

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2000-0715-F

FOIA Number:
2000-0715-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Baker, James A., III, Files
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files

OA/ID Number: 93008
Folder ID Number: 93008-006

Folder Title:
[Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [10]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	0	0	0	0

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet (George Bush Library)

Doc. No. / Type	Subject/Title	Date	Restriction	Classification
01. Letter	From Daniel Yerkey to James Baker Re: Tax deductions (2 pp.)	10/02/92	(b)(6)	
02. Letter with Attachment	From: John H. Chen to James Baker Re: Political Issues [Open Upon Deed of Gift - March 16, 2015] (2 pp.)	10/16/92	PRM	

Page 1 of 1

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III, Files
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [10]

Pinksheet Number: dw29
OA/ID Number: 05599-010
Date Closed: 4/20/2001
FOIA/Sys Case #: 2000-0715-F
Re-review Case #:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:

JAB-08A

NRW

832421

Dolph R. Frisius
120-A Valley Oaks Dr.
Visalia, California 93292

October 5, 1992

The Honorable James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

You have already made very significant contributions to the United States of America. I sincerely appreciate your many accomplishments as Secretary of State and your willingness to step down to support our President. I would love to see you return to the position of Secretary of State so that you may continue to lead the way in the many extremely important changes that are taking place in Russia, Eastern Europe, Western Europe, Mexico and other nations around the world.

Moreover, I believe that as a team, President Bush and yourself are capable of helping to establish a new era of post-Communist cooperation in trade and in other international endeavors. Therefore, it is exceedingly important that President Bush be re-elected as President of the United States in this time of great international opportunity.

I believe that the up-coming debates present the best hope for President Bush to let this nation know that he is by far the best man to fill the Office of President. Therefore, please allow me to present a few heart-felt suggestions which I deeply hope you will pass on to the President.

My suggestions for Mr. Bush to bear in mind when he debates are as follows:

1. Smile often.
2. Look relaxed.
3. Laugh (perhaps at an outrageous accusation).
4. DON'T SHOW ANGER! (Anger would give a very damaging impression).
5. Be confident! After all, he has by far the best credentials and ability.
6. Include little stories (parables) to make one or two points very clear. Abraham Lincoln did this very successfully. The people understood his points and loved it.
7. Avoid big words and vague ideas. Use clear examples.

Letter to the Honorable James Baker
From: Dolph R. Frisius
October 5, 1992
Page 2

8. Avoid attacking Mr. Clinton for personal things such as draft dodging. Rather, President Bush should simply point to his own leadership experience, as in World War II and in Desert Storm.
9. Emphasize positive things which he intends to work toward in the next four years (such as helping to create private sector jobs by encouraging international trade, reducing capital gains tax, reducing other taxes, etc.)
10. Prepare for the debates by knowing the major ideas he wishes to present, and then suggest that Mr. Bush practice presenting those ideas privately, perhaps to yourself, several times.
11. Be prepared to answer each major assertion made by the Democrats, such as the "read my lips" matter, the current state of the economy, the Iran-Contra matter and other points Mr. Clinton has been Making. Help the President be prepared for these points which you know are coming. Then he will be able to answer them calmly and confidently.
12. Pray to the Lord Almighty for wisdom.

In conclusion, I deeply believe that Mr. Bush's re-election is critical to the well-being of this nation and I pray for his and your success. Please accept the enclosed outline of a debate position paper for whatever it is worth.

May God bless you, President Bush, and the United States of America!

Respectfully submitted,



Dolph R. Frisius
Owner
Merry Maids #615

DF:cf
Enc.

SUGGESTED DEBATE RESPONSES

1. ECONOMY

Governor Clinton:

The economy is worse now than at any time in the past 50 years.

President Bush's answer:

- (a) When this Administration's proposals are adopted, our economy will be spurred forward. The Democratically controlled Congress has blocked nearly all of our economic proposals, including capital gain reductions and the line-item veto. It is time for the Democrats in Congress to cooperate with the people of this nation by adopting my economic proposals.
- (b) I am confident that our economy will soon take an upturn. There are vast untapped foreign markets which will soon be purchasing American goods and services as new democracies become strengthened. I am working with the leaders of many nations to secure economic agreements.
- (c) The North American Trade Agreement which we have negotiated with Canada and Mexico will result in the creation of thousands of new jobs in this country.
- (d) I believe in the ability of the American people to move our economy forward if they are not too restricted by big government. The free enterprise system will work if it isn't stymied by government mandates, taxes and bureaucracy. That is why I am working for incentives to stimulate private business, thereby creating new jobs across this land.

2. EFFECTIVENESS

Governor Clinton:

If you haven't been able to persuade Congress to pass measures in the past four years, what makes you think you will be able to do so in the next four years?

President Bush's answer:

- (a) I am confident that the American people are ready for a change in Congress. The people want much more responsible elected representatives who will not engage in the irresponsible activities such as bad check writing. Americans are outraged by such unethical activities. I am very hopeful that the people will send more Republicans to the Congress.

SUGGESTED DEBATE RESPONSES - continued

- (b) I intend to go directly to the people when proposing positive incentives for Congressional approval. If Democrats attempt to block future proposals which would help our economy, those Congressmen will have to answer to their constituents. I am confident that we will be successful in getting these important measures adopted by the Congress.

3. NEW TAXES

Governor Clinton:

Before you took office, you said "Read my lips" to the American people, promising that you would not approve any new taxes. Yet, you signed one of the largest tax increases in history. How do you account for that betrayal to the American people?

President Bush keeping his cool):

I made a mistake. I tried to work with the Democratic majority in Congress and allowed myself to be persuaded that the next taxes were necessary. Now, those same Democrats with whom I cooperated are complaining that I should not have approved the taxes. I extended the hand of friendship to them, and it was bitten. I learn from my mistakes. That is one mistake I won't make again.

4. HEALTH INSURANCE

Governor Clinton:

The cost of health care has been soaring in the past few years. Millions of Americans are unprotected by any form of health protection. Therefore, I am proposing a comprehensive health program in which costs will be held down and the employer will pay for health insurance for their employees. All Americans will be protected for health care under my proposal.

President Bush:

I have several serious concerns regarding Governor Clinton's proposal:

- (a) Every time America has attempted price controls, it hasn't worked. Such controls have been placed on the medical profession in other countries. One result is that there are tremendous delays in people receiving needed operations. People die while waiting. Such systems are very inefficient.
- (b) Forcing all employers to pay for medical insurance for all of their employees and their families could put thousands of small businesses out of business, thereby

SUGGESTED DEBATE RESPONSES - continued

causing the loss of tens of thousands of jobs across this land. Some employers can afford such coverage. Many employers cannot.

- (c) Whatever happened to free enterprise, in which employees negotiate for benefits? Governor Clinton would by-pass employer-employee relations and have the U.S. Government dictate employee benefits to the employers.

This is central government control! It isn't free enterprise! It is also passing the buck of responsibility of health care to the employers of this nation. That isn't fair. That isn't right.

Health Care: My Proposal:

My proposal will bring health care to millions of Americans without passing the buck to employers. It will work as follows:

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

5. NORTH AMERICAN TRADE AGREEMENT

Governor Clinton: I would be in favor of a free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada, but only if it includes the following requirements: (1) Mexico will provide environmental controls on companies doing business with the United States, and (2) that it include fees to be collected from Mexico to assure that displaced American workers be retrained to obtain new jobs.

President Bush: I strongly believe that the Free Trade Agreement with Mexico and Canada will create thousands of new jobs in this country. The Mexican government has privatized thousands of formerly government-owned businesses. As a result, their people are prospering. Mexico and Canada are our allies. We should work together for our mutual benefit.

Mexico has already passed laws to clean up its environment. Moreover, the Free Trade Agreement, as it stands, already contains required environmental controls.

I firmly believe that many more jobs will be created than displaced. We have a free enterprise system. If we encumber the trade agreement with "fees," then we are stopping the free trade before it begins. Let us open the opportunity to our three nations to have a truly free market trade agreement which will benefit us all.

6. ENVIRONMENT

Governor Clinton: The air of most of our cities is still polluted as are streams and rivers. Oil has been spilled from tankers, killing wildlife and damaging the beauty of nature. Yet, you have

SUGGESTED DEBATE RESPONSES - continued

done little about this flagrant pollution.

President Bush:

During my administration, billions of dollars have been spent to clean up pollution. (Cite a few specific examples of clean-up and any new laws at this point). And I will continue to work toward the clean-up of our environment.

However, I believe that this can be carried too far too fast. When thousands of workers are put out of work in the Northwest because of the spotted owl, then we have gotten things out of perspective. We must use common sense in these things. Are birds more important than people? Spotted owls are perfectly capable of flying to nearby forests.

7. FAMILY VALUES

Governor Clinton: We Democrats do not believe that it is the government's proper role to determine what values the American people should or should not have. Rather, as President, I will support legislation that will guarantee that people are not discriminated against, regardless of their personal preferences. Our democratic government cannot, and should not, attempt to dictate moral values to the American people.

President Bush: America is faced with many basic problems, including child molestation, child beating, wife beating, rape, incest, pornography and child pornography. We are also faced with drugs being used by a large segment of our society. Drug use directly relates to crime. Drug addicts murder victims for drug money.

Clearly, moral values are the bulward of our society. Moral values are the basis on which the laws of our land are adopted. When we Republicans stress the importance of family values, we are simply recognizing the fundamental importance of basic moral values in our society. The vast majority of Americans recognize the need for cherishing family values.

We do not believe in dictating moral values to the American people, but we do believe that government should not encourage divorce or the bearing of children out of wedlock by increasing welfare payments for each new child born out of wedlock.

I believe that the problems of pornography, child abuse, rape, and men beating women directly relates to a breakdown in family values. The proper role of government is not to dictate moral values. We are a free society. But government should not encourage immorality. The laws of our land must be guided by high moral principles if our society is to survive. We are, after all, "one nation, under God."

SUGGESTED DEBATE RESPONSES - continued

8. WELFARE

Governor Clinton: As President I will propose that time limits be established on welfare payments to people to reduce the cost of this system.

President Bush: Governor Clinton and I are in substantial agreement on the idea of reducing welfare costs. Millions of people have made welfare a way of life. There are some people who, because of various handicaps, are unable to work. I am convinced that the majority of welfare recipients are capable of becoming productive workers.

Therefore, I will propose to the Congress that the welfare system be reformed to limit the time that able-minded, able-bodied people are eligible to receive this benefit. I will also propose that training programs be established which will help former welfare recipients to enter the work force.

9. DEFICIT

Ross Perot: This country is nearly \$2 trillion in debt. This is an extremely serious problem which will have to be addressed if this country is to avoid a financial catastrophe. I do not want to see our children and grandchildren faced with a mountain of debt that would be no fault of their own.

Under my proposal, all federal programs will be cut by 15% to start reducing the federal deficit. (And so on).

President Bush: The federal deficit must be reduced. We can reduce the size of many federal agencies. I intend to make deep budget cuts into various non-essential programs and projects in all future budgets during my administration. Bringing the federal expenses into line with current revenue will be one of my top priorities. If I am returned to office for four more years, I will strive diligently to reduce the federal deficit by at least 10% per year.

10. NATIONAL DEFENSE

Governor Clinton: Now that the Communist Bloc has been broken up, I will propose that we greatly reduce our military expenditures and use these savings to finance domestic programs.

President Bush: The defense of this nation is of paramount importance. There are always problems in the nations of the world. While we are not faced with a danger from the former Soviet Union, there are other hot spots which rise up. We cannot afford to dismantle our military. While reductions in military spending are possible, we must not greatly weaken our military.

We may be faced with new international emergencies. We must be prepared! Our military protects us. Therefore, while reasonable reductions in military spending are appropriate, we must use care to preserve our strength in an unstable world.

SUMMARY

The American people have a clear choice to make at the polls.

Economy:

I am for stimulating the economy through tax reductions, investment allowances, freer IRA's and capital gains tax reductions. I am also working to develop new foreign markets. The North American Trade Agreement will also soon become a reality. The combination of tax incentives and new and expanded foreign markets will stimulate the creation of thousands of jobs and bring tremendous economic growth in our country.

Governor Clinton is for "investing" more taxes in the system. This policy will lead to higher taxes and high inflation.

He believes in tax and spend and more government controls. I believe in free enterprise.

Foreign Relations and U.S. Military:

I have a vision of a more democratic world. The United States, working through the United Nations, can be an important contributor to the emerging democracies. We have won the cold war against imperial communism, but there is a danger. A mad dictator somewhere who acquires a nuclear weapon could threaten the United States.

I am for keeping our military strong. Governor Clinton would make huge military reductions. I believe that we must remain strong in recognition of the dangers which exist in a rapidly changing world.

I have had to make some dramatic decisions as in the case of Panama to return the country to the people. In "Desert Storm" I worked with heads of state of many countries. I have the experience and the strength to make tough decisions. I have proven to the American people that I can do the job.

Change vs. Progress:

Governor Clinton says that we need change. Yet he is proposing the same old "tax and spend" type of proposals. The programs might be different, but the ideas are the same. He would spend tax money on public works to create jobs. This type of job creation is temporary, and it is accomplished only through higher taxes. Such government programs lead to inflation which robs us all.

I am for holding to the fundamental principals set forth in the United States Constitution. I am for free enterprise. I believe in God and I believe in the ability and creativeness of the American people. I believe in upholding family values. I believe that the way to stimulate economic growth is by giving tax breaks, capital gains tax reductions and similar incentives.

Yet, there are many non-economic problems within our nation. Among these are high crime rates, drug abuse and over-crowded schools. I pledge to support our law enforcement agencies and to continue our education program against drugs. I have already outlined my program

SUMMARY - continued

to improve the education of our youth.

Look! We are the citizens of the finest country on this earth! Although we have experienced a temporary slowing of our economy, I am convinced that the economy will soon be booming. I am sure that the free trade agreement with Canada and Mexico will bring about increased exports. Once the Congress adopts my tax incentive proposals, business will be stimulated even further. Our economy will once again become vigorous.

In the final analysis, the American people must decide who they will trust to be their President. Do you want bigger government and controls or do you want experience? I pledge to continue to work for world peace, the reduction of nuclear terror, to try to stimulate our economy, and to stand up for anti-crime legislation and new programs on health provider reform.

I have the needed experience, the determination and the winning team to achieve many important goals on behalf of you, the people of the United States of America.

October 19, 1992

mm

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff

Mr. Baker:

I am writing to you in hopes that you can relay my feelings to The President.

As a small business owner, and employee I am frightened by the mood of "the people" to be so disenchanted with President Bush, that they are willing to sacrifice the good hard work of the 80's. Bill Clinton's cost (expense) to our Country must come through. President Bush so far has not communicated that message.

Please, somehow devise a plan to clearly communicate Mr. Clinton's plans to the people. The other area President Bush needs to clarify is Trickle Down Economics. The people have a misinformed liberal definition. Have The President explain in simple terms how Capital investment does provide dollars and jobs to the middle and lower class.

The major hole in Clinton's attack on President Bush's record is the 1986 Tax Reform Act and the 1990

The people need to know how the 1986 Tax Reform act affected development, real estate value, investment which in turn affected carpenters, plumbers, roofers, excavators, asphalt companies, landscape companies which then affected the workers who no longer had jobs to purchase consumer goods which in turn caused small businesses as well as large to lay off or close down! It must be made clear this was a Republican and Democratic agreement.

The 1990 Tax Increase — let the people know this was the Democrats plan to solve the recessionary problems. President Bush needs to repeat clearly over and over the compromise he made and how big the increase was originally.

This is where Clinton's message is working. He's the Ronald Reagan Communicator. He's smooth and comfortable in front of the T.V. President Bush stumbles sometime and acts like he is flustered.

I don't always agree with our President, but I honestly feel he will not plunder our people and ruin our free enterprise as I believe Clinton and Gore will. The people just are not hearing that. They are

what President Bush hasn't accomplished,
that they're willing to take a chance
on Clinton.

Please, let ~~the~~ President Bush know
the people don't believe that just
because 150 new Congress members will be
voted in guarantees his plans will be
adopted. On the contrary, the issue is
if he couldn't do it before, why do
we think he can do it now? He
needs to be a strong leader and come
to the people when Congress won't stop
spending or includes billions of more
dollars in bills sent to President Bush.
Tell us what they're doing! We'll
listen and act.

I hope and pray President Bush wins
this election, and changes the tide of
government in the next four years, but
frankly I'm not so sure anymore that
he will win.

Thank you for listening.

A very concerned citizen

Deb Shangraw

974 Duck Pond Rd - 11 (South) 11 (North) 11 (West) 11 (East)
RCV BY: Xerox Telecopier 7020 110-18-92 9:40AM

Clinton's promises - some

1/20% pay workers training
unpaid leave

open 170 Manufacturer. Centers

Create 100 Commercial Dev. Banks

Increase minimum wage

742% pay or play health care

10 billion increase in Welfare for retraining

(6 billion ~~or~~ from defense cutting - 4 billion new taxes)

(No deficit Reduction)

College loans for everyone

~~###~~

All of the above will add
more employees to the government and
spend more money even if it comes
from defense etc. it still is more
spending!

~~###~~

SPECTRUM

FAX COVER SHEET

IMPORTANT

TO:

James Baker

FROM:

Deb Shangraw

DATE:

10/19/92

NUMBER OF PAGES (Including Cover Sheet):

5

DOCUMENTS BEING SENT:

Please Read!

Thank you for your time.

SPECTRUM, INC.

MANAGEMENT • CONSULTING • TRAINING

930 BRIGHTON AVENUE, PORTLAND, MAINE 04102
(207) 828-4003 FAX (207) 828-0027

Dear Mr Baker,

NEW

Looks like we sit by and let
our president get defeated. I
know we have a hard fight,
with the press and Clinton
with his lies. He will do any
thing to be president. There
is enough ^{rumor} against ^{him} to crucify
him. He talks about 4 more
years and read my lips.


We have had him 12 years
and maybe 4 more. He promised
the people of Ar. if they would
elect him Gov. The last term,
we would even for president.
no one say and any thing
about his promises. He
has a bad record - see it.

If you would speak out,
maybe people would
listen. Don't think I can
take Clinton 4 more years

Betha Gillham

401 Ward

H. 2. Ar 7/9/13



*Trini Baker
Wicks House
Washington D.C.*



401 word
Hot Springs Ar 71918

JAMES McDONALD ASSOCIATES

2650 Mission Street
San Marino CA 91108

Telephone 818 799 6620 Telex 6714973 Fax 818 799 6208

October 16 92

The Honorable James A. Baker LLL
The White House
Washington DC 20500

NPN

Dear Mr. Baker: Re: Need for statistics

Senator Gore keeps claiming that the economic crunch is the worst in 50 YEARS. Of course this is an outright lie (ala Clinton).

Is it possible for President Bush to go on paid television with some charts ala Perot and show just how minor this recession is compared with those in the past?

He cannot make it in the debate what with Perot in the middle and no cooperation from the moderators.

James McDonald

CARL A. RUGGIERO
101 Park Avenue, Suite 3500
New York, New York 10178
Telephone: (212) 696-6116
Fax: (212) 697-1559

TO:	James A. Baker, III	FAX NUMBER:	(202) 456-2461
FROM:	Carl A. Ruggiero, Esq.	DATE:	October 16, 1992
FILE:	890084-001		

NRN

The President's lackluster performance in the debates are causing a panic among Republicans here in New York. Doesn't he want to get re-elected?

The President must not continue to permit Clinton's gross distortions and fabrications on the state of the economy and the President's very substantial economic record to go unanswered.

We cannot understand why the President sits there at the debates and does not answer when Mr. Clinton makes comments such as "This is the worst economy in fifty years" and "trickle down economics is a failed Republican economic system". The President is certainly within his right to point out to Clinton and the television audience that since 1982, 18 million jobs have been created and that inflation and high interest rates directly caused by the Democrats under Jimmy Carter have been brought under control.

I point out to you that unemployment was 10.8% in the early 1980's because of the Carter policies and currently is 7.5%. The President should also point out that while the national debt is lamentable, it has dropped in terms of percentage of GDP and is a small price to pay for essentially forcing the Soviet Union into the "ash heap of history".

Any charge left unchallenged is presumed true! We cannot understand why the President just sits there and gives the impression that these distortions are actually true.

CARL A. RUGGIERO, ESQ.

James Baker/George Bush
Washington DC

Gentlemen:

You can still win... But to do so you will have to get the soft Clintonites. To do this you need to introduce two words: seductive and socialist. For every problem Clinton thinks there is a government solution. Most Americans do not agree.

In the last debate here is a closing remark possibility:

I have been President of these United States of America for four years. Prior to this honor I served in congress, the senate and was director of the CIA. Before serving this great country I was a successful businessman. Unlike my opponent, I have experienced the difficulties of making a living in the market place -- I am acquainted with the real world. Prior to that I served in the War. I am proud of these accomplishments.

But, I am probably most proud of having been a good father, grandfather and husband. I have been accused of clinging to power by my opponent. That is simply not true. I could easily step down and live a comfortable life these next four years rather than chart the vision for the country. But because of my love for my country I can't step aside. And I'll tell you why.

I have nothing against my opponent as an individual. He is truly a great campaigner, and some people say he is even seductive. The problem I have goes beyond the character and maturity issue. I fear for the very destiny of our country. They call this a fear tactic -- it is not.

The government can not solve all your problems. You would be much better off if the government intervened in your lives less. I am a compassionate man, but compassion cannot be coerced. Coerced compassion is socialism. And the problem with coerced compassion is it destroys creativity.

Plato introduced coerced compassion. Marx refined it. The communist regimes of Mao, Lenin and Stalin implemented it. Ronald Reagan and I destroyed it. My opponent wants to revive it.

My opponent has had some very specific answers to many questions. I applaud him for thinking through some complex issues. The problem with having the government answer every problem is it is based on a fundamental flaw. Government should not and certainly cannot attempt to solve all your problems. Remember this empirical proven fact: every

THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT**Phone (317) 575-4074****Fax (317) 571-5678****Fax To:****Number****Company****Name**

JAMES BAKER
GEORGE BUSH

Fax From:**Name**

R. J. FACH

Number of Pages**Comments:**

INTRODUCE 2 WORDS...

First Guardian Financial
18927 Farmington Rd.
Livonia, MI 48152

Frank Cortez is the President and Ron Ferenczi is the Managing Director of First Guardian Financial. For current stock recommendations, call Ron at 1-800-238-4464. Opinions expressed are their own, and not that of

TO: MR JAMES BAKER

WALL STREET - THE WEEK AHEAD

October 18, 1992

Title: " HERE WE GO AGAIN"

DEAR CLIENT. IN MY OPINION:

The things we should fear the most, are the "things not heard" and the "things not seen!" Remember "Read My Lips?" Remember, "the Democrats and the White House both agreed to balance the budget by raising taxes and cutting 251 billion in programs?" Remember the appeal from Mitchell, Kennedy, Biden, Simon, Foley, Gephardt and Pennata? This would solve our financial crisis and restore integrity to the budget process, by eliminating 251 billion dollars worth of marginal programs, and reducing our military. Well...We got the tax increase, we even got a smaller military. When Mitchell, and all the others, were asked "What programs they will be cutting, and when will it start?" Each reacted with indignity, all saying there was no agreement to reduce or eliminate programs. Bush was hung out to dry! Now comes Clinton! What is his program? You guess it! A 180 billion dollar tax increase, a 250 billion dollar reduction in programs, and another reduction in military spending. As the saying goes, cheat me once, shame on you; cheat me twice, shame on me. The question now arises, where is Mitchell, Foley, Kennedy, Gephardt and the others? Why have they not come forward and apologized, as Bush has, for this financial mess? Why have they not come forward and commit to the Clinton 250 billion dollar reduction in programs? Why is the press reluctant to pursue them for their commitment? Have we been convinced that we do not want to hear, or know, the truth? Is demanding the truth now considered negative? You can make "book" on the tax increase; you will lose your shirt if you bet on any spending cuts! The Federal Reserve Board is equally confused. It appears that all policy decisions are on hold. Gold and silver traders seem to be moving toward a focus on deflation rather than inflation.

For this week, short term traders seem to be slightly negative to neutral. With two weeks until the election, there seems to be little consensus as to the outcome. This situation may become more volatile as we near the final week. Intermediate term traders seem to share the same view as short term traders. They appear to be slightly more negative then was apparent during the past several weeks. Long term traders seem evenly divided on the long term direction of financial markets. It appears that their long term search for bargains has awoken to the realization that bargains are no bargain in a negative political environment.

For this week, financial markets may be moving from neutrality to lethargy. They have expected a rush of Democratic leaders to rally to the call by Governor Clinton for dramatic reduction in spending, and programs elimination. The rally is deafening by its silence. No Democratic leader has come forth to praise the Clinton proposals and pledge support. It seems obvious, any Democratic rally, to support the Clinton program, would raise the specter of "Here we go again, they did it to Bush now they want to do it to Clinton." This could change the dynamics of this election. Financial markets seem to recognize that Democratic leaders will continue with "Business

Frank Cortez

Ronald Ferenczi

President

Managing Director

as Usual." Their defense will be "We did not subscribe to the Clinton budget cuts, but we think the tax increase is fair!" While voters may vote "holding their nose," they should be prepared to "grab their socks."



The information herein has been compiled from various sources believed to be reliable. We do not guarantee such information or make any representation as to its accuracy or completeness, nor that the statements herein are complete with respect to the matters considered and they should not be relied upon as such. Expressions of opinion may be included which are subject to error or change. This firm, its officers or members of their families, may from time to time have a position in any securities mentioned herein.

First Guardian Financial

October 18, 1992

"WE TRY TO ANTICIPATE...AND NOT REACT...TO THE NEWS."

October 17, 1992

NRN

To: Jim Baker/Pres. George Bush
From: Raymund Satina
Re: **ELECTION SPEECH STRATEGY**

On Richmond's debate, I felt frustrated with the President's response on the question on how he feels about the economy, worse is when he didn't understand the question of the black lady.

I would like to share some insights on how to salvage his deteriorating image on the public minds:

- i. NEEDS TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE GRAVITY OF THE ECONOMIC RECESSION.
- ii. **EMPHATIZE WITH THE AMERICAN PEOPLE** by conveying his true feelings..
- iii. **EMPHSIZE THAT WE, THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, NEEDS A CHANGE** (use Clinton's strategic word **CHANGE**), a change for the future of America, a change of strategy to better the worsening economic conditions.
- iv. **EMPHASIZE THAT WE NEED A TRUE LEADER TO IMPLEMENT THIS CHANGE**
- v. **EMPHASIZE YOUR PLANS IN DETAIL AND IN A CONCISE MANNER FROM PLAN A TO PLAN B.**

DR. & MRS. SHIA-LING LIU
PROFESSOR OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
5400 SANDSTONE DRIVE
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C. 28311
Tel. & Fax (919) - 822-0755
OCTOBER 16, 1992

HONORABLE JAMES BAKER
CHIEF OF STAFF
THE WHITE HOUSE
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

NRN

Dear Honorable Baker:

In our last letter, we have suggested that "President Bush should seek an opportunity to exercise "the item veto power" on the tax & spend legislations, claimed but never exercised by some past Presidents." We think that the President should choose the recently passed "Enterprise-Zone etc Bill(?)" to show his Trumanite courage before the election on November 3, 1992 preferably on Monday night, October 19, 1992.

More than 40 years ago, President Truman, on the excuse of emergency, either "seized" the Youngstown Sheet and Tube Company or threatened the "drafting" of the postal workers into the army when the workers were on strike or threatened to during the period of "Korean Police Action". Meanwhile, in the absence of explicit language in the Constitution, the Congress has appropriated for itself the "legislation veto power" in more than three hundred statutes including the War Power Act of 1973 in the past few decades, some of which had been declared "unconstitutional".

This exercise of presidential power would dramatize the "courage" and "strength" of the President and would expose and highlight the expected wavering positions taken by Mr. Clinton on the stage for all to see. Even if the exercise of the "implied or inherent" presidential item veto power were to be declared unconstitutional in the future, the President would have been in good company.

At any rate, the President is now in a predicament. If the President veto the whole bill, Clinton and Company will "charge" the President of "no heart" for the urbanites and "no plans" for economic recovery. If he doesn't veto, they will "charge" the President for accepting "tax increase". He would be in a "no win" position either way.

Why do we want to give Mr. Clinton another opening and let him ~~repeat~~ his charges once again against the President (He made the charge subtly during the last debate)? Why not make a big production of the "Item-Veto-Power" during the last debate on coming Monday, if the said bill has reached his desk and is ready for presidential action?

Either by declaring it as an economic emergency and/ or by indicating the President's impatience waiting for the Congress to send to him a "right" or more "desirable legislation" for his signature, the President in item-vetoing the said bill will, in one stroke, take the initiative away from the Democrats and Independents and put the Clintonites and Congressional liberals on the spot. We can imagine the "dumb-founded faces" of Mr. Clinton and his supporters.

Respectfully yours
Shia-Ling I. Hsiao

FAX TRANSMITTAL COVERTO: Jim BakerDATE: 10/16/92TIME: 3:50 PMFAX #: (202) 456-2461NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS
ONE: 3FROM: Shelly Dolinger
Rich InsingaIF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS
RECEIVING TRANSMISSION,
PLEASE CALL (203) 678-4710.FAX #: (203) 678-4707

NRN

MESSAGE: Rich and I are concerned about the possible
outcome of the election at this point. We think
that the message of Clinton's inexperience has
not gotten out supported by FACTS.

- Governor of a small state, fraction (less than
1% of National Budget)
- No friends, connections, network in foreign
governments.
- Not fit for Commander-in-Chief

Also - why have the Democrats been able to
successfully separate foreign policy from economic
policy. Ask Clinton if he really believes they
are separate, when in fact they are intimately
related.

DRAFT

CLOSING ARGUMENTS

Many of us who support President Bush are appalled at what the Clinton campaign is getting away with in presenting their candidate. We hope that, in the last two weeks, the President can focus his message to win this one. Please!

Here is a suggestion for demonstrating Clinton's lack of experience to the American people. This should be a major thrust of the campaign.

Lack of Experience

1. Governor of a small state. (Not a "failed" governor--it turns people off.) How small is it?

Population: 2.4 million--the size of Brooklyn, New York. The same as the population in the city limits of Chicago. Between the population of the Baltimore and St. Louis metropolitan areas (includes the suburbs).

State budget: \$ 8 billion (estimate) (^{\$}4B, 1989)

This makes Clinton's 12 years as governor of Arkansas equivalent to being to mayor of Chicago or borough president of Brooklyn.

2. No international experience.

3. No national defense experience.

Points to be made

How can a governor of a state with the population of Brooklyn be considered prepared to run the U.S. economy?

How can balancing an \$ 8 billion budget be preparation for the one-and-a-half trillion dollar U.S. government budget?

How can a person who knows the prime minister of Germany by seeing his picture in the newspapers and the prime minister of Japan by watching television be considered ready to run our foreign policy?

How can we believe that he is competent to redesign the U.S. military? What in his background gives him the expertise to do this?

There are 50 governors and 535 people in Congress who help with domestic policy. The President is the one who represents the U.S. in foreign policy and who is the

DRAFT

commander in chief of the armed forces. Clinton is seriously lacking in experience for these roles.

Importance for the Future

How important is this? Each President has been challenged internationally. America's adversaries will try his limits. Can we be sure that Clinton will pass the test? What dangers does America face in a Clinton blunder?

What will Saddam Hussein do? What will happen to the Mideast peace talks? What will happen to the continuing effort to reduce nuclear weapons? Where will the next coup occur?

Can't Get Any Worse?

Yes, it can. It can get much worse. Remember Lyndon Johnson. The country was in great shape when he was elected in 1964. By 1968, the country was a shambles.

Remember Jimmy Carter. Criticized Gerry Ford on the economy. Did the situation get worse? It sure did.

* * * * *

Also, when Clinton corrects President Bush on the \$150 billion tax increase in Clinton's plan, the President should counter it. Claims it isn't correct because it doesn't count the \$100 billion in tax cuts and credits.

Agree with Clinton on this, but ask people to think about it. Someone's taxes are going to increase by \$150 billion. His tax cuts and credits are to direct spending toward projects that he favors. This used to be called 'tax loopholes.' He is going to raise taxes on the upper income and then create loopholes for them to get the money back.

What would a Clinton administration be like? He criticizes the veto of the tax bill this March, because the President objected to a tax increase. Clinton criticizes the veto of the enterprise zone bill, which the President objected to another tax increase. One year, two tax increases. Clinton wanted to sign both bills. Can we doubt that taxes will go up and up during a Clinton administration?

* * * * *

Hope this helps.

Rich Insinga
16 Elm Street
Cooperstown, NY

607-547-9412

Shelly Dolinger
17 Shady Brook Drive
W. Hartford, CT

203-521-2241

947 E. Breckinridge Street
Louisville, KY 40204
502/584-6255

16 October 1992

Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

NPN

Dear Sir:

By any Republican's standards the campaign is in deep trouble. I hope that you and your staff are open to any suggestions that might help the President's cause.

The press and the "spinners" keep building up the expectation that the President is going to come up with some big surprise during the debates, and then comment on how he hasn't done so.

Obviously the debates are not necessarily the best forum for doing so. So how about doing what Perot did? Buy a half hour time in prime time each week until right before the election. The American people want to hear discussion of the issues. Let the president give them that. Discussion of the economic issues and not character/family, which his commercials are doing a good job of covering.

And for the surprise? No matter how good proposals on line item veto, capital gains tax cuts and others may be, the press will always say we have heard all that before. Therefore the President does need to propose something new as well as those other proposals.

How about the following:

- 1) Cabinet shakeup and Mr. Baker as domestic policy "czar".
- 2) Promise to balance the budget by the end of his term if Congress will give him the line item veto.
- 3) Promise to send Congress a balanced budget every year.
- 4) Promise to retaliate against unfair trade restrictions and unopen markets.
- 5) Promise within first 100 days of new term to establish a federal job training program to re-train workers out of jobs.
- 6) Promise to establish a Civil Conservation Corps, to let people on welfare and unemployment do meaningful work to help work on infrastructure.
- 7) Promise absolutely not to raise taxes again.

If the President could tape 3 half hour programs, each different, and dealing with economic issues, and timed right up to the election, he could have a forum on his own to reach the American people. Plus as a paid advertisement, the media would not be able to pick it apart immediately afterwards on prime time.

I hope Mr. Baker and the President will actually see these proposals, and be able to consider them. Do not bother to send me one of those form letters and a handout in reply. I only ask that these ideas be seriously considered by people with decision making authority.

Sincerely,

Michael Ferguson→

JAMES BAKER
CHIEF OF STAFF (CAMPAIGN)
WHITE HOUSE WASH. D.C.
202 456 2461


From DAVID + ELIZABETH HOISINGTON
5661 DAVISON HEAD DRIVE
FRIDAY HARBOR, WA. 98250
Fax # 206 378 4103
Original Disposition: ☐ Destroy ☐ Return ☐ Call for pickup

What Pres. Bush should be emphasizing:

NRN

During the Regan - Bush administration, low taxes were a primary cause of expansion of the economy (many new businesses, additional jobs). There was a trickle down effect, and that was good! The national debt grew primarily because of the defense buildup, ~~not~~ a primary factor in ending the Cold War. Long periods of prosperity have always been followed by a recession, and perhaps always will. The current recession has been long for several reasons.

Congress increased the capital-gains tax, and then refused to reduce it again at the insistence of Senator Mitchel. This, and the bank ~~crisis~~ ^{credit crunch} (for which Congress must shoulder the prime responsibility) has limited the availability of credit. Many businesses have failed as a result. Many other jobs have been lost due to reductions in ~~expense~~ ^{defense} expenditures. ~~Still~~ ^{More} jobs have been lost due to too great attention to the spotted owl. To ~~keep~~ ^{get} the economy growing again we must keep taxes low, and reduce the capital-gains tax. Overzealous regulation must be eliminated.



David, Elizabeth

B. G. "BUD" NAIFEH

15 October 1992

Mr. James Baker
Bush Reelection Campaign
The White House
Washington, D.C.
Fax #: 1/202-456-2461

Dear Mr. Baker:


I would not presume to offer advice to the "professionals" involved in President Bush's reelection campaign except for the fact that the President seems destined to lose, and the prospect of "Slick Willy" being in the White House for 4/8 years scares me to death.

1. You should be looking to "little guys" like me to verbalize our concerns with the Clinton/Gore candidacy as Washington's credibility is in question (big time). I have spoken to many people at the lowest economic levels about the campaign and have convinced them that Bush is the best choice. My conclusion is they don't trust the SOB any more than I do, but want to be reassured by a credible witness. There are hard truths to be accepted which come better from someone in their peer group. Clinton and Gore are both lawyers who have never had a real job. How can they understand the plight of ordinary people? Most of us do not want a "managed economy" in which no one prospers except government and bureaucrats.

2. Clinton is whippin' our butt on the economy issue, and the President and Vice-President have been unsuccessful in verbalizing a meaningful economic recovery program beyond suggesting that you will be tapped after reelection to take charge of the economic recovery. WRONG!! You need to stay at the State Department where you have made a tremendous contribution to peace in the Middle East. There will be many more important challenges requiring your very special gift for diplomacy in the years to come.

3. An alternative which could seal victory in this final hour is reaching an accommodation with Mr. Perot. There is no chance that he will be our next President, but he is a patriot who has identified this nation's most critical problem and has offered solutions. Why not offer him an opportunity to service our country as "Economic Tsar"??? If agreement can be reached on the parameters of Mr. Perot's authority for the next (4) years, there is a good chance that Perot's supporters and the undecided can take President Bush over the top. It will take a bold initiative from our side to retain the White House.

Kind regards,



B. G. Naifeh

cc: Mr. George Bush, Texas Rangers

Loren W Elsey
3658 E Osceola Rd
Geneva Fl 32732
Ph : 407/349 5964
Fax 407/349 2624

October 18, 1992

Mr James Baker
Chief of Staff
Whitehouse
Washington D C

NRN

Dear Mr Baker

Subject : Hillary -vs- Bill Clinton/Investors Business Daily

This a "long shot" but I am hopeful that whoever receives this, and reviews it, will grasp its significance and give you the opportunity to review this article before the next debate.

I have been concerned from the outset of the "Clinton Campaign" as to what part Hillary would play should Bill Clinton be elected. One "Clinton" would be bad enough, but "2 for 1", as the article implies, would be a disaster for our country. May god forbid that we have a "Mr & Mrs President" !!!!!

Hillary is "fair game" GET THE FACTS !!!! Force "Clinton" to defend " her " position in the next debate and throughout the rest of the campaign. If the truth were known, I suspect that Bill Clinton would not be Governor without the help of Hillary !

Time is running out ! Something must be done ! You are not emphasizing enough the need for a Republican Congress and the part this plays in the political process to achieve your objectives. Nor is the President providing adequate explanation as to how he wants to achieve his objectives.

GOOD LUCK AND HAVE A NICE DAY -----



Loren W Elsey

P. S. It should be noted that Hillary has not been in the limelight very much since the Democratic convention. I suspect that the political handlers are keeping her out of public participation as much as possible.

Ryan Company
Real Estate Investment Brokers

FOUR CORPORATE CENTRE, SUITE 4-416
1949 EAST SUNSHINE, SPRINGFIELD, MO. 65804
TELEPHONE: (417) 887-5101
FAX: (417) 889-8523

NRN

FAX TO:

MR. JAMES BAKER
WHITE HOUSE CHIEF OF STAFF
WASHINGTON, D.C.

FAX NUMBER: (202) 456-2461

FROM:

William A. Ryan
Ryan Company
Springfield, Missouri

DATE: Oct. 16, 1992

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED, INCLUDING COVER PAGE: 3

FAX MESSAGE

Dear Mr. Baker:

Please find enclosed (by fax) a copy of a two page letter to Ms. Mary Matalin. I felt the letter might contain some information and comments that might be of interest and value to you.

Keep fighting and best of luck. We are counting on you.

Sincerely,


William A. Ryan

Ryan Company
Real Estate Investment Brokers

FOUR CORPORATE CENTRE, SUITE 4-416
1949 EAST SUNSHINE, SPRINGFIELD, MO. 65804
TELEPHONE: (417) 887-8101
FAX: (417) 889-8523

October 16, 1992

Ms. Mary Matalin
Bush Deputy Campaign Manager
Republican National Headquarters
310 1st Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003

Dear Ms. Matalin:

Governor Clinton has gained considerable credibility for his economic plan with his statements regarding the fact that he has created or brought to Arkansas approximately 17,000 new jobs during his term as Governor. I believe a very careful examination of the facts could help dispel his statement.

Please keep in mind that Arkansas has a right-to-work law, which I believe was supported by and the bill signed by Governor Clinton. With this in mind I feel the following are valuable points to consider and verify:

1) How many of the 17,000+- jobs were actually newly created jobs and how many were jobs moved to Arkansas as a result of the right-to-work law and the lower Arkansas wage scale. How many union jobs on a higher wage scale were lost and the workers unemployed in the midwest and northern industrial states when their plants or part of their production lines moved to Arkansas. If a careful and accurate study is made, I believe you will find a large number of the "new jobs" were a shift and transfer of existing jobs from the industrial belt or of a company electing to open a plant in Arkansas instead of expanding and adding additional production lines to existing plants. In short, I do not believe Clinton has created many new jobs through development of new industry or technology, but has taken advantage of the right-to-work law and lower pay scale to bring jobs to Arkansas at the expense of other areas of the country. I believe this is also the case in Tennessee.

2) The primary attraction of Arkansas to industry is the right-to-work law and the lower wage scale. Clinton states that he brought in high paying jobs. Perhaps these are higher than the average in Arkansas, but compared to the same jobs in other parts of the country or the national average I don't think they would be considered high paying.

3) During the primary election, didn't Clinton tell a group of union leaders and members that he was wrong in supporting the right-to-work bill and that he would work to repeal the law. I am not certain that this is a fact, but I believe he did.

I feel the above is worth verifying. If I am correct, then you would have to ask him how his experience in Arkansas in bringing

Ryan Company
Real Estate Investment Brokers

FOUR CORPORATE CENTRE, SUITE 4-416
1949 EAST SUNSHINE, SPRINGFIELD, MO. 65804
TELEPHONE: (417) 887-5101
FAX: (417) 889-8523

Ms. Mary Matalin
October 16, 1992
Page Two

these jobs to the state can be applied to the problems of our economy. We have to create new jobs and not move them around from state to state. The fact is, almost all southern states have increased their industrial and job base at the expense of the older industrial states.

I would think that Congressman Paul J. Hammerschmidt of Arkansas could be of considerable help in developing the actual facts regarding the above items. Clinton must not be allowed to leave the American voter with the belief that he can do the same for the American economy that he is suppose to have done for Arkansas.

As a life long Republican, a former party worker and party office holder, and George Bush supporter from day one, I feel compelled to note the following:

The vast majority of the voters are going to vote their pocket-book and family values, trust, and truthfulness are secondary considerations at the most. This is a pocketbook election and the media will make certain it stays that way. President Bush must be more specific and detailed in presenting and talking about his plan and approach to creating new jobs, especially for those high paying production jobs, technical, and professionals joining the unemployment ranks as a result of the defense cut backs. People want to see the blue print now before the election and will not buy a plan to be disclosed next January. The voters want to see and hear the full details of the Bush recovery plan, including factual support data. What type of jobs does he envision creating, what steps within the administration will he take to implement his program, and what are his estimates of a time table before results could be expected. What are his plans for the administration to call in small and large business owners, and industrial and union leaders to help jump start his recovery program and perhaps add to it. I sincerely believe he will gain a great deal of credibility with the voters by being far more detailed and forthright. Generalities and vagueness are hurting and the perception, fostered by the media, is that President Bush has no real plan or full understanding of how to turn the economy around. For the voter out of work, with financial problems, working for lower wages than four years ago, or facing possible unemployment, President Bush to date has failed to change the perception as stated above. Give them hell.

Sincerely,


William A. Ryan

WAR:dm
CC: James Baker

TO: JAMES BAKER, Chief of Staff

Oct. 16, 1992

FROM: STEVEN DOUGLAS
2611 GREEN ST
RACINE, WI 53402

NRN

SUBJECT: Positive & Spanish Language Campaign Advertisements


With the growth of Spanish Language radio & TV into almost every media market I believe it would behoove the Bush campaign to appeal to this audience.

In addition to running normal campaign ads in Spanish I believe using the Spanish speaking members of the Bush family in positive ads about the President would be very beneficial.

These ads could ^{include the} character of the President and of his record on minority & female hiring among other positive aspects of his administration.

Finally, such positive ads can be beneficial for English speaking America since the democate have very successfully painted a very poor picture, in most Americans minds, of the President.

Sincerely,


Steven Douglas

NEW

UPSET THE MEDIA RE-ELECT BUSH

Jim Baker:

Above sounds like a good
ad trailer for the last
10 days of the campaign.

Ron Jones
10-19-92

FAX COVER SHEET

Attention: The Honorable James Baker
Destination: The White House
Fax Number: (202) 456-2461
Date: October 19, 1992
Time: 3:00 AM PDT
Number of pages including this cover sheet: 3

URGENT URGENT URGENT URGENT

PLEASE DELIVER IMMEDIATELY

October 19, 1992
3:00 A.M. PDT

NRN

The Honorable James Baker
The White House
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Please excuse the informality of this letter. It is addressed and faxed to you due to the urgency of the moment. I would like to bring to your attention a few facts to be used, if possible, in this evening's debate by the President. I surely hope that this letter will reach your hand immediately.

Time is running out very fast and the President's campaign has to bring out the weaknesses of Governor Clinton within the remaining two weeks. There is no sense to dwell on the draft issue or on whether Clinton took part in an anti-U.S. demonstration during the Vietnam War. Whether the issues are relevant and the attacks are justified do not matter at this time as the voters are more concerned about other timely topics.

Why not attack Clinton's Achilles heel, or should I say, his Achilles heels!

The U.S. economy and jobs are the most important issues for the majority of voters. Is Clinton the man who can pull America out of recession and provide jobs and health care for everyone? He is not:

- 1- He has been the governor of a small state with a population of 2½ million, which makes the state, populationwise, as big as many American cities. He has never had experience with big budgets, industrial economy, and a population composed of all minorities which one finds in large industrial states. It is to say that Clinton has not had any suitable experience to really prepare him for the most complex job on earth, the U.S. presidency.
- 2- After 12 years as the governor, his state is among the lowest in everything: Economy, Arkansas ranks 47th among the fifty states; in education. . . (Your research department should research and find the accurate ranking of Arkansas in education, employment, wages, standard of living, etc.)
- 3- The wages are also among the lowest, 46th (again, the ranking should be researched) A state with such a low standard of wages should not have any unemployment at all. Low wages are very effective inducements to most companies to move from larger and very expensive industrial states, like California, to other states, like Arizona, Utah, Arkansas, which have lower wages, taxes, and much lower cost of production. (This issue has to be approached carefully. We have to consider the status of wages, population, jobs, etc. before and after Clinton became the governor.)
- 4- Clinton talks about health care. He mentions Hawaii, Oregon, and Germany, etc. as the states and countries with affordable and efficient plans. How come he has not adopted the Hawaii or any of the other plans for his own state?

Page one of two

- 5- On education, he promises to improve the educational system in the US within the 4 years of his presidency. He has not been able to do that in Arkansas in 12 years. Still Arkansas ranks 46th (the ranking is not accurate) among the states.
- 6- Governor Clinton gloats over his accomplishments in Arkansas. He compares his rate of progress and advancements in wages, better education, etc. faster than other states (research is needed to pinpoint his statements). Well, if you are paying your workers \$4/hour and increase it to \$8/hour, that is a 100% increase. But if you are paying \$16/hour and increase it to \$20/hour, that is only an increase of 25%. Obviously, this is not a valid comparison. The fact remains that after 12 years, Governor Clinton's state ranks 45th (needs research), and this is not anything to brag about. Moreover, after 12 years at the helm, such meager accomplishments would not qualify anyone for the office of the U.S. President. As a matter of fact, such a low performance should disqualify a person.

A very strong case can be made against Clinton, totally based on his performance in Arkansas. The above examples are only examples of how to approach the issue. The research department will be able to quickly dig out enough ammunition to turn the public opinion in President Bush's favor.

It is imperative for the President to win. I wish him good luck.

Most sincerely,



Jon F. Kasravi

WBN

CAMPAIGN

STRATEGIES

Attention: Jim Baker

As an avid President Bush supporter I would like to offer the following ideas for the next debate, or possibly for interviews or commercials. I will be brief but I will expand if requested to do so, I have other strategies as well. Some of my statistics are probably inaccurate but I am sure you will get the idea.

The public must be told that the real reason we have so much debt is because congress wouldn't pass the balanced budget amendment 12 years ago when first asked to. Imagine how much better off we would be if that amendment was passed way back then.

President Bush: Governor Clinton you have been giving me and my administration a lot of flack for this countries staggering budget deficit, which is way out of hand, but as usual you're not quite coming clean with the American public, because you and the rest of the Democratically controlled congress is the only obstacle standing in the way of the ultimate solution to this problem forever and that is a Balanced Budget Amendment to the United States Constitution. and since 1980 President Reagan and I have been asking the Democrat congress to pass this extremely important Bill that simply mandates that The United states government cannot spend more than it brings in period. It will become a law with no exceptions just like 38 other states have done including yours mister Clinton. And you keep bragging about the fact that you've Balanced the budget in your state for 12 years in a row but of course you don't tell people that the Balanced budget amendment in your state makes you do it, if you didn't do it you'd be fired. And we've been fighting your buddies who control congress for years for this same sort of control on federal spending. And all I want to know is if you democrats are so concerned with this deficit like we are than why don't you help us pass a balanced Budget Amendment, and if your not in favor of this important and badly needed remedy Then I want you to look the American public in the eye and explain to them that the reason we haven't had a balanced budget for the past 12 years is because you democrats have been fighting the passage of this bill all the way. and then I want you to tell us why your against the only permanent answer to this huge deficit problem.

National Health care is not necessary when states like Hawaii are already doing it without Federal Taxation to pay for it.

President Bush: You know Governor Clinton here keeps saying hes so convinced that we need a national health care program in America that hes willing to raise taxes 150 billion dollars to pay for it, but true to his typical pattern of straddling and hypocrisy in his next breath he says lets be more like Hawaii who has 98% health care coverage for its population without federal laws and taxes to pay for it. Which makes my point, that there are other ways to solve the health care problem without more government control and spending, like the proposals we have out there that call for vouchers for health Insurance for the middle class and continued coverage for the elderly and the poor through medicare and Medicaid, as well as court reform legislation to stop lawyers from making money from the medical industry. But We don't need this already bloated government bureaucracy getting even bigger, and Hawaii has proven to us that there are other ways as even you Mr Clinton Keep pointing out. But I just want to also say that its important for the American people to realize that the only way Mr Clinton is going to get elected is to convince you that all of our problems are far worse than they really are, and I know there is a lot of people hurting out there and we have some great ideas to get to the real problem but I just don't think that raising taxes and nationalizing the health care industry is the answer as the folks in Hawaii have show us there are other ways to solve this problem. But Mr Clinton's Tax and spend for bigger government is not the answer.

Bradley N. Wilson
808-883-8884 Phone and fax

FAX TRANSMITTAL

FROM:

BURTON D. SANBORN

4124 HERSCHEL AVENUE

DALLAS, TEXAS 75219

PHONE: [214] 526-4310

FAX: [214] 520-1472

TO:

Mr. James A. Baker, III

FAX: [202-456-2461]

PHONE: [202-456-1414]

COMPANY:

SUBJECT:

CITY:

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS COVER):

2

PLEASE CALL THE ABOVE NUMBER IF THERE ARE ANY PROBLEMS WITH THIS TRANSMISSION.

COMMENTS:

CONFIDENTIAL MEMO ATTACHED.

cc: Mr. Eddie Mahe, Jr.

NRN

CONFIDENTIAL MEMO

Working through friends I have helped bring two stories to the attention of the *Houston Post*. The first concerns Congressman Jack Brooks and the many lucrative favors he provided his friend, the late Lloyd Hayes. I understand that the *Post* story will, among other things, charge Brooks with causing his family owned Oak Grove Bank to issue false financial statements. The story is being written by *Houston Post* writers Frank Bass and Mark Horvit. Bass has a Pulitzer and is enthusiastic about the story.

The other story concerns the Arkansas Finance Development Authority (AFDA) and the fact they came close to guaranteeing \$ 90 million in bonds on property worth just \$ 36 million. This was a transaction proposed about three years ago to bail out Beverly Enterprises, a major nursing home provider. Stephens, Inc. of Little Rock was the underwriter and The Rose Firm was and is counsel for AFDA.

This entire transaction became public and died when the state's attorney general, Steve Clark, accused a Stephens employee of offering him a \$ 100,000 bribe to approve the deal. The two *Houston Post* writers like the story since Clinton supported the AFDA/Beverly Enterprises bond issue up until Clark nixed it.

The first story (Brooks) is scheduled to appear October 26th. The Arkansas story won't even be started until after the election. For this reason I am writing to suggest that someone within the campaign do some quick research on the AFDA/Beverly Enterprises transaction. The obvious question is why Governor Clinton supported the highly inflated AFDA figure of \$ 90 million when others said the value was \$ 36 million. Hillary Clinton was at the The Rose Firm all during this time.

PLEASE READ IMPORTANT!!

Wm. M. Patterson
28123 Rampart Blvd
Punta Gorda FL 33983

18 October 1992

READING TIME1:20

The Honorable James Baker
The White House
Washington DC

NRN

It's time to catch the Democrats off guard. The element of tactical surprise - something Clinton will never understand.

There is only one way left for George Bush, our President, to restore strong confidence in him and assure his re-election.

Attacking Clinton's character is good, talking about what you're going to do is good, but it "ain't" going to bring a resounding victory.

In my opinion, here is what should be done:

→ A one hour "TELL IT TO THE PEOPLE" TV program with George Bush and Dan Quayle together.

For the last four years, President Bush wanted to stimulate economic growth but has been stymied by Congress.

Show graphically, why our President, rightly so, vetoed each bill put forth that had added "Pork Barrel".

He should indicate that the bill, although one he would want to pass, in good conscience, was vetoed because of this reason:

"PORK" - what was added on, what the additional cost would be and who was adding it in.

This made it impossible to sign into law.

First, by doing this it would reveal to all of us what Congress has done to deepen the recession.

Second, it would show America how and who was causing the problem of adding cost. And, help keep that person from being re-elected. Show the total of costs on all pork barrel in all vetoed bills. You may never get a line item veto but Americans would better understand the dilemma caused by Congress.

Last, but not least, the American people would realize that our President has had our economy and our best interests at heart. He alone had to take the flak - he has been wrongly accused. Don't let the #@ \$! ! wear you down. **!!**

Wm. M. Patterson
26123 Rampart Blvd
Punta Gorda FL 33983

18 October 1992

→ Only this morning on Meet The Press, Senator Bill Bradley admitted that pork was added to a number of bills knowing that it would cause a veto.

Tell it honestly and you will win by at least 56% of the total vote. Yes, I said 56% OF THE TOTAL VOTE to GEORGE BUSH.

Do it now, this is the only way to keep our country safe and strong. This is what America needs to hear and wants to hear.

We need George Bush, Dan Quayle and people like you.

God Bless you all.

Remember, your best offense is a strong defense. Remind America and enlighten them.

Respectfully submitted,

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Wm M Patterson'.

William M. Patterson

NKN

October 12, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff and
Senior Counselor to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20202

Dear Secretary Baker:

Thank you for replying to my letter. I'm sure that you are doing everything you can to get our President re-elected, but I must say after listening to the debates last night, together with hundreds of other partisans, although we thought he appeared somewhat Presidential, he didn't firmly enough reply to the frontal assaults of his two competitors.

When they pile all of the blame on him for the job situation and the state of the economy, it makes our blood boil, because he doesn't seem to counter these charges with enough vigor. Sure, we know the whole world is in turmoil, but let's really put it as it is. President Bush must graphically show in especially prepared ads copies of Congressional Bills that have every add on in the form of amendments that you can imagine, such as \$2.8 million for a special river to take the duck-do from duck farms in Arkansas, etc., etc. Let these commercials show the billions of dollars for special interests that have really built up our debt - all coming from the Democrat leadership in Congress. (It's a wonder that Bush really got through the 35 vetoes that were sustained. This was great. Let him now tell and show the American people how much was saved by his vetoes.) The public just thinks he is pointing his finger without force at the Congress.

Let Governor Clinton get in and he will simply be a big Rubber Stamp for all of the Democrat controlled Congress bills and in 4 years we'll go broke. Let the President adopt a stance like Ross Perot, and coming from the President it will be forceful. It must be done and immediately.

He should more frequently in these TV debates look the camera in the eye, direct pertinent remarks to Clinton by turning facing him directly, as Clinton did several times during his discourse.

He is the President, he has the facts. He is in command, let him show his strong character by being more forceful and direct, - never apologetic by saying "I'm sorry, but".

Finally, our President must stress in every way what he did in his acceptance speech; and what Malcolm Forbes stressed in a recent editorial in Forbes. If he does, he will win in a landslide. Believe me. Let's go.

Halliday Clark
vmm

Halliday Clark
Former New York State Committeeman
37 Blue Stone Court
Chadds Ford, PA 19377

vmm

Sincerely yours

H. C. Mearig
H. C. Mearig
Former Republican
Congressional Candidate
51 Oak Lane
Lancaster, PA 17603

Friend?

NPN

Oct. 13, 1992

Jim Baker
Presidential Campaign
White House
Washington DC


Dear Jim:

Here is an idea about Ross Perot and the debates. He cannot stand being accused of anything. HAVE THE PRESIDENT ACCUSE HIM OF BEING A QUITTER WHEN THINGS DON'T GO HIS WAY!!!!

He quit the Navy, IBM, GM, and the campaign. Ask him what he will do when he gets to Washington and he can't buy a solution and people are saying bad things about his family etc. etc WILL HE QUIT AGAIN.

THE SAME TACTIC WILL WORK WITH CLINTON. HE HAS A SHORT FUSE ALSO.

Sincerely,



Maryjane Brady
1036 Mc Kinley #101
Benbrook, Tx. 76126

NRN

THE HONORABLE JAMES A BAKER 3d
CHIEF OF STAFF

K Gordon Sandbach
173 East 91st Street
New York, N.Y. 10128
office: (212) 541 8650

10.9.92.

Dear Secretary Baker,

The President could disarm Clinton by saying: "yes, Ross is right. We are in this economic state because (largely) of the debt." ("See, to meet my guest") Clinton can't wave a magic wand and end the problem, so forget him on the economy. Go with the right person for the job. That is what this election is about.

173 E 918
MC 10128
office 4/12/ 541-8680

Sincerely yours.
K. Gordon Sandbach.

George Bush Presidential Library Transfer Sheet

COLLECTION:

Bush Presidential Records
Office of the Chief of Staff

ACCESSION NUMBER:

1193.0001

FOIA/SYSTEMATIC
PROCESSING CASE
NUMBER (if app.):

☐ Transferred During Accessioning

☒ Transferred During Processing

2000-0715-F

The following material was transferred to:

☒ Audiovisual Collection

☐ Book Collection

☐ Museum Collection

☐ Other

Other (Specify):

DESCRIPTION:

An audio microcassette.

When
transferring
material to the
museum
collection,
complete the
following.

Donor:

Donor Org.:

Address:

Telephone:

Book Location:

Row:

Section:

Shelf:

Position:

Map Case Location:**Series:**

James Baker Files

Box Number:**Folder Title:**

[Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992]

OA/ID Number:

05599

Transferred by:

Deborah Wheeler

Date of Transfer:

04/20/01

Received by:

Mary Finch

Date Received:

04/20/01

Go to Database
Navigator

Go to Accession
Register

Go to Withdrawal Sheet

Print Record

MM- 10/19/92 : Phone regretted
to "Dane"

bmm

*Paralyzed Veterans of America
Invites You to a Reception
Celebrating Forty-Six Years of Service
To America's Veterans
1:00 - 3:00 p.m.
Wednesday, November 11, 1992*

*PVA Headquarters - second floor
801 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006*

*RSVP by November 2, 1992
1-202-416-7688*

REMEMBER

AMERICA'S

VETS



*James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington DC 20500*



DEAR MR BAKER,

(NRN) *gn- non-pyppat* OCT 9 1992

THE PRESIDENT HAS LOST THE ELECTION BUT HE
DOESN'T HAVE TO LOSE THE DIGNITY WE EXPECT FROM
OUR PRESIDENTS.

YOU SHOULD KNOW BETTER THAN TO LISTEN TO THE
SUGGESTIONS LIKE CREEPS LIKE BOB DORNAN.

STICK TO THE ISSUES HOWEVER DIFFICULT IT IS TO EXPLAIN
THE FAILURES OF THE REAGAN BUSH ERA AND THE
BANKRUPTCY OF THIS NATION UNDER THEIR "LEADERSHIP"

SINCERELY

D. LONGMIRE
6816 SHEPARD MESA RD.
CARPINTERIA CA 93013

6816 Shepard Mesa Rd.
Carpinteria, CA. 93013



MR JAMES A BAKER III
WHITE HOUSE CHIEF OF STATE
WASHINGTON D.C.



NRN
support /
suggestions

Mr. James Baker
c/o Whitehouse
Washington, D.C.

10/10/92

Dear Mr. Baker,

Subject: **How to win!**

The vote in '76 was not against Ford, not necessarily for Carter, but to get rid of Nixon people. While most Republicans liked Nixon and supported him for 25 years, he lost them when he admitted he knew about Watergate, when he said he didn't! Most thought Nixon smart, this was dumb.

Some say this election is similar to '76, but I don't, your cabinet, your people are top notch!

Here's the problem: The **people** fought and won the WWI, with great loss of life among our troops. The same for WWII. Fifty thousand lost in Korea...Sixty in Viet Nam. We won the wars at terrific sacrifice...and won the cold war too!

When historians in the late 2000's look back at the 20th century they'll see that everyday, hard working American middle class people won the century for Democracy. Names like Nixon, Stalin, Churchill, Wilson, Hitler, Lenin will fade away just as similar leaders of the 19th and 18th century's have done. The tax payers and the worker's and soldier's of the U.S. will stand as the savior's of human equality.

American's know this! But they feel they should enjoy the fruits of their labors and losses. After all the sacrificing what did we gain? Why aren't things better? Did all that work and dying go in vain?

You must get across to the voters that President Bush is for the people. He's got to stop unnecessary spending. ^{put} To hell with fixing the Hubble! The Marines can get by with the Osprey! Etc. Etc. Listen to Kemp and get enterprise zones going, and help the people of the ghettos to get out. That Jeep/Chrysler plant is a great example of what can be done. Dwell on the people who need help...concentrate on them. Everyone else will understand.

Clinton is getting across the "people" message better than Mr. Bush. Ram that balanced budget ammendment thru the Congress. Get this Healthcare thing solved. Assign the Armed forces (20%) to stop drugs. Get tough on these things, or, and I hate to say this, you guys will all be writing books.

Here's what I'd say, I'd compliment the American people at war and at peace...and the President is truly a recognized hero. There's no one better to do this! "You the Americans have won the wars, both hot and cold." "Your sweat, your taxes, and the hero's of your families who've fought and died for this country are deserving of our undieing efforts to make America the shining bastion of wealth and prosperity in the 21th century". "We will balance the budget!" "We will provide Healthcare!". "We will get you back to work by stopping dead cold the undisciplined spending by the Congress!" "We will start the CCC the WPA to give jobs to many of the unemplyed and start to rebuild America again from the ground up!" "We've lep you out of the cold war, and now we're ready to see that all your toils, labors, and losses will not go in vain!!" "We are the party to lead you into the 21st Century". "We've got the experience, we've got the know-how, we know the good people in the world and we know the bad....and we want you to think very hard before you choose someone to lead you who doesn't really understand that this is still a tough,mean world." "Here are the 10 key ways we're going to get the people their due....(1)....(2).....(10)!! Then hammer, hammer, hammer!! Do it!

Recognize what the people of America have done for the world, our earth, in the last 90 years, and tell 'em how you're going to lead them to their just rewards! Do it, and be dead serious about it, and you just might ~~pull~~ it out!

Cordially,

L.L. Beard



(NRN)

Oct. 5, '92

immigration

Mr. James Baker.

Pres. Bush could win California if he would come out Strongly for controlling our borders. There's present a plan to accomplish it. I hear this everywhere.

Illegal aliens, from many countries, have overcrowded our schools, medical facilities, courts, prisons, housing and the job market.

Our resources have been drained and Cal. is broke. They have cost us \$4 ~~million~~ Billion, and they go for the Democratic Give-away programs.

Mr. President, don't write-off Cal. Do Something about.

Praying for you,

E. H. Wyvell





25 Boylston Street (Route 9)
Chestnut Hill, MA 02167
(617) 738-5900
FAX (617) 738-5954

695 Truman Highway
Hyde Park, MA 02136
(617) 364-4955
FAX (617) 364-5797

October 6, 1992

The Honorable James Baker, III
C/O The White House Washington, DC.
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am Aghast at the republican campaign for the Presidency. I am a life long Republican, but no matter, I think that Governor Clinton would be a disaster for this country.

Firstly, I think the President ought to emphasize that the Democratic Party is really not the Democratic Party but should be re-named the American Socialist Party. Their thinking and the thinking as expounded by Governor Clinton would infact make this country a socialist country and would void the capitalist entrepreneurial spirit and would change us from a market economy to a socialist economy.

Secondly, the economy looms as the largest single issue of this campaign. Not once have I heard the President expound the fact that the tax bills which were passed in 1987 and 1989 originated in the US House of Representatives with it's committee chairman Mr. Dan Rostenkowski. Because of the gutting of these tax bills by the democrats in the House of Representatives they infact caused our economic woes. The bills effectively killed any incentives for real-estate investment which led directly to the collapse of the U.S. banking system. The collapse of this banking system and it's carry over has caused a greater economic woe. The banks now will not lend money at preferentially favorable rates to any business which they feel is marginal. This has caused a contraction in our economic outlook as well as a contraction in out productivity. The fact that the American tax payer has to bail out these failed banks because of their poor real-estate loans infact has ballooned the national deficit. I believe, that all of this can be laid directly at the feet of the Democrats in the Congress of the United States. Their philosophy of taking from the rich to give to the poor is rooted in their socialist liberal background. It is a disincentive to business and has infact caused this country a great deal of economic anguish. I could go on, but the gist of this letter I'm sure will suffice. The President might do well to bring out these points in his debates with Governor Clinton. The American people deserve better than Clinton in the White House and certainly would fare far better if President Bush were re-elected and if Congress were a majority of Republicans with the old Democratic incumbants swept out. I think this might be a pipe dream, but certainly it is worth fighting for.

I hope we win!

Thank you for the courtesy of listening to this letter.

Very truly yours,

Donald A. Taylor, M.D.

Diagnostic X-ray

Mammography

Ultrasound

NPN

gen support /
suggestions

suggestions

Mon, 5 Oct 92

(NPN)

Mr. Jay Baker, Esq.

Dear Mr. Baker:

Jobs!!

You are running out of time -
(I've been saying that for 7 months).

When is some Republican going
to stand up + say By National
CONSENSUS, we drastically cut back
the Defense Industry and the Armed
Forces - this meant tens of thousands
of lost jobs + tens of thousands of
talented servicemen out loose!!

Did the Democrats expect this
to improve the employment picture??

When are you going to take the
offensive - out where we can
hear it ???

ST Quintare

Armitage
777 Casa Grande Dr.
Melbourne, Fla. 32940



Jay Barber, Esq
% The White House
Pennsylvania Ave
Washington, 25 DC

Personal

James Baker
Dear Sir

Oct 9-92
NEN suggestions

I been a staunch
Republican all my life, so has
my entire family. But I'm afraid
of this election coming up for
our party. You know what
happened to Goldwater, that
is what ^{us} anti-Americans will
do this coming Nov-3, as
the results come in, state after
state will go for Bill Clinton
it will turn out to be a
debacle.

I live directly across from
Lincoln Park in Champaign / Ill.
I have yet to see a bumper
sticker for George Bush, not even
a Republican Headquarters where
I can even get a Button to wear
or a sign that I can put in the
front yard.
Our party is asleep,

As you watch the results
come in on election night
think what this My Man
told you in this letter,
you will be out a job, and
so will our President -
and a lot of staff members.
I honestly believe if Jack
Kemp would have been a
candidate for President we
might have defeated Bill
Clinton, or given him a run
for his money.

Maybe the debates coming
up will keep our President
but I doubt it. My wife
and I will be back the Republican
Party.

Sincerely,
Mr Calvin Hues

It wouldn't hurt if you hit the
campaign trail, or get me
Meet the Press.



Huss

920 Highland Ave #15
Covington KY 41011



James Baker chief of Staff
The White House
Washington D.C.

MPN
negative
campaign

10-10-92

Dear Mr. Baker,

This most demeaning of campaigns is
a national disgrace. Surely you can
control this hateful + hatefilled exercise
+ allow your party + candidates to salvage a
little dignity; + the country to concentrate
on real issues. Winifred A. Bowman



Mrs. James Baker
The White House EOB
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C.

Bowman 6300 N. Sheridan Rd. Chicago 60660



Robert W. & Frances L. Schumm

1827 South Third Place
St. Charles, Illinois 60174
Phone 584-3177

NRN

suggestions

Oct. 6, 1992

James Baker, Bush Campaign Chair
The White House

W Congratulations on the improvements you have brought to the campaign. Bush is doing better.

The more Quayle is kept in the background--the better. He comes on in a self righteous stance which may please some of the extreme people on the right, but it neutralizes most of us. When he attacks Clinton--which properly we expect--and then proclaims his own merit as being in the National Guard--it is hypocrisy. The Guard at that time was the chief escape route from Viet Nam service. It was very different in the recent war which he tries to claim improperly. People are still remembering also the caustic attack Marilyn made at the convention when she in principle is doing much the same thing.

Pat Robertson may be a help with his own parish, but his vindictive statements--except for vocabulary--sound more like the underworld than a man of God.

President Bush's veto record is not the tribute he thinks it is. It makes him look more like a dictator than one who takes any advice from the Congress. His recent veto that was over ridden was a victory for the people who are plagued by Cable monopoly taking advantage of them. It was the Cable Companies that were advising him.

W Congratulations also on your fine service as Secretary of State. Hope you are the candidate in 1996.

Robert W. Schumm

Please excuse the typos, as I am legally blind.

Long Beach CA
6 OCT 92

Dear Mr. Baker

I am not a writer, so will keep this short & concise I hope, but do want to offer some suggestions to help the President to win, as has occurred to me as I have watched the progress of the campaign.

Some conservative spokesmen make good points and get the opposition painted into the corner, but then fail to do the coupe de grace so to speak such as: Referring to the tax thing one could say "but the Congress welched on the deal" On abortion - It is not illegal so if you want one go and get it.

But how am I going to pay for it. You mean to say that now you are willing to forfeit your right to privacy behind closed doors, just in order for the Govt to foot the bill for your indiscretions"

Racism - The best way to identify a racist is to try and keep the general discussion on track and see who brings up "racism" So there you have him or her, self identified.

The Children + the democrats talk about the children as if they were some foreign ethnic group, whereas they are the responsibility of those who begat them. If someone in the house cannot get up; and provide breakfast for the child prior to school, then it would be useless to talk to that family about values.

The present prevalent so called life style leads to more and more children being born in excessive numbers, and then they complain continually about the lack of child care.

Immigration + The rest of the world population wants to come here and then when they get here, they want to set up their own little corner of the U.S. to do exactly what they wanted to leave.

Things are going along fairly well in most of the Nation, but every a.m. we are subjected to the news about fires, killings, etc, but always in some undesirable part of L.A., Detroit, Wash DC where all these wonderful fiversided people have settled on welfare financing.

I first came to DC in 43 and looked around there, rode a bus down to Anacostia area, and eventually wound up renting a place in Alex, Va.

I am going to vote for the President, but I sure wish he soundlower the boom on his adversaries. ON THE OTHER HAND, IF CLINTON WINS I CAN PROBABLY EXPECT TO GET 10 to 15% on my CD again.

A FINAL IMPORTANT THOUGHT, In taxing a straight percentage across the board IS REALLY THE ONLY FAIR way, and most definitely not excusing Joe sixpack and soaking the ones who have achieved more.

Very Resp'y

Carl J. Pritchard

CARL J. PRITCHARD

CARL. J. PRITCHARD
5280 Atherton St. #130
Sovereign Park Estates
Long Beach, CA 90815



MR. JAMES A. BZKER CoS
THE WHITE HOUSE 1600 Pa. Ave.
Washington D.C. 20001



245 Olde Point Road
Hampstead, North Carolina 28443
October 8, 1992

NRN

The Honorable James A. Baker III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

suggestions

I am 75 years old, Republican, a member of the National Defense Executive Reserve since its founding and spent most of my business career as Vice-President of Marketing for a major U.S. corporation. With years of experience and a record of success in the field, I am disturbed to see how the marketing of President Bush and his programs has fallen so far below the mark.

Your T.V. "soundbites" are not very effective. The President is not "closing the gap" and, personally, I cannot recall ever having purchased an item as the result of a T.V. commercial. I do, of course, recognize the need for television promotion and the importance of public appearances. However, I believe that a third major element of such a campaign has been seriously neglected. I refer to the print media and strongly suggest daily full-page ads in both national and local publications. Using this three-pronged approach, the following themes, programs and objectives should and must be developed in a coordinated, concise and "to-the-point" effort:

1. The economic situation-----play to the President's strength in international affairs and address the global aspects, as well as the positive signs at home. He must emphasize that the current unemployment rate is unacceptable and clearly explain his program to develop jobs in this country. Recognizing that may take time, he should, in view of the coming holiday season, ask Congress to extend the unemployment benefits through March 31, 1993, and furthermore, he should make it clear that if the unemployment rate has not fallen below 7% by that time, he will request an additional extension through June 30, 1993.
2. Admiral Crowe's visible endorsement of Gov. Clinton--this must be offset quickly and effectively and could best be accomplished by obtaining a public endorsement of the President from General Schwarzkopf. As a fall back position, obtain permission from General Schwarzkopf to publish and use extensively the very positive remarks he made about President Bush in his T.V. interview with Barbara Walters, as well as his equally important comments regarding Governor Clinton and the draft.
3. Comparisons---I believe that two sets of comparisons will go a long way toward demonstrating President


Bush's overwhelming credentials:

- a) Compare the resumes of the two men.
Everyone understands resumes.
 - b) Compare Arkansas with the U.S.
Again, something that everyone will clearly comprehend.
4. The negative impact of Congress--- Continue to emphasize the negative role of the Congress in holding up much of the President's legislative programs over the past four years and, conversely, stress the President's many legislative successes.
 5. The "read my lips" issue---Put this matter to rest with a clear, concise explanation of why he subsequently agreed to a tax increase and exactly what was involved in these increases.
 6. Foreign Policy---Unquestionably one of the President's greatest strengths, this area must be emphasized. The world has changed markedly for the better in the last four years...that change has been led by the U.S. under the President's leadership and we must continue in that role. The world needs and expects it and the American people who have made it happen deserve it. In that regard, the following issues must be addressed:
 - a) Nail down the Iran-Contra allegations.
 - b) Explain the U.S. policy toward Iraq during the Iraq/Iran war
 - c) The considerations that led to ending Desert Storm to include explaining such things as the U.N. mandate, the coalition that included Syria and Egypt, the potential cost in lives of "marching to Bagdad", becoming an occupying power and incurring the enmity of the Arab world.
 - d) President Bush's remarkable, courageous and visionary foreign policy accomplishments such as; Panama/Noriega--Afghanistan---Angola & Cuban troops---Nicaragua---the nuclear agreement with Yeltsin---and, of course, Desert Storm.
 7. Analysis---a detailed analysis in three separate areas offers real promise:
 - a) President Bush's programs
 - b) Governor Clinton's programs to include their costs
 - c) Governor Clinton's Draft story

8. Image---Who best to represent America and the American people? President Bush or Governor Clinton as he presented himself on the Arsenio Hall show? Imagine the impact of that "picture" with the caption, "President of the United States???" (Clinton's family!!!)

Mr. Baker, the need is great and the hour is late. I thank you for your consideration of these suggestions and trust that they will be useful in the time remaining.

Sincerely,


Louis A. Cassara

NRN

Ada Mills

P.O. Box 547
Clarksville, Arkansas 72830

October 7, 1992

To Secretary of State James Baker (Election Staff)

Rick Bond- Chairman National Rep Comm

Enclosed is what happened here yesterday at Texarkana, Arkansas- supposedly to help Huckabee for Senate. The crowd was good- and from what I am told here all went well until after the meeting and Mrs. Quayle was questioned by the Press- and here are her answers . There is no one out to get the Quayles except some Republicans who wished they had never been on that boat in 1988 and wish they had resigned long ago. Quayle brought all the criticism herself - and right now it would be a fine thing if you could just pull both of them off the circuit until we can get through the campaign/

Some of us are working very hard for Mike Huckabee and he is a wonderful candidate but I would say this visit did him no good whatever. From the look on Mikes face in this report it is not hard to see what he thought of the day-

Sure sorry to have to write this- but knowing how many times the White House and the Rep Nat Comm has been warned about Clinton and what was coming (over the past two years) this whole thing is sure disheartening-

Only a radical turn for the best can help us now- and that is exactly what I am praying and working for- Please, please do not send the Quayles back down here-----


Sincerely,

Ada Mills

Ada Mills

Clinton - is another
'Hitler' - Oct 6, 1939 -
Hitler said no invasion
of W or England!
Lie! Clinton lies!

On the campaign trail



PEROT AD — Ross Perot points to a chart that lashes out at former government officials who became lobbyists for other countries during his first TV ad that was scheduled to run on CBS Tuesday night. The half-hour ad preceded the American League Championship Series. *Related Article, 1A*

1st lady urges voters to stick with Bush

DENVER — Barbara Bush on Tuesday danced with senior citizens, hugged children and urged voters to stand with President Bush in his battle against Bill Clinton and "the scandal-ridden Congress."

"This is going to be a tough race for the president and all Republican candidates," she told 750 Republican partisans at a \$10-a-plate luncheon at the Jefferson County Fairgrounds.

Earlier, in Colorado Springs, she said the first-ever override of a Bush veto was bad news for the cable television industry, but not a bad omen for Bush.

Mrs. Bush began her day at a \$50-a-plate fund-raising breakfast with 250 women in Colorado Springs, then visited the Colorado Springs Senior Center where she learned a "line dance" to country music and fielded questions from 225 people.

After a fund-raising dinner at a private home, Mrs. Bush was flying to Chicago for campaign appearances there and in Milwaukee Wednesday with daughter Dorothy Koch, daughter-in-law Margaret Bush, sister-in-law Nancy Ellis and granddaughter Noelle Bush. (AP)

5 in Bush ad want out of Clinton's

WASHINGTON — Five middle-class taxpayers who appeared in a controversial TV ad for President Bush urged Democrat Bill Clinton's campaign on Tuesday to stop airing a reply ad that also shows their pictures.

At the same time, one of the five acknowledged that, far from being just another ordinary taxpayer, he worked in Wisconsin for Republican Gov. Tommy Thompson's campaign and now has a state job under Thompson's administration.

Controversy continued to swirl over the Bush ad, which showed five middle-class Americans and said that under a Clinton presidency, each would pay \$1,000 to \$2,000 more in taxes.

The Bush campaign aired the ad nationally last week and Clinton, who has promised to cut taxes for the middle class, quickly denounced it.

He ordered his campaign to produce a counterattack ad, which included pictures of the same five people as a reminder

BY JEFF BARKER
Arizona Republic, Phoenix

WASHINGTON — Twenty years after 18-year-olds voted in their first presidential election, the "youth" vote remains tantalizingly up for grabs.

Like a great untapped energy source, the nation's youngest voters continue to beckon presidential candidates eager to harness the group's vigor for themselves.

But as young adults are wont to do, they have remained largely indifferent, refusing to vote in large numbers.

Getting students to the polls these days is about as likely as a study session on a Saturday night. When they do vote, they seem reluctant to be any candidate's tool.

Want proof?

"Just ask President McGovern," said Richard M. Scammon, director of the Elections Research Center, a non-profit group based in suburban Washington.

Democrat George McGovern lost to then-President Nixon in 1972, a year after states ratified the 26th Amendment, lowering the minimum voting age to 18 from 21.

McGovern hoped to use opposition to the Vietnam War, centered on college campuses,

as a springboard to the White House. But half of the 18-to-20 set did not vote that year. Of those who did, more than a few cast ballots for Nixon, who won in an Electoral College landslide.

After that, election apathy set in big-time.

College students sporadically have protested everything from tuition hikes to apartheid in South Africa, and there are signs that campus activism is rising.

'There has been an almost uninterrupted decline in voting among 18- to 24-year-olds.'

But voting is another matter.

"There has been an almost uninterrupted decline in voting among 18- to 24-year-olds, down to 36 percent in 1988," said Sanford Horwitt, director of citizen-participation projects for People for the American Way, a Washington-based public-interest group.

"In off-year congressional elections, the numbers are just ugly."

Voter turnout in the 18-to-24 group was 20 percent in the congressional contests of 1990,

when there was no presidential race to lure voters to the polls. Senior citizens put the youngsters to shame, recording a 60 percent turnout.

Young people give various explanations for their languor, ranging from "my vote doesn't matter" to logistical problems.

"When you're dealing with students from out of state, there is a decision first on which place to vote," said Christine Lutton, a senior at the University of Pennsylvania and an editor of a student newspaper.

"I'm from Los Angeles, and it's definitely an extra effort to get an absentee ballot."

"Beyond that, people just get caught up in other things. In my age group, there are a lot of people who believe their vote doesn't make a lot of difference."

Young adults' poor voting records have not stopped presidential candidates from trying to break the inertia.

Democrat Bill Clinton's campaign schedule this year frequently has included university stops, and his speeches often contain references to the forever-youthful President Kennedy, who was inaugurated when he was 43.

The Democrats' pitch to students has not gone unnoticed by

President Bush's campaign staff, which notes that young voters in the 1980s went strongly for President Reagan, a fellow Republican, as did the rest of the nation.

"What Clinton is trying to do is make the same generational connection that Kennedy made," said a Bush campaign official, who requested anonymity.

"The guy has been on MTV; he's been on 'The Arsenio Hall Show.' What he's saying is, 'I'm running against a guy who is not part of your generation.'"

At 46, Clinton is 22 years younger than Bush.

A poll last month by irreverent *Spy* magazine and audiotape manufacturer TDK had Clinton leading Bush 56 percent to 35 percent among college students. Now, Clinton must hope that the numbers hold up and that students actually vote.

Creating hope for greater participation are several new "get out the vote" programs.

One, called First Vote, is being implemented this year in the Phoenix (Ariz.) Union High School District and other districts around the nation. The program makes voter education and registration part of high-school seniors' social studies curricula.



STUMPING FOR HUCKABEE — Marilyn Quayle responds to reporters' questions during a campaign stop endorsing Mike Huckabee in his race against U.S.

Rivals out to get her, Marilyn Quayle says

BY REX NELSON
Democrat-Gazette Political Editor

TEXARKANA — Marilyn Quayle ended her visit to Arkansas on Tuesday morning by saying that Gov. Bill Clinton's presidential campaign is trying "to destroy me personally."

"That has been part and parcel of the Clinton campaign," Quayle said following a breakfast speech on behalf of Republican Senate candidate Mike Huckabee.

During Arkansas appearances on Monday and Tuesday, the wife of Vice President Dan Quayle emphasized that comments she made during the Republican National Convention were not aimed at the state or its

Bumpers, who is seeking his fourth six-year term, as "a nice man" but said that "just like Birch Bayh, he votes wrong."

She listed the familiar campaign litany of Bush administration accomplishments and harkened back to the high interest and inflation rates of the Carter years.

Quayle also talked about the Joint Training Partnership Act, her husband's most notable legislative accomplishment, calling it the type of public-private partnership that should be more common.

"This program worked," Quayle said. "It was government helping put people to work in real jobs in the private sector."

Bush camp attacks with form letters

NEW YORK — The Bush-Quayle campaign has drafted form letters attacking Gov. Bill Clinton and distributed copies to a national network of volunteers who have been encouraged to submit them to local newspapers as letters to the editor.

New York *Newsday* obtained copies of 20 different letters drafted by the Bush-Quayle campaign headquarters that attack Clinton on his Vietnam-era draft status, his record in Arkansas and his positions on health care, education and taxes.

A Bush spokesman said that there was nothing wrong with the practice.

"We actually draft letters," Tony Mitchell, a spokesman for the Bush-Quayle campaign headquarters in Washington, D.C. said. "We are not saying they (volunteers) have to use them as they are."

The form letters begin "Dear Letters to the Editor," and end with a blank salutation, with space for signature.

A computer-aided search of six major newspapers in six key battleground states in the last six months indicated none of the letters had been published verbatim. It could not be determined whether the campaign's drafts had been used as the basis for other letters to the editor. (New York *Newsday*)

Gore schedules appearance on MTV

Democratic vice presidential nominee Al Gore will get his chance to "rock the vote" Oct. 20 when he appears on cable's MTV: Music Television, officials with MTV announced Tuesday.

Gore will hold an hourlong forum with young voters as part of MTV's election coverage, dubbed "Choose or Lose," said Judy McGrath, the network's executive vice president and creative director.

Gov. Bill Clinton held a similar MTV forum in June. Network officials said President Bush and Vice President Dan Quayle have declined invitations to appear on MTV.

The network also said it plans to extend the offer to independent candidate Ross Perot. (Democrat-Gazette Staff)

Survey ranks Clinton 14th out of 50

Bill Clinton ranks 14th of 50 governors in home-state perception of job performance, a nationwide survey shows.

The surveys were conducted in the South by Mason-Dixon Political/Media Research and elsewhere by Political/Media Research Inc. of Maryland. They were released Monday.

Arkansans were asked: "How would you rate the performance of Bill Clinton as governor — excellent, pretty good, only fair or poor?"

The Democratic presidential nominee's excellent ranking was 10 percent; good, 41 percent; fair, 31 percent; and poor, 18 percent.

The survey results didn't give the number of respondents, the time survey calls were made or the margin of error.

The five most popular governors were Delaware's Mike Castle, Vermont's Howard Dean, North Dakota's George Sinner, South Dakota's George Mickelson and Texas' Ann Richards.

"The least popular governor is Alabama's Guy Hunt, currently under investigation for alleged improprieties," the results state. (Democrat-Gazette Staff)

The final word



The election — 27 days away.

Where's Bush? — Today in San Antonio, Texas, then on "Larry King Live" with call-in questions, 8 p.m. CDT; Thursday in New Orleans and Houston.

Where's Clinton? — Today, "Good Morning America" 6:30 a.m. CDT, Little Rock, with no public appearances; Thursday, in Kansas City for private debate rehearsal.

Perot adviser endorses Clinton

Democrat-Gazette Staff

John P. White, a top adviser to Ross Perot on the federal budget, has endorsed Gov. Bill Clinton's presidential campaign.

White, an economist and vice president with Eastman Kodak Co., played a key role in developing Perot's plan for reducing the federal deficit.

White is the first person thanked by Perot in his book, "United We Stand." The book outlines the independent presidential candidate's plan for the

nation.

"I believe Clinton has an excellent economic plan and will provide the long-term deficit reduction that will be necessary to return America to a sound footing," White said in a statement released by Clinton's campaign.

White, who reportedly urged Perot not to re-enter the presidential race last week, said a Clinton administration would provide "economic growth, good jobs and long-term fiscal strength."

Controversy may hurt Democrat's popularity

BY JAMES WEBB
Associated Press Writer

CHICAGO — Carol Moseley Braun's journey toward history as the first black woman in the Senate is turning bumpy over a controversy involving her handling of her mother's finances.

The question is whether Republican opponent Rich Williamson can take advantage of the first major glitch for the Democrat who became one of the nation's hottest candidates with her upset of incumbent Sen. Alan Dixon in the March primary.

Until recently Williamson, a relatively unknown Chicago lawyer and former Reagan White House aide, has shown little ability to dent Braun's celebrity-like popularity.

"She's reduced to the status of mortal now," said Tom Roeser, a conservative commentator and consultant in Chicago. "The media has always deified her since the primary victory."

Revelations last week about her role in distributing a \$28,750 check paid to her Medicaid-supported mother have buttressed Williamson's attacks on Braun's ethics and cut her lead in the latest poll.

The weekend survey for the *Chicago Tribune* found Braun ahead 48 percent to 29 percent among 775 likely Illinois voters. Twenty-four percent were undecided and 1 percent chose an unspecified other candidate. The poll had a margin of error of 4 percentage points.

In a *Tribune* poll taken a week

earlier before the Medicaid flap, Braun led Williamson 54 percent to 26 percent with 20 percent undecided. The poll had a margin of error of 3 percentage points.

"This is sort of a gift from the gods for Williamson," said Paul Green, an analyst and political science professor at Governors State University. "It may not be enough to give him a victory but it may be enough to make him competitive."

The issue centers on whether a payment to Braun's mother, Edna Moseley, for timber rights on family property in Alabama was reported to Medicaid authorities as required and whether taxes should have been paid on the money.

The state requires that the Department of Public Aid be advised of the receipt of any finances that might be applied toward the health-care costs of a Medicaid recipient such as Moseley, who is in a Chicago nursing home.

Braun said her mother assured her the notification was made, though she has offered no proof. The candidate does not believe there is any tax liability, but her lawyers are still examining the issues.

The Public Aid department and the Internal Revenue Service refused to comment on the case.

At a news conference last Wednesday, Braun outlined how the money was distributed at her mother's direction to Braun and her brother and sister.

Since the conclusion of the convention in late August, Marilyn Quayle has become a lightning rod for criticism from Arkansas Democrats who contend the state was bashed unfairly.

Quayle said her criticism was aimed at Clinton's record as governor, claiming that Clinton "has not done much for Arkansas."

"Environmentally, he is at the bottom," Quayle said.

Although she would not be specific, Quayle said there is "a great deal of pollution according to the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) that has gone mostly ignored in this state. ... It's most unfortunate."

After listing statistics in areas ranging from education to the environment, Quayle said the state's poor rankings in various categories are "not a reflection on the people. ... They're a reflection on the governor."

In her speech to a crowd composed of as many Texans as Arkansans — and held on the Texas side of the border — Quayle compared Huckabee's Senate race against Democrat Dale Bumpers to her husband's 1980 race against Democrat Birch Bayh.

Dan Quayle upset the Indiana incumbent in a year that saw Republicans regain control of the Senate.

Marilyn Quayle described

you cannot solve every problem through bigger government." Too much of the blame for the current recession has been placed on the Republican administration, according to Quayle.

"We are in a global recession," she said. "You don't hear too many people talking about that."

While conceding that Clinton has maintained a consistent lead in the polls in recent weeks, Quayle contended that the governor's support is "soft" and that his lead can be overcome in the next four weeks.

She said the four scheduled debates — three presidential and one vice presidential — and television advertising will allow voters to see the differences between the Republican and Democratic tickets.

Quayle said voters would realize the two parties still represent "different philosophies" and that "the best answer to every problem is going to come from the individual. It's not going to come from the government."

Asked about the scheduled Tuesday debate at Atlanta between her husband and Sen. Al Gore, D-Tenn., Quayle smiled and said, "I think my husband will have a great deal of fun in that debate."

She wouldn't say if the vice president has any one-liners planned.

Forum to let campaigns outline health-care ideas

Representatives of both George Bush's and Bill Clinton's campaigns will outline their candidates' positions on health-care reform on Thursday in Little Rock.

The forum begins at 8:30 a.m. and will last until noon. It will be in auditorium S103 of the Sturgis Building on the Arkansas Children's Hospital campus at 800 Marshall St.

The event is free and open to the public.

Dr. Joycelyn Elders, director of the Arkansas Department of Health, will present Clinton's plan. Bush's representative has yet to be announced.

A panel of leaders from the medical, business, insurance and advocacy groups will also state positions and react to the candidates' plans.

The panel will include Robert Shoptaw, executive vice

president and chief operating officer of Arkansas Blue Cross & Blue Shield; John Greer, senior vice president for individual services at Arkansas Blue Cross & Blue Shield; David Rickard, research analyst for Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families; Kenneth Camp, director of governmental affairs for the Arkansas State Chamber of Commerce; Cecil Malone of the American Association for Retired Persons; Dr. Michael Moody, legislative chairman for the Arkansas Academy of Family Physicians; and Russell Harrington Jr., president of the Baptist Medical Association and chairman of the Arkansas Hospital Association.

The forum is being sponsored by the Arkansas Chapter of the American Society of Public Administration.

Ada Mills

P.O. Box 547
Clarksville, Arkansas 72830



James Baker, Bush Campaign Staff
White House
Washington, D. C. 20004

IMPORTANT AND PERSONAL



NRN
—
October 8, 1992

161 Miller Lane
Rochester, N.Y. 14617

*gen support
debate
suggestions*

Secretary James A. Baker III
White House Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:

Mr. Secretary, with the first debate scheduled for this Sunday, I would like to offer some comments and observations. In order to win, the President must project a strong vision for America if there is to be another four years. As the President's very close friend, you know how important this is and how important it is for you to be Secretary of State.

For the rest of the campaign and during the debates, let's not use the phrase "It shall not stand." or "It will not stand.", because it sounds abrasive to people. It literally turns them off. This is especially true with hard economic times. If you listen to what the people are saying it's that he had his chance to do something. That's why the statement "It will not stand" is so abrasive.

I would like to help the President. I realize that I am an unknown to you but sometimes we have to take chances. For me it would be a tremendous opportunity and honor to help the President.

My Mr. Secretary, I know that without your approval and input to the President, nothing will happen. All I can do is ask.

I know you want to win, so do I.

Sincerely,

Bohdan J. Sypniak
Bohdan J. Sypniak

Memo from

NPN

CY W. GREAVES

1432 ALEXANDRIA DRIVE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92107

(619) 222-2779

Oct. 6, 1992

*suggestion
(jobs)*

Mr. James Baker:

Although the following suggestion is unsolicited, I feel compelled to call it to your attention. (FYI: I retired from the University of California, San Diego. Prior to my career at UCSD I spent several years in an advertising agency where I handled many political campaigns).

I've observed that a lot of people around San Diego who currently have jobs seem interested in Clinton. In the debates and campaign ads I'd like to see something like this: "There are 00,000,000 million of you now employed. If you have a job our economic policies will stimulate the economy. If you are unemployed, our programs will support business to expand."

That theme, of course, could be expressed in dozens of effective ways.

Best wishes,

CY W. Greaves

JAB-07A

NRW

54 Brookside Drive
Stratham, N.H. 03885
October 5, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker:

Thank you for your fine leadership in our recent
world events. As an American citizen, I am grateful.

It seems to me that the American people have not been well informed in this campaign.

Paul Drugman, an economist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, stated that our economy is a mystery, and, we economists, don't know a lot about what's going on.

You and I know President Bush is not to blame. Frankly, I have a saturation theory. I feel that we have reached a plateau in the merchandise and conveniences that we purchase. I don't think we can keep up the level of buying that we saw in the eighties. Management has to be more cautious. Management has to be more intelligent. EXAMPLE: It isn't President Bush's fault Macy's is in trouble. They probably over stocked, so blame management.

Education: I taught fourth grade for twenty two years, and I get very upset when I hear that education is awful in this country. It is not! Our family structure is different and children are lacking in general nurturing. A talented teacher needs only a piece of chalk and a blackboard. Of course, it's wonderful to have grandiose schools, but if there are no standards, and if there is no structure, they are not going to be very good. It seems to me we must educate for life long learning to take place. We must educate our children to compete in a world society, because that is what we are right now, in 1992. And, I just don't see how the governor from Arkansas, who the Democrats chose to nominate, can lead us towards the year 2000.

In retrospect I wish The White House could have avoided the violence in Los Angeles this year. I do not agree with the White House on the abortion issue. I think Presidents should pay their taxes, where they are domiciled, hence
The White House. And, I do think someone should have been

sensitive enough to keep the press away from President Bush speeding around in his motor boat off the coast of Maine.

I think the people have to be aware of the fact that if Governor Clinton gets elected no one will veto anything that goes before Congress.

I hope we can return to the work ethic we had at the turn of the century. My Grandparents were proud of being in this country. They did not expect anyone to give them anything. They believed in hard work, and they valued a good education.

Hopefully, we can rekindle these values in all our people, who live in The United States of America, in the year 1992.


My husband and I have been fortunate enough to have traveled on all but one of our continents." How fortunate, and how blessed we are to be citizens of this country.

I would like to see a great deal of time and energy go into the problem of our cities so that the present situation does not continue. I would like to see a lot of emphasis in this direction.

And, last but not least, I hope the people of this country will give President Bush four more years.

Good wishes in all your campaign efforts.

Respectfully yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Louise F. Clements". The signature is written in dark ink and includes a stylized flourish at the end.

(Mrs.) Louise F. Clements

54 BROOKSIDE DRIVE
STRATHAM, N.H. 03885



MLDCR 07



FOR MR. BAKER'S OFFICE
CAMPAIGN MANAGER
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

JAB-07

MPW

Oct 6, 1992.

suggestions

Dear Mr Baker,

One of the key questions raised during campaign time is whether the American people are better off today than they were 4 years ago. Despite conventional wisdom to the contrary, it can be stated that people are better off today than 4 years ago by reason of the collapse of Communism and the end of the Cold War. With this has come a much reduced threat of nuclear confrontation in the world and even the destruction of nuclear weapons.

Democracy has come to a number of nations that were formerly under Communist control. These monumental changes have all come about without the need to use U.S. military forces. The fondest wishes of the American people have been realized. All those who helped bring this about, including innumerable cold war warriors, deserve great praise. This includes Pres Bush and others who pursued a policy of military strength in the face of Soviet expansionism. These policies have yielded success.

All this is by way of background as it appears that the economy is in transition, moving away from large scale military investments to greater civilian interests. As a result, there is dislocation in the American economy but this is to be expected.

Bush should not have to apologize for success. Attention should be focused on the new opportunities open to us as we try to encourage democracy to grow in former Communist areas.

Bush should seize the initiative in presenting the "new" lands of opportunity as markets for American goods.

The world is becoming more international all the time and which of the candidates has the strongest credentials in this area but

Bush

A commercial can be shown weighing the candidates' background in various areas, using a check list form. This would make it easier to see the superior qualifications of Bush and the other candidates inferior position. In short, there is a need for simplicity and directness.

Since the world remains a very unstable place, another commercial should show the importance of decisive leadership in protecting America's vital interests abroad: e.g. Desert Storm.

The many accomplishments of Bush need to be presented in order for the American people to make an informed decision on the election.

Bush's pluses far outweigh the minuses but this must be shown to the people. The ~~too~~ message is not getting out as it should.

Also, the world wide recession is slowing economies around the world and our position is better than many others and this needs to be pointed out.

Yours truly,

Arthur Heller.

A. Heller
5755 Faraday Ave
Bronx NY 10471



MLBCR 07



Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20006



Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Letter	From Daniel L. Yerkey to James Baker Re: Domestic Economic Issues (2 pp.)	10/02/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [10]

Date Closed: 4/25/2001	OA/ID Number: 93008-006
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #:	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

Mr James Baker:

NAN

5-X-92

Sir:

I'm really quite disturbed at the recent response by the White House staff to a letter I wrote to the President. Because I felt Mr. Bush's Health Care program could be improved or augmented, I gave the problem some thought and came up with some ideas and wrote them to Mr. Bush.

The ideas were 1) set up an income tax surcharge for doctors and medical personnel of 50% for all earnings over \$500K with a pro-bono allowance of \$100K, 2) Use all service facilities headed for sunset as sanctuary for medically needy and homeless and abused kids and wives. Other suggestions included a serious effort to audit the medical community's billing practices and pricing bases.

Well, I got a form letter in reply plus a copy of Mr. Bush's Health Plan! Obviously no one has read my letter. This is disturbing and troublesome. Why should citizens take the time to write & be involved if communication is ignored? These stuff people make good money. They can do better than send a form letter. If that is the best that can be done we are in real trouble and on our way to total dis-enchantment with government.

I understand the President gets much mail and can't read it all, but if it is done for him, do it Right.

Sincerely

I'm a Republican voter since Wilkie.
and a retired Teacher.

B. H. Moss.

BILL MOSS PHD
1925 SW. 83RD CT.
MIAMI, FL. 33155



Mr. James Baker
% White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave
Washington D.C.

8mg-234

sent 9/28/92

President Bush has asked me to thank you for your letter. The President has received many comments and suggestions regarding reform of our health care system, and he appreciates having the benefit of your ideas.

The quality of American health care is the best in the world. Yet, too many Americans are uninsured, and those who are insured often have to pay too much for health care. In addition to the worry this causes individuals and families, skyrocketing health care costs are hurting our overall economy. Reform is urgently needed.

President Bush understands that the American people want quality care that they can afford and that they can count on when needed. In response, he has developed and presented to Congress an innovative reform plan that ensures that every working American will have access to affordable health insurance. His plan includes numerous measures that will cut the runaway cost of health care and make our health care system more efficient. These measures include:

- Reform of our malpractice laws, including incentives for States to impose caps on punitive damage awards and to move malpractice claims out of the courts;
- Reduction of paperwork and administrative costs through replacement of paper records with computerized records;
- A call to greater personal responsibility and an emphasis on prevention, recognizing that the need for many health care services arises from behavior choices; and
- More information for consumers to enable them to choose those providers of health care services that offer the best combination of price and performance to meet their individual needs.

The President is urging Congress to move forward on his market-based, commonsense plan. Some Members, however, have proposed plans that the President has termed "prescriptions for disaster." These proposals would nationalize our health system, either directly or indirectly, through the "pay or play" plan approach, putting the Federal Government in control of the system. Government would control prices, ration the kind of health care that people get, and tell people who are seeking care how much they will get, what kind, and when. A nationalized system would lead to long waiting lists for surgery, indifferent service, and a huge new tax burden. President Bush strongly opposes the idea of putting government between patients and their doctors, and he is against various proposals that would result in the creation of another wasteful Federal bureaucracy.

In light of your comments, I am enclosing information about the plan that President Bush has proposed. The President shares your concern about this important issue, and you can be sure that he is urging action that will create workable, sensible, and compassionate solutions.

With the President's best wishes,

JAB-07A

9-30-92

Hon. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D. C.

NKN

Hon. Mr. Baker :

I am compelled by my love for my adopted U.S. Country and for the respect and admiration I have for our beloved & wonderful President, George Bush !
I wish with all my heart that you, one of the Best Diplomats America has ever had, and an admirer of the many accomplishments of our President; I wish that you would come out of the White House and help our President to win this difficult election, for the good of all of us.

Very Sincerely Yours,
Dr. Salvatore G. Scotti

P.O. Box 8823

Cranston, R.I. 02920-0823

SCOT-TUSSIN PHARMACAL CO., INC.

Sugar Free Cough Medicines

BOX 8217 - 50 CLEMENCE STREET

CRANSTON, R. I. 02920-0217



Hon. James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20000

JAB-07A

EMERSON S. MARSHALL

1403 HERMITAGE AVENUE • HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35801

September 30, 1992

Mr. Jim Baker
The White House
Washington, D. C.

NRN

Dear Mr. Baker

Listening to Bush this past week tells me you are at last on the right track. We can beat this Arkansas Hill-Billy by concentrating on his economic programs or lack of them; tax and spend, tax and spend.

I think you people should also bring the Congress into the campaign also. This spendthrift outfit is really the guilty party. You should have Bush ask Mr. Clinton in one of the debates just what he proposes to do to control them. He has said little or nothing about them. Bear down on him. There are literally thousands of pork barrel type and wasteful spending programs to cite to us illustrating this problem. Ask Mr. Clinton how he proposes to get his Congress to pass the line-item-veto law to bring them under some sort of control. As I write this I am listening to the House on C-Span voting down an attempt by the Republican minority to pass a line-item-veto law to start somewhat like what is needed.

I am pulling for you to salvage Mr. Bush's campaign. You can do it.

Sincerely yours

E. S. Marshall
E. S. Marshall

MLAER #22

MR JAMES BAKER
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D. C.



EMERSON S. MARSHALL

1403 HERMITAGE AVENUE • HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35801



JAB-07A

MRS. JEANETTE JORDON

3563 Shadow Creek Dr., Danville, CA 94506 (510) 736-5712

September 27, 1992

NRN

Mr. James Baker, III
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

Several weeks ago I sent a letter to President Bush outlining some thoughts I had regarding his campaign to win re-election. Since then and under your guidance in running his campaign, I have seen a more vigorous campaign emerging. However, I still don't feel that the vast majority of Americans are lining up in support of the President. The message is still not strong enough nor is it **issue oriented** enough to change the tide of support that is currently going in the direction of the Clinton/Gore ticket.

Most Americans need a good reminder of what life is like under a Democratic president. The philosophical difference between the Republican and Democratic parties needs to be said over and over again. You need to recapture the disenchanted Republicans. Most importantly the point should be made that **President Bush has already tried Clinton's answer to our growth problems, i.e., he raised taxes. It didn't work. It didn't reduce the deficit. It hasn't created jobs. It surely didn't help the economy.**

There are two things that most Americans want to see and hear. **THEY WANT A STRONG LEADER WHO WILL FIGHT FOR WHAT HE BELIEVES IN AND THEY WANT TO KNOW WHAT HIS IDEAS ARE FOR THE FUTURE DIRECTION OF THE UNITED STATES OVER THE NEXT FOUR YEARS.**

A debate, in my mind, is the only way you can now get the "numbers" to hear a loud, clear message of the fundamental differences. A format that allows each candidate to spell out his plan for each of the issues, followed by a few questions by a panel on each issue outlined, would be an informative format. Mr. Clinton keeps saying he doesn't care what the format is, he just wants to debate. So why is President Bush not debating?

So far all I keep hearing are re-definitions of family values, abortion, and the constant media blitz that George Gush has not accomplished anything in four years. I truly believe that too much time and effort has been placed on the family value and abortion issues. That is not to say that they are not important issues, but they will not win an election -- any may lose it. Family values are a very personal issue. I understand what you are trying to say, but the economy is what people are concerned about right now. They feel they can handle their values.

The American people need to be reminded that President Bush had the respect and know-how to put together a coalition of countries to stand up to Iraq. His accomplishments need to be focused on. But most of all he needs ideas that will stand up under the scrutiny of opponents to show that he is a strong, intelligent, resourceful leader. Time is running out.

People want to know that President Bush has a workable plan to straighten out the medical insurance mess; that government can work with businesses - large and small - to encourage growth and development of new ideas thus create more jobs; that government can create a climate that is friendly towards entrepreneurs; that banks will be encouraged to lend money to infuse needed capital for growth money and startups.

Americans don't mind sacrificing; in fact, I think they actually like feeling that their efforts can be a part of the solution for our problems. They want a strong leader who will tell them what they can do to help to make their lives better. With this in mind, I suggested to President Bush the idea of selling "infrastructure bonds" much like the old war bonds. People would feel that their money was going directly to something that they could physically see and that would benefit them.

I thought the recent TIME magazine gave an excellent recap on the forces that have been influencing our economy. Perhaps some of those points should be verbalized. It is easy for Clinton/Gore to Monday morning quarterback on our economy. We need President Bush to give us a strong message on his plans, as well as the causes.

I wish you well in your efforts to re-elect the President. I am out there trying to get him votes, but it is not easy in Northern California.

Sincerely,



Jeanette Jordon

JAB-07A

John C. Harvey
380 West Everett Road
Lake Forest, IL 60045
708-234-3363

September 30, 1992

Secretary of State James Baker
% The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:

Why not a program for women in business -- woman proprietors
and owners--to capture some of the high ground from the
Clinton campaign.

Women business owners are a fast-growing class and many more
women would like to be in business for themselves.

I think Bush has come to life since you've come over
to help direct his campaign.

Sincerely,

John Harvey
Republican Voter

NRN

JAB-07

**Fort Recovery
Industries, Inc.**

NEW

GEORGE G. JETTER
CHAIRMAN

10-1-92

Dear Mr. Baker

I have been a Republican all 80 years of my life. Please tell America that if it were not for the work of Reagan and Bush we surely would have had a 3rd World War. The price we now pay as our economy is down is a lot better than all the sorrows of a war.

We were and are strong so Russia gave up. The Democrats are for weakness.

JAB-07A

Law Office Of

RON

Ronald L. Sims, P.A.

940 Highland Ave.
Orlando, FL 32803-3237
Telephone (407) 843-5885
Fax (407) 648-1044

September 28, 1992

JAMES BAKER
PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
c/o WHITE HOUSE
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

The message I believe President Bush should reiterate time and time again is the following:

"Give me a conservative Congress, and I will do for you domestically what I have done for you globally".

May God Bless your efforts.

Yours very truly,



Ronald L. Sims

JAB-17

September 28, 1992

N RN

James A. Baker III
Chief of Staff
Office of the President of the United States
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

In the fall of 1992 I wrote the Vice President pointing out my concern over the lack of a well defined analytical system which could be used as a tool in the evaluation of any economic structure designed to improve or reconstruct an existing system to be used in the definition of a proposed system. (See enclosure #1.)

The Vice Presidents response to my letter pointed out that the President and the Vice President were doing "everything possible to revitalize" the nations economy. The President has repeatedly urged Congress to pass his economic growth package but his proposals have been constantly opposed by the Congress. (See enclosure #2.)

It is my contention that if an economic simulation model were used to aid in the definition of an "economic growth Package" the benefits which would be realized from it's adoption could be conclusively demonstrated.


Without question the economy of the Nation has deteriorated in the past few years. However, when one reflects on other events of the same period with the cost of insuring a successful conclusion of these events, as well as economic problems of the World in general, it is not surprising that the Nation is experiencing significant financial problems. Moreover it is unreasonable to expect that the effect that these events, as well as the impact of many ill-conceived domestic programs, have had on our economy has resulted from and should have been remedied by one branch of the Federal Government during the span of less than for years.

To define the route which must be taken to insure the healthy Nation which we all desire requires an in depth analysis of each element of any proposed configuration as well as a system analysis of the total system. Proposals which suggest cutting taxes in some areas, increased spending on some program or other, etc. with out having performed a total system analysis, are completely irresponsible . I, therefore, am again suggesting

that a group or team be established which is charged with the responsibility of defining a system analysis simulation model designed to assess the validity of proposed National economic improvement programs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "James E. Martin".

James E. Martin, Ph.D.
5687 Riviera Ave.
Banning, California 92220

Encl. #1 Letter from JEM to USA VP.
#2 Letter to JEM from USA VP.

ENCL. #1

November 19, 1991

The Vice President of the United States
Old Executive Office Building
17th St. and Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500.

Dear Sir:

For years the apparent approach to solving our nations economic problems by Congress, the Administration, institutions of higher learning, think tanks, etc. has disturbed me. Such organizations or institutions seems to have the ultimate solution for improving our economy, reducing the trade deficit or controlling the national debt. In no case, however, has the analysis which led to a given solution been presented, discussed or mentioned.

An analytical approach to such problems would be difficult but certainly possible. It may be that such analyses exist but if so they have not been presented or referred to when solutions to or economic problems are discussed.

It is suggested that in attempting to improve the economy of our country that any proposed approach be undertaken only after the approach has been shown to have a high probability of success when analyzed with the aid of an economy model.
Such a model would;

be a stochastic computerized simulation model,
thus accept random and nonrandom inputs,
with results presented in a probabilistic form.

The over-all system analysis should be designed by a team;

whose interest, as regards this program,
is to create a reliable analytical tool,

is able to objectively review and analyze existing
related data,

and which, it is hoped, would be made up of volunteer
members interested in creating a tool which could be used
in enhancing the economic status of the United States.

For this program to be of maximum effectiveness it must be under
the direction of and utilized by the executive branch of the
government. To insure total objectiveness it would be best to



THE VICE PRESIDENT
WASHINGTON

January 17, 1992

James E. Martin, Ph.D.
5687 Riviera Avenue
Banning, California 92220

Dear James:

Thank you for taking the time to write me and express your thoughts and concerns regarding the economy. I want to assure you that President Bush and I are concerned about those who have suffered hardship as a result of economic conditions, and we remain committed to doing everything possible to revitalize our economy.


During the past year, the President has repeatedly urged Congress to pass his economic growth package, and the President and I are disappointed in the inaction of Congress. As the President has said, "I've called for the things that I believe would help, and they've been opposed day in and day out by the liberals that control the United States Congress."

The President's economic proposals include a cut in the tax rate for capital gains, expanded Individual Retirement Accounts, permanent extension of the research and experimentation tax credit to benefit entrepreneurs, establishment of enterprise zones in the inner cities and legislation to overhaul the banking system. While Congress has made some progress and while there are signs of emergence from the recession, much remains to be done, and we will engage these issues with Congress when they reconvene.

In addition to proposing legislative measures, President Bush initiated executive branch actions to help relieve the "credit crunch" for business and industry through promoting confidence and balance in lending. The President has also directed the Economic Policy Council to determine additional measures that might be taken to strengthen his existing domestic economic programs.

Again, I appreciate your writing and assure you that advancing economic growth and creating jobs will remain top priorities of this Administration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Dan Quayle". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Dan Quayle

L A R M C
2nd GENERAL HOSPITAL
Information Management Division
APO AE 09180

FAX TRANSMITTAL FORM

Date: 14 Oct 92

To: Sec. Baker **Tel:** 456-2883
White House

From: Noble Leo Morton III **Tel:** L

Number of Pages (incl. this) 4

MESSAGE:

NRW

Education President George Bush
Announces a Bold New Initiative
for the 90's
in the American Global Electronic Village:
A Nation--Wide Education Satellite
Television Network (EDSAT)!

1. Set and dramatically improve education standards at all school levels for ~~the entire country~~ high-tech, multi-media satellite television class instruction including computer simulation programs with emphasis on mathematics, the sciences, English, foreign languages and geography.
2. Include satellite hook-up to foreign countries for language learning, other educational opportunities and cultural exchanges.
3. Provide schools with sample computer software such as grammar checkers, mathematics training tools such as MathCAD for stimulating and modeling improved school environments.
4. Task the Small Business Administration and the Commerce Department to encourage and assist new and established small businesses using the same high-tech, multi-media satellite educational television system. These Federal organizations could provide the latest information, training, know-how and advice to encourage business success, exports, standards and ethics.
5. Provide job opportunity, transition and training information via the same satellite education television system or network.

Noble Leo Morton III
2nd General Hospital
CMR 402, Box 1344
APO AE 09180

Secretary Baker
The White House
Washington, DC

13 Oct. 1992

Dear Mr. Secretary,

Winning Suggestions for 4 more years!

What to do to out Perot Perot and out Clinton Clinton on the home front addressing the needs of the country and without sacrificing fundamentally your own philosophy.

-- Begin Doing Great Things at Home Now BEFORE the Election
...show what you will do in the next 4 years!

-- Prove you are the Education President:

Inaugurate The Global Electronic Education Village by immediately commissioning a quick acting task force.

First, to significantly improve American education they should quickly (Perot tempo):

A. Set new high education standards--competitive with the best in the rest of the world--for grade schools, junior high schools and high schools in Math, Science, English Language and Foreign Languages

B. Create a national education satellite television network to provide top quality, high-tech audio-visual teaching with excellent teachers and professors to supplement city, town and rural village teaching staff throughout the country. This will extend and inspire the staff and students to bring up the level of education to the new high standards. (Initially get AT&T, MCI etc to donate or offer reduced rates for use of existing satellite time. Get a trial version working before the November 3, 1992 election.).

C. Facilitate foreign language training by initial discussions with foreign governments to allow satellite television hookups to provide native speakers for classes at all levels, grade school to high school.

D. Provide supplemental educational materials such as software programs like MathCAD, Grammatik V, physics and chemistry simulation programs for each of the nations schools.

Secondly, to assist new and existing small businesses the Small Business Administration and the Commerce Department could also use the Global Electronic Village Satellite Television network to:

- A. Provide training, export and other know-how necessary for business success, include general and specific knowledge focused on a particular type of small business.
- B. Provide timely, international market information.
- C. Provide job information.

In forming the national task force on education, it would be an excellent idea for the President to make personal telephone calls to the Silicon Valley and other electronic industry CEO's to both request their input and enlist their help.


A final idea, offer the American people the option of a national debt reducing referendum. If they want an annual five or ten cents per gallon tax increase on gasoline for the next 2 to 4 years to help reduce the national debt, they alone should decide.

Doing these things for the good of the country without regard as to the outcome in the 1992 Election is the right thing, the Abe Lincoln thing to do. It is the real stuff that President Bush, our pro-life President is all about. It is the reason they will find it in their hearts and minds to re-elect him.

Finally, the pro-life issue can help beat Bill Clinton. For Slick Chicken Willie, it was alright to support those who supported the bloody, murderous Viet Cong, the Kemer Rouge and the Pathet Lao. However it is wrong today to support and save certain Americans, our unborn sons and daughters, our unborn granddaughters and grandsons or the elderly who are threatened with extinction through abortion and euthanasia. The liberal Democrat, Senator Paul Tongas warned America but a few months ago about the coming "Generation War". Maybe President Bush should ask Governor Clinton if he has any idea what Tongas was warning the nation about? Those promoting abortion and euthanasia have no faith, no love and no hope in the American dream, pessimists in the worst sense. Soon, in our old age, it will be our own heads at risk; we will be asked to take the euthanasia pill. Then we become the unwanted, financial, etc, burden.

Sincerely,

Noble Leo Morton III



JACK HUGHES
734 S LOOMIS ST.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60607
312-733-2115

NEN

October 12, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker III
White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500


Dear Mr. Baker,

I think the media have convinced the public that change in the White House is the best prescription for whatever ails the United States.

The Bush people should refocus the campaign to pointing out Clinton's lack of creditability as Commander in Chief. I am enclosing a copy of a letter I wrote to the op-ed departments of the Chicago Tribune and Chicago Sun-Times.

Best wishes to you and President Bush.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Jack Hughes in cursive script.

JACK HUGHES
734 S LOOMIS ST.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60607
312-733-2115

October 12, 1992

Voice of the People
Chicago Tribune
435 N. Michigan
Chicago, IL 60611

Consider the following not so unlikely scenarios:
Clinton is elected President and the world's troublemakers naturally decide to challenge him. American hostages are taken in South America, Africa and the Far East. Khadaffi reintroduces state terrorism. Saddam Hussein marches back into Kuwait. Castro seizes Guantamano Bay. Communist rebels overrun Manila. North Korea invades South Korea. Militarists and the KGB engineer a successful coup in the former USSR.

The peace we now enjoy is taken for granted and could be fleeting. The question that Americans should be asking themselves is, "Given the above circumstances, which candidate would be the best Commander in Chief?" In other words, when the time comes, and it will, will Clinton pull the trigger or will he burn his draft card? And will he send young people to places that he wouldn't go himself?

Jack Hughes

JACK HUGHES
734 S LOOMIS ST.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60607
312-733-2115

October 12, 1992

Letters
Chicago Sun-Times
401 N. Wabash
Chicago, IL 60611

Consider the following not so unlikely scenarios:
Clinton is elected President and the world's troublemakers naturally decide to challenge him. American hostages are taken in South America, Africa and the Far East. Khadaffi reintroduces state terrorism. Saddam Hussein marches back into Kuwait. Castro seizes Guantamano Bay. Communist rebels overrun Manila. North Korea invades South Korea. Militarists and the KGB engineer a successful coup in the former USSR.

The peace we now enjoy is taken for granted and could be fleeting. The question that Americans should be asking themselves is, "Given the above circumstances, which candidate would be the best Commander in Chief?" In other words, when the time comes, and it will, will Clinton pull the trigger or will he burn his draft card? And will he send young people to places that he wouldn't go himself?

Jack Hughes



Christiana Hospital

NRN

MEDICAL CENTER OF DELAWARE

4745 Stanton Ogletown Road
Suite 205
Newark, Delaware 19713
302-738-0448

Gerald M. Lemole, M.D.
Chief
Cardiovascular Surgery

October 16, 1992

Secretary James Baker
White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:

Here are some suggestions following debate:

1. More emphasis should be placed on the global extent of this recession which is above and beyond any national policy. For example, last week 31,000 miners were laid-off in Britain in one day. By population comparisons, this would be equivalent to 250,000 workers laid-off in the United States. The people don't realize that this recession is beyond the United States limits and it will take the United States as a super power of the nations to lead the world out the recession, and only strong international leadership and cooperation from the other countries, which President Bush has developed through Operation Desert Storm, can work.
2. Don't allow Perot and Clinton to deprecate the national health care standard. The statistics that they quote are based on, for example, infant mortality that includes crack babies, inner city unwed pregnant teenage mothers, and people who have not gone to a physician before delivery. Many of these other countries do not have those problems in their statistics. If you remove them, as Governor Clinton did when he was pushing for the public school union taught school system, you would find that this is the best health care delivery system in the world, and much of our money goes to caring for these crack babies and benefits that are not given in other countries such as nursing homes, visiting nurses, high technology operations, to people in the 8th and 9th decades, which are not available in the other countries. It is ironic that the rest of the world is moving away from government control and socialism in their policies and in the health care, (for example, Britain's private health sector is rapidly growing because the government health sector is a failure), the rest of the world is moving away from that because it doesn't work and Governor Clinton is advising going in that direction.
3. More emphasis should be made on the failures of Arkansas, for example, its high level of unemployment, its low level of educational standards and wages since Clinton has brought up his excellent record so often. It would behoove the President to mention that things look better in the last thirteen months in Arkansas since the Governor has been on the campaign trail then before when he was donating his full talents to it.


Continued Page 2

4. Where are all of the dissenters that comprised the democratic single issue voting block? For example, militant blacks and militant gays, the pro-abortion people who have so often in the past disrupted and alienated the mainstream voters during these elections. I would suggest that this has been well orchestrated by the democratic party as was done at the democratic convention to keep them quiet until after the election.

5. Lastly, Secretary Baker, I would suggest that if, after the last debate, it's apparent that Ross Perot cannot win the election, I would approach him for a new cabinet position as Secretary of Business and allow him to "jawbone" with congress using the press and his "people personality" to break gridlocks and identify the players in congress who have to be replaced in order to get things done. I think this would satisfy his objectives and guarantee a re-election for the President.

Thanks very much and if you have any questions regarding the above, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Gerald M. Lemole, M.D.

GML:ds

John P. Ternes

Attorney at Law

NRN

454 East Lake Street, Petoskey, Michigan 49770
(616) 347-7777 (800) 332-8797

October 16, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff
White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

The President's campaign is looking weak. As one commentator on CNN stated. "He needs some new cards to play."

Here are a few, very briefly described.

1. **HEALTH CARE COSTS.** In Canada paperwork on health care claims eats up ten percent of the total cost. In the U.S. it is about forty percent.

Visa and Mastercard process millions of transactions around the world at very low cost, about two to four percent. They do it speedily, accurately, and conveniently.

Establish one health care card system, with all health care providers, insurance companies, medicare, medicaid, and other providers plugged into it. We now have the computer expertise to do it, even with all the variables. When a patient sought care or medical products, the provider would authenticate the coverage with the same type of reader now used in stores. He would insert the patient's card and a code for the service. The machine would tell him if the patient was covered for that and the amount allowed.


The doctor would have instant payment, hundreds of forms would be eliminated. Costs would probably be cut twenty percent at least.

2. **NATIONAL REFERENDUM.** Deep issues like abortion do not lend themselves to judicial or even legislative solutions. It is time to have an amendment allowing national referenda on issues like that with majority decisions. It could put extremely divisive issues to rest. All or almost all states now have this. It is time, with the present state of telecommunications, to do this nationally. A bold proposal to solve festering disputes and pull the nation together more.
3. **MOVING TOWARD GREATER ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND POSSIBLE ENERGY INDEPENDENCE.** Oil imports run up the trade deficit and autos pollute the air. We need a solution to both. Ask for a national inventiveness award, perhaps \$1,000,000 or more, to be paid to the person who invents a battery powerful enough to operate a car economically, as far and as fast as a tank of gas. Private enterprise, entrepreneurship, environmental improvement.

4. OZONE. The depletion of the ozone layer is no joke. Cancer, blindness and crop destruction appear to be very real effects. People are concerned. Announce a study to see if commercial airliners could not carry and disperse huge quantities of ozone in the upper atmosphere to offset the destruction CFCs are causing. Good PR. Leadership.

These are a few cards. Perhaps some can be used. I hope he wins, but it certainly looks gloomy from here. If I can be of any help, please advise.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "John P. Ternes". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the typed name.

John P. Ternes



CUBIC CORPORATION

9323 BALBOA AVENUE • POST OFFICE BOX 85587
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92138

Walter J. Zable
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

10/19- cj left message
saying thanks

NRW

October 11, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker, III
White House
Washington, D.C. 20500


Dear Mr. Baker:

Ms. Carla Hills, U.S. Trade Representative and Chief Negotiator for the North American Free Trade Agreement, spoke in San Diego last week on the impact of NAFTA and President Bush's economic plan. She gave a number that shows the positive side of free trade, which President Bush should have, if he doesn't have now, for his debate with Clinton. This is the first time I have ever heard a cost number which shows that closing plants in U.S. has resulted in positive trade on exports.

When jobs are lost in the United States because of a shift to a foreign country, it is difficult for the voter to understand that this is beneficial to America. Words alone are not convincing and Clinton can overpower President Bush. Carla Hills said they have records showing a \$9 billion gain in exports because of doing some products in a foreign country. If this is true, how can Clinton argue against the facts.

I have heard President Bush make the statement about free trade but never give a number. I'm sure you can see the advantage of giving actual facts if they are true.

Sincerely,



WALTER J. ZABLE

P.S. Congratulations on your Commencement Address at the College of William and Mary. I am an alumnus and a member of the Board of Visitors of William and Mary.

NRN

We thought you'd like to
know we're making the
world safe for all James Bakers
by adding a new one! →

And as this is our 4th
child - we're making the
world safe for Republicans
by adding 4 More!!

We think you're doing a super
job - Keep it up.

We're Voting for George Bush
on Nov. 3rd.

Our wonderful
new baby boy
just couldn't have
happier parents!

Name James Andrew Baker

Date August 6, 1992

Weight 7 pounds 6 ounces

Parents James & Ellen Baker

128 Pershing Avenue
Locust Valley, New York
11560

Ambassador



© HALLMARK CARDS, INC.

N 201 Q

It's
a
Boy!



Mr. and Mrs. James E. Baker
128 Pershing Avenue
Locust Valley, New York
11560

11560
11560



Mr. James A. Baker
Chief of Staff
The Whitehouse
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington D.C.

NRN

10/13/92

Dear Jim,

In '80, '84 and '88, I could (and did) easily go into sportsmans' clubs and get people to register and vote for Ron + George, in large numbers. Please don't take the NRA and hunters, etc for granted. While many of us are doing all we can, it is an apathetic group this year! Please get the President to make a pro-hunting, pro 2nd Amendment statement soon. God Bless America!

Steven Wilson
43 Orchard Brook Drive, Wilkesfield CT 06169



This card was created solely for use by the
Reserve Officers Association of the United States.

Original Art by Lori Eslick



Mr James Baker
The White House
Washington, DC

Carroll

NRN

September 25, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

My name is Jackie Trainor and I am a student at Winthrop High School in Winthrop, Massachusetts. I am writing to you because I am doing a project in my Latin class.

We are wondering if you could give us some information. We would like to know why we should study Latin. Did you take Latin? Did it help you, and how? If you did not; do you wish you did?

Sincerely,
Jackie Trainor
Latin II
Winthrop High School

WINTHROP HIGH SCHOOL
MAIN STREET
WINTHROP, MA 02152



JAMES BAKER
Secretary of State
Washington, DC 200

10/19: NPN

October 14, 1992
Charles P. Smith
1960 Tiara Dr.
Ojai, CA 93023

The Honorable James A. Baker III
Chief-of-Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Jim:

This letter adds to other communications to you and your response through Bridgett Montain. My new thoughts suggest both campaign tactics and agenda ideas.

Excessive attention is being given in the Presidential campaign to comparing current economic status with that in 1989 when President Bush took office. Increased focus should compare things to 1980 when the last incumbent Democrat President had many problems (e.g., higher rates of credit interest, inflation, taxes). However, analysis should be done of the following factors on possible lack of popular support or economic growth due to reduced assets, income and fringe benefits per person as a result of: (a) changes in the work situation (e.g., fewer industrial or management jobs, increase in temporary workers), (b) reduced value growth of homes owned, (c) reduced payments received by persons with fixed income, and (d) possible undercounting of some workers (e.g., those discouraged or too proud to apply for job help). Slow growth or low income could lead to slowdown of factors important for a successful economy (e.g., home acquisition, consumer purchases, college attendance) since most people will try to protect themselves from major problems. Creative solutions may be needed to deal with this problem by adding to capital pool through providing some exclusions on capital gains from sale of primary residences if these gains were used for direct benefits (e.g., home purchase, college cost) for ones' own children or grandchildren.

Another strategy that might help reduce entitlement costs (including social security) would be to introduce the concept of "protecting current benefits," but providing better controls on future benefits through such things as means-testing, inflation controls and reduced health-care costs. Providing better solutions to health-care needs would encourage people to take lower paid or temporary jobs in transition from unemployment, welfare or school graduation. Some vacant jobs (e.g., in suburbs) may be better filled by encouraging more equal opportunity (e.g., race, ethnic group, age, sex) and by creative transportation solutions (e.g., discounts on transit fares to job sites). A major growth industry could be developed using computers far more to educate or train persons for the work world.

Those serving in the Bush Administration or campaign (and former such persons) could help positive results by reducing internal disagreements, placing of blame, unauthorized media leaks or job-hunting since all such things reinforce an impression of disarray and possible loss of the election. Since Clinton events seem better attended, the Bush advance teams might work on larger and more positive crowds who cheer at the right times and don't interrupt speakers.

You can reach me at 805-640-8171 or the above address.

Sincerely,



Dr. Charles P. Smith

Charles P. Smith
1960 Tiara Dr.
Ojai, CA 93023



The Honorable James A. Baker III
Chief-of-Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

PERSONAL

NRN

ASIAN AMERICANS FOR ~~REAGAN~~ Bush

DR. JOHN H. CHEN
National Coordinator

4525 LINCOLN AVENUE • P.O. BOX 1086
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

(301) 937-1221
(24-hour Services)

October 16, 1992

Hon. James Baker, III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Jim:

Here is a letter replied to me by Publisher Donald E. Graham of The Washington Post recently which indicated that "...We've been running Mr. Block's cartoons since 1946, including many our own editorial page has disagreed with."

Please advise President Bush that he should concentrate on the important issues, such as economic growth, job oppotunities, national health insurance, the nation's deficits, etc. President Bush tells American people plans specifically for each issue for the next four years. President should not degrade Governor Clinton's character again repeatly. "People will reach their own judgment of Clinton's character, just as they will of the President's."

Kindly tell President Bush before the next debate and the campaign for the last three weeks. As I have my own unofficial polls for many voters. They told me that they still have not make their minds yet for election, and that it is very important concerning issues which face their daily life.

Once again, President Bush should not have criticism of media people. It is too late to correct this problem. Media people's backfires are GOP's serious problem today.

Finally, President Bush's health is the most important measure during the next three weeks. It is more important for his health than the election. He seems very tired.

I would like to make an appointment with you after the election day. Anytime that is convenient for you is fine, and kindly let me know.

cc: Mrs. Barbara Bush
Chairman Richard Bond

Cordially yours,

Encl: Graham's letter

John H. Chen
John H. Chen

Bush 88 Quayle

A nation-wide citizens' group to support
sponsored by NATIONAL COUNCIL OF ASIAN/PACIFIC AMERICANS and various
Asian civic organizations

布希漸入佳境表現越來越好

The Washington Post

1150 15TH STREET, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20071

(202) 334-6000

DONALD E. GRAHAM
PUBLISHER
(202) 334-7138

Dear Dr. Chen,

A cartoon, by its nature,
is one-sided. We've been running
Mr. Block's cartoons since 1946,
including many our own editorial
page has disagreed with.

Sincerely,

Don Graham

Charlie A. Beckwith
3713 Green Trails South
Austin, Texas 78731

16 October 1992

The Honorable Jim Baker
Chief of Staff, The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

NRN

Dear Sir:

An old friend of mine framed and researched the attached document. My friend is a former Marine, Republican and hates Mr. Clinton.

The document expresses almost all of my feeling, and what I believe except in the final paragraph where my friend got a little carried away and vented to President Bush.

The United States of America needs President Bush for ^{more} four years. Then we will elect you Sir. Otherwise the entire Country and its military will be in serious trouble.

Warmest personal regards,
Charlie A. Beckwith
Colonel, USA, Retired

BILL CLINTON SHOULD BE CHARGED AND TRIED FOR THE CRIME OF TREASON. HE MARCHED AND ORGANIZED OPPOSITION TO OUR GOALS IN R.V.N. THIS HAPPENED IN THE LATE 1960'S ? HE IS GUILTY AS DEFINED BY THE STATUTES LISTED BELOW.

TREASON DEFINED :::::

WHOEVER, OWING ALLEGIANCE TO THE U.S., LEVIES WAR AGAINST THEM OR ADHERES TO THEIR ENEMIES, GIVING THEM AID AND COMFORT WITHIN THE U.S. OR ELSEWHERE, IS GUILTY OF TREASON AND SHALL BE PUT TO DEATH, OR SHALL BE IMPRISONED NOT LESS THAN 5 YRS. AND FINED NOT LESS THAN \$10,000, AND SHALL BE INCAPABLE OF HOLDING ANY OFFICE UNDER THE UNITED STATES TITLE 18, US CODE, SECT. 2381.

ALSO NOTE,	TITLE 18 US CODE	SECT. 2382	MISPRISION
	18 US CODE	SECT. 2383	REBELLION AND INSURRECTION
	18 US CODE	SECT. 2384	SEDITION CONSPIRACIES
	18 US CODE	SECT. 2385	OVERTHROW GOV.

ALSO NOTE ELEMENTS OF TREASON

CHAPT. 15 FED. CRIMINAL CODE AND RULES (READ CHAPTER 15)

I WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION THE LEARNING CHANNEL FEATURE "THE 10000 DAY WAR". THERE ARE MANY OTHER SOURCES WHICH SHOULD BE CHECKED. THERE IS A PORTION OF THAT FEATURE WHICH SHOWS THE N.V.A. WATCHING NEWS REPORTS ABOUT WAR PROTESTERS, AND WHILE WATCHING THESE REPORTS, SAYING THEIR FIGHT WAS MADE EASIER AND LONGER, BECAUSE PEOPLE AT HOME AND ABROAD SUPPORTED THEIR CAUSE.

I THINK THE CASE CAN BE MADE THAT MANY MARINES, ARMY SOLDIERS, AIRMEN, AND NAVY PERSONNEL WERE KILLED AS A DIRECT RESULT OF CLINTON'S ACTION. QUESTIONS ARE:

- 1.) HOW MANY WERE KILLED OR WOUNDED AS CLINTON MARCHED AND ORGANIZED AGAINST US. HOW MANY IN THE FOLLOWING YEARS
- 2.) HOW MANY POWS WERE THROWN IN PRISON, BEATEN AND TORTURED
- 3.) HOW MANY KILLED, HOW MANY WOUNDED, HOW MANY TAKEN PRISONER CAN BE CREDITED DIRECTLY TO BILL CLINTON.
- 4.) HOW MANY WIDOWS UNKNOWINGLY WILL CAST A VOTE FOR THIS TRAITOR, HOW MANY VOTES WILL HE RECEIVE FROM THE CHILDREN OF SOLDIERS HE PERSONALLY KILLED, AND MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT, HE KILLED THEM JUST AS SURE AS IF HE PULLED THE TRIGGER.

PRESIDENT BUSH AND HIS ADVISORS HAVE NO PASSION, PASSION FOR THE TRUTH IS ESSENTIAL IN PRESIDENTIAL POLITICS. THEY (THE REPUBLICAN PARTY) SEEM TO THINK ITS MORE IMPORTANT TO LOOK OR BE PRESIDENTIAL THAN TO BE THE PRESIDENT. THEY HAVE BOUGHT IN TO THE MEDIA WISDOM THAT THE TRUTH IS NEGATIVE, ITS MUD WRESTLING AND ALL THE OTHER SOUND BIT CLICHES PUT OUT BY THE OPPOSITION. THEY HAVE LOST BECAUSE THEY STAND FOR NOTHING, THEY ARE MIDDLE OF THE ROAD, THEY LOST THE CONCEPT OF WHAT IS TRUTH. THE TRUTH IS BILL CLINTON, IS GUILTY OF TREASON. HE IS THE LEADER OF THE SECOND LARGEST SOCIALISTIC PARTY IN THE WORLD. THESE STATEMENTS ARE NOT NEGATIVE THEY ARE THE TRUTH, THE TRUTH IS NOT NEGATIVE IT JUST THE TRUTH. THE OPPOSITION CAN SAY WHAT THEY WILL, AND IT WILL NOT CHANGE IT. THE TRUTH HAS A WAY OF NOT CHANGING, IT REMAINS THE TRUE. THE PRESIDENT IS IN TROUBLE BECAUSE HE WAS GUTLESS IN HIS DEALINGS WITH CONGRESS. THIS STATEMENT IS ALSO THE TRUTH. THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND MEDIA ATTACK AND ATTACK, BUT BUSH WANTS TO LOOK PRESIDENTIAL, WHILE THEIR ATTACKS ARE CALLED ISSUES AND GO UNANSWERED, BUSH AND HIS BOYS ARE GUTLESS.