clinton's views on various domestic issues of interest to the voters. I contend, that, at best, a Clinton presidency will be a sequel to the gloom and doom years of President Carter (Carter II in Hollywood parlance). The best for the US and the world would be a Bush presidency with a republican Congress.

Economy:

Mr. Clinton blames Mr. Bush for the sorry economic plight we are in. If this country is in such a mess economically, it is not because President Bush's policies have failed, but because Congress never gave his policies, such as line-item veto, balanced budget amendment, capital gains tax breaks, incentives to invest, relaxing of the regulatory grip and enterprise zones, a chance. Instead, democrats in Congress pushed through their own tax and spend agenda. It is ridiculous to blame Mr. Bush for the tax hike, which he reluctantly agreed to, but only after Congress agreed to cut spending. Moreover, as we all know, Congress subsequently reneged on that promise.

Mr. Clinton says that the trickle-down economic policy of Mr. Reagan and Mr. Bush has failed. If, by that he means that the tax breaks the rich received, did not translate into jobs for the poor, the reason is that most of the money was skimmed off the top by bureaucrats, regulators and slick lawyers leaving only pennies to trickle down. Giving incentives to business to invest, is a form of trickle-down economics. That is a republican program which Congress never gave a chance, and which, now, Mr. Clinton claims to be his own.

Mr. Clinton says that, under Mr. Bush, the rich got all the tax breaks and that the middle class got soaked. Yet, even his own policy calls for collecting only about 20 billion dollars in taxes on those with incomes above \$200,000 and, at best, only modest tax relief for the middle class. Did the middle class get only a modest soaking, and does he expect to balance the budget with 20 billion dollars? Or is the tax on the rich motivated by a desire to appease the electorate?

Mr. Clinton wants to adopt the 'dog in the manger policy': if I cannot have it, yours should be confiscated. Redistribution of wealth did not work in the Soviet Empire, neither will it work here. Impoverishing the rich does not enrich the poor, as Mr. Castro has learnt by now. In their decision to rescind the surtax on luxury yachts, even some democrats seem to have recognized the folly of soaking the rich and its effect on job-creation.

Mr. Clinton claims to have worked a miracle in Arkansas. Yet, after 12 years of creating jobs, raising the standard of education and protecting the environment, Arkansas is still a State, with one of the lowest standards of living, and somewhere among the bottom 10 States, overall.

Cheap labor and environmental policies that permitted chicken shit to be dumped into the streams, attracted low paying jobs to Arkansas. Mr. Clinton not only wants to take credit for that, but he says he will work the same miracle for the rest of the country; no wonder he doesn't want low paying, polluting jobs to go South-of-the-border!

#### Defense:

All these years, the democrats told us that we spend too much on defense; now, Mr. Clinton says his defense spending will be about 95% of that of Mr. Bush, implying that there is only 5% fat in the defense budget. Again, that will not help balance the budget, but it makes him look good to those who see red in the Pentagon. The defense policies of Mr. Reagan and Mr. Bush were the primary reason for the collapse of the evil empire. Now that the fear of a nuclear holocaust has disappeared; let us not get our guard down by withdrawing US troops from Europe or from South Korea at a pace designed to please peacenicks and draft-dodgers.

#### Environment:

Long before man -- specifically one imbued with Western idea(l)s and culture? -- messed up the environment, many different species appeared and disappeared from the face of the earth. Darwin tells us that, in the natural course of evolution, due to the struggle for survival, the fittest survive. In contrast to this fundamental dogma of environmentalists, Mr. Gore would have us believe that, if the garter snail or the spotted owl is not allowed to inherit the earth, then man himself (woman too?) will perish!

Scientists tell us that the ozone hole, which seems to be changing shape, size and location, every time somebody looks at it, will cause innumerable skin cancers. Scientists warned us that if the US did not freeze its nuclear arsenal, unilaterally, if need be, the earth would be consumed in a nuclear holocaust and then frozen in a nuclear winter. Fortunately, Mr. Reagan and Mr. Bush kept up the pressure on the Soviet Union, and it collapsed. Scientists predicted dire consequences from the Kuwaiti oil fires. The fires came, but the consequences did not follow. Mr. Bush forged ahead with his campaign against Mr. Hussein, and liberated Kuwait, with minimal loss of life, despite the thousands of body-bags, that Mr. Kennedy warned us about.

Now, we are being warned about the greenhouse effect that will eventually cause the melting of the ice-caps resulting in a deluge not seen since Noah's time! Yet, scientist are not even sure if, for the next decade or so, the temperature of the earth will increase by a few degrees, due to the greenhouse effect or decrease by a few degrees because of the volcanic eruption in the Philippines.

We are constantly reminded about all the things that we eat, drink, breath and do, that can cause cancer. Pollution is supposedly killing us, cow's milk is bad for us, and yet the life span of Americans is continuously increasing even if ever so slowly; and this despite one of the worst health care systems in the world?

Incidentally, the despoiling of the environment has been blamed on capitalist greed, but, the worst pollution is in the former Soviet Empire -- a workers' paradise where Mr. Clinton chose to vacation!

#### Health Care:

Mr. Clinton's "managed" health care system is euphemistic for socialized medicine. It reminds me of Mr. Nehru's 'socialistic pattern of society'; that was a disaster for India, as, all too painfully, Indians are now realizing. Managed health care will be a disaster for the US. The apparent success of socialized medicine in the United Kingdom is due to the use of "slave" labor (doctors, from third world countries, including India). Waiting and rationing will be the order of the day under a managed care system, for the managers will be none other than bureaucrats and regulators. The post office is the model for the doctor's office of the future under new management!

The US spends more, per capita, on indigent care, than any other country, and the health care system is technologically one of the most advanced. Yet, patient care is dismal and infant mortality rate is shocking. Putting more money into the system, or putting a cap on a doctor's income or on an insurance company's profits will not provide better patient care, but only add to the deficit and delay the day of reckoning. Most of the blame for the dismal state of US health care lies with the defensive medicine that doctors are forced to practice, by unscrupulous lawyers, and by the regulatory agencies which often determine what doctors can and cannot do.

It is interesting to note that when Mr. Quayle wanted to expedite the FDA process for acceptance of new drugs, he was called a lackey of pharmaceutical companies; but, now, everybody wants a quick OK for new AIDS drugs. Also, Mr. Clinton wants to increase the expenditures on AIDS; despite, more money already being spent on AIDS than on many other single disease entities, even though some of these diseases claim more lives than does AIDS. Besides, AIDS, except in a few cases, is an easily preventable disease; and an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. When I say prevention, I don't mean using a condom for that only decreases the risk of getting AIDS from each encounter, but could potentially increase the total number of encounters, so that the final risk could be high. Using condoms is like playing Russian roulette, or should I say Koop's roulette, in honor of the former Surgeon General, who advocates its use? Incidentally, I wonder, why Mr. Clinton is opposed to breast implants or to nuclear power, where the risks are probably less.

### Family Values:

When democrats talk of family values, they think of family leave, jobs, health and welfare, i.e. material things which appeal to the poor, but are important, only secondarily. If material possessions were the cornerstone for a happy family, then many third world countries would have few happy families; and almost any family in Tinsel Town would replace the Holy Family as the model for a happy family! Note that for years, republicans have been trying to reform the welfare system, which has destroyed the fabric of the family by creating an addictive dependency on it. Mr. Reagan wanted to make it a 'safety net'; now, Mr. Clinton says he wants welfare to be a 'second chance' and not a way of life.

Spiritual values, such as concepts of right and wrong, self-esteem, discipline, honesty, integrity and self-responsibility are the family values that should be important. Can lying to Congress be despicable, but evading the draft or cheating on one's wife be excusable? A waffling candidate is like driftwood wobbling in troubled waters. No matter how messy the economy is, how can the character of a Presidential candidate be irrelevant?

### Equal Rights - Women, Minorities and Discrimination:

The concept of equality is based on moral principles. Science teaches us the contrary: the fit live, while the meek and the misfit become mince meat. Therefore, granting equal protection to everyone and every form of behavior is anti-scientific and tragic to the further evolution of humankind. It is an unnatural interference with the laws of nature and an ultimate example of wo/men recreating the world in their image (Anthropocentricity at its worst?).

If we are brothers and sisters, it is certainly not because of common parentage, because there is no scientific evidence for that. We are brothers and sisters because we have a common Father in God the Creator; and it is in His eyes that we are all equal despite our very obvious differences in mental and physical abilities. In any case, neither equal rights nor human rights should be used to exonerate suspects because of technical flaws by the police or prosecutors or to justify coddling of criminals.

Why be satisfied with bilingual access to public services and not insist on multilingual access? Why stop at stopping smoking in public places, and place the family, at home, at risk? Why require ethno-centric courses in schools, but not demand vegetarian, kosher and ethnic courses in public eating places?

Moral principles, and not political expediency, should guide us in deciding which behaviors should have equal protection under the law, and which peoples should receive added protection (Affirmative Action?) from the law. Being against racial discrimination because of equal rights, but for Affirmative Action, in spite of equal rights, can be justified only on the basis of moral principles; and Mr. Clinton, as with abortion, has qualms about applying moral principles to resolve social problems.

### Abortion:

Even though Mr. Clinton and Mr. Gore claim to be against unrestricted abortions, particularly, once the fetus is viable, yet, both have refused to state, what, if any restrictions they would place on a woman's right to abort her unborn child. Both of them are silent on the rights of the father, particularly in those situations where a married woman agrees to bear a child, then chooses to abort.

Also, does this new-fangled idea, that the right of privacy grants one the authority to do anything one desires with one's body, by extension, legalize suicide? In any case, from the moment of conception, the fetus, based on Molecular Biology, is an entity, distinct from the mother and not a part of her body. Unlike her other body parts, tissues and organs, the fetus has an explicit and different genetic make-up.

The mother's womb is a *life support system* for the baby. The fetus is no more a part of the womb than is a person attached to a life support system, a part of that system. Society does not give anyone the right to take someone off a life support system without just cause, so why does Mr. Clinton want that right for women?

### Education:

Mr. Clinton and Mr. Gore say they are pro-choice when it comes to a woman choosing to butcher her unborn child. I use the word, butcher, deliberately, not to inflame passions, but to describe graphically one of the procedures in which the fetus is torn asunder from limb to limb. But they will not give a mother the choice to educate her child in a school of her choice. Supposedly it would be an infringement of the first amendment if any aid given to a child were used at a church school. But the federal government (IRS) permits one to deduct charitable donations to religious organizations; and this policy has stood the test of time and court challenges. I think Mr. Clinton fears a collapse of the public school system if parents are given a choice; and even more, he is afraid of public school teacher unions.

Public schools are a mess not because enough money is not spent on education - parochial schools do a far better job for less money -- but because students are not taught values (because a Godless State has no idea as to what or whose values to teach?) and because of a lack of self-discipline or discipline insisted upon by the teachers (How can we infringe on the rights of students to use obscenities?). The second amendment may or may not grant one the right to bear arms, but there seem to be privacy rights that prevent schools from having metal detectors at school entrances, thus facilitating the carrying of guns or knives to school.

Sex education was supposed to solve the problem of teen-age pregnancy and venereal disease; instead we have an epidemic of both. Now we are being told that free distribution of condoms and drug paraphernalia in schools will resolve the AIDS crisis. We would not attempt to solve the problem of bank robberies by legalizing robberies or requiring banks to distribute money

freely at the banks. Nor would we want the federal government to set up neighborhood chapters of the "Society for the Proliferation and Protection of Neighborly Hoods" to teach the hoods in the neighborhood, in strictest confidence and at tax-payers expense, how to avoid the evil consequences of their own evil actions. That is the role for shysters and for schools for scoundrels, which is what public schools are fast becoming.

In conclusion then, communism attempted to destroy the soul by denying its existence; it failed miserably, but it exacted a heavy price from its victims, beneficiaries, benefactors and adversaries. Liberalism attempts to corrupt the soul by shrouding it in humanism. Liberalism, too, is taking its toll. In the name of fair-play, equality, justice and liberty for all, bleeding heart liberals are destroying the very fabric of our society, through their relentless attacks on traditional family values. It is said that the way to hell is paved with the best of intentions; and with the best of intentions, Mr. Clinton wants to take the US, on the low road, to where communism led the Soviet people.

JAN-05



NRN

Portrait taken  
50 years ago

Joy to the World!



340 Smith Mesa, #502  
San Pedro  
California 90731

October 16, 1992

Mr. James Baker, Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

Blew all your help with nation's leaders for  
World Peace.

Our government helping other nations will  
most certainly reap, as in the Bible, "Reap what  
you sow" --. Surely we will find prosperity!

Special Care,  
Joy De Lange  
Sidney Klein &  
new, 8 years, underground.