

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2000-0715-F

FOIA Number:
2000-0715-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Baker, James A., III, Files
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files

OA/ID Number: 93007
Folder ID Number: 93007-004

Folder Title:
[Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [4]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	0	0	0	0

10-8

Caron -

No record of original
to Potus. Other staff
members receiving
copies are.

VP

Marlin Fitzwater

Each sent Ex mail.

B

Commentary

on events at home and abroad

By Caspar W. Weinberger, *Publisher*



VOTING FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF

THE ECONOMY has been the focus of both presidential candidates' spotlights for so long that we are in some danger of overlooking one of the major tasks of any President—serving as commander in chief of our armed forces. It is high time to speak out frankly about this, because the question of who is the better person to serve as our commander in chief is far more important than who stands where on abortion, gun control, or even who will spend more on domestic programs or how big a "peace dividend" we should have.

To decide who is better suited to be commander in chief requires the voter to analyze dispassionately, in a completely nonpartisan way, the qualifications needed for the post. Which candidate can attract and hold the support of the millions of American men and women (as well as their families) who defend us? Who can secure the training, weapons and supplies necessary to enable these servicemen and servicewomen to continue to defend us against any contingencies that may arise in the next four years?



Commander in chief: There is no doubt who is the better choice.

Based on these standards, it seems to me clear beyond any question that, of the two candidates, Mr. Bush is superior by every criterion to continue as our commander in chief.

More is needed from that office than simply the ability to persuade Congress to pass large defense budgets. Our commander in chief must provide the inspiration and leadership that will attract the best people and bring out the finest qualities of those men and women who volunteer for the difficult and often dangerous job of preserving our country, our liberties and ourselves.

It is not necessary to examine all the nuances and changing developments in the many stories about Mr. Clinton and the draft. And it is not necessary to look at all the details of President Bush's budget proposals or to approve of all he has done with our superbly motivated armed forces and the magnificent military machine that he inherited with the presidency in 1989.

It would seem without doubt that one who served, voluntarily and bravely, in the military during war begins

with a tremendous advantage over a candidate who, for whatever reason, not only failed to participate in a war in which his country was engaged, but actively took steps to delay or prevent his service. Of course, Vietnam was a war that few people wanted, but it was a war in which our country was involved. To me, it seems that the all-volunteer force we now have would be hard put to be inspired by the leadership of Mr. Clinton, as opposed to the continued leadership of Mr. Bush.

It is quite probably true that a great majority of the 17.6 million men who were available for military service in the 1960s did what Mr. Clinton did and ducked the war. There is no need to condemn any of them, and it is clearly not necessary for a candidate or a President to have served in our

armed forces to be a good commander in chief. But Clinton went further, and in one of the many letters he wrote about not serving in Vietnam he went so far as to say that he had "come to loathe the military." This was in the same letter in



which he thanked a colonel for "saving" him from the draft. This displays the same kind of visceral antagonism to our military and its tasks that is regularly displayed by some members of Congress when they seize every occasion to vote against adequate funds for our defense. (Of course, Mr. Clinton now would carefully explain that what he really meant then was how much he respected everyone in uniform except, possibly, a general or two.)

What is vital in considering who is better suited to be our commander in chief is to remember that the approximately 1.9 million men and women who have volunteered—and understand full well that they may have to fight a war, popular or not—should have a commander in chief who can inspire them and who understands and values the extraordinary contribution our military makes to our safety and survival.

Based on these criteria, it seems to me that President Bush is by far the better choice to serve as commander in chief.

COPY



Adelphi University Founded 1896

Garden City, Long Island, New York 11530

(516) 877-3838

Personal Assistant
to
THE PRESIDENT

October 6, 1992

The Honorable George Herbert Walker Bush
President of the United States of America
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Please permit a loyal supporter (a former United States Ambassador, an accredited public relations consultant, and a working-in-the-trenches Republican) to offer a few brief comments and -- if I may presume to make a few suggestions -- ideas, that may be useful to you, as you and your advisers plan the approach(es) you will take, and the level at which you will pitch your remarks, during the crucial series of debates just ahead.

I base my offerings upon my personal observations of all that I have read and seen in the media these past few months. Whether they are truly representative of public opinion, I cannot say, of course. But, I offer them, respectfully, as one man's professional counsel.

In last night's Larry King Live telecast, Governor Clinton charged that "...Bush has driven the economy into the ground."

During your address to the Houston convention, you stated "...The defining challenge of the Nineties is to win the economic competition....We must be...an export superpower." And, as FORBES (October 12, 1992) notes, America is well on the way to achieving a trade surplus.

Given the fact that the Clinton line will be to continue to attack you on the basis of the difficulties facing the American economy, I would urge you not to be drawn into a low-level confrontation on specifics about a nebulous job market, but, rather, to take the high road of international solutions to our economy, emphasizing that you are best prepared (hands down!) to bring us back to where people will have the jobs that Clinton points to as missing today -- jobs that you, throughout your tenure in office have been concerned about.

You have not "driven the (American) economy into the ground." You have not even allowed the American economy to deteriorate, as Clinton claims. The changed world order and the resulting upheaval of all world markets, in which you have played such a significant role, has, unfortunately, driven our economy and the world economy toward the entirely new situation we find ourselves in today.

Our economic woes, therefore, are the result of success! ... Success on your part in helping to bring down the Iron Curtain, inspiring democracy throughout the world, and winning a Middle East war that, in combination with all world conditions, is causing, "...as we speak," great and small powers, to search for NEW ways to flourish economically: Russia, Poland, you name the countries -- not to overlook the economic problems resulting in Great Britain, and in many ways France, and, depending upon who's talking, even Germany.

Because of your successes in influencing world events, major dislocations in our economy were predicted as inevitable. Cutting back on our armed forces and our aerospace industries, for example, along with all the concomitant fallout had to result in lost jobs. So, we, like any country that changes its economic base -- as with any industry that finds the market doesn't need the full production, or any of the production of one or more of its products (Buggy whips!) -- must accept major upheavals in the economy, and the inherent disruption in the job markets. And, such upheavals are taking place globally, not just in America, as the media report every day. On a positive note, one may note, of course, that except for the disruptions in those critical areas upon which our economy has depended for so many years, America is doing quite nicely, thank you, according to reputable economists..

Thus, given the worldwide nature of the world's economic woes, it is you who can persuasively claim to be already positioned, and by experience best prepared, not just to search for, but to find and initiate new solutions. After all, who other than you is better qualified to sit down with world leaders -- and our own expert executives from the Fortune Five Hundred, for example -- to find and work out the new solutions (they are not out there now, nor could they have been anticipated four years ago) that will get our economy going again in new directions, in concert with what is happening economically in the rest of the world?

One question in the latter regard that seems to be unanswered in a lot of people's minds, and which Clinton seems poised to exploit is: Does the Federal Government need to "'jump start" the "jobs market" by way of a government program: For example, to refurbish the nation's infrastructure? (and, if so, where is the financing to come from?)

People may not be looking for another WPA, certainly; but many are asking if there should be some form of "interim" jobs program -- perhaps a Bush-designed economic initiative to provide immediate relief to those out of work -- while you and your team work to reorient the overall economy, particularly in those sectors affected by the worldwide events discussed? Is this an area you would wish to address? It might very well be an appealing subject for those who are actually out of work; a subject that Clinton might raise, and on which Clinton might even have a proposal to make -- especially given his comment during an interview yesterday to the effect that after the

debates, the average voter, and the out of work voter, in particular, should ask him/herself which candidate offers the best solution to the immediate question of his or her economic problems.

You, in a recent comment noted that it may come down to a matter of who the American public "can trust." I believe you stop short when you end on the word "trust." After all, Clinton does come across as someone many people could "trust." What I am suggesting is that you continue to ask the American public whom they can trust with handling the worldwide problems and involvements discussed, above; whom they can trust to find the right, and enduring, international solutions that relate directly to our national economic problems. The answer, of course, is you.

As so many others I have spoken with have remarked, after the Monday night Larry King Live show: "Those fellows (Clinton and Gore) in their down-to-earth casual down-home dress come across as nice guys, possibly running for college office -- or State office again. But, are they what the greater segment of the American thinking -- and voting -- public can visualize as being capable of sitting down and negotiating the future of our economy and the global economy with world leaders, as President and Vice President, respectively, of the most powerful nation on earth?"

In sum, Mr. President, I would urge you to be sure that those who are out of work understand -- feel! -- that their personal problems are of personal concern to you; but, that as you empathize with their plight, and give them some reassurance that your plans include some stop-gap solution to their immediate problems, you take the high road as the senior statesman, diplomat and President of the United States that you are, and which neither Clinton nor Gore can come close to matching. The public has every reason to see you as the right man to deal with the world today, as you and your team -- hopefully with more Republicans in the House and Senate -- find the right solutions to both the immediate problems facing American workers, while finding the "new" answers (the "change" Clinton says we must have) for the overall economic future of America, as we retool for the continuing preeminence of the United States, "...As The World Turns" (to steal a phrase from the soaps).

But the public must be reminded -- and convinced -- that this will take some time, patience, and perhaps some sacrifice.

Mr. President, because of the shortage of time between now and the debates, I am taking the liberty of sending copies of this letter for their consideration to: The Honorable James A. Baker, III, Chief of Staff and Senior Counselor to the President; The Honorable Marlin Fitzwater, Press Secretary to the President; and to Messrs. Frederick Malek, Campaign Manager; Robert M. Teeter, Campaign Chairman; Charles Black, Senior Political Advisor; and the "Staff Secretary," c/o "Bush Quayle '92."

With every confidence that the forum of the debates will provide the optimum opportunity for you to overcome current poll ratings, and with anticipation of your leading the United States as our President for the next four years, I remain,



*...another important
factor for consideration*
MF

Ambassador Milton Frank
Personal Assistant to the President

Adelphi University
Garden City, L.I. NY 11530 (516) 877-3251

Respectfully yours,

Ambassador Milton Frank (*)
Personal Assistant to the President,
Adelphi University

(*) United States Ambassador
to the Kingdom of Nepal, 1987 - 1989
Colonel, USAF, Retired

P.S. I have to admit that I am among many with whom I associate who believe that your stand on no new taxes is a very difficult position with which to work.

We believe that there are realistic voters out there who know that as responsible citizens we must pay our debts in private life, and that, as any honorable family who has your "family values" knows, you either have to go out and get more income (perhaps raise taxes at some point, in this case, to reduce the deficit), or declare bankruptcy.

I trust that you and your advisers will have a good answer to Ross Perot's "bite-the-bullet" approach to reducing the deficit by admitting the need to raise some taxes, or I am afraid Clinton is going to pick up on it and use it to his advantage.

#

P.P.S. I have the temerity to write this lengthy letter at this time, not only because of my fervor for your re-election, but also because of my current association with Adelphi University, which in 1972 presciently recognized your past accomplishments as well as your promise of continued future leadership. I believe that certain members of your Administration have come to recognize that the current President of Adelphi University, Peter Diamandopoulos, is one who, through seven years of boldness, and by acts of leadership, persuasion and hard decision making in higher education, has emulated, if I may be so impudent to say so, your accomplishments in world leadership. I am sure you will hear more about him during your next term in office, as you continue to be our "Education President." M.F.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

DATE: 10/2/92

TO: Caron Jackson

FROM: RONALD C. KAUFMAN

Herb Collins is a good friend and a very active supporter of the President.

Mr. Collins asked me to pass the attached letter along to the Chief of Staff. Please let me know if you have any questions.

A FORM LETTER WOULD BE GREAT!

TUMS ☺



BOSTON CAPITAL PARTNERS, INC.

10/8: bmm called Herb
Collins
• thanked him for his
letter.
NRN
—

HERBERT F. COLLINS
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

September 24, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Secretary Baker:

I wouldn't have written, except in my capacity as New England Finance Chairman for Bush-Quayle '92, I felt it incumbent upon me to pass along comments I am receiving in regard to the tax bill now under consideration by the U.S. Senate.

The prevalent feeling out there is that failure to enact some form of legislation in response to the weak economy and to urban problems would send a negative signal to the business community and key constituencies. The main reason for this is that many of our supporters are acutely aware that this tax legislation contains passive loss reform, a credit for first time home-buyers, incentives for R&D and affordable housing, and repeal of the luxury tax.


Failure to bring these expectations to reality, especially after months of effort by the President and so many of our supporters, would demoralize our troops and possibly lend credence to the Democrats' argument that a new Administration is needed to affect change in America.

Equally important, this legislation is the only action available to boost the economy. Failure to enact a compromise will leave us open to the charge that we did nothing to respond.

The Honorable James A. Baker III
September 24, 1992
Page 2

We all know how hard you and your team are working. Rest assured that we're out here plugging along with you.

Warmest regards,


Herbert F. Collins



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON



October 5, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A. BAKER, III
ROBERT ZOELICK
JANET MULLINS
DENNIS ROSS
MARGARET TUTWILER

FROM: NICHOLAS E. CALIO *NEC*
Assistant to the President
for Legislative Affairs

SUBJECT: Talking Points on Tax Bill

Fred Goldberg drafted the attached on the tax bill. Please review and give any comments/suggestions as soon as possible.

The President has decided to veto H.R. 11 for the following reasons.

The Administration's agenda for tax legislation has been clear from the outset: a focused measure that would encourage economic growth, address the needs of economically deprived urban and rural areas, and make a limited number of significant and broadly-supported changes in the tax law. While some provisions in H.R. 11 meet these objectives, the bill as a whole is out of control. It proves the old adage that Congress cannot legislate responsibly in an election year. It is replete with give-aways to special interests, it's priorities are all wrong, it includes numerous tax increases, it will destroy jobs and undermine small business, it provides for massive new government spending, and it violates fiscal discipline within the budget period and beyond the budget window.

Despite our repeated calls for a targeted measure that addresses the true needs of the economy and the American people, the bill runs to more than _____ provisions and _____ pages; it raises almost [\$30] billion in revenue over five years and increases government spending by almost [\$5] billion. While the defects in H.R. 11 are too numerous to count, following are some highlights:

- The Bill includes more than 50 amendments that have no policy justification, cost approximately \$2.5 billion and are nothing more than special relief provisions for limited numbers of taxpayers. In contrast, the Bill does not include:
 - > the Administration's proposal for a first time homebuyer credit -- despite its widespread popular support;
 - > capital gains relief for start up businesses, despite bi-partisan support in Congress, widespread public support, and the support of both President Bush and Governor Clinton; and
 - > capital equipment investment incentives, despite the urging of private sector economists and the support of both President Bush and Governor Clinton.
- The Bill goes 180 degrees in the wrong direction on expiring provisions. It makes permanent those measures which are very costly and whose long-term benefits have been questioned by a broad range of government and private sector analysts. In contrast, despite support from all quarters (including President Bush and Governor Clinton), H.R. 11 fails to make permanent the R & D tax credit and the deduction for 25% of health insurance premiums paid by self-employed individuals (as well as failing to raise the health insurance deduction to 100%, as proposed by the Administration).

- By repealing the 100% estimated tax safe harbor for individuals, without relief for small businesses, the Bill throws a monkey wrench in the primary engine of new job creation.
- By raising numerous taxes on large employers, the Bill will slow the recovery and undermine our competitive position in world markets.
- While its simplification provisions are laudable, other measures in the Bill impose needless and costly paperwork and recordkeeping burdens on the private sector.
- The Bill increases the tax burden on individuals in numerous ways, including limiting moving expenses and casualty losses.
- The Bill abandons all pretense of fiscal discipline. It is not revenue neutral for the years 1993-1997, and will cause ballooning deficits beyond the budget window.

Bobbi
JAMES P. GORTER
SUITE 3510
200 WEST MADISON STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606
312/236-9190

Roberts

Princeton -
1470 N. Greenham Rd
Lake Forest, IL
708/234-2311
NRN
9 called
to thank

September 1, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker, III
2415 Foxhall Road
Washington, D.C. 20017

Dear Jim:

This letter is written out of a sense of deep concern and frustration. I have started to write on a number of occasions in the past but have always thought to myself what could I possibly say to you that you did not already know about the 1992 presidential campaign? However, I feel that nothing is lost by writing; hence this letter. I might add that the views I express are not mine alone but reflect those of many of the business leaders and others with whom I come in contact on a day-to-day basis.

First, let me say that I think if things continue as they are between now and November, President Bush will lose the election by a significant margin. I do not believe that the themes and issues of his campaign reflect the present concerns of the American people, and although Mr. Clinton is not fully trusted by the electorate, he is talking about the right issues, and a lot of folks are saying "How can it get worse? Why not change?"

Clearly the President has an outstanding record in foreign affairs (due in large measure to the job which you have done), and people respect the accomplishments and appreciate their significance. In a close contest I think that Mr. Bush's record and international stature would make the difference, but in order to make the contest close I am convinced that the President must talk about those issues which really bother most Americans: jobs and growth, health care, education and poverty. I know if we were talking face to face, you would counter by saying these issues have

JAMES P. GORTER
SUITE 3510
200 WEST MADISON STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

- 2 -

been and are being discussed. Yes, they are being talked about, but nowhere is there a coherent, consistent specific program being articulated in a way that the average person can understand.

Too many people believe that the President is a captive of the right wing of the Republican Party. That perception will surely lead to defeat, just as the perception that past Democratic candidates were captives to the left wing of their party led to their defeats. The American people are not arch conservatives or left wing radicals; they are basically middle-of-the-roaders, and Clinton is intelligently attempting to appeal to that constituency.

I have one specific suggestion in the economic area. Although I believe that a reduction in the capital gains tax would indeed create significant economic benefits, I think it is not politically feasible. I would suggest as a substitute a three year investment tax credit which I believe would have an even more rapid impact on investment spending, jobs, and growth. You will recall that the first ITC was initiated under John Kennedy.

The people with whom I talk would almost universally say play down the family values issue, don't talk about abortion, stop bashing Congress, raise the level of the campaign dialogue and give the American people a simple, specific understandable domestic program that will convince them that George Bush is focused on the issues that they really care about. In a nutshell, be positive.

A brief word about Illinois. As you know, the Democratic candidate, Carol Braun, is way ahead of Republican Rich Williamson. I do not believe that Williamson has either the experience, the charisma, or

JAMES P. GORTER
SUITE 3510
200 WEST MADISON STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

- 3-

the program needed to close the gap. Carol Braun will help Clinton significantly, and if the President is to win here, a special Illinois strategy is needed.

In their hearts I believe a majority of Americans would rather vote for George Bush. It's up to him to address their concerns and give them a reason.

Thanks for taking time to read this. You have done and are doing a great service for your country. Audrey sends her best to you and Susan.

Sincerely,



James P. Gorter

JPG:rs

Oct. 1, 1992

Mr James Baker
Chief of Staff

NIRN

Dear Mr Baker

I faxed you a letter about the
Larry King live interview of
Clinton - Gore - I saw no phone
number flashed on the screen and
yet people called in. It appeared
to be staged as all questions
favored Clinton Gore.

Tonight the same thing happened
when the Republican and Democratic
representatives were being
interviewed.

No phone number displayed
until after the interview

one call was about the draft
dodgers around our President
and to get off of Clinton

The second call was simple and
neutral.

Leslie King
2024562461

913-267-4217

1000 00 0011100

October 7, 1992 Fax# 202 456 2461

The Honorable James Baker — NRN

Please, Mr. Baker, take 30
seconds to look at the attached,

I firmly believe that the
attached suggestion can turn
this election around!!!

A former Texan who solidly
supports President Bush & Vice President
Dan Quayle!!

Sincerely,

Al Faye

Tel. offic 615 366 0681 or home 615-373 4257
Fax # 615 366 0695

Jim - The president's "agenda for American Renewal" is a potent document, however, the message is not coming through for obvious reasons. (a biased press, a blustering opponent & a quiet, thoughtful, caring president)

I firmly believe that you personally can turn this election around!!! If you were to buy 30 minutes of major network time (just after debates or just before election) and you as an indignant citizen (enough is enough!!) present what has been accomplished & the president's plan as to what can be accomplished with a new Congress - that looking outward to the International area where you are an expert! (as indicated in the president's agenda)

You are the most respected, credible person in the administration having many followers & little criticism. A lot of people would be curious as to what you might have to say & I believe such a talk would throw Clinton off guard. Your strong, commanding voice gets attention! A testimonial to a quiet, thoughtful caring president who is a leader - has been one to you!

Please consider & if you decide to do so I have several suggestions of points to be made in your talk.

Sincerely, (I recognize a couple of downside problems but I believe they can be handled)
Al Faye



PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
230 N. E. 25TH AVENUE
OCALA, FLORIDA 32670-7041

H. EDWARD DEAN
JONATHAN S. DEAN
SUSAN E. DEAN
ROBERT A. STERNER
DARYL K. NEDELISKY
ANGELA T. MILLER
TIMOTHY B. DEAN

TELEPHONE NUMBER
804-368-2800

FAX NUMBER
904-867-5787

FAX TRANSMITTAL

Dean and Dean, P.A. FAX Number: 904/867-5787

DATE: October 6, 1992

No. Page Sent: 3

TO: James Baker

FAX No.: 1-202-456-2461

FROM: H. Edward Dean



PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

230 N. E. 25TH AVENUE

OCALA, FLORIDA 32670-7041

NIN

H. EDWARD DEAN
JONATHAN S. DEAN
SUSAN E. DEAN
ROBERT A. STERMER
DARYL K. NEDELISKY
ANGELA T. MILLER
TIMOTHY S. DEAN

TELEPHONE NUMBER
904-368-2800

FAX NUMBER
904-867-5787

October 7, 1992

VIA TELECOPIER - 1-202-456-2461

President George Bush
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C.

Dear President Bush:

I am a Democrat in the State of Florida who supports your candidacy for President. I believe you should be given four more years to restructure our economy and to reduce our national debt which should be paid for, in part, through reduction in defense spending. You and President Reagan are primarily responsible for the victory our economic system has achieved over the communist system. However, this victory has been expensive in terms of the growth of our national debt. It would be unfair for a Democratic presidential candidate to now state that we should put America "first" with programs which will be funded through tax dollars which otherwise would have been spent on military defense. You should have that first opportunity.


My purpose in writing to you is twofold. First, to give you encouragement during the last days of the campaign. Second, to suggest to you an idea which could help you win the election.

I respectfully suggest that you have a meeting with Ross Perot and tell him you concur with his analysis of the economic problems which our country is facing and that you desire to incorporate his platform for an economic turnaround into your own agenda as President. I further suggest that you announce to Mr. Perot that you are going to create a Department of the Economy which shall have the sole purpose of assisting the President in carrying out the economic restructuring and inter-department coordination of economic programs. Lastly, I suggest that you offer to Mr. Perot the position of Secretary of the new Department of Economy.

President George Bush
Page Two
October 7, 1992

I believe that my suggestion to you is a formula for victory
in November.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "H. Edward Dean", is written over a large, light-colored oval shape. A horizontal line extends from the right side of the signature.

HED/js

cc: Mr. James Baker

October 6, 1992


NDN

Mr. James Baker
Bush - Quail '92
1030 15th Street
Washington D.C. 20005

Dear Mr. Baker,

Many of us in Georgia are very interested in the re-election of Bush & Quayle. Some of us here have come up with an interesting idea. Please note the enclosed statement and let us know if we can do anything to help. Thank you very much for your time in considering this. **GOOD LUCK IN NOVEMBER!**

Sincerely,


Julian J. Sizemore M.D.

JJS/js
Enclosure

(A copy of the enclosed statement has been sent to President Bush.)

Julian J. Sizemore M.D.
1431 16th Avenue
Columbus, GA 31901-2325
Home phone: (706) 323-1087
Business phone: (706) 327-3648

Mrs. Florence T. Thompson
2230 Cherokee Ave., #12
Columbus, GA 31906-1480
Home phone: (706) 323-6000

STATEMENT

Isn't it time for the President...

- 1) to declare an Economic Crisis that must be addressed before the end of the year?
- 2) to affirm that NO man, NO party, NO one group or factional interest alone can resolve it?
- 3) to recommend to the nation a Government of National Unity?
(Remember when F. Roosevelt tapped Republicans H. Stimson and F. Knox, and later R. Taft and A. Vandenberg.)
- 4) to appoint a Commission of Economic Advisors composed of the following:
 - a) Ross Perot (maybe as Chair)
 - b) a Democrat ex-President (e.g. Carter)
 - c) a Republican ex-President (e.g. Reagan or Ford)
 - d) Gen. Schwarzkopf (maybe as Chair) and/or Sam Nunn
 - e) 4 or 5 leading economists - to chart the road to recovery?
- 5) to announce Bush-Quayle for President and Vice-President, pledged to appoint a coalition cabinet and to represent all national interests, including organized labor, management, and ethnic groups?


ON WITH THE DEBATES!

(Decide whether you want to spring this after the first or the second debate.)

If you want to appraise an approach to Carter and/or Nunn, talk with Griffin Bell. (Democrat, Ex-Attorney General, and my personal friend.) He presides over King & Spaulding, Attorneys at law, Atlanta, Ga. Please contact me again if I can help; I have not discussed this with Griffin. I count Griffin and Sam Nunn as close friends. Carter is an acquaintance. I have supported Republicans for president since Adlai Stevenson except that I supported Carter once - I repeat - once only.

(If you want a public release - as a trial balloon to test public opinion, let me know and I'll call Rush Limbaugh. Otherwise, we'll bury this idea.)

Sincerely,



Julian J. Sizemore M.D.
Georgia Doctor for Bush
and Better American Medicine.


Mrs. Florence T. Thompson
Retired Georgia lady for
Bush & Quayle.

*MRN called
to regret request*



Middle East, Africa, and South Asia Branch



Date/Time	Pages (including cover sheet)
07 Oct	2

From (Enter Name)

Gudy Jones

Chief of Naval Operations
Navy Department (N521)
Washington, DC 20350-2000

To (Enter Name)

James Baker

Agency

White House

Remarks

As the FSO on detail to the Navy, I would like former Secretary Baker to send a note to my boss, RADM Jim Cossey (bio attached).

- RADM Cossey retires 18 Oct.
- Text could be along lines of "Best Wishes on your retirement from one Desert Storm 'veteran' to another."

I am not sending this request through formal "channels" to avoid embarrassment if Secretary Baker does not have time to respond.

- However, I am sure ADM Cossey would appreciate the gesture and it would generate good will among the Navy. State does not always enjoy a reputation for consideration of shipmates here.

Office No: (703) 697-0774 Fax No: (703) 695-3357

N521

**REAR ADMIRAL JAMES D. COSSEY, U.S. NAVY
ASSISTANT DEPUTY CNO
(OPERATIONS, PLANS AND POLICY) (OP-06B)**



Rear Admiral Cossey assumed duties as the Assistant Deputy CNO (Operations, Plans and Policy) (OP-06B) in December 1990. His primary responsibility is to support the Deputy CNO (Operations, Plans & Policy) (OP-06) who serves as the principal advisor to CNO on JCS matters and implements CNO responsibilities for development and dissemination of strategic plans and policies. OP-06 also serves as the principal advisor on strategic planning, nuclear weapon systems, NSC affairs, international politico-military matters technology transfer, security assistance, foreign disclosure, and international program policy issues, and, he maintains the current operational status of naval forces.

Rear Admiral Cossey entered the Navy from the NROTC Regular Program upon graduation in 1959 from the University of Oklahoma with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Physics. Commissioned in May 1959, Rear Admiral Cossey was assigned to the escort destroyer, USS BASILONE (DDE 824), where he served in several billets, including Engineer Officer. From August 1962 to December 1963, he was the Executive Officer in USS STALWART (MSO 493).

Rear Admiral Cossey then completed Nuclear Power Training, and, on completion of Submarine School in August 1965, served in USS SHARK (SSN 591) as Sonar Officer, Operations Officer, and Navigator. In October 1967, Rear Admiral Cossey reported for duty as Operations Officer of the commissioning crew of USS NARWHAL (SSN 671), serving in that capacity and as Navigator until March 1970. He was then assigned to duty as Executive Officer in USS HENRY CLAY (SSBN 625) (Gold) and participated in three strategic deterrent patrols in the Pacific. In October 1972, Rear Admiral Cossey took command of the attack submarine, USS SNOOK (SSN 592), a position he held for 3½ years during which the ship made two WESTPAC deployments and completed a refueling overhaul.

Rear Admiral Cossey was assigned in June 1976 to Pentagon duty in the Attack Submarine Division of the Office of the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (Submarine Warfare) where he served as the Head, Readiness Section for 20 months and subsequently as Head, Combat Control Coordination.

In July 1979, Rear Admiral Cossey reported to the U.S. European Command and was stationed in Belgium at Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE) as a Special Assistant to the Chief of Staff. From August 1982 until June 1984, Rear Admiral Cossey was Chief, Middle East Africa Division in the Plans and Policy Directorate, Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Following selection to flag rank in February 1984, he was assigned in June 1984 as the Director, East Asia and Pacific Region, Office of the Secretary of Defense (International Security Affairs). From December 1985 to August 1988, he served as Commander U.S. Naval Forces, Japan, with headquarters in Yokosuka, Japan. In September 1988, Rear Admiral Cossey became the Chief of Naval Technical Training, headquartered at NAS Memphis in Millington, TN. As CNTECHTRA, he was responsible for recruit and technical training in 62 commands at 27 sites throughout the United States.

His decorations include the Defense Superior Service Medal with two Oak Leaf Clusters, Legion of Merit with Gold Star, Navy Commendation Medal, Navy Unit Commendation, Meritorious Unit Commendation, the Polaris-Poseidon Patrol Pin with two gold stars, and the Government of Japan's Order of the Sacred Treasure.

Rear Admiral Cossey's parents reside in Bartlesville, Oklahoma. He is married to the former Beth Chalmers of Bridgton, Maine. The Cossey's married daughter, Catherine (Cossey) Guiley, works at Macy's in New York City, and their son, Mark, is a U.S. Marine Corps helicopter pilot.

DISTINGUISHED GERMAN AMERICAN OF THE YEAR (Continued)

Mr. Prechter has received many prior honors and awards, including the Automotive Hall of Fame's 1990 Automotive Industry Leader of the Year.

In commenting on Mr. Prechter's selection by the UGAC-USA Board of Directors to receive the UGAC-USA's Distinguished German American of the Year Award for 1992, President Krueger stated that Mr. Prechter is highly regarded in Washington, D.C. where he serves as Chairman of the President's Export Council; Center for Entrepreneurship (The President's Forum); and is a Commission member, Strengthening of America; A Strategy to Put the U.S. Economic House in Order, Senator Sam Nunn and Senator Peter Domenici, Co-chairs. He also is a member of the Board of Directors for The Budd Company.



President Krueger especially commended Mr. Prechter for his effectiveness, through his many connections in the White House and government agencies, in representing and opening doors for German American interests and concerns. Mr. Krueger referred to Mr. Prechter as a "bridge builder" for German American concerns not only in Washington, but in other power centers as well. In addition, Mr. Prechter has been most active in forging connections between American and German firms seeking synergistic business relationships. Mr. Krueger praised Mr. Prechter for his pride in his German heritage, which is reflected in all of Mr. Prechter's undertakings.

For more information on the UGAC-USA's Council of 1000 Banquet, call (215) 364-7716. Seating is limited.

GERMAN IMMIGRANTS FEATURED IN ELLIS ISLAND EXHIBIT

A two-month exhibit opening September 10, 1992 at Ellis Island revolves around the seven million immigrants who sailed to America from the German ports of Bremen and Bremerhaven between 1815 and 1915. Among them were Felix Frankfurter, Irving Berlin and Kahlil Gibran. The exhibit, "Fame, Fortune and Sweet Liberty," will show the stages of the immigrants' travels, using historical photographs, videos, props and audio explanations. For more information, call: 212-363-5830.

The "Ambassador" is published by the United German American Committee of the USA, Inc., 9130 Academy Road, Philadelphia, PA 19114. Tel. 215-379-1722.

Bernard J. Freitag
Marlene H. K. Stocks

Editor
Associate Editor

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Helmut Krueger
Bernard J. Freitag
Rudolph J. Bayer
Harry C. Schaub
Frieda F. Bayer
Adam Dickmann
Frederick H.W. Hansen
Marianne Haug
Ted Hler

H. Helmut Radtke
Roland Schaller
Manfred H. Speer
Marlene H. K. Stocks
Arthur H. Todt
Hans J. Truettorf
Andrew Weyershaeuser
Hans U. Wolf

HARRY CARL SCHAUH RECEIVES KNIGHT'S CROSS OF AUSTRIA

Harry Carl Schaub, Consul General of Austria, has been awarded the Austrian Knight's Cross, First Class, for outstanding services to the Republic of Austria. The decoration was presented at a luncheon at the Philadelphia Consulate, by Ambassador Wolfgang Stelzinger, Consul General of Austria in New York, attended by members of the Austrian-American business and cultural communities.


Mr. Schaub, an international business attorney, became Consul of Austria for Pennsylvania in December 1978, and was promoted to Consul General in November, 1984. Mr. Schaub serves the UGAC-USA as an officer, as well as legal counsel. Our congratulations to Mr. Schaub for this well-deserved honor.

FROM THE EDITOR

I would like to thank the numerous readers who responded to our request of name suggestions for this newsletter. The ideas were creative, as well as unique. After careful consideration, the name "Ambassador" was selected because it best embodied the sense of representation and goodwill found in our mission statement.

B.J.F.

To: Secretary James Baker
From
Helmut Krueger
201-666-6016



NRN

FULLER AND D'ANGELO, P. C.
ARCHITECTS AND PLANNERS45 KNOLLWOOD ROAD
TELEPHONE 914 592 4444ELMSFORD, NEW YORK 10523
FAX 914 592 1717


TELECOPY TRANSMITTAL PAGE

This telecopy is being transmitted from Fuller & D'Angelo, P.C., Architects and Planners by a Sharp FO-700 Telecopier. If you have any questions or do not receive the entire transmission, please call (914) 592-4444.

Please deliver this transmission TO: Mr. James Baker III - The White HouseFROM: Mr. Joseph FullerDATE: 10-7-92RE: Campaign 1992Number of pages being transmitted (including this cover sheet): 5
Original to follow by mail when checked: ☒


COMMENTS:

Please, see the attached letters
dated 10/7/92 & 7/31/92.

SIGNED: 

File No. _____

JOSEPH FULLER, A.I.A.
PRESIDENTJOSEPH FULLER JR., A.I.A.
VICE PRESIDENTNICHOLAS D'ANGELO, A.R.A.
EXEC. VICE PRESIDENTJOHN D'ANGELO, A.R.A.
VICE PRESIDENT



FULLER AND D'ANGELO, P. C.
ARCHITECTS AND PLANNERS
45 KNOLLWOOD ROAD
TELEPHONE 914 592 4444
ELMSFORD, NEW YORK 10523
FAX 914 592 1717

October 7, 1992

President George Bush
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

RE: CAMPAIGN 1992

Dear Mr. President,

In addition to the suggestion in my letter to you of July 31, 1992, which is attached, I am bringing to your attention two additional topics for your election campaign.

1. The subject of "anti-Bush" voters.
2. The explanation of the deficit and the economic crisis.

First I would like to address my previous suggestion of hiring more talented people to publicly uncover the real character of Mr. Clinton. Only Congressmen Dornan and Buckley seem to be engaged in this task. Another half a dozen brilliant people are necessary to bring this topic to its success.

Regarding the "anti-Bush" vote, to paraphrase Mr. Clinton in his interview with Larry King on October 5, 1992 "When I asked the crowd how many of you are Republicans, there was a sea of raised hands". Even though exaggerated (as usual), clearly these raised hands represent the "anti-Bush" vote.

We had the same situation in 1970 with "anti-Ford" voters, who voted in Jimmy Carter's favor because of Gerald Ford's major blunder during the presidential debate on the subject of "Free" Poland and other minor issues.

The "anti-Bush" voters just seem to find it difficult to forgive your "read my lips" statement, the free flying helicopters and tanks of Saddam Hussein in connection with the Kurds, your giving in to Congress on issues such as quotas, etc. So they decided to vote

JOSEPH FULLER, A.I.A.
PRESIDENT

JOSEPH FULLER JR., A.I.A.
VICE PRESIDENT

NICHOLAS D'ANGELO, A.P.A.
EXEC. VICE PRESIDENT

JOHN D'ANGELO, A.P.A.
VICE PRESIDENT

FULLER AND D'ANGELO, P.C.
ARCHITECTS AND PLANNERS

OCTOBER 7, 1992
PAGE NO. 2

TO: President George Bush

for Mr. Clinton. This attitude can be and should be reversed. If you personally would bring to their attention the comparable situation of Gerald Ford and Jimmy Carter and tell them, "Even if you still don't believe me that I will not raise taxes any more and I will fight Congress on issues I believe in, you have two choices: Vote for me or vote for Mr. Clinton. But look what happened when Jimmy Carter was voted in by the "anti-Ford" voters. Those voters were very, very sorry later on, weren't they? Just like Jimmy Carter, Mr. Clinton is going to destroy what we built up. Mr. Clinton is going to invest taxpayers money, your money, and shift from private enterprise to centralized economy planning to spend this taxpayers' money, for only government can spend taxpayers' money and not free enterprise. Mr. Clinton is going to demolish the military, the power the United States built up will become again the victim of a naive and hypocritical man with consequences on national and world-wide level."

I believe that this approach expressed by you personally in the media such as the Larry King Show, can open the eyes of the disgruntled and unhappy voters who once were your supporters. They are faced with an alternative, which only you in person and no one else can explain to them. If you do this, I believe that when push comes to shove they will cast their vote for you.

Regarding the item of deficit and economic crisis, we need to go back to the year 1981 and remember the statement that Mr. Reagan globally quoted and made to Soviet Communists, "We will arm you to death". Ten years later on the Larry King Show, President Reagan explained in greater detail the consequences of this remark. To paraphrase Mr. Reagan "I took Mr. Breznev aside and I told him that we (i.e. the USA) will never allow you (i.e. the Soviet Union) to outperform us militarily on sea, in the air or on land and we eventually will make you bankrupt". This magnificent and genius master plan put into effect in the 80's and culminating during your tenure as President, was the biggest triumphant event of the twentieth century. Free enterprise and capitalism without firing a shot destroyed the communist world. And how? By using money. By simply using the financial resources including deficits to finance the enormous power that bankrupt the Soviet Union. This required sacrifices by the taxpayers. It required the deficits that now and continue to haunt us, and it required the money spent in the amounts like never spent before, by anyone, anywhere.

Many people now say and advise "But we cannot tell this story because it is embarrassing and humiliating to the Russians". What nonsense! The real beneficiaries of this master plan are the very citizens of the former Soviet Union - the Russians. They were liberated mentally and physically from the slavery of darkness into the lights of freedom of democracy.

If you would personally bring up this very subject of why we had deficits and why we still suffer from them, in the light of liberation of the Soviet block, there should be no

FULLER AND D'ANGELO, P.C.
ARCHITECTS AND PLANNERS

OCTOBER 7, 1992
PAGE NO. 3

TO: President George Bush


opposition saying that it is a humiliation or belittling of the Russian people. Some American people certainly understand very clearly why the deficits were necessary and vital to achieve this victory over communism without firing a shot. In lieu of lost lives, money was spent. In lieu of fear of atomic destruction, money was spent and to assure that we would not have to fear an enemy again, money was spent.

This frank discussion of this topic with the American people would focus on the biggest achievement and triumph that your Administration and that of President Reagan. That is your triumph and it should be emphasized what sacrifices were necessary to achieve it and the arguments that the story should not be told should be put aside.

These are historical moments of some four weeks, not only for you but for the entire USA and the world. Just like in England there was recently a question as to how England would function without John Major, so the question is here how would the USA function without George Bush? In this great scenario only great ideas and paramount issues should be discussed. In my opinion this is the character of Mr. Clinton; the explanation to the "anti-Bush" voters of the choice they face based on the presidency of Ford-Carter; and the real reasons for our deficit and the current economic crisis. The full story should be told to the people, for informed people are the foundation of democracy.

In conclusion, I wish you again great victory in November, 1992. As you may have guessed, I am a strong believer in the fact that it is ideas that move the world - ideas which stem from individuals. Ideas which represent the truth, for the truth always wins the hearts and minds of people, in this case the voters.


Sincerely yours,


Joseph Fuller, A.I.A.

JF/mdw

cc: Vice President D. Quayle
Mrs. Barbara Bush
Mr. Jonathan Bush
Mr. James Baker III

file: JFSR/pres.



FULLER AND D'ANGELO, P. C.
ARCHITECTS AND PLANNERS

595 WEST HARTSDALE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10607
TELEPHONE 914 761 3900 FAX 914 761 1003

July 31, 1992

President George Bush
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

RE: CAMPAIGN 1992

Dear Mr. President,

As a member of the Presidential Advisory Committee and Presidential Task Force, it is my suggestion to engage an additional speech writer for your campaign, Mr. Tom Clancy.

Mr. Clancy knows the heartbeat of the American family and the citizens from close range and has U.S. interest in his heart and mind.


During the 1988 campaign, I suggested the clean water issue to Lee Atwater (through Andy O'Rourke) and it worked. This year, in my opinion, not one but several brilliant speech writers could provide to you, Mr. President, the major advantage over Clinton. Clinton is vulnerable, weak, a copy cat and a hypocrite.

In addition to your program on a positive side, Clinton needs to be exposed on the negative side without the apparent negativism in doing it. And that is why the most brilliant people are needed to do this difficult task.

I wish you alot of health, fulfilling life, lots of love and wisdom.

Good luck in the 1992 election.

Sincerely yours,


Joseph Fuller, A.I.A.

JF/cm

cc: Vice President D. Quayle
Mrs. Barbara Bush
Mr. Jonathan Bush
Mr. James Baker III



September 24, 1992

The Honorable James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C.

10/8: bmm. called
→ Greg Brown
→ regretted JAB
Sending an autographed
item b/c of "... longstanding
Wk pol'y - etc. etc. ..."
bmm

Dear Secretary Baker:

SMU Homecoming 1992, scheduled for November 7, 1992, promises to be an exciting occasion for the entire SMU community of alumni, students and friends. The SMU Young Alumni Associates are sponsoring another auction fundraiser in conjunction with the Homecoming festivities to raise money for scholarships, financial aid, alumni programming, and university operations. We hope that you will wish to be a part of this year's Auction.

The Young Alumni Associates have been sponsoring this event for eight years, and 1991 marked yet another successful auction open to the entire SMU community in conjunction with Homecoming. As a result, the auction was an unprecedented fundraising success with over 140 items donated and successfully auctioned. Proceeds from the auction, which were in excess of \$10,000, have been donated to Southern Methodist University to help make a superior education available to students who were unable to meet the rising cost of higher education.

This year, we will be featuring a "Personalities" section in the Auction. We would like to include you in this section. Of course, an autographed picture is always appropriate for such an event, but we'd love to have something a little more personal if possible... perhaps an autographed copy of your latest book... a videocassette of your latest movie or TV show that you have signed... or something that you can think of that is better than any of those ideas. You would be joining other donors such as Bob Hope, Sandy Duncan, SMU alum Kathy Bates, athletic legends such as Doak Walker and Roger Staubach, and the like.

Our auction is less than six weeks away, so the sooner that we receive your item, the better. Our mailing address is: SMU Alumni Association, Hilltop Auction, 3000 Daniel Avenue, Dallas, TX 75205. Please do not hesitate to call me at 692-4355 if you have any questions or need more information.

214


I look forward to hearing from you soon and adding your name to our growing list of contributors.

Sincerely,

Greg Brown
1992 Hilltop Auction Chair



3000 DANIEL AVE.
DALLAS, TEXAS 75205



The Honorable James A. Baker, III
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C.



MKJ MARKETING

*pus suggestions
NRN
a call*

September 23, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Bush Campaign Chairman
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Chairman Baker:

I am a white female baby boomer. Since I am one of the minority that is totally in support of George Bush, please listen to my suggestions.

1. Women rarely vote according to their pocketbook. Show concern for issues they are concerned about. Even my friends who are female doctors and stand to lose the most by Clinton's Health Care Plan support Clinton.

Women are worried about AIDS. As mothers they worry about their children, as single women they worry about ever feeling free to date again.

President Bush has never emphasized action to be taken to find a cure for AIDS. No mention at the Republican Convention. No mention during subsequent campaign speeches. When you omit concern for AIDS and for the environment, you simply seem out of date and out of touch.

2. Middle-aged women don't relate to the concept of family values. Talk about the things the President can and should impact. They relate to stopping drugs, stopping crime, stopping pornography and crimes against women. We are in an age of tolerance...most people feel it is inappropriate to in any way be seen as intolerant. Position your family values theme as kicking off a campaign against the forces which hurt our values and deny us whatever values we choose.

3. Show Bush as more than an authoritarian leader. Educated women tend to regard very authoritarian men as the same ones that squelch their opportunities to get ahead. Obviously, President Bush has the authoritarian dimension of credibility wrapped up. But, there are two other important dimensions of credibility: sociability and dynamism. Your campaign question is great: "Who do you trust to run the country?" Yes, Bush is competent. But, we have to like him also to trust him. You see, people like Clinton. They need to like Bush too. Sometimes, the media has painted Bush as dispassionate and autocratic. Please bring out his warm side -- not by a "drummed up" approach but again showing concern for education as well as freedom.

4. The draft issue is great at showing another one of Clinton's distrustful sides. However, it is not enough of an issue to get women's votes. Most women are embarrassed that they have never served. They want equal pay and equal rights but not necessarily when it comes to military service. Therefore, it is hard for them to be judgmental against someone else who didn't want to serve.

A Division of M.K. Jones & Associates, Inc.

ADVERTISING • PUBLIC RELATIONS • MARKET RESEARCH • SALES TRAINING

107 13th Avenue • P.O. Box 335 • Indian Rocks Beach, FL 34635-0335
(813) 595-8722 • FAX (813) 595-4675

Mr. James Baker
September 23, 1992
Page 2

5. Please play down the abortion issue. Too many women either know someone who has gotten caught in this dilemma or are afraid of what they would do in that situation. The easier position for women to take is choice. So, the more Bush as a male says, "We must protect the unborn child" -- the more women see Bush as adversarial.

Finally, as a marketing consultant and a strong advocate of our President, I must share with you my advice.

PLEASE GET PRESIDENT BUSH OFF THE DEFENSIVE.

Every time he argues with Clinton...every time he defends his position, he plays into the Democrats' hands. Their position is easy -- they can simply level the charge that things should be better.

Have President Bush remind the American public that just a few months ago after three years of his presidency, he had the highest approval ever. Even liberal comedians were making jokes Bush was unbeatable. I was watching a rerun of the Late Night Show this past week where David Letterman made a joke on an historical time line -- "1 million AD the Democrats regain the Presidency." If he had been doing a bad job people would have been upset all the way through.

The point is, Bush took care of our challenges against freedom and now on his agenda is the economy. He will accomplish his goals there as well. He needs to show determination and caring with regard to this. Blaming it all on the Democratic Congress is not going to work this late in the game.

For example, Mondale had Reagan on the defensive about the age issue until in one of the subsequent debates, Reagan turned it around and said, "I refuse to let the youth and inexperience of my opponent become an issue in this debate."

Obviously, Bush has a different style than Reagan and therefore, this kind of retort would not work. But, I am saying turn the American public's vantage point around where Clinton is on the defense -- not Bush.

With a graduate degree in Communication from Purdue, I am a professional speaker, a critic of speakers and marketers as well as owner of an ad agency. I do not presume to be politically savvy or trained in campaign strategy. Therefore, please accept my apology for my dictatorial style. I simply respect you and your positions so very much...and time is running out. I would like to help in any way I can.

Sincerely,



Marilyn Jones Gould
President

MJG/jh

CC: President George Bush

3
Economy

HENRY G. WALTER, JR.

Suite 1216
509 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022
212-308-6648
FAX: 212-753-2267

to Andrew
NRW
cf
left
to my

September 21, 1992

The Honorable James Baker
Secretary of State
The White House
Washington DC

Re: The Economy and the Election

Dear Mr. Secretary:

The following quote from Stephen W. Bosworth's 1991 report as President of the US-Japan Foundation, which is right on the money for the campaign:

"No single country acting alone can adequately provide for the well-being and prosperity of its citizens. Increasingly, national goals must be pursued through multi-lateral action requiring new forms of collective leadership."

Who can do this better than Bush and Baker?

Bosworth was the Executive Director of the group that produced Winson Lord's recent report on U.S. foreign policy.

Sincerely,



D. F. HYDRICK & ASSOCIATES, INC.
Consultant to Small Business Since 1957
4055 COUTS STREET
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92103
—
619-296-3981

NRN
memo
suggestion

25 September 1992

Mr. James Baker
Re-Election Committee
THE WHITE HOUSE
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20550

Dear Mr. Baker:

You have been outsmarted on this debate thing; why haven't you publicized a request for a debate under the old rules and "objecting" to Clinton's changing the rules?

When Clinton won't agree, put out the message that Clinton won't show up.

You have failed to find the "fire" needed to re-elect the President. Put out a tv ad on the millions saved by young mortgagees, all the good news on the economy, the safety of the world now, the fact that the freeways are as busy as ever, etc. Where is your GOOD NEWS?

If the President wants to win California he has to do only one thing - CLOSE THE BORDER. California is fast becoming poverty, third-world and people will blame Bush forever.


And if you don't carry California - you will lose.

Get this Tail Hook thing turned off - California is furious that you attack our boys. Get some celebrations going for our service men - CELEBRATE MIDWAY - 50 years ago it saved California!

Wake up - what has gone wrong with all of you - it is as if you don't exist. All we get is good press for Clinton and it will be a sad day for America if he is elected.

Publicize his peace marches and his travels to Russia when he was in England and don't let his draft record die.

Sincerely,


D. F. Hydrick
President

DFH:osl

PS: Small business is leaving California!

Supp re encl. / Campaign

(NRN)

66

114 Cambridge Drive
Wilmington, DE 19803-2606
September 16, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker 3rd
The White House
Executive Offices
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I have a cousin who completed a successful business career in Iowa and retired to Arkansas 12 years ago. He recently mailed to me the enclosed information, which I think deserves a wider audience.

He reports that Arkansas is strongly in the Democrat camp, and that the upcoming election is viewed as a chance for good economic growth if Clinton is elected.

There may be nothing new to you in the enclosed, but I want to be sure you know about it.

Sincere good Republican wishes,

Carl W. Maynard, Jr.

Carl W. Maynard, Jr.

September 11, 1992

Dear Friends and Family,

In November we will have lived in Arkansas for 12 years. A lot of you have called and written asking how we feel about Clinton. I guess our answer was longer than anything you wanted to hear on the phone, so we have gathered together some of the facts that probably won't come out in the national media.

Remember the 1988 election when the media told about Dukakis and the "Massachusetts Miracle" and that after the election we found out that the state was an economic disaster? We are getting the same treatment with Clinton--not "the facts, mam".

Clinton is "Slick Willie". He can talk his way around any situation. He has that charisma that the people like.

We feel fortunate that when we moved to Arkansas, we weren't dependent on making a living here. The economic opportunities are extremely limited. We wouldn't want our children looking for jobs in Arkansas.

We have been involved in many community activities in Hot Springs. Currently Loren is heading up a program on our environment. Let us say that there has been no help from Governor Clinton on the environment. Per the enclosed, it has been just the opposite.

We are not happy with all of the things that Bush has done, but faced with this choice and as a result of 12 years of being quite close to what has taken place in Arkansas, we feel that based on Clinton's performance as Governor, he does not deserve to be President of the United States.

We send our love,

*Loren
and Barbara*

LET'S LOOK AT ARKANSAS - after 11 years of Bill Clinton!!! A few facts.....

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT...AR income has risen in the years since WWII - from 56% of the national average in 1946 to 78% in 1978 - BUT since Clinton became governor, per capita income has actually fallen to 76.6%! Manufacturing wages are about 75% of the national average, and in 1990 the average earning in manufacturing in AR ranked 15th of 16 southern states. Also, in 1990, an independent study ranked AR 49th in overall climate for workers, with the average weekly wage ranked 49th, and its median income for a family of four ranked 50th. Arkansas also holds down that 50th spot in worker safety programs and workmen's compensation policies. However, youth UNemployment is way UP there - 2nd highest in the nation at 23.1%!!!!

FISCAL RECORD...During Clinton's tenure as governor, 128 TAX AND FEE INCREASES have been enacted in law. A 1992 "Fiscal Policy Report on America's Governors" gave Clinton an "F" in the Tax Rate Category (one of only three such grades in the nation!), and MONEY magazine ranked Arkansas tax burden 17th highest in the nation. FINANCIAL WORLD magazine ranked the management quality of Arkansas' government 41st of 50 states. Clinton has increased taxes because he has increased spending by OVER 69% since he regained office in 1983 - and contrary to his assertions, he hasn't been "abandoned" by the federal government. Actually, federal aid to AR has more than DOUBLED since 1983!

CIVIL RIGHTS...Don't let Bill Clinton's claims to the "best record on civil rights" fool you! After serving as governor for 12 of the last 14 years, Arkansas is still one of only two states that STILL has no state civil rights law!!!! And it is one of only nine states without a law banning housing discrimination.

CRIME AND DRUGS...subjects that are not mentioned very often in Clinton speeches. That's because these areas are low on his priority list. Arkansas ranked near the bottom in amount spent per capita on police protection and corrections - even though crime in the state rose 9% in 1990 - the 8th largest increase among rural states - with violent crimes having doubled in the last 10 years, including an all-time record number of murders and rapes in 1990.

ENVIRONMENT....In an attempt to satisfy both sides, Clinton claims that good environment policy is good economic policy. Yet, Arkansas environmental rankings are as bad as his economic rankings!!! The Institute for Southern States rated Arkansas 48th in overall environmental quality in 1991-92, and Clinton ranked dead last for his policy initiatives and proposals. The state 1991 "GREEN INDEX" rankings are: 50th in automobile gas mileage; 50th in relative use of mass transit; 45th in energy use and production; 44th in transportation efficiency; 32nd in air pollution; and 28th in toxic chemical waste. Clinton says the waste produced by animal livestock is the state's most urgent environmental issue, YET, after 11 years as governor, he still has not issued any regulation regarding animal waste!

CHILDREN...Clinton's Dept. of Human Services - the agency charged with caring for Arkansas' abused and neglected children - has consistently, and unsuccessfully, fought for his attention and commitment - but not until a federal lawsuit threatened his presidential campaign did he act!! The Center of the Study of Social Policy ranks Arkansas near, or at, the bottom of every significant category: 45th in the well-being of children; 45th in low weight babies; 49th in child death rates; 47th in teen violent death; 45th in single teen births; 47th in child poverty; and 42nd in the percentage of children in single-parent homes.

EDUCATION...According to the Univ. of AR, Clinton's Arkansas ranks 47th in per capita state and local funding for education - altho' Arkansas ranked 7th in FEDERAL expenditures, an increase of 34.5% between 1983 and 1992. At the same time, Arkansas ranks 5th HIGHEST in adult illiteracy!!! The state ranks 43rd nationwide in per capita spending for higher education and 3 out of every 4 high school graduates must take remedial courses as college freshmen - twice the national average. Arkansas ranks 49th in teachers' pay!!!!

Draft evasion charges dog Clinton

The Associated Press

ROCKVILLE, Md. — Democrat Bill Clinton Wednesday brushed aside new allegations concerning his Vietnam draft status and said that President Bush would short-change middle class students to finance tax cuts for the rich.

Underlining the theme that Bush's promised tax cut would actually penalize middle Americans, the Democratic nominee said student aid programs were guaranteed to shrink to pay for the president's plan.

"It's coming right out of your hide," Clinton told an audience of 500 at Montgomery College in this suburb of the nation's capital.

The Bush-Quayle team put out a statement by Education Secretary Lamar Alexander that accused Clinton of playing "fast and loose with the facts."

He mocked Clinton as being unable to improve schools with "teacher union leaders" and the Democrats in Congress draped around his neck.



Britt

Meanwhile, Clinton found himself on the defensive again about his Vietnam draft status.

The latest controversy stems from an account published in the *Los Angeles Times* that said an uncle, the late Raymond Clinton, had lobbied to keep Clinton out of the draft.

"It's all news to me,"

Clinton said. He refused

to go beyond his response when the report appeared Tuesday that he would not comment on "rumor or innuendo."

"I don't know where all this stuff comes from," Clinton said.

Clinton was wounded early in the Democratic primaries by allegations that he tried to manipulate the draft to avoid the war.

In the latest development, retired Lt.



Ellis

Cmdr. Trice E. commanding of Hot Springs Navy Reserve Unit in 1960, confirmed the *Times*.

Ellis, who spent years in the Navy reserves, said Clinton called said, "I have a question about to draft. I want to go to the Navy. Do you think you can get him in?" called that F.

Clinton told him that his nephew was going into the Navy rather than being drafted into the Army.

Ellis said he had one of his meetings with the 8th Naval District in Rockville to determine if a billet, or a

See CLINTON on Page 3

CLINTON

From Page One

slot, was open. He said he was later told there was one.

"I did it because I would have done it for anybody else," Ellis said.

Ellis called Raymond Clinton about 10 days later to ask when the younger Clinton would be coming in to take written and oral examinations and a physical. But Ellis said Raymond Clinton told him that it had been taken care of.

"I don't know why he changed his mind and I didn't give a damn," Ellis said.

The *Times* reported that Raymond Clinton's attorney Henry M. Britt assisted in the lobbying effort. Britt, a Republican who lost an Arkansas gubernatorial bid in 1960, told the *Times* that Clinton's uncle had one goal, "in my judgement — to delay, delay, delay so that Clinton could attend Oxford University in England.

Britt said the pursuit of the Navy reserve billet was intended to stall the draft process.

Britt wasn't talking to reporters on Wednesday. Britt said through his secretary that the matter occurred more than 20 years ago.

"It is a dead horse as far as I'm concerned," Britt's secretary

quoted him as saying.

Bill Clinton did not join the Navy Reserve. The presidential candidate has disclosed previously that he sought a position in the Reserve Officer Training Corps at the University of Arkansas but did not actually enter the program. He subsequently wrote the Arkansas ROTC chief, thanking him for helping him avoid the draft.

Clinton has said that he didn't want to fight in a war he opposed but later submitted himself to the draft because he felt guilty. He drew a high lottery number and never was called to action.

Last week, at an American Legion appearance, Clinton tried to put the issue to rest and received a warm reception as he urged the veterans to look to the future rather than to what happened 23 years ago.

But on Wednesday, the Bush-Quayle campaign put out a statement from Dominic DiFrancesco, a past national commander of the American Legion, who said the new account raised questions about Clinton's pledge of last week to "set the record straight."

"Regardless of one's views about the war or the draft, don't

the American people deserve the truth on these important issues," DiFrancesco said.

State gets failing marks on many clean water tests

Arkansas has some beautiful free-flowing mountain streams – but some others serve as mere sewers for the waste of heavy industry.

Gov. Bill Clinton paints an Eden-like picture of water in the Natural State.

Information from his office about his environmental record states: "Today, 81 percent of the state's waters are swimmable and 97 percent are fishable."


"In January 1988, Arkansas developed what are considered to be among the most progressive water quality standards in the nation."

"These regulations prevent pollution in the highest quality waters of the state – those streams, rivers and lakes that have high recreational, scenic and habitat values."

"In 1989, Arkansas adopted a water plan that included assessments of Arkansas' water needs in each of the eight river basins. Additionally, mandatory reporting of all surface and ground water was instituted, and rules and regulations regarding the use of surface waters were developed and approved."

What it didn't mention:

- In almost a fourth of the miles of streams studied in Arkansas, water quality is considered impaired by pollution.
- In south Arkansas, which has about 25,000 oil and gas surface impoundments and where salt water from oil production has polluted surface water, the Department of Pollution Control and Ecology has issued only three fines during Clinton's tenure.
- In Green Forest (Carroll County), wastes from the poultry industry tainted drinking water. Ground water in Northwest Arkansas has nitrate levels of concern to state officials, and shallow domestic wells



and springs have been contaminated by human and animal wastes. Between 2 and 4 percent of domestic wells in areas of intensive poultry and livestock production have been found to contain nitrates above Safe Drinking Water Act levels.

- In Central Arkansas, chlorinated chemicals have contaminated ground water in Jacksonville, polychlorinated biphenyls polluted a Pine Bluff lake, and Bayou Meto has been contaminated 80 miles downstream by dioxins from the defunct Vertac Chemical Inc. plant.
- State pollution control officials allowed the Georgia-Pacific Corp. mill at Crossett (Ashley County) to use a loophole in federal water quality regulations in 1984 so it could continue using the Coffee Creek wetlands as part of its waste treatment system.
- In 1989, Arkansas was the nation's sixth-worst state for

toxic surface water discharges, and U.S. Vanadium of Hot Springs was the sixth-worst firm nationwide, according to a report issued in July 1991 by Citizen Action, a federation of 32 citizen organizations. The report was based on 1989 federal Environmental Protection Agency data.

Three Arkansas counties ranked among the top 65 nationwide in the amount of toxic chemicals released into air, water and land, according to 1989 figures.

- In July 1989, the state Pollution Control and Ecology Commission voted to allow construction of a marina on Rosenbaum Lake, even though that meant lowering the lake's water quality standards.

In 1990, the commission had to reverse itself after the EPA reprimanded it for – and challenged it to justify – adopting less stringent water quality standards.

One of the most important

water issues in Arkansas during 1991 was contamination by animal wastes.

May, Clinton said he believed that the issue of animal wastes needed to be addressed.

"I doubt there is an environmental issue that we should be working on that has any more urgency than this one," he was quoted as saying May 21, 1991.

Those were strong words for a man who received \$17,000 in contributions from the poultry interests in the 1990 elections alone.

In addition, Tyson Foods Inc. of Springdale, the world's largest poultry producer, is listed as a client of Clinton's wife, according to the "1991 National Law Journal." Hillary Rodham Clinton is a lawyer with the Rose Law Firm in Little Rock.

Clinton didn't introduce legislation in the 1991 session concerning animal wastes. He didn't need to, his assistant press secretary, Susie Whitacre, said, because he had appointed a task force to tackle the problem.

But while the task force has been studying the issue, hog farms have been proliferating at a rate that has alarmed some rural Arkansans.

Two decades ago, there were only four permits for commercial swine producers in Arkansas.

But that was before Tyson Foods decided to get into pork in a big way.

Becky Allison, a spokesman for the PC&E Department, said active hog operation permits in Arkansas numbered 456 as of November 1991.


A Tyson spokesman said the company has most of the hog operations it wants in Arkansas and plans to expand into Oklahoma now.

After studying the problem of animal wastes for about a year, the Governor's Animal

Hog farm wastes regulations

CHART LISTS WHAT WAS REQUIRED BY:

Department of Pollution Control & Ecology	Governor's Animal Waste Task Force
✓ Legal notice in a newspaper plus anyone wanting to put in a hog farm must attempt to notify adjacent property owners by certified mail.	✓ Dropped requirement to notify adjacent property owners. Adopted requirement that hog farmer notify local quorum court and run legal notices.
✓ Liquid animal wastes not be spread within 300 feet of a stream.	✓ Approved spreading wastes within 100 feet of waters such as streams, springs, sinkholes and wells. However, wastes cannot be spread within 300 feet of an "extraordinary resource water." Twenty-two state streams fit category.
✓ Confined animal feeding operation not be built within 500 feet of an occupied house.	✓ 500-foot distance for small operators unless landowner wanted to waive the provision. For large operators, distance is 1,320 feet.
✓ Requirements not based on regulations	✓ Requirements would be based on regulations.



* Large operators are defined as 600 beef cattle, 430 dairy cows, 1,500 finishing hogs, 600 sows or 130,000 chickens. The Sierra Club said that excluded 95 percent of the operations in the state.

MICHAEL STOREY / Arkansas Democrat-Gazette

Waste Task Force approved proposed regulations for liquid wastes in December.

The proposed regulations it approved are weaker in some major respects than what the PC&E Department already required.

A state Sierra Club chapter complained that the proposed regulations were "substantially weakening already-in-place regulations."

Proponents of the regulations say PC&E requirements were not based on regulations, and so were open to legal challenges if farmers chose to pursue them.

Even without such challenges, pollution – mainly from crop production and animal wastes – has affected water quality in almost a quarter of the miles of streams the PC&E studied in Arkansas.

In the Red, Arkansas, Ouachita and White river basins,

more than 4.7 million tons of animal wastes from hogs, chickens and cows are produced each year.

Animal wastes frequently are land-applied in Arkansas as a disposal method. The state does not regulate the land application of chicken litter, only liquid wastes.

Of the 11,310 stream miles in the study, 2,828 miles were assessed as impaired by such pollution.

The State of the States 1988 by the Fund for Renewable Energy and the Environment said that 20 percent of Arkansas' major industrial dischargers into surface water and 33 percent of its major municipal dischargers were in "significant non-compliance" with their permit requirements.

– Bobbi Riddlehoover

Carl W. Maynard, Jr.
114 Cambridge Drive
Wilmington DE
19803-2606



Mr. James A. Baker 3rd
The White House
Executive Offices
Washington, D.C. 20500



Campaign sugg

NORMAN & YETTA HELLER
1177 CALIFORNIA ST #409
SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94108

(1)

(NKN)

September 13, 1992

Mr James Baker (Chief of Staff)
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

On Sunday September 6th at 6:30PM I heard a TV show on CNN "Reliable Resources" which was moderated by Mr Kalb. Some one made a statement that was passed over very quickly. They said that Mr Clinton had been meeting for 12 years with a group of journalists in South Carolina where they smoked pot and did various things.

They did not say what the other things were but as with the media these days it wasn't mentioned again or did it appear in the papers. Maybe you can get a transcript of this program. It appears that there is a coverup in this information.

On a CNN TV show a journalist, Mr. Broder said Clinton's Draft issue will not go away. The Los Angeles Times was coming out with an article about Clinton at Oxford. An Arkansas man who was at Oxford with Clinton revealed very damaging evidence about Clinton's actions against the USA and the Vietnam War. Do you have any information on this article.

One more item. The day the President was to make his 5 minute speech, I called the Republican Headquarters to find out exactly when the speech was to be given. Someone answered the phone and did not even know there was going to be a speech. and nobody in the office knew there was going to be a speech.

I called the newspaper here and of course they would not give me any information. The local paper had no articles about the Presidents speech.

I think you need some changes in your office staff here, you must know that this city is largely Pro Clinton. I called the office again the next day and a phone answering machine answered. You need some help here

from some people who follow the President and know what is going on.

The Media here is biased toward Clinton. You must get some P.R. here so they can give the people the correct information. I hope that some of the information I have given you will help in getting Pres. Bush and Dan Quayle elected for another Term.

Sincerely,


Mrs Yetta Heller

NORMAN & YETTA HELLER
1177 CALIFORNIA #409
SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94108-2219



JAMES BAKER(CHIEF OF STAFF)
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE.,
WASHINGTON, D.C.



Mr. James Baker Chief of Staff
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.,
Washington, D. C.



NRN

September 24, 1992

Mr. James Baker
White House Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C.

VIA FAX


Fax: 202-456-2461

Dear Mr. Baker:

I thought you might be interested in seeing the attached advertisement.

A few of my friends and I intend to get this into as many papers as we can between now and the election.

Very truly yours,



Michael Johnson

He smoked dope.

But didn't inhale. You betcha Bill!

He dodged the draft.

Now wants to be Commander and Chief!

He cheated on his wife.

But wasn't man enough to admit it.

Now he says he's just like you!



He'll Swear To Anything!

Arkansas Governor Bill Clinton really wants to be President. He'll do and say anything to get elected. There's one big problem: he can't be trusted. His record as both a politician and a human being bear this out. Make no mistake. Bill Clinton is not fit to lead the country.

Do your nation a favor

Reject Bill Clinton on Nov 3.

Paid for by Michael Johnson, P.O. Box 1710 Valley Center, CA 92082

Want to help get this ad published throughout this nation?

Call me at (619) 749-5407

JAB-07A

James O'Connor Sargent

NRJ
of called

1019 Stillbrook Road
Pensacola, Florida 32514
904-478-3126

September 16, 1992

The Hon. James Baker,
The White House,
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

it has been difficult for me to get to the bottom of the Clinton draft story; and as a WW II veteran, who spent three years in the Orient, I am appalled at the way my fellow Democrats are saying that one's attitude toward the draft isn't very important.

Gov. Clinton received his induction notice when he was studying in England. He put many friends, including lawyer Cliff Jackson of Little Rock to getting this induction notice postponed. He was fortunate to have open channels to Sen. Fullbright and Republican Arkansas Gov. Winthrop Rockefeller.

What that POWER PLAY came up with was an agreement that Clinton would not have to face induction if he registered for the ROTC program at Arkansas State Law School in Fayetteville, which he did.

WHERE HE BROKE THE LAW WAS THAT HE DIDN'T ATTEND THAT LAW SCHOOL OR TAKE PART IN ITS ROTC PROGRAM. INSTEAD, WITH THE HELP OF Senator Fullbright's office, he quickly returned to England. THE DRAFT LAW SAID THAT HE HAD TEN DAYS TO GET INTO THAT ROTC PROGRAM OR LOSE THIS BOON. HE BROKE THE LAW WHEN HE DIDN'T LET HIS DRAFT BOARD KNOW THAT HE WAS NOT WITH THE ROTC AT THE ARKANSAS LAW SCHOOL. Instead, he was in England, leading protests against the war in Viet Nam, an action which would irk many Americans like me.

I am disturbed by his letter in which he clearly stated that he loathed the military but had to keep his political future viable.

I never have voted Republican since I first cast a ballot in 1940, but I can't stomach the way Clinton's clever handlers are offsetting any inquiry into the question of his calculated obfuscation.

Three of my nephews were drafted, two of them sent to Viet Nam, where one had a very bad war and never quite got over it, dying at age 42 last month.

My wife of 43 years and I have marvelled at your stamina as the most active Sec. of State in our lives; and if you ever run for President, you WILL GET OUR VOTES. What a good job you did in the Middle East and elsewhere.

Sincerely,

James O'Connor Sargent

Special

Guy Lyman

Advertising • Public Relations • Copywriting

NRN

September 22, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Republican Campaign Director
c/o The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

For years, I have considered you an island of clear thinking in the Republican party. Perhaps you can help me with something.

Why have you guys not mugged Bill Clinton on the bimbo issue? I understand that C-SPAN months ago carried stories alleging signed affidavits from numerous Clinton mistresses, along with a story alleging Clinton's replacement of a black female staffer in the Arkansas state government with Gennifer Flowers. Perhaps there was no substance at all to these, but if there was, inquiring minds need to know.

Whatever happened to 1-900-GENNIFER? Neither I nor anyone I know has heard the Clinton-Flowers tapes.

The "Slick Willie" image that seemed certain to sink Clinton only a few months back seems to be receding into the past. I realize that you and other principals in the campaign can't get down and dirty, but isn't it time someone did? I'm getting very nervous down here in Dallas.

Sincerely,



Guy C. Lyman, III
Republican

GCL/s1

PHILLIPS COUNTY REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE

116 McAdoo

WEST HELENA, ARKANSAS 72390

1-501-572-4191

September 22, 1992

Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington D. C.

Dear Mr. Baker,

Governor Bill Clinton took away a large portion of the civil rights of a whole Congressional District (first) in Eastern Arkansas in order to get reelected.

He did this by signing in a law to give our elected District Attorney the chance to be also the State Police Commissioner. This was not allowed prior to this because it gave one person too much power over the law enforcement process. The D.A. had control of the local law enforcement agencies and the Citizens only had the State Police to turn to in case of abuse by local authorities. Gov. Clinton took that away from our District Citizens in order to get the support of the local court houses and get reelected.

This man will do anything to get elected and this must be made known to the Public.

Gov. Clinton also signed a contract with Grand Gulf Power Plant, which was never built, saddling the people of Arkansas with millions of dollars in increased electric rates. Mississippi, and Louisiana who were also involved refused to sign. Gov. Clinton's excuse was that he failed to read the contract very well.

These are just a couple of the things Gov. Clinton did to Arkansas. There are many more. Hope you will investigate and get them before the public.

Sincerely,


Bankston Waters, County Chairman

NRN

Harold A. Ofstie
410 Valley Forge Road
Devon, Pennsylvania 19333

September 22, 1992

Hon. James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff
White House
Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC

Dear Mr. Baker:


Casey Stengel in the early 1960's said of his hapless New York Mets, "Don't anybody here know how to play this game?" The same words apply to the President's reelection campaign playing the game of Presidential politics.

As a Pennsylvania Republican I saw first hand the pathetically weak Thornburgh campaign get mauled by James Carville and Harris Wofford. Nothing but, "feel good, trust me," advertising to counter specific, hard-nosed attack ads. Knowing that some of Robert Teeter's people were involved, I was concerned that if the President ran the same type of campaign he was finished. Well, as of today, it feels like almost an exact duplicate of the Pennsylvania race.

In the last two weeks I have seen the same TV ad for President Bush, selling style rather than substance, while the opposition is beating his brains out with specific attacks. This is grossly inadequate given the obvious problems the President faces in terms of the economy, etc., and sounds just like Thornburgh.

The Democrats are vulnerable in many areas (Clinon to Derek Shearer to - Jane Fonda for one!), but nothing other than fluff is getting out. Find someone fast to replace the "Teeter's" of the campaign or you're looking at a repeat of the Pennsylvania experience where the better man lost the race because his political professionals didn't know how to play the game.

Sincerely,


Harold A. Ofstie

JAB-07A

608-A Monroe Street
Nashville, TN 37208
615-244-4110 H
615-862-7970 W

NRN
called
4/4/96

September 19, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:


I am a thirty year old Republican living in Tennessee. This morning I was watching a tape on C-SPAN of General Colin Powell delivering a speech before the Air Force Association. Today also happened to be the day that Admiral William Crowe endorsed Bill Clinton. I remembered that shortly after the Gulf War there was some discussion about giving General Powell a fourth star. I believe that this honor is deserved, and would be timely now as the political benefits to the President would be helpful.

General Powell is immensely popular and is a role model for many. His efforts under Presidents Reagan and Bush in forming and implementing foreign and military policies which ended the Cold War could be used as rationale. There has been no more significant victory in the past forty years. This is in addition to the military accomplishments in the Gulf War and Panama. General Powell is obviously instrumental in establishing the role of the Post-Cold War military. The efforts of the military in South Florida is one example. The role of the Post-Cold War military will be important in the future, and the difference in experience between the President and Clinton in military affairs could be highlighted. General Powell also served in Vietnam, a fact which could further focus attention on Bill Clinton's draft record.

This action would help shift voters' attention back to the foreign policy and military achievements of the Bush administration. I don't know what process is necessary or if Congressional action is required to grant the fourth star. Obviously, the Democrats would say the move is political, but no Democrat could argue with General Powell's contributions to the aforementioned achievements. The President could simply state that he feels the honor is deserved regardless of the outcome of the election.

I hope you will consider recommending this move to the President. I congratulate you on all of your diplomatic achievements and, regardless of the outcome of this election, look forward to a James Baker presidency beginning in 1996. I will be eager to help, and hope that one day I will have the opportunity to talk with you when there is more time. Good luck over the next month.

Sincerely,


Curt Garrigan

Dave Overton
P.O. Box 3212
Barrington, IL 60011

Special
NRN

September 21, 1992

PERSONAL

Secretary James Baker
White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Secretary,

The media is eating us alive and the damage done by Skinner and company has not been overcome.

I just spent two weeks in states that should be safely in the Republican campaign:

Georgia
Alabama
Mississippi
Louisiana
Tennessee
Kentucky
Southwest Virginia
Texas

Plus, I live in Illinois.

I talked to hundreds of people all of whom were or their families were southern Democrats.

We need these states but we don't have them locked and Perot could still do more damage.

I heard on TV a campaign worker concede Tennessee because Gore is a favorite son. Al Gore, Sr. was also a moderate socialist but the Tennesseans kicked him out when they read the color of pink.

- Implore Howard Baker to make a final flourish to save Tennessee

The situation needs MUCH TOUGHER TALK but that's not enough:
people need to see action NOW

- Clean out the failed financial crowd in the White House and denounce the Democratic tax increase
- People hate Congress and are weak on Bush - exploit the damage of decades of Democrats in Congress - get Rostenkowski the master taxer
- Get Lynn Martin to put a better interpretation on figures issued by her department or get out
- Gore is a wonderful radical target
- Get the Attorney General to make some right decisions - there is little to lose - fight it out in the courts ten years from now
- Wake up the Illinois Senatorial campaign and put someone strong in to run it for Williamson

Get Skinner, Martin and Porter out of the Illinois campaign - Stop wasting time and money in Chicago or we lose

- Get the Governor of South Carolina to campaign in Georgia and Mississippi too
- Send Gramm home to save Texas and give him some help
- Flaunt new economic and entrepreneurial programs

I am no slogan writer but anyone could do better than any that I've seen or heard. (See attached)

In my opinion, the prospects are not good, but we can win.

Dave Owen

A Theme! A Slogan! an Issue!

Clinton's aim is to increase taxes to build a bigger overwhelming government mimicking the Russian socialist state that went broke.

Bush plans to put more money into the American peoples' pockets, savings, property and business investment.

NRN

neg med in
suggestions

Mr Baker:

Why don't you tell it like it ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ is...

Do you want four more years of Carter when the unemployment rate was 12%...and if you borrowed money ...you'd pay 21 cents out of every dollar...

Do you remember Taft Hartley bill...the unions said they'd bury him in Ohio...He won overwhelmingly...

Blast the unions, they're the ones that sent TV out of the country...Radios out of the country...clothing out of the country...

What made America great...Free Enterprise...Get the government off of our backs...

Lawrence L. Russoniello
116 N Washington Avenue
Scranton, Pa 18503



Letters to the Editor:

STOP BASHING BUSH

I'm sick and tired of the ignorant people and the liberal press for bashing Bush...

What's he supposed to do, run every business in this country. This country is FREE...the people that should take the brunt of the faltering economy are in the Congress. The Democratic Congress that puts a tax on luxury boats and puts the boat manufacturers out of business...The Democratic Congress that keeps giving our hard earned tax money away... Then there are the Unions that have literally priced manufacturers out of business.* You can't buy a radio that's made in the US. There are hundreds of items made out of the country because manufacturers can't afford high priced labor and stay in business. What we should do is get rid of the whole of Congress, The House and Senate and start over. They certainly take care of themselves because they don't know how the ordinary American feels, and they think they deserve all of the special perks they take. If they want a raise, it should be on the ballot, after all they work for us...but you'd never know it.

Lawrence L. Russoniello
1302 Green Ridge Street
Scranton, Pa 18509

* - The Caterpillar strike is a perfect example of how the unions force a company to make their products somewhere else other than in our country. Why don't you blast the unions, and their "Don't Give A Darn Attitude". They and Congress are to blame for the rotten economy... Why doesn't the liberal press note the salaries that union leaders take home in addition to the many perks they have.

Sept 26, 1992

NRN

Dear Mr. Baker,

This article appeared in the
San Antonio Light today.

To me, this is very frightening.
I hope we are doing something
to direct our campaign to
conservative young voters.

Sincerely,

Barbara Oak
2531 Rim Oak
San Antonio, Tx. 78232
(512) 496-2677

VIVA SATURDAY

MTV: Rock the Vote aiming to generate youths' interest

MTV/from E1

According to Riker, it soon became clear that the censorship issue tended to affect young people most directly, and that those people were the ones least involved in the political process.

The 18- to 24-year-old generation, in particular, has been chided for its apathy in voting. In the last presidential election, 36 percent of registered voters in the age group voted, the lowest turnout of all age groups.

But Rock the Vote hopes a series of sound bites and public service announcements, featuring everyone from Ozzy Osbourne to Madonna, airing mostly on its close companion MTV, is going to help generate interest.

"One recent survey by the music industry showed 750,000 newly registered voters," says Riker, who cited events such as the current Lollapalooza tour, which registers an average of 1,000 voters per show.

MTV's "Choose or Lose" campaign has, no doubt, contributed to the success.

But the affiliation between the two may prove to be a bigger stumbling block than putting Al

33

I get my information from reading newspapers or watching the news. But a lot of these people just watch MTV without consulting other sources.

- LANA THOMAS, Trinity student

Gore - whose wife, Tipper, pushed for legislation that Rock the Vote initially formed in opposition to - on the Clinton ticket.

"I think MTV's coverage of the political campaigns has been a little biased toward the liberal point of view," says 19-year-old Trinity student Oreg Rice.

His tone is skeptical while discussing MTV and Rock the Vote, which he considers synonymous.

"The (MTV) convention coverage was definitely biased toward Clinton," he says.

"I'm in support of youths

voting," says 18-year-old Lana Thomas, also a Trinity student. "I get my information from reading newspapers or watching the news. But a lot of these people just watch MTV without consulting other sources."

But Riker says Rock the Vote is strictly non-partisan.

"We have spokespersons like Randy Travis and Ted Nugent, who are Republicans," Riker says. "And many others are independents."

"I think the perception (of our being pro-Democrat) exists because we're part of the music industry and the current administration has made Hollywood a target."

But it might take more convincing to change the negative attitudes toward MTV and Rock

the Vote of those who see the coverage as a media ploy.

"I think it's making people more aware," says 26-year-old San Antonio College student Calvin Cooper. "But, still, you can't take it seriously. (MTV) is just telling people what it thinks they want to hear."

Cooper and four other classmates, ranging in age from 18 to 28, say they are registered voters not influenced by Rock the Vote or MTV.

John Pardini is a local Rock the Vote supporter, as well as a volunteer deputy voting registrar and anti-censorship activist.

"The most important thing Rock the Vote can do," Pardini says, "is to provide information on voting."

"I work with Rock the Vote because I share in the interest of getting people registered, but I think MTV is trying to lead a generation to vote the way they want them to."


Still, whether it's because of MTV, Rock the Vote or an increasing sense of responsibility, many people believe 18- to 24-year-olds will make an unprecedented showing at the polls this year.

"I think you're going to have more of today's youths voting than ever before," Pardini predicts.

Riker agrees.

"This is our first big show. I think four years from now, Rock the Vote will really be able to change the way people think about young people."

22



S A T U R D A Y

SEPTEMBER 26, 1992

THE SAN ANTONIO LIGHT



Youths tune in to politics

Rock the vote

By RICHARD BAIMBRIDGE
Special to The Light

Not since the election of John F. Kennedy has there been a feasible candidate on the presidential ballot with such strong appeal to young voters as Bill Clinton.

He looks reasonably young, grew up in the '60s, likes rock 'n' roll (his campaign theme song is provided by Fleetwood Mac), and even has belted out a little sax with Arsenal's Posse.

Add to that an all but flat-out endorsement from the newly political MTV, a registration drive called Rock

the Vote aimed primarily at young people and witness the birth of what could be the decisive vote in a close political race.

"The ideal outcome would be an increase in (voter) turnout from 18- to 24-year-olds," says Van Riker, national program coordinator for Rock the Vote in Los Angeles.

Rock the Vote came into being about two years ago, when Jeff Ayeroff, president of Virgin Records, responded to "attacks on the First Amendment" by rallying people in the music industry against censorship.

Please turn to MTV/EB

NRN

views

E. 9320 Montgomery #26
Spokane, WA 99206

Dear Sec. Baker:

As you will note from the enclosed article by Gary L. Bauer, Pres. FAMILY RESEARCH COUNCIL, THE PEOPLE of America ARE concerned about their loss of the traditional Judeo-Christian Family Values that have made us famous as a great nation.

In reality, Quale's reference to Murphy Brown was "right on target"! She did have a bastard child out of wed-lock and that is fornication and that is not to be upheld as THE ACCEPTED WAY OF LIFE IN AMERICA. It is a shameful show as are most of our prime time shows and many that are not prime time. We must go back to some form of censorship or we will be utterly destroyed.

Our great nation has really "gone down the tubes" since "Roe VS Wade", removing the Bible and prayer from the schools and permitting and promoting the two religions of HUMANISM and EVOLUTION AND FORBIDDING THE TRUTHS Biblical creation science.

I plan to write an editorial: AWAKE AMERICA! AWAKE! Showing how: 1) divorce, 2) abortion 3) PERVERSION 4) PORNOGRAPHY 5) & DRUGS have utterly destroyed our beautiful land.

Of course all the reasons that the political candidates give and all the problems that they list not to mention the lousy MEDIA: they are ALL wrong!

Here is the problem: "As by one man sin entered the world, and death thru' sin, for sin hath passed upon all men for all have sinned."

No CRIME IS NOT THE REAL PROBLEM: the real problem is MAN! Chuck Colson is right if you have followed his PRISON FELLOWSHIP!

Identified with Christ,
Scott L. Means

and do it all, we went on the equivalent of a national binge. Instead of self-sacrifice, our culture has elevated self-fulfillment as the theme of the hour. *"being out there"*

Responsibility has been de-emphasized while at the same time we have created whole new categories of rights—usually rights to some form of self-destructive behavior. Virtue was put on the shelf and blushing became passe. Faced with an epidemic of venereal disease, our cultural gurus, from Hollywood to the sports halls, urge us to worship at the altar of "safe sex."

Now we've awakened with a monster hangover.

Over a quarter of our children are born out of wedlock.

Family breakup is at record levels. Taxes are high, but government wants even more revenue. The federal budget deficit is out of sight. The streets aren't safe.

In some of our gunfire-wracked cities, even the bassinets aren't safe. We have less time with our families. Even with all the extra hours we spend in the labor force, we are falling behind competitively.

If ever there were a time to go home, this is it.

Some pessimists argue that going home, after this many years, is impossible. We've been away too long, they say. The old house is boarded up, and the folks have moved away and left no forwarding address.

Others believe there's no need to call it a night. "The party's still going strong," they say. "Things are just fine."

I believe both are wrong. It is possible for us to rediscover our roots and reclaim the best in our history. It is desirable for our people to pull back from disaster and rededicate ourselves to hearth and home.

In fact, millions of Americans never left home! Despite all that has happened in the last 30 years, most Americans have resisted decay in the popular culture. Millions of others are rethinking the lifestyles of the '70s and '80s and finding them unsatisfactory. A counterrevolution is beginning.

Hearth, Home and Heartland

Signs abound that Americans are indeed "going home." In area after area, from the

renewal of religious faith, to rejection of radical feminism's extreme agenda, to discontent with the ravages of divorce and promiscuity, the first stirrings of a rebirth of family values are being felt.

The signs exist in dozens upon dozens of personal stories, in data tables and graphs, in the refusal of millions of Americans to accept the idea that our nation is too "modern" to care about so backward an institution as the family.

The love of hearth and home knows no social or economic limits. Home is where the heart is, whether it's a fashionable suburb or an inner-city flat.

In fact, it is often in the homes of those with the fewest possessions that the biggest hearts are found. Queen Elizabeth learned this firsthand during her 1991 trip to the United States when she visited the home of 67-year-old Alice Frazier in one of the toughest drug-ridden neighborhoods of Southeast Washington.

As the entourage of dignitaries entered her home, Mrs. Frazier, obviously not steeped in royal protocol, did what she usually does when a guest crosses her threshold: She rushed forward and hugged a startled and befuddled Queen Elizabeth.

The picture appeared the next day on the front page of *The Washington Post*. I don't know what the reaction of our English cousins was, but the event warmed the hearts of most Americans I talked to. Alice Frazier was obviously proud of her modest home, filled with the aroma of fried chicken and potato salad. It was a public housing unit that she had been able to buy under an innovative program that allows low-income public housing residents to take over their apartments. It was her home, and as such it was every bit a castle. There are Alice Fraziers all over America—good and decent people who make houses into castles. They are the backbone of the nation, and they deserve better than they get.

The Possible Dream

Research shows Americans are rediscovering the importance of family values. Over 93 percent of us now say that a good family life is "very important." The increase is particularly strong among

young people. *U.S. News & World Report* recently found that "two-thirds of . . . voters agree on a set of core conservative values by which to govern society, starting with a belief in the family as the basic social unit, less government, lower taxes and the need for more religion." *We are hungry for safe, sane lives, strong families, love, commitment and values.*

Despite our problems, most Americans still believe in the same solid values about which we have always cared. In fact, millions of Americans of all races and economic backgrounds have resisted cultural pressures and have built strong, stable families. Americans are ready for a rebirth of the values and commitments that have served us so well in the past and that hold the only hope for a future that works.

I confess to the same optimism. There are reasons for hope. Our problems, while serious, are not as severe as our negative media would lead us to believe. We have economic troubles, but we have lived through depression. We have internal strife, but we have lived through civil war and global strife. Most of America's families are pulling through. Most households cling together. Most young people aspire to productive, independent lives. Most young adults, upright and responsible, hope to build families of their own. Most families endure.

After 30 years of "experimentation" in which old values were tossed overboard, there is a great yearning among our people to return "home." We are looking for someone to show us the way. I believe the weakened bonds between husbands and wives and between parents and children can be strengthened again. I believe our neighborhoods can be made safe and our schools can transmit reliable standards of right and wrong. I believe we are capable of teaching our children about virtue, love and faith. I believe we can come up with a better way to deal with an unwanted pregnancy than abortion. I believe the racial division can be healed and our family life renewed.

We hunger to know that our lives matter. We want affirmation that home and family are important and that the values of the past are still relevant today. We want to go home. We should begin that trip now while we still remember the way. □



Gary L. Bauer is president of the Family Research Council in Washington, D.C. This material is excerpted from his latest book, *Our Journey Home* (Word). For more information, please refer to the envelope between pages 8-9.

Let's Go Home Again

A heartwarming call from the new book by Gary L. Bauer




PHOTO BY MICHAEL BELO

"Honey, I love you. Tell the kids to study hard."

In isolation these are not extraordinary words. But they took on special meaning when they were spoken on nationwide television in a moment of high stress.

In the early days of the 1991 Gulf War, the Iraqi leadership heartlessly paraded captured pilots on that country's government-run network.

My heart broke for these men as my family and I, secure in our own home, watched the drama unfold on the screen. One captured pilot, in particular, left a lasting impression on me. His face was swollen from injuries suffered in the aircraft crash as well as being pummeled by Saddam Hussein's thugs. Fearing for his life, he followed the Iraqi script and unemotionally mumbled a few words of propaganda into the television camera. But then, he seized the chance to add those otherwise mundane words: "Honey, I love you. Tell the kids to study hard."

In four short words a husband assured a waiting wife that no matter how many miles separated them, and no matter what danger threatened him, his love for her was the first priority in his mind. In six more words the children were reminded

that they still had a dad, that he wanted them to work hard, to achieve the most they could. It was a dramatic display of the love of a father and a testament to the power of heart and home.

Ever since then, whenever I have an opportunity, I share this moving episode with audiences across the country. The reaction is always the same. The room usually grows still; tears fall here and there; husbands and wives reach for each other's hands. For most of us the values expressed by that captured POW are the values that motivate and give meaning to our day-to-day lives. These are the reasons we get up in the morning to tackle another day. These are the reasons we work hard, save, sacrifice, and when it doesn't seem possible to go on—we do it all over again. These are the ties that bind.

I have worked in Washington, D.C., for most of my adult life trying to bring some common sense to the laws our government passes. But for most Americans, thankfully, life doesn't revolve around the latest legislation passed by Congress or the most recent double-talk uttered by some high-level bureaucrat. For most of us, even after years of hearing traditional values

ridiculed, life is still helping hands and good neighbors. It is lovingly packed lunch boxes, night-time prayers, dinners well talked over, hard work and a little put away for the future. No government can ever command these things, and no government can ever duplicate them. They are done out of love and a commitment to the future.

That pilot eventually came safely home. I am sure he was sustained in his captivity by faith and the strong family bonds that normally hold us together. I believe millions of other Americans have endured their own form of captivity in recent years. We have been held hostage by a culture that mocks family values. We have seen our most deeply held beliefs ridiculed by sophisticates who disdain these values simply because they are held by common men and women. We have been subjected to assaults on the reliable standards of right and wrong we try to teach our children. Now, like that POW, we are ready to go home.

"I'm going home." There may be sweeter phrases in the English language—"I love you," for example. But few phrases pack as much emotional wallop as the simple expression of returning to the place of one's birth, or to the haven of a house well lived in.

All of us experience homecomings during our lifetime. Some are grand and nostalgic, as when we return to the streets where we first rode a bike, or the neighborhood where we made the best friends we ever had. Others are bittersweet, because someone we loved is gone, or something—maybe everything—is changed. Other homecomings are as routine as pulling into your driveway after a hard day at the office.

Marks on the Door, Stains on the Floor

I remember at 10 years of age running home, late for dinner after a sandlot ball game that went too long. What a sight that old house was with my father's car parked in front signaling he was home from work.

I remember the sound the old iron gate made as I pushed through it. My mouth still waters as I recall the smell of a meat-and-potato dinner drifting down through the window. The kind of dinner that (in those days before cholesterol-counting became necessary) was always followed by deep-dish apple pie and ice cream.

I remember coming home another day, from high school, when President Kennedy was assassinated. I remember the long walk home and passing the town post office, its flag already at half-staff. Like everyone else I felt a sense of panic and loss unlike anything I had ever experienced.

But somehow the sight of that solid red brick house and the knowledge that my mother waited inside were enough to reassure a confused teenaged boy, more than any TV commentator could, that we would weather this crisis, too.

In a few years there would be weekend trips, my car loaded down with dirty laundry as I sought relief from the rigors of college and weeks of being really away from home for the first time. Today, when I take my family back to the home where I grew up, a million memories flood my mind: The marks on the kitchen door to record how tall I was; the stain on the hardwood floor where a chemistry experiment conducted by a budding 12-year-old scientist went awry; the BB still embedded in my bedroom wall when I learned all guns are loaded.

My children love to go to the third floor of the old house to rummage through the boxes. There in the cartons is the evidence of the life and times of their grandfather, "Pop," as well as of their father. A faded football letter provides an opportunity to tell them about the game where my father scored three touchdowns in shoes three sizes too big (or was it two touchdowns in shoes two sizes too big? Time grows all tales.) A picture of a graduation class from Marine Corps boot camp is scanned.

"There's Pop!" shouts Sarah, third row up on the end, taken just days before the men were sent into battle.

The children sit spellbound listening to the stories of heroism and valor that my father told me late at night, often with tears in his eyes, and that I now pass on to them. How can I possibly make them understand the extraordinary feats done by ordinary men and women to preserve our liberty?

Sarah excitedly thumbs through a second-grade workbook with my name printed in an unsure hand in the front. She counts the number of tests with "100" on them but seems to find more comfort from the occasional "C." Perhaps the papers are reassuring to her. They let her know that you can still make something of yourself even if you are not perfect.

I tell them about teachers who made a difference in my life, even when I didn't realize it at the time; about how painfully shy their father once was (and still is), head down in the last row in hope he wouldn't be called upon. (My first-grade teacher wrote to my parents, "Gary must overcome his reluctance to talk.") I did, much to the chagrin of my critics.)

My son Zachary listens wide-eyed as I tell him of schoolyard bullies—of the times I stood my ground and other times I ran away from a guy named "Slugger," hoping somehow in these stories Zachary will learn something that will help him, as a man, come to grips with fear and honor bravery and courage.

They learn, again, that I am not perfect, that their parents' lives and their parents before them had victories and defeats, that we did good and made mistakes, that we sometimes loved and lost and sometimes loved and won. They learn about faith. Each of them begs for and receives one of the childhood Bibles, the pages turning yellow and the covers coming unglued. They love the gold stars pasted in the inside, each one for a verse memorized.

There is a box in the attic back in our present home in Virginia, too. As each year passes, it fills with memories and mementoes. Someday, I suppose my children will take my grandchildren on a treasure hunt through those relics of my life and their childhood. They will find the stacks

of letters my parents sent me, letters of love and encouragement through days of doubt in law school, or when I was struggling to find that first job.

Maybe they will laugh when they discover their school papers and wonder at those years when reciting the alphabet and counting to 100 were the most important things in life. There will be a lot of certificates in the box, awards and commissions from my years in government. And photos, too, at the White House with the President or in the Cabinet Room.

I guess my grandchildren will be suitably impressed. But when my time has come and gone, I hope my children will tell their own that they remember me most cheering at a Little League game, playing in the yard, leading a mealtime prayer or taking a quiet walk. Or perhaps they will recall some bit of wisdom I was able to pass on or the love their mother, Carol, and I have had for each other.

I used to be impatient with my parents when they wanted me to stand still long enough to take a picture of a new suit or when I got into my car with all my earthly possessions in the back seat, to drive to Washington, D.C. Now I treasure those snapshots that remind me who I was and where I was going.

Belated Awakening

Homecomings can be humbling. Many of us leave home convinced we are going to conquer the world. We are anxious to shuck off the restraints of family and traditions, to cut our own swath

and make our own rules.

At 18 we know we can do it better, make it faster, and see it all. Somewhere along the way we learn we didn't know quite as much as we thought. Our ideas weren't as new as we took them to be. Suddenly the "quaint" homespun wisdom that once was rejected takes on new life. The first trip home after such an awakening is a return to reality.

Could it be that America needs humbly to "go home"? For over 30 years now we have tossed off many of the rules and restraints painfully learned by trial and error through thousands of years of civilization. Thinking we could have it all

Americans have endured their own form of captivity in recent years. We have been held hostage by a culture that mocks family values.

On the Radio
Tune in October 22-23 when Gary Bauer discusses how families are "going home."

The Honorable James Baker
Republican Campaign Committee
The White House
Washington D.C. 20510

*multi-
issue*

Dear Mr. Baker:

This item may be of use to you in the campaign for George Bush. If not I wish you every success and best regards.

I live and work in a small mining community in central Nevada. The company I work for is struggling gallantly to continue operations in the face of significant losses, a downturn in the gold price, and runaway environmental regulations that change almost daily. Every project is under the scrutiny of the Sierra Club. Our project in Alaska has been delayed for 3 years while we continue the permitting process, even though mining has occurred there for the last 100 years. Anyone who knows anything about business knows how costly these delays are. The other day a truck ran over a rabbit and the mine had to report it. There are so many agencies involved its difficult to even know who to report the incident too. We have a staff of environmental engineers just to make sure the company is in compliance with environmental regulations.

Against this backdrop, Rahall introduces a bill to repeal the 1872 Mining Law, that would, among other things, impose an 8% gross proceeds tax on all mining activity in the United States. It sounds like a typical Democrat idea. Take something that isn't broken yet, and tax it out of existence or overseas; and watch another 17,000 jobs in the gold mining industry go away.

In addition he has the brilliant idea to require all mining companies to back fill pits. It may make some sense in a coal mine. In a modern gold mine, it is ludicrous. He might as well come right out and ask for a law against mining in the United States. Much of the mining in Nevada is in areas that most people don't really want to live anyway. To reclaim thousands of acres of sage brush and cactus, doesn't make a lot of sense when there are millions of acres of the same type of land through Nevada, much of Utah, California, Wyoming, and Colorado. As it is we are already reclaiming everything but the pit itself. ✓


The whole idea of wrecking our economy for the sake of a few cotton tail rabbits, an occasional rattlesnake, and coyotes that move on to other locations just doesn't make a lot of sense to me when the unemployment rate is above 7%. Round Mountain is a perfect example. If this gold mine is legislated out of existence we lose 800 jobs and all of the associated tax revenues that are generated. The community of 5000 as we know it today is eliminated from existence. The next town is 60 miles away. What have we gained? Absolutely nothing except people can no longer live here because there is no other economic activity to replace these jobs.

I write all of the Senators on the Energy and Natural Resources Committee. and I get a letter back from the Honorable Dale Bumpers totally ignoring the issue of jobs. He seems to think mining companies make mega bucks. While there may be some instances where this occurs, mining is very risky business, especially in the United States, which is why many mining companies are leaving our country in droves seeking better opportunities elsewhere. I thought Clinton and the democrats were concerned about the economy and jobs.

This information may or may not fit into your campaign strategy. If it does I would be happy to provide additional ideas or information to your staff. I realize mining is a touchy area. However, with unemployment at 7%, all of the gloom and doom, and the bad rap for the media is putting on President Bush, I thought maybe this and other examples may be used effectively to show how the democrats aren't as concerned about creating and protecting jobs as they would like the public to believe. I was sure relieved when President Bush put a 12 month moratorium on regulations.

May the Lord bless your campaign. I am also praying our nation will return back to our Christian spiritual heritage. I am grateful for the stand that Vice President Quayle has taken on this issue. I'm working this concern through other channels. I strongly suggest you get a hold of some of David Barton's works if your staff has not done so already. The statistical data he has accumulated leave no doubt that our moral and economic decay is in large part related to taking Christian principles out of government starting with removing prayer from school in 1962.

Best Regards,


James Cribari
A Concerned Citizen

Address:-

P.O. Box 1533

Round Mountain NV 89045

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

September 17, 1992

Jim Cribari
Post Office Box 1533
Round Mountain, Nevada 89045

Dear Jim:


Thank you for contacting me about reform of the 1872 mining law. I appreciate your taking the time to share your views with me.

Last year I introduced legislation to reform the 1872 mining law. The Energy and Natural Resources Committee has held two hearings on this bill. The consensus of the witnesses, including the mining industry representatives, was that the abuses associated with the 1872 mining law should be stopped either through legislation or new regulations. The hearings confirmed my belief that the mining law needs to be modernized to conform to current public land policy. I understand your interest in retaining the current laws governing mining, but I believe that improvements can be made in the law which benefit miners as well as the general public.

Recently, Senator Harry Reid from Nevada was able to include an amendment to the 1872 mining law in the Senate Interior Appropriations bill. In my opinion, this amendment constitutes "sham reform." If the Reid amendment were to become law, mining companies would continue to be able to extract billions of dollars worth of minerals from public lands and pay the government practically nothing. Moreover, the Reid amendment would do little to ensure that our public lands are adequately reclaimed following mining operations.

Thanks again for contacting me. Please keep in touch.

Sincerely,



Dale Bumpers

DB:tpm

This is the letter I sent to the Energy & Natural Resources Committee of the Senate.

Supplementary Letter

In addition to the Economic Impact study I request you also consider my own personal views on this matter.

Our obsession with environmental laws are fast creating a country that is inhospitable economically to its own people. Everyone is aware of what the spotted owl did to rural Oregon, Washington, and Northern California. Rural America is being swept away in a frenzy of environmental regulations that have little relation to the reality of the cost of doing business. It is hard for me to imagine that congress is even considering the Rahall bill given our current unemployment rate of 7.6%, and our nations lethargic (nonexistant) recovery from the recession. Can't the congress begin to see that it is legislating companies and employees right out of business along with associated the loss of income, unemployment, and social security taxes.

While I am a skilled professional and have a good chance of getting a job again, many folks I know in mining will not fare so well if our mine is put out of business. Is congress out to eliminate jobs for the working class people? Is there not enough economic pressure to encourage people to become educated without taking away existing jobs by requiring companies to backfill pits.

I am a CPA and I can tell you that an 8% gross proceeds tax and the new backfill regulations will severely cripple what remains of the United States mining industry. Mining is one of the most capital intensive and high risk businesses in the USA. How can we convince the rest of the world to comply with environmental laws if our own congress demonstrates these regulations and laws exceed the cost of doing business?

As a single father raising 3 small children I have found my job at the mine to be an ideal situation in a less than ideal family situation. Most mining companies are very humane to their employees and provide many infrastructure services that would not otherwise be available in rural communities. In fact if it were not for mining many rural communities would cease to exist. Nevada is an excellent example.

Most mining companies I have been associated with are making great strides in environmental compliance. Our company recently sent one of its top executives to one of the most prestigious universities in our nation, for one entire year, to make sure our company is in compliance with all of the new environmental laws and regulations.

For the sake of humanity and peoples' jobs I ask that you oppose S. 433. I personally have other job opportunities, but many other very good hard working people will experience severe hardship if this bill is passed.

Jim Cribari
Box 1533

Round Mountain NU 89045



The Honorable James Baker
Presidential
Republican Campaign Committee
The White House
Washington D.C. 20510

NRN

Senator Charles E. Grassley
Washington, D.C.

September 25, 1992

The Honorable Jim Baker
Chief-of-Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20050

Dear Jim:

Please find attached a copy of a letter that has been forwarded to me by one of my constituents regarding the upcoming election.

I am sending it to you for review and comment. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter. Please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions regarding this letter.

With kindest regards,

Sincerely,

Chuck Grassley
Charles E. Grassley
United States Senator

IRVING F. JENSEN CO., INC.
CONTRACTORS

2220 HAWKEYE DRIVE
P.O. BOX 1618 PHONE (712) 252-1851
SIOUX CITY, IOWA 51102



September 1, 1992

Mr. R.L. "Dick" Herman
Bay Distributors
2420 Santa Fay Avenue
Redondo Beach, California 90278

Dear Dick:

You haven't heard from me for awhile but I thought I better get some information to you and perhaps you can slide it to James Baker. I think George Bush is going to need all the help he can get and somehow we have to see that he gets re-elected.

Chuck Grassley had a little get together here at the Country Club last Thursday night. He spoke to a number of us and privately visited with me and mentioned about five things that were immediately going to be done by the democrats if Bill Clinton were elected. When Grassley got done with his prepared remarks and the question and answers came, neither in the prepared remarks or in the questions were his concerns brought up so I asked the question which demanded that he state publicly what he had stated privately to me.

He mentioned that if the President were not re-elected that Bill Clinton would either vote for or would not oppose a repeal of the Hatch Act, which prohibits government employees from engaging in partisan politics. He would allow the Federal Right to Work Act to be repealed. He would encourage legislation to permit common sites picketing, which I believe has been outlawed by the Supreme Court. He would allow the Democrats to add two new states so we would have 52 states, Washington, DC and Puerto Rico would become states. One of the first Senators from the State of Washington, DC would be Senator Jessie Jackson. We would end up with four more liberal Senators. Bill Clinton has a tax plan that he plans to put another 150 billion in new taxes upon the backs of the American taxpayers. His liberal Congress already has figured out ways to spend 220 billion dollars in new liberal spending programs. His new taxes wouldn't even match up to what his Congress would try to spend. Grassley feels this is what Clinton and the Democrats will do if they take over the executive branch of the government.

I think this word has to get out to the public and I am not sure that the Bush presidential campaign has gotten this message out. I have alot of concern, I think alot rides on this election. It is extremely important that the people know what is in store for them. Perhaps you can convey this message to some of the powers that be within the Republican party.

Very truly yours,

IRVING F. JENSEN CO., INC.

Irving F. Jensen, Jr.
Irving F. Jensen, Jr.

IFJ, JR:bs

We the People R & R

NRN

Gen
Support

THE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF CITIZEN PARTICIPATION TO FULFIL THE BICENTENNIAL CELEBRATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND BILL OF RIGHTS

Vernon F. Miller, Director
107 E 2nd, North Manchester, Indiana 46962 • Telephone: 219-723-6233

October 1, 1992

President George Bush
The White House

Attention Mr. Mames Baker / The Bush / Quayle Campaign

Friends:

The enclosed papers propose options for dynamic change which could give a lift to the spirit and actual accomplishments of the economy of our nation. The rights of our freedom can only be activated when we accept the accompanying responsibilities.

Citizens claim ownership of elections. Get out a 90% vote by voters well informed on issues. Disregard ads and personal attacks.


Campaign reform by candidates who present issues by true debate format and rules of responsibility to topics.

Economic recovery by turning to a new industrial age of development which is all ready to go but is being ignored by the United States.

A new trust in national security based on the unique concept of power in our own Constitution---justice through law.

I would like to see you stress these goals as you present your concepts to the people. If I can be of any assistance please let me know.

Sincerely,


Vernon F. Miller

THE WHITE RIBBON CAMPAIGN

In 1992 citizens are discouraged and disgusted with political campaign processes and candidates.

It is suggested that many may follow the pattern of not voting.

We have recently celebrated the bicentennial of our Constitution and in 1991 the ratifying of the Bill of Rights.

During the same period citizens in east Europe and the Soviet area have risked life to get the right to vote in a pro democracy reform movement.

At such a time will we run away as cowards promoting a non-voting anti democracy movement?

We have called for greater appreciation of those who have been called on in this century to preserve our right to vote by military service.

Not to vote is lack of reverence for the lives of those who died or risked their lives to save democracy. It is like a slap in the face to veterans.

Their sacrifice was not able to strengthen democracy on the battlefield.

They could only buy time for us to do it here at home---now.

The first move for campaign reform must come from the citizens.

They were given the power in our government by the Constitution.

Reform will not come from Congress or the White House.

Citizens will have to be the first to confess their errors and make change.

Withholding votes sends no message but surrender.

Allowing marketing advertizing to take the place of true debates of issues has been a catastrophe to the democratic process.

The people can make the first change of habit in this election.

They can let anger and disgust be the motivation for an all out effort.

*** Get out a 90% vote in '92!

*** Ignore and reject advertizing and sleaze.

*** Deliver an audience for true debate of issues
in common sense format of high school and college debate
with no immediate spin doctors.

*** Change from self interest, special interest, and pork barrel politics
to what can we give to strengthen the nation.

*** Vote with the best judgement of what will be good for the nation.

*** When the election is over hold elected officials accountable
to the citizens who voted and not to special interests who gave money.

*** Use white ribbons from the color of the flag as the symbol of integrity
to hold candidates and citizens responsible.

*** Insist that Congress follow the germane rule, meet and debate
only with a quorum, never trade votes, prohibit Presidential offering
or withholding favors to influence votes, vote each separate item on its
own merit as presented in debate, get rid of pork barrel, elect candidates
for what they can give for the nation, not for what they can get for the
state or special interests.

*** PATRIOTISM = GIVE. TREASON = GET.

Vernon F. Miller

THE RED RIBBON CAMPAIGN

The red of our flag stands for courage, energy, and bold action to meet any threat to the life of our nation. Today our nation seems vulnerable and threatened. Our economy is weak and in recession. We have lost manufacturing jobs, have a negative trade balance, and have gone from the largest creditor nation to the largest debtor nation. This threat to our national security is as significant as any potential military threat has ever been.

Economists say that in prior recessions fortunately a new industrial or technological revolution has come along just at that time to inject new vitality into our economy. They lament that there is no new development here now. They are mistaken. A new hydrogen solar energy revolution is ready to go into immediate development. We should be focused with excitement and creative new projects.

Red is the symbol of courageous action. American common sense and ingenuity has gotten us out of difficult situations before. Pragmatic change to something that works better is a part of our way. We like to find new methods to do old things better. That is why the Model T replaced the horse and buggy. Americans loved going along for the new ride. The livery stable owners ridiculed it for awhile but soon came along.

Why do our energy programs hold us to old ways of using fossil fuels and keep us dependent on uncertain imports. Why do we defer to Germany and Japan to lead in the development of clean new energy fuel available within our borders. Citizens have sat back expecting the government to change things. The citizens should wait no longer. We can have energy independence as soon as we are willing to focus our thought, attention, and creative productivity on it. The economic expansion of the new hydrogen industrial era will help get us out of recession. No dictator or oil cartel can hold us hostage. We will have our own energy fuel. It burns clean with almost no pollutants.

We converted from peacetime to wartime production for World War II in fourteen months. If we focused half the effort we put into Desert Shield we could be in hydrogen production in a few short years. Transition adaptations can start immediately.

We can go for a new ride again. We already know how to get around without using polluting oil for energy. We have not started the conversion because no one is talking about it. We spend millions on examining space stations and atom smashers whose benefits are far more uncertain and distant.

As early as the 1950's the United States Air Force tested and found hydrogen an excellent fuel for airplanes. They decided not to develop a production and distribution system. Hydride storage systems in super activated charcoal can make use in cars feasible. Use with fuel cells in cars may be even more effective. Solar genset engines use sunlight to create electricity to separate hydrogen and oxygen from water. Our deserts have the capacity to produce much of our total energy needs.

6. A great irony occurred during the time of Desert Storm. While the United States and United Nations forces were protecting the Saudi's oil fields another great energy action was taking place in the Saudi desert. Saudi Arabia was building a huge plant to convert Saudi sunlight into hydrogen. They were doing it for Germany to run buses with it in Germany. The United States probably never asked for it.

We are fortunate. We do not need to feel dependent on the middle east for energy. We don't need either their dirty oil or their fresh clean sunlight. We have deserts with our own sunlight. The state of Arizona is planning for the development of this available renewable energy resource.

There are transition methods which can immediately begin to cut back on our reliance on polluting and imported oil energy. Ethanol is a solar product made from corn. Its use would help agriculture economics. A ten percent blend reduces pollutants and saves oil use. Hy-Fuel is a hydrogen turned into a stabilized liquid similar to ammonia. It is cleaner than gasoline. A farmer in Iowa uses a larger generator on his pickup truck to make electricity to split hydrogen and oxygen from water in a small tank as he drives. He nearly triples his gas mileage. These are all working projects. Henry Ford did not wait till he had perfected an automobile like we drive today. He started with what worked then. The urgency of problems with our economy and our environment mandate that we start the new revolution with what is working now. We can put loggers to work building new energy facilities. Aerospace engineers can make transition equipment.

Scientists tell us that hydrogen works. They say that people simply need to be aware that it is available. Then they can demand the move to a new economy. Every county should have a local chapter of the American Hydrogen Association.* It is a grass roots educational organization sharing information about the prospects and new developments in solar hydrogen energy. A new industrial revolution is hidden in the shadows, ignored by politicians and the media. Citizens can push it to attention.

Wave a red ribbon to get the media to report developments. Tell legislators that this is the way we want to go. Get presidential candidates to focus attention on this option now. Why should we defer to allow Germany and Japan to take the lead in this technology which will be the way of the future? Just like the livery stables the oil companies have had their time. We can not allow them as special interest groups to control the energy policies of our government. The citizens must take the initiative

Fortunately this patriotic red courage does not call for brutal blood, sweat, and tears. It is as refreshing as a cup of cool water shared on a pleasant day. We can use today's fresh sunshine and water. Stop using the carbonized fossilized sunshine of millions of years ago. Fresh, clean energy is abundant enough for all peoples. We can help be sharers of how to do it. Our beautiful spaceship earth has just the right balance of sun and water when we learn to use it in this newly discovered way.

(*American Hydrogen Association-219 S. Siesta Ln., Ste. 101, Tempe, AZ, 85281 Ph. 602-921 0433)

THE BLUE RIBBON CAMPAIGN

For over two hundred years The United States Constitution has proved in our domestic experience that its method of justice through law is the finest way to adjust and manage conflict. We were glad to see duels replaced by litigation. Civil order prevails over anarchy. Government of law is trusted. In our international experience we have never been able to break away from the old world patterns of our European heritage. To a large extent we have participated in the use of violence to achieve justice in world affairs. Two hundred years ago the formula for world order in Europe was a balance of terror. We have advanced to our most recent formula called M A D--Mutual Assured Destruction. In action we have said might makes right in international relationships.

Throughout these two hundred years there have been military leaders who have advised us that if we cared about survival and national security we must devise another method of power for justice and right in world relationships. General George Washington in the beginning said that there must be another way found to reconcile conflicts between nations. His vision was that world trade and commerce would be that ameliorating force by which nations would learn to trust and respect each other. Most citizens have heard of the warning given by General Dwight Eisenhower. He advised that our increasing dependence on the military industrial complex could be hazardous to our well being as a nation. The most challenging appeal came from five star General Douglas MacArthur. Yet his words are seldom called to memory. He felt that these words were so important that he repeated them at the two most significant opportunities that came to him in his long and outstanding career. In 1945 he gave the challenge on the deck of the battleship Missouri just after signing the peace treaty with Japan. He quoted himself for emphasis in 1951 in his historic speech to a joint session of Congress. This is what he said:

"I know war as few other men now living know it, and nothing to me is more revolting. I have long advocated its complete abolition, as its very destructiveness on both friend and foe has rendered it useless as a means of settling international disputes.

"Indeed, the second day of September, 1945, just following the surrender of the Japanese nation on the battleship 'Missouri', I formally cautioned as follows:

"Men since the beginning of time have sought peace. Various methods through the ages have been attempted to devise an international process to prevent or settle disputes between nations. From the very start workable methods were found in so far as individual citizens were concerned, but the mechanics of an instrumentality of larger international scope have never been successful. Military alliances, balances of

power, leagues of nations, all in turn failed, leaving the only path to be by the way of the crucible of war. The utter destructiveness of war now blocks out this alternative. We have had our last chance. If we will not devise some greater and more equitable system, our Armageddon will be at our door. The problem basically is theological and involves a spiritual recrudescence and improvement of human character that will synchronize with our almost matchless advances in science, art, literature and all the material and cultural developments of the past 2,000 years. It must be of the spirit if we are to save the flesh."

It is amazing to recall the last three months of 1989. Briefly the nations of the world were challenged by the President of the United States to try an experimental application of trust in the power of justice through law...just like we have trusted our constitutional power at home. It was the period called Desert Shield. The President repeatedly called for the offending dictator aggressor to respect international law. The President strengthened the world of law through the United Nations by aligning a coalition of nations to apply economic sanctions to get the aggressor to withdraw. Many government leaders, including military commanders, felt that the sanctions, the force of international law and the strengthened United Nations cooperation would achieve the objectives if tenaciously believed in and persistently applied. We will never know the answer as to what the results would have been. Soon the experiment was abandoned and reversion to assured destruction by violence was applied.

General MacArthur's challenge still waits to be more explored. Conflicts will always exist in the world. We must increase our loyalty and devotion to our Constitution. We trust its method at home. We now must see that those same values have authenticity in the world around us. We are willing to make changes to use more effective machines to destroy lives in violence. We must be even more willing to change to new methods of justice through law in international relationships.

First we must set an example for a world of rule by law in our own actions. Citizens must urge the Congress and the President to unite in passing a resolution to commit the United States:

- ** to always follow our own laws under the Constitution.
- ** to never violate the laws of another nation.
- ** To always obey international law.
- ** To continue to strengthen the United Nations as the structure for a new era of international cooperation in the world.

This is simple common sense. What we ask of others we should do ourselves. If we plan to attack another nation we must legally declare war as provided in our Constitution.

The blue in our flag stands for loyalty and devotion. We believe in the values and methods of the United States Constitution. Seeking justice through law is the great undeveloped resource in the realm of international relations. It required a lot of loyalty and faith for our founding citizens to cast their lot with the Constitution 200 years ago. We will show our loyalty by trusting our lives to the workability of Constitutional values in world affairs. Tie a blue ribbon beside your white and red ribbons if you want to expand and export our trust in justice through law.

There will always be conflict in the world. Our nation can move into the forefront of developing and using effective methods of mediation and conflict management. Congress and the President can define this as a new first line of defense for our national security. Conflict management through mediation and negotiation is the new science of an old fashioned value. The United States has excelled in it in some moments of the past. Other nations are working at it with departments of peace or commissions. The United States is ready with a structure to implement it. The United States Institute For Peace has already been established by Congress. It has been rather hidden and under funded. Now it should be moved into a strategic position as our first line of defense. The methods it researches and develops can prevent many conflicts from coming to the stage where the use of violence would be considered as a method. This does not suggest an argument about rejecting military functions. The military has always been committed with its objective being to achieve world peace. This is bringing an additional resource on line to be used in advance of and in coordination with all other methods. This department of government should have its full use in helping to achieve the new era in which the nations of the world learn to cooperate in meeting problems as they arise. It would be a healthy sign if we saw the significance of General MacArthur's vision and elevated this department of government to cabinet status. It would show how much we trust the methods of our Constitution. Wave a blue ribbon.

SEPT 29th 1992

NRN

SEC. OF. STATES
JAMES BAKER.

Campaign
suggestions/
views

DEAR MR. BAKER

As AN INDEPENDANT VOTER I
WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU SOME REACTION TO
THE EFFORTS ON YOUR PART TO REELECT
PRESIDENT BUSH.

THE T.V. SEGMENTS ARE TOO FRENETIC
AND "COMMERCIAL" AND LEAVE ONE WITH
THE FEELING THAT MR BUSH CANNOT
STRING TWO OR THREE IDEAS TOGETHER
AT ONE SITTING.

HE DOES NOT ADDRESS THE QUESTION
OF TRUST - AS SEEN BY VOTERS WHO CAN
ONLY ASK, "WHY DIDNT HE DO SOMETHING
FOR THE ECONOMY IN THE FOUR YEARS
HE HAD - WHAT WILL HE DO IN THE
NEXT FOUR THAT HE DIDNT DO -
AND IF HE CANNOT WORK WITH CONGRESS
HOW WILL THIS BE ALTERED IN A NEXT
TERM.

ATTACKING GOV. CLINTON IS A WASTE
OF TIME IN MY OPINION, PEOPLE NEED

TO KNOW THAT PRES. BUSH HAS SOME-
THING TO OFFER THAT IS NOT YET VISIBLE,

LASTLY THE PHOTO-OPS OF MR.
BUSH GETTING ON AND OFF PLANES, ETC.
CONSTANTLY ON THE MOVE, JOGGING ETC.
ALL CONVEY AN IMPRESSION OF SOMEONE
RUNNING AWAY FROM HARD QUESTIONS, AND
QUIET CONTEMPLATION.

AS A CLOSE FRIEND AND ADVISOR
YOU CAN CONSIDER SOME OF THESE
THINGS AS THE CAMPAIGN MOVES ALONG.

SINCERELY

Arden Gittel

NPN

multi
issue
suggestions

2229 7th Street
Peru, Illinois 61354
September 29, 1992

Chief of Staff
Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I think that it is a mistake by the Bush campaign to back off the moral value issue. All of the issues in the campaign are important but this issue seemed to irk the liberal media, hollywood producers and liberal Democrats the most.

President Bush can't seem to convince the voter on the economy issue but he could get a lot of votes on the tough on crime and moral leadership issue. He should meet with Chiefs of Police, Mayors, judges, attorneys and religious leaders so that we can convince Congress to pass immediately his comprehensive anti-crime bill. President Bush needs to get mad at liberal attorneys who are more concerned with criminal rights than the rights of the victim. Perhaps our government should send military police to help local police patrol high crime areas in our cities. What is wrong with stopping crime? We should be fed up with the liberals. Rapes, murder and shootings must stop.

President Bush needs to speak out for the unborn children who are being aborted daily. From this lack of respect for life follows kidnapping, rapes, hijacking and murders of young people. It is a National Disgrace and we need to get together and do something about it immediately. If the Democrats and Republican leaders can meet with a non-candidate Ross Perot then we should be able to meet with the responsible leaders of our cities to do something about crime and immoral behavior.

Sincerely,

John A. DiCarlo
John A. DiCarlo

copy to Mr. Robert Teeter
Bush-Quayle Campaign Manager

September 28, 1992

Pinecrest Place, #2624
1150 8th Ave., S.W.
Largo, Fla., 34640

NRN

meals

Mr. James A. Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C.,

Dear Mr. Baker:

Millions of Americans remain deeply confused after hearing all three candidates on television and reading all their statements and promises.

A short straight talk, easy to understand message, delivered over the National Television during the two or three weeks prior to election day by the right persons, might gain the votes needed.

I recommend that one or more of the following be enlisted to present the message:

- General Schwarzkopf
- Mrs. Robert Dole
- James A. Baker
- Ronald Reagan
- Billy Graham

The "Message" (Subject to improvements) . . . We should not vote for Ross Perot. He has proved by his statements and his actions that he is not qualified to be President.

The Cold War is over! . . . Former Super Power Russia is in deep trouble.

Do we appreciate the leadership and actions of our President in comparison with the leadership and actions of President Boris Yeltsin and former President Mikhail Gorbachev of Russia during the past four years?

The President is also the commander of all ground forces during a war. Do we appreciate President Bush's leadership before and during the Persian Gulf War?

We need to compare the long record of experience in leadership and ability of President Bush with the limited experience of Mr. Clinton.

Do we want Barbara Bush or Hillary Clinton in the White House as our First Lady during the next four years?

Do we want a former fighter pilot and war hero or Mr. Clinton, who successfully dodged the draft, as our President?

Make your own comparisons and vote your choice.

Sincerely,

Stephan A. Douglas

World War I Infantry Officer in
France American Expeditionary
Army.



MR. STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS
APT 501-2624
1150 8TH AVE. SW.
LARGO, FL. 34640

CERTIFIED

P 720 288 160

MAIL

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. James A. Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C.



2.29



Personal



4022 Marion Street
Erie, PA 16510
September 23, 1992

NPN
suggestions

Mr. James Baker, Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC

Dear Mr. Baker:

Here's a line of attack against Governor Clinton's boasts concerning the Arkansas economy that could be devastating. I suggest you find out how many jobs he has lured away from other states through tax breaks and other gimmicks. I have little doubt that he is including such jobs in his calculations. If so, the President might say something like this, perhaps before a factory which had closed to go to Arkansas:

My opponent tells you he has created all those new jobs in Arkansas. Now, I'd like to ask you where the jobs came from. I think you know where some of them came from -- because they were your jobs.

He lures your jobs away, calls them new jobs, and says he created them in Arkansas. He then blames me for the job lost here in _____. Now, I admit that the unemployment rate is too high in the country. But I think the Governor is being pretty bold when he comes up here to _____ and asks you to vote for him because he has gotten your job for somebody else.

The changes could be rung on this theme by means of an analogy or two:

By the Governor's curious reasoning, the way to increase the marriage rate would be to encourage divorces. My friends, as the candidate of family values, I encourage those of you who are married to stay married and I encourage those of you who are looking for wives and husbands not to take somebody else's. Go and find new ones. And please vote for Bush/Quayle.

I think it quite important that the President be reelected. If I can be of further assistance, you may reach me at (814) 825-0699. I am a political scientist and college professor.

Very best wishes!

Sincerely,


David A. Nordquest

*for support
suggestions*
NRN

970 Junipero Serra Blvd.
San Francisco, CA 94132
September 26, 1992

Mr. James Baker III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20050

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am writing you instead of writing the President, whom I am totally in favor of. I feel sure there is so much correspondence coming to him that it takes a large group of people to answer them. I thought that perhaps this would be a way of getting some ideas to him, that I consider very important.

First, let me say that I am not an extremist, but a conservative that believes that our country is wonderful and that our Chief Executive is on the right track and doing very well.

But it comes to me that things are quite desperate now and that it is imperative ^{now} that the situation on the liberal side is getting more and more extreme. Just keep repeating lies and people will believe them and promising everything, with no accountability.

I think the President should now speak out openly the dangers that confront our country should the Democrats take over.

First of all, about Congress. They are saying that a Democratic president would work with the Congress and they would get things done. Our country's founders did not visualize such a situation as preferable, I feel sure. There should be some control on what is going on. I think it would be helpful if President Bush would tell the people that the only way Democracy can really work is for those in office to all be working together for what is good for the country. I feel sure our ancestors would be appalled to hear that Congress has one objective each four years, to win the next election and putting every bit of hindrance to the President that they possibly can. They have no thought for our country's welfare and no personal morality.

Now, I think the President should be more explicit in explaining about the necessity of keeping government spending down and helping private initiative succeed. This is not a personal whim, it is an imperative need: more and more government control and spending gets into more and more excessive costs; avoided by the direct control of private sector. The extreme ^{resulted} result of more and more government control has in the far-away past in the growth of Communism, where the state controls part if not all of financial activity and has total control of individuals. This is an imminent danger if we get a man who is a dictator in his state to running our country.

Another danger is the campaign of lies. More and more lies, over and over again. It reminds me of Hitler and his hate campaign. There must be some specific way in which lies can be definitely targeted and refuted.

2---970 Junipero Serra Blvd.
San Francisco

And, finally, there must be some way of refuting lies about the economy--
that ^{it} is bad and getting worse. There must some way be found to get the
message across that things are getting better all the time.

In my own case, my contacts with firms where things about my house need
fixing, all are terrifically busy. They work very hard to get my needs
taken care of, but there is not the least bit of slackness in the demands
for their services. Traffic is still terrific and stores are continuing
to be filled with customers.

I think we all need to be more grateful for our country and its greatness
and sureness of good possibilities. You might say that it has become
fashionable for everybody to complain. Maybe that is back of the great
increase in ^{demands} for health care!

I surely hope we are not going to get into a country of weak sisters,
always filled with self-pitty and gloom!

Please, Mr. Baker, whatever in this letter, you feel worthy of getting
to President Bush, that you will do so and I will be most grateful.

Sincerely and respectfully yours,

Freda S. Benson
(Mrs.) Freda S. Benson

Please don't quote me by name.

3 -970 Junipero Serra Blvd.
San Francisco, CA

Please, Mr. Baker, some more points:

Iran-Countra. This should be answered specifically and definitely. The Court appointed a special prosecutor, Walsh. He has now finished his investigation and found nothing in connection with our President. Clinton is repeating these words and offering to a trade-off with his draft record!

The Vietnam sitautaiion about the left behind prisoners of our men. The Vietnam War was a Democrat war. John Kennedy started it by sending in the first troops. And Lyndon Johnson perpetuated it by continuing to send in more and more troops when everyone else knew it was hopeless.

They are trying to blame Nixon, who had nothing to do with the Vietnam War, but trying to carry out the peace and doing the very best that could be done with a totally vicious and violent country.

Kerry's attack is totally political.

Also, outrageous are the bills from Congress now, trying to make President Bush look bad.

It is my opinion that TV ads are the best way to answer. The ads should be very specific and definite and run them slowly. We have many ignorant and poor thinkers in our country, I am sorry to say.

And, again, thanks for whatever can be done.

F.S.B.

NEN
Sept 28, 1992
non-part
puff

Jama Baker, Chief of Staff
1608 Pennsylvania Ave
Mr Baker,

I am a conservative
Republican who is discontented
with George Bush but considering
the alternative, there are two
things Mr Bush can do to get
reelected:

1st, by presidential mandate,
he can declare that Capital
gains will be indexed to
inflation. He can do this with
out congressional action.

2nd: he must dump
Warren & Brady now to
show he's sincere about

getting this Country going -
I kid you not, I
have many friends who

are saying the same
thing. - Now do I vote
for George or leave
that space blank on
election day?

Mary Jane Bundley
3442 Ebner Coulee
La Crosse, Wi
54601

NRN

Sept. 30, 1992

Mrs. James Henderson, Jr.
1515 Catherine Street
Bogalusa, Louisiana 70427

suggestions

Dear Sir:

I feel like a voice crying in the wilderness, but I am compelled to make one last try.

The campaign is awful - worse all the time. Why

1. Doesn't the President stop attacking Clinton for every nit picking thing - and just call him Slick Willie.
2. Don't ever mention Clinton - just refer to him as Slick Willie when he has to refer to an opponent.
3. Concentrate only on positive things Bush has done:
 - a. appointed more women than any Pres. ever.

(over)

6. appointed more blacks than
any President, ever.

4. The Gulf War - no president
ever has gotten the U.N. to
do what they are designed to
do. Bush did it.

Why do you never mention the
Gulf War?? Tell the public what
we would be paying for gasoline
and who would control the entire
Middle East if we had not had the
war.

When my Republican women met yester-
day, we all agreed we could improve
the President's image. We work so
hard for the Party - why don't you listen
to us??

Sincerely,

Temmy Henderson

P.S. We don't want to lose
Baker, Cheney, Powell, etc!!

*NRN
suggestions*

October 2, 1992

Mr. James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

Once again I would like to offer some comments on how I feel that President Bush would be successful in the upcoming debates and rest of the campaign.

I would angrily respond to Ross Perot's false statements in his candidacy announcement of October 1. Perot said that President Bush called him every name in the book, and also stated that he had never said an unkind word about the President. These two statements are lies. In fact I heard Perot say that President Bush started the Gulf War to prove his manhood. I encourage you to advise the President to confront Perot on this outrageous statement in the debates. I believe that the public would respond favorably to a firm Presidential reply. Furthermore, Clinton's sending a chicken around to challenge the President's courage is as well cheapening to someone like the President who fought for America in war, which his two opponents did not. I hope that the President will confront Clinton and Perot face-to-face on this subject in a somewhat threatening and angry manner. With Clinton's draft dodging and Perot's father's influence in getting him out of the Navy, neither candidate would have any credibility on the ridiculous charge of challenging the President's manhood and courage. I feel that a good interchange on this issue would take precedent with the public and media while possibly showing that Clinton and Perot are teaming up against the President.

On another subject, I feel that the President would be wise to further discuss his school choice plan in a way that would have great influence on the suburbs. I realize that racial issues are sensitive, but when liberals like Gore, Kennedy, and Jackson preach that forced busing is moral and just, you must look at their hypocrisy by sending their children to private schools. I think it would be an eye-opener to the voters in the suburbs who are skeptical of forced busing to learn that liberals in the Congress and media avoid sending their children to the black Washington, D.C. public schools. I believe that the public is ready to rescind much of forced busing because of the inconvenience of getting their children up in the middle of the night and danger of violence just to satisfy liberal politics. These voters should be made aware that a Clinton Administration in conjunction of a liberal Congress might expand forced busing laws.

In closing, I think these matters if properly presented to an angry voting public might just influence enough minds to re-elect the President. I wish you and the entire Bush Administration the very best.

Again, thank you for your interest and attention.

Yours truly,

Randy Hatch

Randy Hatch
288 Woodlawn Avenue
Huntingdon, Tennessee 38344

Randy Hatch
288 Woodlawn Avenue
Huntingdon, TN. 38344



Mr. James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500



NRN

views/
suggestions

September 25, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

As a longtime supporter and contributor for the Republican Party, may I humbly make several suggestions to improve President Bush's campaign?

President Bush should emphasize his Foreign Policy successes and scare people with consequences that will result with Clinton.

The extreme left wing philosophy of Clinton's policy makers should be made public. Mickey Kanter is so left wing even former Governor Brown had to fire him. Research his past.

Please replace Mr. Darmen and Mr. Brady. My friends hoped to hear this news weeks ago.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Ann Kinney

Ms Ann Kinney
2141 Queens Ln
San Mateo CA 94402-3932



Mr. James Baker, Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 - Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20503

NRN

news

Sept. 26, 1992

Paul E. VAN MOORE
8795 Ingenuity Court.
Wackensville, MD. 21793
1-301-845-6369.

MR. JAMES BAKER.
White House.
1600 Pen.
Washington, DC. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker.

Currently things look tough for the Presidents Re-election, therefore I would like to tell my Ohio story.

The former Governor of Ohio; Mr. Celeste ^{increased} ~~raised~~ the State Income Tax by 90%. The Republicans ^{attempted} ~~tried~~ to change or even turn the Tax Increase. ^{I received a phone call} A Name ^{and} Number was given to me to return their call, when I returned this ladies call, it was the Ohio Dept. of Natural Resources, calling asking for a donation to fight the Republicans from over ruling the tax increase. I explained to her I Agreed with the Republicans and I wanted to reverse the taxes as well.

My Business was destroyed from that time until present; due to Low Salts And Non Payments.
The following is information

1. In A depressed Area, Gallia County Ohio, I had 26 Employees, Those Average Income Exceeded 26K a year.
2. There must have been some low interest Federal loans involved in the expansion of the Goodyear Plant below PT. Pleasant^{W. Va.} as I WAS contacted, as to whether I WAS able to do that work, I WAS not due to non payment by the Gallia County Board of Education.
3. By A Representative of the Federal Government, I was pre qualified to perform contract work on the Gallipolis Locks & Dams. Through Athens, Ohio University I was told there was grants Available

Legal problems.

1. 1st CASE was filed by the Electrical Union in Huntington W. Va. for non payment of prevailing rates for the Gallia County Court House. Received Summary Judgment the Union Appealed, the Appellate Court over turn the case, and sent the case to lower Court, The union dropped the case. This cost me Thousands in legal fees
2. 2nd Case, Gallia County Bidwell Porters, The Dept. of Industrial Relations filed under payment of prevailing wages. I received partial Summary Judgment, went to Court and won my case. The Ohio Attorney General Appealed, the Appellate Court agreed with lower Court. The Ohio Attorney General. appealed to Supreme Court, the Supreme Court wrote a new law and sent the case back to lower Court.

The Attorney General dropped the case, before going to a lower Court Trial. At one point in the first case the Judge threaten to have the Attorney General's Assistant arrested. Therefore the case was dropped. This cost me thousands.

3. 3rd Case, in Lawrence County Ohio. The Dept of Industrial Relations first suitor 30 months after the project was supposedly completed, stating I had not paid prevailing rate. The Attorney General submitted 2 documents of false information. The Judge granted Summary Judgement. I filed for an appeal with in the twenty days, After I never heard from the Court, I asked why, the Court stated, there was never an Appended file. Even though the State's Attorney General received Notice.

4. I was sick in Gallia County for a case, that was not prevailing rate until after it was completed. This case was dropped prior to going to Court. But again I spent money on Attorney's.

- 5 }
6 } Against the Board of Education & Architect; for Three
7 } Schools, The Architect committed Fraud And I wasn't paid. This is to long to put in a letter.
The important part to remember is

Ten years ago, I was doing for the local school system what the President asked business to do today. That is working with the children and providing for the school support. Why would this happen to me one of the Board members told me that twice fifty thousand dollars ^{Cash} was placed on the table during a Board meeting.

5th case: October 1, 1982 in Athens County, Ohio without a lawyer, I paid another two cases of prevailing rate. One case was moved to Athens County from Cassia, my guess is lack of success in Cassia Co., the other case the prevailing rate was less than I paid.

You may ask why three cases? I started out Union, at the request of local officials and to help break the cycle of poverty in the Southeastern Ohio, I went next shop. The union gained control of Ohio in 1982.

My business failed not because of the policy of President Bush, my business failed because of the unlawful practices of state & local government. Under the grants and low interest rates in the Huntington WVA, Southeastern Ohio area my business would have continued to grow.

I respectfully request, you use any and all
information in my case to bring the real truth
to the voters in the up coming election, and
if there is anything I can do, just let
me know.

Sorry This was so haw hazardly written
If you have any questions, please let me know

Sincerely

Paul Unn Horn

1-301-663-9291.

Dear Mr. Baker,

There have been a few articles that I have written to the press. Most of them have gone unpublished. Some have been so edited that all the message does not get through. Enclosed are some samples. Maybe you or your staff can get the message across to the American people who do not realize that there is more here (in this election) than just Democrat VS Republican.

The key word everyone is throwing around is "Values". O.K., let's start with that. Among those values, our leaders should possess honesty, integrity, compassion, fidelity, generosity, and courage. Going back as far as the Harry S. Truman election (which is the first I remember), I cannot recall the courage of any candidate coming in to question. There were questions on the rest of my list of values. But courage, to me and millions of other regular, middle-of-the-road Americans, should be uppermost in desirability. For, if the man who carries the world's biggest badge, is supposed to protect and defend us, but he is seeking "Options" "Alternatives" or other means of avoiding confrontation, then we are in deep, deep trouble.

Bill Clinton did not like the Viet Nam war. He didn't like the Gulf war. He's not alone. Nobody "likes" war. But there are times you are called to serve. Out of curiosity, what war would he have been willing to serve in? Probably not even the war for our independence. This war, again which nobody liked, but was seen as necessary, gave us the beautiful scenario we are involved in today "OUR ELECTIONS".

Our Vice President is correct on his analysis of Hollywood. If we don't have men like him and President Bush at the top we could lose all values even faster than we are already. But Hollywood and its "COMEDIES" are another chapter.

P.S. This is the first time I have ever even attempted to lobby for anything. But, please, advise the President not to veto the Cable Bill. I personally do not have cable because of the expense. But I know plenty of people who agree with its passage. His veto could be the straw - - - - -.

Norbert Martinez
6004 Arvilla Ave., N.E.
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110
(505) 888-1754

Sincerely,
Norbert Martinez

*to NPRN suggestions/
views*

To whomever still cares:

Everyone talks about values. But what message are we sending our kids? Some adults, even some that served in our military and saw action, are saying, "Never mind, it doesn't matter what Bill Clinton did 20 years ago. What can he do for me now? Many people looked for a way out." Under Clinton's own admission he looked for "Options", "Alternatives", "And looked for ways to get into another program to avoid active service". All this while claiming he broke no rules. He does not deny doing everything possible to stay out of the draft.(all within the law).

When your house is on fire you don't seek "Options". When a child is ill you don't seek "Options". When your country needs you, why should a potential president seek options? If, God forbid, "President" Clinton should ever have to send an army somewhere to fight, what are our kids entitled to say? "He didn't go, why should I?" I want no less than our president, "a deferment". Etc.

Throughout history, no one has wanted to follow someone who intentionally avoided involvement in a conflict for fear of their safety. This holds true for any army, police unit, group of citizens, or even a gang. God, I hope all those who are saying it doesn't matter will reconsider. For even if thousands, millions looked for a way out, only ONE is running for the world's most important office. People's attitudes today are scary.

Trusting a Democrat-American or a Republican-American is a mistake. Only if the "American" is placed first is there any semblance of hope. Congresswoman Pat Schroeder from Colorado thinks that Bill Clinton would be a good president because she could tell by reading his letters that he "AGONIZED" over his decisions to avoid the draft. That his mind was filled with questions about his actions. Senator John Kerry also says that what Bill Clinton did does not matter. Kerry himself was wounded in Viet Nam. That's what I mean about American first- not democrat or republican. One very important question (to me) is why do they (everybody) keep talking about Viet Nam, Viet Nam, Viet Nam? Viet Nam is not the issue. It could have been any war or military action undertaken by the U.S. Can Governor Clinton say he would have willingly served at any other time? If the answer is "yes", proof is hard to come by. As a matter of curiosity, I wonder how many of those who say Clinton's military record (or lack of) doesn't matter, ever agonized over having lost someone or something in the war. If Bill Clinton agonized over his decision to elude military service, there can be no comparison to the agonizing done by thousands of wives, mothers, fathers, children, relatives and friends over the loss of loved ones. How dare Schroeder use the word "agonize".

One final footnote. Clinton: We will train the people (applause, applause) to get off welfare. (Applause, applause) We will get them (applause, applause) jobs in the private sector. (applause, applause) If we can't get them jobs (applause, applause) in the private sector, (applause, applause) we will put them to work in the (applause, applause) public sector. (applause, applause) Bottom line- Welfare, government program, back to same. PEOPLE DON'T LISTEN. THIS TIME I REALLY FEAR FOR OUR COUNTRY'S WELL-BEING.

Robert M. [Signature]
(505) 888-1754

Sept. 10, 1992

Dear Editor,

The economy, jobs, health care, crime, education, etc. These have been and will always be political ammo no matter who's in office. It's never enough according to the challenging party. Are we better off now than twelve years ago? Depends on the party's affiliation of the person asked.


What concerns me, is that personally, I could never follow anyone who's main purpose in this world (dangerous as it may be) is to keep themselves out of harm's way. There have been United States Presidents that have served their country with military service. Some had to. Others through choice. Some didn't have to. Some were physically unable. With others, there was no draft or war. This holds true for both Democratic and Republican presidents. But we have someone running now for the highest office in the world who did not serve because he didn't want to. His running mate, under his own admission, soul-searched when he was scheduled to serve. Should he run away to Canada or stay for his father's sake, who was running for re-election. He decided to stay and typed papers for five months in the military. This is the team of Clinton and Gore.

Is it just me or are there enough of us old-fashioned thinkers that believe that being a leader or a follower is not only a question of mentality, but a question of who's willing to take the first bullet?

With Clinton's refusal to even put on a uniform to at least show he would at least align himself with the country he claims to love so much, (many didn't believe in the war's merit but still served) what gives him the right to expect the American people to entrust him with the world's most important badge? Possibly there is no perfect candidate this time around. Or ever will be. But for my part, there is only one running now whom I could and would trust to have his finger on the trigger of our defense.

One final question; Why is it that in the last dozen or so years, and with the Democratic party having millions of members, is their choice of candidates so vulnerable to criticism from old-fashioned ideals of middle america?

Very Sincerely,


Norbert Martinez

Norbert Martinez
6004 Arvilla Ave., N.E.
Albuquerque, New Mexico

87110

(505) 888-1754

10.3.92

suggestion

Mr. James A. Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington DC 20500

Mr. Baker,

According to the McLaughlin report last night on PBS, you have already given up on President Bush winning the race. May I suggest a strategy that might allow him to win.

The President should say something like this:

"If I am elected, I will submit three Constitutional Amendments with my State of the Union address. These Amendments are:


1. A balance budget.
2. A Line item veto.
3. A Congressional term limit.

If Congress does not pass these Amendments in the first year, I will spend the last three years of my term lobbying and speaking in every State legislature asking them to call for a Constitutional Convention to pass the three Amendments.

This will be my legacy to the American people."

I would note in passing that 70 to 80% of the American people approve of the above Amendments.

Thanks for your time,


Jim Taunt
11233 S. Tomi Dr.
Phx. Az. 85044-1752

OCTOBER 1, 1992

WITE HOUSE
CHIEF OF STAFF JAMES BAKER
WASHINGTON D.C. 20002

NPN
abortion

HONORABLE JAMES BAKER:

I AM WRITING TO YOU AS I KNOW PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH WILL BE VERY BUSY, ALSO HIS SWEET WIFE BARBARA, THEY HAVE BEEN TRAVELING SO MUCH TIME AS VOTING TIME IS COMING THIS NOVEMBER. I UNDERSTAND IT WILL BE FEW WEEKS AWAY FROM NOW. PERHAPS MY LETTER BETTER GET TO YOU SO YOU CAN PASS THIS WORDS AND LETTER ALONG OR USING YOUR POWER WORDS TO PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH AND HIS WIFE IF POSSIBLE, I APPRCIATE.

SUBJECT ABOUT THE ABORATION :

I AM AGAINST ABORATION COMPLETELY, I ALWAYS GET SIDE AND SUPPORT PRESIDENT AND HIS WIFE ALSO VICE PRESIDENT TOO.

(1) IF ANY WOMEN WHO WANT TO SUPPORT "PRO- CHOICE", WHY NOT THEY GET "TIED-UP" OVARIES ?. THAT IS SIMPLE WAY THAN KILLING "UNBORN" CHLLD AND INNOCENT BABIES. THEY SHOULD KNOW IT IS AGAINST THE LAW TO MURDERS OF ANY HUMANS.

(2) ANY MALES WHO SUPPORT THE "PRO- CHOICE" CAN SEE ANY DOCTOR TO "TIED-UP" OR "STERILIZED" THEMSELVES THAN SUPPORT THE PRO-CHICE AND INNOCENT BABIES.

THIS TWO UPPER STATEMENTS ARE VERY SIMPLE THAT I THINK THAT SUPREME COURT SHOULD KNOW AND MAKE THIS LAWS FOR EVERYONE TO SATISFIED. HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THIS ?. THANK YOU FOR ACCEPT THIS MY LETTER, HOPE IT WILL WORK FOR EVERY AMERICANS AND TO WORLD ALSO.

PLEASE GIVE MY WARM REGARDS TO BOTH PRESIDENT AND HIS FIRST LADY BARBARA. MAY GOD BLESS THEM AND HOPE PRESIDENT WILL WIN THIS VOTING, I HAVE FAITH IN HIM AND KEEP HIM IN WASHINGTON D.C.. WE NEED HIM VERY MUCH. THANK YOU.

WARM REGARDS TO YOU,

ROBERT R. SEWELL SR.
1861 SO. ELMWOOD RD.
GOODING, IDAHO 83330

Robert R. Sewell

P.S. ALSO IT IS VERY CHEAPER TO
TIED-UP OR STERLIZED THAN VERY

COSTLY TO ABORATIONS, DOCTORS & PLUS
HOSPITAL-MEDICINES, ETC. ALSO COSTS
OF LAWYERS AND COURTS, EYC.

NRN *special*

THE HONORABLE CHIEF OF STAFF JAMES BAKER III

DEAR SIR

I FOUND IT VERY RESPONSIBLE OF ME TO WRITE YOU MY MIND AND MY WORRY ABOUT THE RE-ELECTION PROCESS OF THE HIGHLY ABLE AND RESPECTED PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH, WATCHING THE NEWS "OR THE EDITED NEWS" EVERY DAY AND SEEING IT DISTORTED BY VERY SOPHISTICATED WAYS AND MEANS BY THE SPECIAL INTREST JOURNALISTS AND NETWORKS, ALL IN THE NAME OF THE FREE PRESS. IT IS KNOWN NOW THAT THE SPECIAL INTREST GROUPS AND SPECIALLY THE "JEWISH GROUPS" ARE MOBILIZING ALL WHAT THEY CAN TO MAKE THE ECONOMY LOOK BAD THEN USE IT AS AN ISSUE, IT IS LIKE WHO CUTS HIS HAND TO USE IT IN BEGGING.

I SEE THAT THE PRESIDENT GOES ON TV AND OPENLY POINT OUT THE TRUTH TO THE AMERICAN PUBLIC WHICH IS SMART ENOUGH TO GET THE TRUTH ~~AND~~ BUT DOES NOT HAVE THE TIME TO DIG FOR IT, SO MAKE IT EASIER FOR AMERICANS BY GOING ON TV FOR A PREANNOUNCED HALF HOUR WHERE THE PRESIDENT CAN ADDRESS THE NATION IN AN UNEDITED SPEASH, WARNING THE PUBLIC OF THE RATS OF MEDIA (PRESIDENT EISENHOWER CALLED THEM THIS NAME) IN IT THE PRESIDENT TALK HIS MIND TRUTHFULLY IN THE LIGHT OF THE INTELLIGENCE INFORMATIONS WHICH I AM SURE THE PRESIDENT COLLECTED AND KNEW ABOUT THOSE SPECIAL INTREST GANGS, WHICH ~~THEY~~ THEY DON'T SEE THE INTREST OF AMERICA BUT THE INTREST OF ISRAEL, AND IN THE NAME OF ISRAEL THEY ARE GOING TO USE ANY THING TO ACCOMPLISH THEIR GOALS.

- IT IS AMAZING HOW RECENTLY THEY MADE "MAJIC JONSON" TO RESIGN FROM THE AIDS COMMITTEE AND ENDORSE "CLINTON"
- IT IS AMAZING HOW THEY ARE USING "THE POLLS NUMBERS" TO PROGRAM THE MIND OF THE PUBLIC TO VOTE FOR CLINTON
- IT IS AMAZING HOW THEY EDIT THE NEWS AND THE HISTORY TO SERVE "CLINTON"
- IT IS AMAZING TO SEE MOST OF THE ACTIVE PEOPLE IN "CLINTON" CAMP ARE "JEWISH"
- IT IS AMAZING HOW THE WELL KNOWN MEDIA ELITE ARE JEWISH USING THEIR POSTS TO REALLY FIGHT PRESIDENT BUSH
- IT IS AMAZING TO SEE ~~AND~~ A DRAFT DODGER LIKE "BILL CLINTON" RUNNING FOR THE MOST AND HIGHEST PATRIOTIC POST IN THE NATION WITH ALL "THE RUDENESS OF A PROSTITUTE TO BE A NUN", AND THE MEDIA TRIES TO COVER IT UP.

MR BAKER, DO SOMETHING IT IS REAL THREAT OF LOOSING THE COUNTRY, USE YOUR WORLDWIDE ADMIRER ABILITY AND INTELLIGENCE, LET IT BE LIKE A SHOCK WHEN YOU DO IT STUN THEM, SO THEY DONT KNOW WHAT TO DO.

I RESPECTFULLY PROPOSE THAT YOU BRING INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE "ROSS PEROT" AND HIS BACKERS AND MAKE THEM ANNOUNCE THAT HE IS SUPPORTING PRESIDENT BUSH, I THINK THAT SHOULD HAPPEN IN ANY WAY AND ANY PRICE AND I KNOW YOU CAN. MR BAKER THE TIME HAS COME AND DON'T LET IT BE SET BACK AND ALL WHAT YOU ACCOMPLISHED WORLDWIDE BE GONE GOD BLESS YOU AND BLESS THE PRESIDENT AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SINCERELY

ALI ELHAJJ 9-28-92

ALI ELHAJJ
7333 MONTROSE
DETROIT, MI. 48228



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON D.C. 20500
ATTN. CHIEF OF STAFF
JAMES BAKER III

NRN
memo

Dear Mr. Secretary:

The election of the President will be lost if you do not have him go directly to the American people, via television, and speak to them, man to man! No political message, just some plain facts that have largely overlooked.

If I were planning such a presentation, I would slate fifteen minute talks between six forty five and ~~seven~~ on Sunday evening, broadcast from his home at Kennebunkport. I would present a relaxed president, in a ~~tan~~ smart tan sport jacket, seated ~~beside~~ the fireplace. The idea is to project the idea of warmth and friendliness.

I would have him state, in a quiet, pleasant manner, that he is not speaking to them that evening as a politician, but as a friend and their President, who comes to them to try and explain some things he knows are disturbing them. I would then take them back to the ~~fall~~ collapse of the Berlin wall and the fall of communisim. I would have him admit, that having met and known Michael Gorbachev, and known of his efforts to try and bring the Soviet Union into the modern world, he felt he ~~coulda~~ accomplish the transition. Unfortunately, old habits die hard and when it came ro a showdown, Mr. Gorbachev could not rid himself of his strong Communist beliefs and as a result Boris Yeltsin too over.

Naturally, the end of Communism was joyfully greeted by the entire world but, like everything else, the good was followed by changes that we suddenly discovered had an adverse effect. Military bases in this country, and elsewhere were no longer needed to protect the population, and the military itself, was pared down.

No one worried about that very much as they ~~felt with~~ markets opening in the Soviet Union, would take up the slack. Instead, the many countries conquered by the Communists, now demanded their independence and the Soviet bloc, as we knew it, fell apart.

In some instances it was a good thing but in others it brought on wars among the ethnic groups in the various new countries. The result was, not only was there no trade with these countries but if trading had been possible with them we would have found ourselves heavily in debt as they had no money to pay for it. In fact, in some countries their economy is so unstable it is hard to know who is running the show! The result...cut backs throughout the world.

Germany is at present staggering under the annexation of East Germany and finds itself with an entire population that must be fed, housed, and clothed. Plus the fact a group has resurrected the old Nazis party because they feel the new

comers are taking their jobs!

Israel too is suffering from the influx of refugees coming to them from the former Soviet Union. England and France too are victims of too many refugees pouring into their countries who take it for granted they will be taken in and cared for as they were in the Soviet Union.

By now I think you are getting the idea of why we are in a recession that should have been over with three (?) months ago..

Like other leaders, I felt there would be a time of re-organization in the various countries but little dreamed that fighting in those countries would tear them apart. It reminds me of the recent devastation in Florida and New Orleans when Mother Nature went on a rampage. Now that the storm is past we will have to pick up the pieces and go on but, it will not be easy. New homes will have to be built, new business established..in fact the entire area, as in the Soviet offsprings, ~~they~~ must start at the bottom and start over.

Now..I am not saying business will not pick up but it is not going to happen next week, or next month. We will have to find new ways to fill the slack but whenever the United States suffers a crisis, some new products come on the market,

new inventions are created, and some new ~~discovery~~ is made that changes the picture. These, will help us to emerge from this slump and prepare us for the time when the world puts its house in order and real trading comes again.

I would like to tell you that the government will step in and start creating jobs but in order to do so, taxes will have to be raised to pay for it which will not put us ahead, but behind. We cannot increase the debt of this country any further. What we have to do is make it possible to limit the spending the Congress wants to do. The line item veto would be a tremendous help in that direction as it would allow him to eliminate a lot of the porky items attached to good bills which the taxpayer pays for. That is just one thing we can do, there are others which I hope to speak to you about later.

What I am trying to say is this recession has been prolonged by forces beyond our control but it isn't the end of the world. Prosperity will come once more when people stop being afraid and realize this is a freak thing and will not last forever. Things are already picking but it is hard to convince some-
of that
one out of a job. To them, the world has come to an end, but it is not true. When people stop being afraid and start looking-
the end is in sight.
up instead of down.. I believe in this great country and I

believe in you. Together we cannot lose. Remember the old saying..? When the going gets tough...the tough get going!

Thanks for listening.


(Enter Mrs. Bush)

"It has stopped raining George so we can take Millie for a walk. That is if you are through." she adds

"I'm through." (Turns back to the camera) "In case you don't know that was an order. I may be boss at the White House but at home..she's the boss!"

No...Mr. Secretary..I am not a speech writer, nor at my age am I looking for a job. All I hope to do is give you idea. What you do with it, if anything, is up to you. All I know is that George Bush is not reaching the people and he should. And the key to all of it is...honesty.

Yours very truly,



Jan Bryan Noyes

P.O.Box 106

New Harmony, Ind. 47631

NRN suggestion

Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

Somewhere, I think in the Wall Street Journal, I understood that during his time at Oxford Mr. Clinton was active in the anti-Vietnam movement, "on the streets of London" was the term that stays in my mind. It occurs to me that a very effective way to show a frightening side of Mr. Clinton would be a photograph, particularly if were a moving picture, of him as part of anti-war demonstrations or rallies.

A TV commercial on this theme might be very effective.

Sincerely,

Wilbur Mosier

Wilbur Mosier
5938 Jumilla Ave
Woodland Hills, Ca 91367

NRN suggestion

Mr, JAMES BAKER
WHITE HOUSE

Sep, 29, 1992

Dear Sir:

May I take the liberty to talk about the strategy of your presidential election campaign ? Because I think the situation is still unfavorable to The President, and I can not stand it any more.

Of course, I have been watching the campaign and I know we don't have enough time to go. But I think still you can have the chance to make it turn over to your side, and just for that, you need some extraordinary action, megatonic movement and changes. therefore, May I suggest you something ?

First; Catch the Roving Power, I mean ROSS PEROT. Make him new running mate if possible, any how , He can bring you great advantage in the campaign. you really need him.

Second; In case of failure of the First, think about the asking GEORGE BUSH, to announce giving up the presidential candidate as a shocking treatment. Of course, He must come back exactly one week later, by the force of all the supporters and Republicans. This will shaking up all the voters and will bring you big changes in favorably.

Third; Use all the organizations systematically all over the States. and make small small group of volunteer club, and drive them fiercely to make a boom of STRONG PRESIDENT. Sprinkle maximum of pins, stickers, posters, fliers effectively.

Fourth; Dig out more of weak point of clinton. Make catch praise and use the mascom maximum. Focus all the action to last 10 days of election.

This is a war, and you have no choice, THE WAR MUST BE WIN. GOOD LUCK !

Sincerely yours.

Hong S, Kim
7934 clovertree ct
Richmond Va, 23235



*suggestion
NPN*

**The Honorable James Baker
Advisor to the President of
The United States of America
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D. C.**

September 30, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

First I would like to take this opportunity to state that I strongly support President Bush and his vision of America 100%.

In today's issue of the Houston Chronicle I note that the Senate has voted approval of a \$32 Billion tax bill that includes many items that he has been on record as opposing. This is another attempt to embarrass him. They have included their tax increases knowing that he will not approve it and has pledged not to do so to the country.

I strongly urge the President to take advantage of this opportunity to take the initiative and boldly show the people of America he is decisive and will do what is necessary to set the country back on economic track. Many constitutional experts say the president already has the line item veto, yet no president has ever exercised it. DO IT NOW!!!!

Mr. Clinton and the Democratic party have now gone on record as supporting the line item veto in their platform. Let them now go on record as supporting the line item veto or show their true colors by opposing it. It will also allow the Congress the President is through pussyfooting around and will fight for the country's economic life.

America is at stake, we need a strong President and I believe George Bush is the person to lead us to recovery. Please take advantage of this golden opportunity and advise the President to consider this option.

Sincerely,

James E. McDonald
James E. McDonald

James E. McDonald
10111 Kirkbluff
Houston, Texas 77089-2802



The Honorable James Baker
Advisor to the President of
The United States of America
1600 Pennnsylvania Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20500



The Honorable James Baker
Chief of Staff, the Whitehouse
Washington D.C., 20318

1 October 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

Sir, I am a student at the Army War College, Carlisle Barracks, Pa. I have recently completed research on energy, the environment, and their impact on national security. Part of my research included a review of the President's National Energy Strategy and the latest versions of legislation from the House and Senate.

During the Convention, President Bush hammered Congress' failure to enact legislation supporting this strategy, however, the Strategies' significance to national security seems to have been lost in the campaign "shuffle".


The President's plan is a good one, but it must be communicated effectively to the "average" American to garner support. Submitted for your consideration are the following; oil production will begin decline in 2035, 66% of our air pollution comes from automobiles, world consumption of oil doubles every 15 years, Europe and Japan import 74 and 67 % of their oil requirements respectively, and \$3billion was spent in 1990 treating auto pollutant generated upper respiratory ailments.

In an effort to better convey the energy strategy to the "average" American, clearly defined goals must be established and publicized:

1. Eliminate oil based fuels for ground transportation by 2035.
2. Become the World's leading industrial base for environmental clean up and technical leader for environmental engineering.
3. Use the supercollider effort to develop and begin implementing superconductivity for energy distribution by the year 2000.
4. Develop safe methods to dispose of nuclear waste by 2030 or begin phasing out reactors at that time with a goal of elimination by 2050.
5. Establish the U.S. as the technological leader on the development and implementation of clean/renewable energy sources .
6. Appoint General Schwarzkopf as new Secretary of Energy. His appointment will underscore the President's commitment to the Strategy, strengthen public support for the program, and provide the General an opportunity to shape a strategy that will enhance security while improving the environment (a stated personal goal).

These are inputs from the "trenches" that are meant to help our Country. I hope you take them in the spirit they are submitted. One final note of feedback, I have yet to receive the Presidents Economic plan. I ordered a copy the evening of his nationwide address. Not sure if the distribution process is "broken" but wanted to let you know. My sincerest wishes this finds you in good health.

Submitted with deepest respect and admiration,



Gregory S. Hollister, Lt Col, USAF

*NRB
Casper
sent by
campaign
suggestions*

Gregory S. Hollister
178 W. South Street
Carlisle, Pa. 17013



The Honorable James Baker
Chief of Staff, the Whitehouse
Washington, D.C. 20318



NEN

Marie I. George
75-17 186th St.
Fresh Meadows, NY 11366

Oct. 5, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

I am writing you concerning the persecution of individuals for their religious belief in China. It is shameful that the US will not apply appropriate economic pressure on the Chinese to release imprisoned clergy and to respect the **basic human right of free practice of religion.** **Does American represent a love of liberty and justice for all or just more money for American business?** I hope that you are a person of conscience and not simply a politician and that you will discourage President Bush from repeating past mistakes like vetoing a bill regarding China Most Favored Nation status because it attached conditions calling for respect of basic human rights by the Chinese.

A true American,

Marie I. George



MISS M. GEORGE
75-17 186TH ST.
FRESH MEADOWS, NY 11366



X-RAYED
NAV OBSV

Mr. James Baher
2415 Foxhall Rd.
Wash. DC. 20007

NRN

cy called
to suggest how
to apply for
internships
State Capital
6266

October 2, 1992


Mr. James A. Baker, III
2415 Foxhall Road, NW
Washington, DC 20007

Dear Mr. Baker:

As a fellow Hill alumnus, I am writing in search of summer employment for 1993 in the Washington, DC area. I have worked the last six summers and gained an "A rating" as a golf caddy recently working in the U.S. Senior Open, but I am looking to expand my horizons and gain experience for a future business career. I consider myself well educated from my background at Hill and William & Mary. My specific strengths are an outgoing personality, good communication skills both verbal and written, and a proven record of hard work.

If you have a potential summer position for a college student, I would appreciate the opportunity to meet with you for an interview sometime before year-end. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,



Lawrence N. Blake, Jr.

Lawrence N. Blake, Jr.

C.S. Box 3251
Williamsburg, VA 23186
(804) 221-5931

2277 Main St. Ext.
Bethlehem, PA 18017
(215) 867-5680

Aspiration: Full-time paying summer employment that will yield business experience.
Time: Mid-May thru Mid-August 1993

Academic

- Sophomore -- The College of William & Mary
- The Hill School. 1991 Graduate. (3.1 / (B) Average)
- Probable Major: History and Economics
- Experience in Drawing and Architectural Design

Extracurricular

- At William & Mary - Pi Kappa Alpha Fraternity
- At The Hill School - Chairman of Student Activities Committee
 - Chairman of Reception Committee
 - Member of Athletic Association
 - Prefect of a Fourth Form Hall

Athletics

- At William & Mary: Varsity Letter Winner in Swimming
- At The Hill School

Swimming: Three Year Letterman

1990 - First Team All-America - 200 Medley Relay
1991 - Honorable Mention All-America - 400 Freestyle Relay
1991 - High Points Winner

Water Polo: Three Year Letterman

1990 - Captain
1990 - Selected to Eastern All Star Team
1990 - Member of National Youth "B" Team 1990
1988-89 - Selected to Attend the National Youth Water Polo Camp
U.S. Olympic Training Center - Colorado Springs, Colorado

Work Experience

- 6 Years as a Golf Caddy - "A" Caddy at Saucon Valley Country Club - Bethlehem, PA
- Tour Caddy - U.S. Senior Open - 1992

LAWRENCE N BLAKE JR
2277 MAIN STREET
BETHLEHEM PA 18017



X-RAYED
USSS NAV OBSV

RIC VA 232 10/06/92 PM #12

MR JAMES A BAKER III

2415 FOXHALL ROAD NW

WASHINGTON DC 20007



NRN

Erceel Marshall
Route 2- Box 28
Harlingen, Texas 78550
October 3, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Washington, D.C.
Dear Mr. Baker;

Reg. Campaign

I see you'll still don't have a thing to talk about except bashing - people don't like that. These two sound like juveniles bickering, not leaders of any kind. Even if the President would just roll back that tax law, it would help him and the country a great deal - he can do it by law. How come he doesn't? We can only believe he wanted the tax hike as much as Congress did.

Since you'll can't think of anything to ask or question about Clinton, and things that should be brought out are listed here by Phillip Schlofly - just ask them directly and get his answers. You'll should still call in "The Citizens Against Government Waste" and Heritage Foundation. They have it all down and you want have to raise taxes our cut programs - just cut waste. Why want you'll use their program. It would elect the President - They know the waste and in a day could prove it to you'll. Maybe you'll think your way is better, but believe me, we the people don't.

Mrs. Erceel Marshall

Many more questions from Clinton

At the end of NBC's Meet the Press last Sunday, all the panelists were asked to state a question they would like to ask a presidential candidate. The rest of us can play the game too. Here are some questions I would like to ask Bill Clinton.

Why should we elect a man who has been on the payroll of the taxpayers for all of his adult life? Those whose income comes out of other people's pockets, and can spend money that is not their own, always seem to have a primary urge to increase their own power, pay and perks at any cost to the rest of us in the real world.

Is your vision of America's future based on higher taxes, bigger government, and the elite running our lives? What is your answer to the assertion by one Washington editor that 39 of the 49 specific proposals in your national economic strategy are virtually identical to policies proposed by Michael Dukakis in 1988?

How do you rationalize your own unwillingness to serve in the military with your current support of the assignment of women to military combat?

What kind of a commander-in-chief would you make when your record is that, in 1969 while brave young Americans were dying in Vietnam and you had a draft deferment to study at Oxford, you helped to organize anti-war demonstrations in London and made an expedition to the Soviet Union with a "peace" group?

Do you think that the nickname "slick Willie" is typified by your series of devious responses to questions about your use of marijuana? Why did you tell the MTV audience of impressionable young people that, if you "had it to do over again," you would indeed inhale that mind-altering drug?

Since, in the 12 years you have been

Phyllis Schlafly

governor of Arkansas you have raised taxes every year so that your state's budget and state taxes have doubled, will you continue the same pattern if you are in the White House?

Will Hillary Clinton have a say in selecting federal judges appointed during your administration similar to her role in passing on state judges while you were governor of Arkansas?

How do you reconcile your criticism of Clarence Thomas for having only one year of judicial experience with your short list of Supreme Court nominees — Mario Cuomo, Eleanor Holmes Norton, Marian Wright Edelman, Susan Estrich and Anita Hill — none of whom has any judicial experience?

Do you agree with Hillary's characterization of marriage as a "dependency relationship" for women comparable to "slavery and the Indian reservation system"? Do you agree with her written views that minor children should have the same legal rights as their parents, and therefore be able to sue with the assistance of government lawyers?

What was the real reason why you suddenly backed out, at the last minute, from running for president in 1988?

Since you admitted the authenticity of the Gennifer Flowers' telephone tapes, why do you think the media have not questioned you about your tape-recorded statement telling her to lie if she was ever questioned about you?

Since the big teachers' union, the National Education Association, enthusiastically endorsed you at its 1992 national con-

vention, do you endorse all the radical resolutions passed by the NEA at that same convention, including prohibiting all parents' choice about schools and curriculum, wiping out home schooling unless parents are licensed by NEA-approved regulators, and forcing children to submit to NEA-selected courses on sex and lifestyles?

When exactly did you switch your position on abortion from 1986 (when you told the Arkansas Right to Life, "I am opposed to abortion and to government funding of abortions") to 1992 when you warmly endorsed the Freedom of Choice Act (which would codify Roe vs. Wade, legalize abortion throughout nine months of pregnancy, and prohibit state regulations such as those contained in the Pennsylvania law upheld in the recent Casey decision)?

How much have you received in contributions from the trial lawyers? Do you agree with the fund-raiser sent out by the former president of the American Trial Lawyers Association in your behalf that said, "I happen to know that Bill Clinton is against tort reform of any kind"? Do you agree with the fund-raiser sent out by the president of the Arkansas Trial Lawyers Association which said, "I can never remember an occasion where he (Clinton) failed to do the right thing where we trial lawyers were concerned"?

Why did you select a phrase with strong religious connotations, "New Covenant," to describe your campaign's ideology? Is it a call to a new religion that exalts big government and rejects family values? How does your New Covenant religion interact with Al Gore's new Mother Earth religion to make the environment "the central organizing principle of civilization"?

Dear Mr. Secretary,

2 Oct., 1992 NPN
optical

For two weeks I have been patiently waiting to hear my party, the Republicans, hammer Gov. Clinton on an issue that I'm convinced would destroy his campaign for the White House. Maybe, you're waiting to drop this bombshell during the debates. I hope so! If so, just toss this in the trash.

The issue I'm referring to I have only heard twice, both times on the Rush Limbaugh Radio show. Nowhere else, in any of the print or broadcast media has there been a peep. Today, on Mr. Limbaugh's show a lady called-in to get confirmation of the same issue I had heard two weeks ago. In essence, Rush said there is incontrovertible evidence that Bill Clinton during the Vietnam War, while in England avoiding the draft, demonstrated against the war with protesters like Jane Fonda and Tom Hayden in public demonstrations. The shocking part for me followed, when Rush mentioned that Bill Clinton then took a pilgrimage on the "Freedom Train" to the Soviet Union (at Soviet invitation) to protest American military involvement in Vietnam. That this could have happened during the height of the Cold War, if true, is to the majority of Americans the most

dishonorable act any candidate aspiring to lead as Commander in Chief of our country's armed forces could commit. It would be a traitorous act, explaining very clearly Mr. Clinton's reluctance to explain his draft problems, and also his quote from that period that, "he despised the military." It would also explain why he didn't graduate from the university in England with a degree, because for one-it was only to avoid the draft that he went to Oxford, and second, he was too involved in the protest movement to focus on his studies and graduate as 80% of the rest of his class did.

If this is true, the story should quickly be substantiated and this information disseminated as a major issue. I'm convinced this would finish Mr. Clinton, once the story is out. I believe the major media have this information and are protecting Mr. Clinton on this.

Mr. Clinton will have to explain his actions to the public and answer the question, How, if elected President, he could ever ask American servicemen to go to battle to fight, and possibly die, after his poor example in the 60's? He has no moral credibility to compel anyone to do that. The "read my lips pledge pales in comparison."

With Iran now supplied with nuclear capability

from China, chemical weapons soon, SCUD missiles, and the ability to hit Israel with missiles in three years, what claim will Gov. Clinton have to send military personnel to stop encroachment by Iranians against American oil interests in the Middle East?

A related question would be, What does Mr. Clinton think, being so concerned about the domestic economy, that the price of oil in the U.S. would be if President Bush had not acted positively in foreign policy? Mr. Clinton needs to have it pointed out to him that his narrow focus on domestic policy will destroy it, without a balance on foreign policy; a requirement he doesn't have.

Thank you for reading this. I hope you've already taken these things into consideration.

Mr. Baker, I served in Vietnam with the Army in 1969-70 as a platoon sergeant for a mortar platoon. I had friends killed and wounded. My twin brother was with the Marines in Vietnam at the same time with an artillery unit in "I Corps." My little brother served with the 82nd Airborne, and was prepared to go to Vietnam. My older brother served on a Carrier in the South China Sea, picking up helicopters from the ocean, escaping the final evacuation from Saigon. My Dad served over 30

years in the Air Force, retiring as a Major General who flew a B-52 over Vietnam.

If Mr. Clinton becomes President it will be a slap in my family's face and in all those who served, and especially those who laid down their lives to free the world from Communism.

Please make this clear to the American people.

Thank you and
God bless your efforts,
Dave Temple

William D. Temple
310 Bushoan Rd.
Brunswick, Ga.
31525



Secretary of State James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C.
20500

Law Office of Thomas James O'Grady, P.A.

1388 N.W. 2nd Avenue • Boca Raton, Florida 33432

P.O. Box 1979 • Boca Raton, Florida 33429

Thomas James O'Grady
Attorney at Law

Sanford G. Jacobs
Attorney at Law

Sandra M. Estner
Certified Paralegal

Boca: (407) 368-6070/368-1900

Delray Beach: (407) 368-2757

WPB/Boynton: (407) 833-3202

Broward: (305) 427-4300

Hobe Sound: (407) 546-6922

Stuart: (407) 288-7478

Fax: (407) 750-8062

October 3, 1992

The Honorable George Bush
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500


RE: SMALL BUSINESS/BASIC INDUSTRIES ECONOMIC
RECOVERY AND TAX BENEFIT ACT OF 1992

Dear Mr. President:

Enclosed is a Tax package which Dr. Dolce had asked me to draft. It is my opinion that the proposed Tax Act of 1992, would stimulate the economy, and to be at least, presented to the Congress.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,


Thomas J. O'Grady
Attorney at Law

cc: Vice Pres. Quayle
James Baker, Secretary
Senator Robert Doyle
Chairman of Senate Finance Committee

JOSHEPH R. DOLCE, M.D., F.A.C.S.

25 E. BLUE HERON BOULEVARD
RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA 33404

DIPLOMATE
AMERICAN BOARD OF SURGERY

FELLOW
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

September 30, 1992


The Honorable George Bush
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

RE: TAX PROPOSAL TO STIMULATE THE ECONOMY

Dear Mr. President:


I have asked a Florida Lawyer who is experienced in Tax matters to make a proposal regarding small business investment/tax credits and investment tax credits for basic industries. I believe that even if this proposal is just presented to the Congress and or made public by your Chief of Staff, James Baker, that this would show the American People, categorically, that you are attempting to stimulate the economy, and to get jobs for Americans.

Sincerely,



Dr. Joseph R. Dolce

**The Bush/Quayle
Small Business and
Basic Industries
Tax Benefit Act of 1992**



WHEREAS, the United States of America has been unable to directly compete with German, Japanese and other foreign manufactured merchandise and automobiles, and in the areas of foreign manufactured computers; computer hardware; computer software; basic electronic manufacturing; steel imports; textiles; clothing goods; foreign imports; the following areas of basic manufacturing: industrial manufacturing; and small business are considered to be areas ripe for investment/tax credits, and/or small business tax credits, and because of the basic recessionary economy, the United States will give the above industries basic investment tax credits.

That if the above mentioned small business/industry/basic manufacturing areas create job opportunities for 50-99 employees over the next 12-18 month period, they will therefore qualify for favorable tax treatment such as a reduced capital gains rates, basic investment tax credits, and a additional basic tax credit if they are involved in small business, as well as import/export trade.

Generally, these investment tax credits will vary between \$60,000.00 and \$150,000.00 dollars averaged over a 3 year period.

WHEREAS, the United States of America has been unable to directly compete with German, Japanese and other foreign manufactured merchandise and automobiles, and in the areas of foreign manufactured computers; computer hardware; computer software; basic electronic manufacturing; steel imports; textiles; clothing goods; foreign imports; the following areas of basic manufacturing: industrial manufacturing; and small business are considered to be areas ripe for investment/tax credits, and/or small business tax credits, and because of the basic recessionary economy, the United States will give the above industries basic investment tax credits.

That if the above mentioned small business/industry/basic manufacturing areas create job opportunities for 50-99 employees over the next 12-18 month period, they will therefore qualify for favorable tax treatment such as a reduced capital gains rates, basic investment tax credits, and a additional basic tax credit if they are involved in small business, as well as import/export trade.

Generally, these investment tax credits will vary between \$60,000.00 and \$150,000.00 dollars averaged over a 3 year period.

WHEREAS, because the United States of America believes that it can create favorable economic conditions through the utilization of investment tax credits, reduced capital gain rates, and an additional investment tax credit for export/import and or small business start up cost, at this particular time, the Bush/Quayle administration is proposing the following investment tax credits:

- 1) Basic Manufacturing a/k/a, Big Steel Housing; Basic electronics; automobile manufacturing;
- 2) Small businesses with assets, and or capital of less than \$1,000,000;
- 3) Export/Import small businesses with assets, and/or capital with less than \$1,500,000;
- 4) Basic electronic industry; ship building industry;; lumber industry; automobile manufacturing; and or automobile parts manufacturing; retail and wholesale business related enterprises; home building; real estate development; computer hardware/software; shall be eligible to obtain investment tax credits as set forth below if they attempt, on a good faith basis, to create additional jobs for the american economy, for a minimum small business of 15-39 people, and for a secondary manufacturing or basic manufacturing industry between 49-101 people for a minimum of 12-18 months;

The aforesaid industries will be eligible for investment tax credits in the following amounts:

- (1) All minority owned businesses with capital or assets or \$850,000.00 or less;
- (2) Electronic businesses including: semi conductor, computer hardware/software, telecommunications, and/or basic electronic manufacturing;
- (3) General contractors and real estate developers;
- (4) Any service oriented business that starts-up [incorporated or as a small proprietorship] after January 1, 1993].

PROPOSAL I

VALUE ADDED INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

A start-up credit, or a purchaser of a small business [defined as a business with assets and capital of under \$850,000.00] can get a one-time start up and/or purchasers investment tax credit of 20% of the Section 38 Property [tangible personal property; which is depreciable and a useful life [when placed in service of 3 years or more] or a flat investment Tax credit of \$75,000.00. Whichever is greater.

The "Value-added Investment Tax Credit" only applies to the following eligible businesses:

- 1) Basic Electronics
- 2) Computer Manufacturers (Hardware/Software)
- 3) Automobile Retail & Wholesale
- 4) Automobile Parts Manufacturers
- 5) Steel Fabrications
- 6) Steel/Metal Suppliers
- 7) Health Care Underwriters

PROPOSAL II

SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT

A three (3) year small business investment tax credit [defined as business with total assets and/or capital of under \$850,000.00] can get a three (3) year investment tax credit of \$15,000.00 for year 1; \$12,000.00 for year 2; and \$10,000.00 for year 3; and/or 7.5% of the eligible Chapter 38 Property taken in three (3) equal annual investments, or which ever is greater, i.e., the 7.5% of Chapter 38 Property or \$37,500.00 averaged as set forth above for the first three (3) years of the small business.

The following businesses are eligible for the small business investment Tax Credit:

- (1) All minority owned businesses with capital or assets or \$850,000.00 or less;
- (2) Electronic businesses including: semi conductor, computer hardware/software, telecommunications, and/or basic electronic manufacturing;
- (3) General contractors and real estate developers;
- (4) Any service oriented business that starts-up [incorporated or as a small proprietorship] after January 1, 1993].

PROPOSAL III

EXPORT/IMPORT INVESTMENT FOR CREDIT FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONDUCTING BUSINESS OUTSIDE THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES

Whereas, various small businesses [a business with assets/capital less than one (1) million] are basic manufacturers of steel angels, I-beams, automobile parts, computers, computer parts, other manufacturer goods, sales, and electronics and textile goods and these american manufactured goods are shipped/exported into markets foreign countries and other goods are imported from foreign markets into the United States.

It is also enacted that any qualifying company may apply for export/import status as December 31, 1995.

Any qualifying business will receive an Investment Tax Credit of 10% of the imported or exported goods up to and including \$850,000.00 for the first three (3) years of operation after November 30, 1992, i.e., if a qualifying company ships:

- A) \$640,000 dollars to foreign markets in fiscal year 1993;
- B) \$720,000 dollars to foreign markets to fiscal year 1994; and
- C) \$735,000 dollars to foreign markets in fiscal year 1995 the Investment Tax Credit is:
 - 1. $10\% \times \$640,000 = \$64,000$ for 1993
 - 2. $10\% \times \$720,000 = \$72,000$ for 1994
 - 3. $10\% \times \$735,000 = \$73,500$ for 1995

With a total Investment Tax Credit of:

\$64,000.00
\$72,000.00
<u>\$73,000.00</u>
\$ 209,500.00

for 1992, 1993, and 1994.

PROPOSAL IV

INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT FOR SMALL INVESTORS INVESTING IN SMALL BUSINESSES WITH ASSETS AND CAPITAL LESS THAN 2.0 MILLION DOLLARS

An Investing Tax Credit on a one time per 1993; one time/1994 and one time 1995, basis of \$75,000.00 or 10% of the total fiscal invested over 36 months will be given to small investors who invest in or become Joint Vestors or Partners with small businesses which have assets or capital not in excess of two (2) million dollars.

However, the Investment Tax Credit may not exceed \$48,000 taken out a two (2) year bonus or \$24,000/year.

PROPOSAL V

FOREIGN INVESTOR INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT TO ENCOURAGE FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN UNITED STATES INDUSTRIES

A small business with assets or capital not exceeding one million six hundred thousand dollars who has a foreign investor [i.e., foreign national with provable foreign citizenship] may obtain an investment Tax Credit within the first/three years of doing business by allowing the foreign investor to own between 10% and 49% of the capital, stock or business assets by applying for said Tax Credit when eight (8) months of starting up the business by doing the following:

- (1) Proving up the foreign investors ownership of between 10% and 49%;
- (2) By taking 10% of the Section 38 Property [New or Used] of up to 1.2 million dollars and/or;
- (3) By taking a flat Tax Credit of \$80,000 averaged over the first three years of the business or which ever is greatest of paragraph 1 or paragraph 3;
- (4) No appeals of Internal Revenue Service ???Purings of audits can be made on the application or computation of the foreign Investment Tax Credit once the election is made.

PROPOSAL VI

BASIC MANUFACTURING AND METAL/AUTOMOBILE/BUILDING AND ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT

A one time Tax Credit of \$100,000 or 10% of Capital Goods/Investment Capital a/k/a Section 38 Property (tangible personal property) up to 1.8 million dollars of initial investment.

An existing Steel Manufacturer, Metals Industry Manufacturer or Metals Industry affiliate; Wood Working; Construction Industry Builder or General Contractor may exercise the Tax Credit if they reinvest a minimum of 1.5 million dollars into new capital goods or Section 38 Property and/or if they start up new or ???roatos operations which will create 49 jobs on a full time basis for a minimum of 18 months.

A new construction project or job site may qualify the owner General Contractor or Builder if a minimum of 75 jobs/full-time are created for a 12 month or one calendar year.

An existing Steel Mill Steel Manufacturer or basic Metal Industry affiliated business may qualify for 10% Tax Credit on start-up capital re-invested in the business if 100 full-time jobs are created for a minimal time period of 12 months or one calendar year.

PROPOSAL VII

THE SMALL BUSINESS ELECTION AND CAPITAL GAINS TAXATION OF SMALL BUSINESS ENTITLED IN THE FIRST THREE (3) YEARS OF OPERATIONS

As a general rule a Corporation, Partnership, or Proprietorship which elects to be treated as a small business entity, i.e., Initial start up assets or capital and assets not exceeding 2.5 million dollars will not be subject to taxes on its income in the first two years of operation.

However, after the third year of operation certain long term capital gains may be taxed if the excess of the net long term capital gain over the net short term capital loss exceeds \$36,000.00 and/or 50% of its taxable income exceeds \$75,000.00 then the tax is imposed. This capital gains on small businesses is the lower of:

- (A) the corporate long term capital gains tax on the amount by which the excess of the net long term capital gain over the net short term capital loss of the small business entity exceeds \$75,000.00 or;
- (B) an amount equal to the corporate tax which would be imposed on the corporation if it were not a small business entity in computing taxable income for the purpose, certain deduction such as a deduction for a net operating loss are not allowed.

PROPOSAL VIII

HEALTH INSURANCE/MEDICAL INSURANCE VALUE - ADDED INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT FOR FIRST THREE (3) YEARS

Any Health Insurer/Major Medical Insurer [as established business entity or new small business/corporation] who sells or offers for sale in excess of twenty (20) million dollars of health care insurance [\$100,000/Policy or \$200,000 major policy holders] can obtain an investment tax credit on its' value added annual deductions of multiples of 200 Health Care Policies [\$600 policies at \$100,000/Policy or \$60-Million dollars of policies] can obtain a 7.5% Tax Credit/200 sold policies if the policies are sold at 20% below the market for an annual Health Care Policy. Such as \$100,000 Policy which costs \$1800/year at the market level is sold at \$1400 dollar/Policy 20% below the market level.

The Tax Credit will be for 7.5% of the first \$1000 dollars sold and 5% of the remaining policies sold per fiscal year per major Health Care Provider.

Example, ABC Health Insurance Associated [a basic new corporation] sells 800 new policies at 20% below the market or at \$1275 policy for a \$100,000 Policy with the annual premium appraised at \$1940 dollars is eligible for a 10% investment Tax credit on the appraised value added amount of:

(A) $600 \times \$1940 = \$1,164,000$ Dollars; or
10% (Invest Tax Credit) $\times \$1,164,000 = \$116,400$ Credit

(B) And 5% of the second 600 dollars of the gross value, i.e., or 5% value added of the appraised value for example:

\$600 Dollars valued at \$2400 sold for \$1450, sold below the market price of \$2400 at \$1450 dollars and the appraised value of (\$2,400 Policy $\times$ 600 = \$1,440,000) and $5\% \times \$1,440,000 = \$72,000$ Investment Tax Credit so that ABC Health Insurance Associates is entitled to 2 Investment Tax Credits for the first 600 policies sold at \$1270 or (a) \$116,400 AWEO and, (b) \$72,000 at second \$188,400 Total tax Credit of \$188,400 which can be taken in the first (1) year of operation averaged over years 1, 2, & 3 for 1/3 of the investment tax credit in year No. 1 of operation;

1/3 of the Investment Tax Credit in year No. 2 of operation;

1/3 of the Investment Tax Credit in Year No. 3 of operation.
In no instance can any Investment Tax Credit be taken after the 36 months of operation.

THE SMALL BUSINESS ELECTION AND CAPITAL GAINS TAXATION OF SMALL BUSINESS ENTITLED IN THE FIRST THREE (3) YEARS OF OPERATIONS

As a general rule a Corporation, Partnership, or Proprietorship which elects to be treated as a small business entity, i.e., Initial start up assets or capital and assets not exceeding 2.5 million dollars will not be subject to taxes on its income in the first two years of operation.

However, after the third year of operation certain long term capital gains may be taxed if the excess of the net long term capital gain over the net short term capital lessens exceeds \$36,000.00 and/or 50% of its taxable income exceeds \$75,000.00 then the tax is imposed. This capital gains on small businesses is the lower of:

- (A) the corporate long term capital gains tax on the amount by which the excess of the net long term capital gain over the net short term capital loss of the small business entity exceeds \$75,000.00 or;
- (B) an amount equal to the corporate tax which would be imposed on the corporation if it were not a small business entity in computing taxable income for the purpose, certain deduction such as a deduction for a net operating loss are not allowed.

EDWARD H. STRINGER, JR.

3120 Pine Ridge Rd.
Oklahoma City, OK 73120

NR

October 4, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff
THE WHITE HOUSE
Washington, D.C. 20013

Dear Mr. Baker:

I have an idea for an advertisement :

Do REALLY WANT THESE MEN RUNNING YOUR COUNTRY
AND SPENDING YOUR TAX DOLLARS

MUG SHOT	MUG SHOT	MUG SHOT	MUG SHOT	MUG SHOT
Bill Clinton	Bill Clinton	Bill Clinton	Tom Foley	?????????
Draft Dodger	DRUG USER	PHILANDER	President	HOUSE
			HOUSE BANK	POST OFFICE
			SCANDEL	SCANDEL

An advertisement of this type should show the American people the true character of the people the Democrats would have leading our country.

Sincerely,

Ed Stringer

EDWARD H. STRINGER, JR.

3120 Pine Ridge Rd.

Oklahoma City, OK 73120



Mr. James Baber
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. ~~20013~~



STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA



GEORGE S. MICKELSON
GOVERNOR

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
STATE CAPITOL, 500 EAST CAPITOL
PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA
57501-5070
(605) 773-3212

October 6, 1992

The Honorable George Bush
President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the low and moderate income homeowners and renters in South Dakota, I encourage you to sign the bill extending the Mortgage Revenue Bond (MRB) and the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (Tax Credit) Programs permanently. This bill provides you with an historic opportunity to empower lower income Americans with the chance to buy their first home or to rent a decent, safe and affordable place to live.

By signing the bill which makes these programs permanent, you will end a decade of frustration for the tens of thousands of working Americans whose hopes for decent housing have been disrupted three separate times in eight years, when Congress did not extend the Mortgage Revenue Bond (MRB) program in time to prevent its shutdown in 1983 or shutdowns of both the MRB and Tax Credit programs in 1990 and in June 1992.

I recognize signing this bill involves political choices and risks. But none of the revenue increases it contains raise income taxes. The two provisions in the Senate version of the bill to which you specifically objected have been removed. I understand most of the remaining revenue provisions are among those contained in the House bill, which the administration supported when the House passed it in June.

If you do not sign the bill, the current shutdown of the Tax Credit program, which, until it ended in June, was responsible for almost half of all our nation's apartment building construction and all construction of apartments affordable to lower income families, will persist well into next year.



The Honorable George Bush
Page 2
October 6, 1992

Production of the nearly 1,650 new affordable apartments, which the Tax Credit has financed thus far in South Dakota, will come to an end, along with the almost 1,500 lower-income first-time home buyer mortgages the MRB program makes possible each year in South Dakota. That will not only shut down low-income rental construction and spoil the dreams of lower income people to purchase their first real home, but will also contribute to the continued stagnation of the real estate industry and the economy itself.

As you know, Mr. President, you called for an extension of the MRB and Tax Credit programs in your State of the Union Message and in your budget. They were specifically endorsed in the language of the Republican Platform this summer. Moreover, the bill responds to five of the seven economic growth proposals which you asked Congress to pass last January, including IRA enlargement, capital gains relief (in enterprise zones), alternative minimum tax relief, pension fund provisions, and passive loss changes. The bill includes a desperately needed start on your enterprise zone program. The bill also extends other expired tax provisions which you have supported as good for the economy, including the Industrial Development Bond, Targeted Jobs Tax Credit, Research and Development Tax Credit programs, and health insurance for the self-employed.

Mr. President, I urge you to sign this very important bill in support of first-time home buyers and low-income renters as well as the home building industry and economic efforts in South Dakota. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE S. MICKELSON

GSM:ssh

✓ cc: James A. Baker, III

AA SILVERMAN
2217 SOUTH FIRST ST
MILWAUKIE WI 53207 13AM

**WESTERN
UNION**

MAILGRAM®



1-000750S287 10/13/92 ICS IPMRNCZ CSP WHSB
4144814620 MGMB TDRN MILWAUKIE WI 66 10-13 1100A EST

▶ SECRETARY OF STATE JIM BAKER
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20500

nen

FOR HIGHLY RECOMMENDED READING PLEASE SEE THE WALL STREET JOURNAL OF
MONDAY OCTOBER 12 1992 SECOND COLUMN FROM RIGHT FRONT PAGE HEADED
"OUTLOOK".

AFTER READING SUPPLY THE FACTS TO THE UNINFORMED DEMOCRATS. GOOD LUCK
AND BEST WISHES.

SINCERELY,

A A SILVERMAN, MEMBER #10009132 OF THE 1992 PRESIDENTIAL TRUST &
REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, ALSO #43624501-183 CAMPAIGN NUMBER

10:54 EST

MGMCOMP

TO REPLY BY MAILGRAM MESSAGE. PHONE WESTERN UNION ANYTIME, DAY OR NIGHT:

FOR YOUR LOCAL NUMBER, SEE THE WHITE PAGES

OF YOUR LOCAL TELEPHONE DIRECTORY

OR

DIAL (TOLL-FREE) 800-325-6000



OIL SPILL EATER INTERNATIONAL, CORP.

Central Files NRN

5545 Harvest Hill
Suite 1116
Dallas, Texas 75230214/239-8663
214/239-1264 (FAX)**To:** SECRETARY JAMES BAKER**COMPANY:** UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA**DEPARTMENT:** SECRETARY OF
STATE**PHONE #:** _____**FAX #:** (202)456-2461**FROM:** REAR ADMIRAL
O. A. LIVELY**COMPANY:**
VETERANS FOR GEORGE BUSH**PHONE #:** (214) 239-8663**FAX #:** (214) 239-1264**DATE SENT:** Oct. 13, 1992**TIME SENT:** 2:00 p.m.**TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES**
(INCLUDING TRANSMITTAL PAGE)

2

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

PLEASE RUSH TO MR. BAKER!



REAR ADMIRAL O. A. (GEORGE) LIVELY
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD RESERVE (RET)
October 13, 1992

Secretary James Baker
Chief of Staff
White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Secretary Baker:

You met with me several years ago when you were Secretary of the Treasury, to discuss the location of the Coast Guard. This is another subject - "RE-ELECTION OF THE PRESIDENT"!

President Bush must tell the American people specifically why they should re-elect him. Please recommend he talk about all facets of his 4 years and be specific in all areas such as:


1. Aids Research
2. Education
3. Transportation
4. Energy / Environment
5. Farmers
6. Industrial
7. Transition from Defense to Commercial Enterprise
8. Foreign Policy
9. Family Values
10. Etc., et al.

Mr. Secretary, I recommend the President buy 1/2 hour of prime time television (as Ross Perot did) and with charts, have a "fireside" chat with the American people explaining all of the above.

Personally, pouring money into the large cities to create jobs simply will not work. Until we change the system of paying people to have children (the democrats love welfare) and restrict immigration, there is not enough money to solve the problem! Even if you educate all the illiterate, where are the jobs?

Keep up the good work! Hope you run in 1996!

Sincerely,


O. A. Lively
Rear Admiral USCG

OAL/ajl

SUE CLEMENTE
DEBORAH RUSKAY
109 BRADFORD ST
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

CitizenLetter

CitizenLetter is a service of Working Assets Long Distance.

10-13-92

October 7, 1992

James Baker, Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington DC
20500

NRN

Caron -
Received
980 of
these today

Dear Mr. Baker,

I call upon you to stop the Republican Party's hate-mongering and focus the presidential campaign on the real problems faced by our citizens.

The anti-gay rhetoric at the Republican convention was appalling. In an effort to win in November, your party put Pat Buchanan and Pat Robertson on nationwide TV, knowing full well that they would denounce gays and lesbians. And the Party permitted Vice President Quayle to make only slightly more subtle attacks.

The Republican Party is not some fringe group. It is the party in power. No party that rules America should ever promote the dehumanization of any minority group.

About 10 percent of Americans happen to be gay or lesbian. Gays and lesbians are just as devoted to their loved ones, just as hard-working and law-abiding, as other Americans. For the ruling party to stir up hatred against one group of Americans in an effort to gain favor with other groups is wrong, it is cynical, and it is dangerous.

I urge you to use all the power at your command to halt your party's barrage of fear and hate. Please let me know what you intend to do.

Sincerely,
SUE CLEMENTE
DEBORAH RUSKAY

- 10-14

Carm -

I filed the
Posters in
my office.

B

NRN

October 7, 1992

gay.
alliance

James A. Baker, III
Bush-Quayle Re-election Campaign
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

As a member of the gay, lesbian, and bisexual community, taxpayer, and American citizen, I am deeply disturbed by the anti-gay rhetoric your party has adopted as a campaign strategy. The damage has been done. No amount of backpeddling can redeem you in the minds of those who understand that this country was founded on the principles of religious freedom, separation of church and state, and the right to the pursuit of life, liberty, and happiness for all its citizens.

The Republican Party has created a climate of hostility in which gay and lesbian Americans and their supporters are seen as un-American. Moreover, those who commit violent acts against gay men and lesbians do so without remorse. More than ethically repugnant, you will soon find that this discourse of hate your party has endorsed against the 25 million gay and lesbian Americans is a tactical mistake.

It is high time you acknowledge that the thousand or more gay and lesbian adolescents who kill themselves each year do so out of a sense of hopelessness and despair because your party and other institutions of intolerance have denied them their right to humanity and self-worth. Your Administration's efforts to suppress this information is unconscionable and should be considered a national disgrace. Perhaps you will understand the tragedy of anti-gay bigotry only when one of the gay men and lesbians attacked by those you have inspired with a false sense of patriotism and moral superiority turns out to be among your loved ones.

Here's a poster that, unlike your party's rhetoric, tells the truth about gay people. I urge you to give its message, "Lesbian, Gay, & Bisexual People Belong To Families Just Like Yours!", some serious consideration.

Sincerely,

Sharon Davis

Sharon Davis
1127 N. St. Clair
Pittsburgh, PA 15206

American Airlines
Employees Federal Credit Union

John M. Tippets
President

817-963-6448

October 7, 1992

*Central
Files
NAN
10/14-91
called
left word
Thanking*

Honorable James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Honorable Baker:

For your information I am a strong supporter of President Bush
although with some considerable frustrations.

For example, I supported the 1990 tax bill. I was just upset
that conservative Republicans took themselves out of the process.
I don't think the President should be apologizing for the tax
increases --- Congress, however, should be apologizing for the
spending increases.

I applaud the President's role in the Clean Air Act, his court
appointments and his handling of International Affairs. My
frustrations are with the compromises the President has made with
the N.R.A. on gun control and with extreme right elements of the
Republican party on social issues. For example, I expected a
crime bill with Brady amendment and I think with positive action
on the part of the Administration, a good family leave bill could
have been created. The problem with leaving a policy of that
nature up to individual companies is to put decent companies who
care about their people's personal circumstances at a cost
disadvantage to a more ruthless competitor which has no empathy,
sympathy or concern about employees personal circumstances.

Anyway, I understand all of this is in context of political
gamesmanship but I do have an extremely high frustration with
where this has so often put President Bush. I just wish he could
stake out the moral and principled middle ground and ignore the
flak from right and left, but I guess that's not an option.

The one specific I am writing about today is the A.B.A.'s anti-
credit union position which the Administration has endorsed on
taxation of large credit unions (see attachment).

Our 120,000 member Credit Union does everything it can to not
expose our membership to a taxation issue. In particular, we
remain a pure "common-bond" credit union, open only to sponsor
companies' employees (past and present) and their family members.
Size \$1.4 billion has not changed us from a family style
financial cooperative to a "for profit" bank.


In fact, because few of them make a profit, a large percentage of banks do not pay taxes themselves. Conversely, the way credit unions work and are regulated, almost everyone would be immediately subject to tax payments under such legislation. We do not have the giant fee income, commercial loans or efficiencies of the banks but we generally don't have the losses they often do either.

Credit union members do vote credit union issues and many credit union members are the so-called "blue collar" "Reagan Democrats". It would seem the President would have been, or would be, well served by a strong and positive endorsement of credit unions in general and their tax status in particular. Perhaps he could yet visit a major credit union before election day to do just that!! (Construction Employees Federal Credit Union in Peoria, Illinois would be my recommendation.)

In the meantime, I will not go along with one of my Vice President's (a Democrat) who thinks we should notify our 120,000 members of the difference in the President's and Clinton's views on credit union taxation since I think such a dumb pro A.B.A./anti-credit union proposal would never go anywhere anyway, even if the President is successful in this election.

I do wish you the best and hope the President has the opportunity for four more years. Perhaps without a re-election issue and with a much changed Congress, he could take the high moral and principled middle ground, ignore the shrill voices from all extremes and make a lasting legacy for the country. On that hope, he will have my vote.

Sincerely,



John M. Tippetts
President & CEO

JMT/ccm

cc: Mr. Fred Malek, Chairman
Bush/Quale Re-Election Committee
1030 15th Street N.W.
12th Floor
Washington, DC 20005

On credit union issues

CANDIDATES' VIEWS

Since the presidential campaigns have been narrowed down to two candidates, NAFCU-member credit unions have been asking "where do they stand on credit union issues?" NAFCU contacted both political camps and asked the candidates to respond to the same five questions. Here are the responses NAFCU has received:

President George Bush

'Credit unions are an essential element of our nation's economy.'

What is your opinion of credit unions?

President Bush's answer:

Credit unions are an essential element of our nation's economy. They provide consumers with more choices other than the banking system and savings associations. Furthermore, I appreciate the fact that credit unions are voluntary cooperatives formed by people acting on their own initiative. The fact that there are more than 12,000 credit unions serving more than 60 million Americans is a testament to the strength and importance of credit unions.



President Bush

Do you support the continued tax-exempt status of credit unions?

President Bush's answer: Smaller credit unions should maintain their tax-exempt status. But the issue becomes more difficult for those credit unions that become much larger and behave much more like banks. I believe taxation should be fair for similarly situated institutions whether they are financial institutions or any other company.

Sounds Like Republicans want

(See other side for more)

To TAX AREFCU and its 120,000 members (indirectly)

Governor Bill Clinton

'Credit unions are uniquely positive institutions ...'

What is your opinion of credit unions?

Gov. Clinton's answer:

Because they return their profits to members who are also their owners, credit unions are uniquely positive institutions. The non-profit, consumer-oriented services which they provide are vital to more than 60 million Americans. They must continue to benefit our nation's citizens.



Gov. Clinton

Do you support the continued tax-exempt status of credit unions?

Gov. Clinton's answer: My plan to put people first, which incorporates my economic strategy, does not include changing the tax-exempt status of credit unions.

Would your Administration support the continuation of a regulatory structure for credit unions separate and distinct from that for banks and thrifts?

Gov. Clinton's answer: Again, I don't anticipate any changes at this time. My administration's financial regulatory policy will focus on the implementation of strong and tangible capital standards to protect

(See other side for more)

WE REQUESTED ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS IN ORDER TO MAKE A FAIR REPORT.

SIZE MATTERS TO US WITH THIS!

Bush

Would your Administration support the continuation of a regulatory structure for credit unions separate and distinct from that for banks and thrifts?

President Bush's answer: Yes. Credit unions have a different structure than savings associations or banks. This will ensure more accountability in protecting the interests of credit union members.

Are you now or have you ever been a credit union member? If so, which?

President Bush's answer: I have a savings account at the White House credit union.

What message would you like to deliver to America's more than 60 million credit union members?

President Bush's answer: Credit union members are people saving for a new house, their children's college education, and retirement. They and others who save part of their hard-earned incomes are the backbone of America. I have a responsibility to see that credit unions are subject to sound regulation, but not the kind that makes businesses unprofitable. As long as I am in the White House, the credit union industry will have a friend in the Oval Office.

Some Friend. He wants
to TAP 200 + credit
unions over 100 million in
assets

Clinton

depositors, to ensure safe and sound lending practices, and to promote a profitable financial services industry.

Are you now or have you ever been a credit union member? If so, of which one(s)?

Gov. Clinton's answer: Though I'm not a member of a credit union, I've supported credit unions throughout my tenure as governor. I've regularly issued proclamations on their behalf, and frequently attended credit union meetings myself. As governor, I helped credit unions get authority to issue second mortgages, and also helped improve the relationship between regulators and the credit unions in Arkansas. A top member of my staff has always handled credit union concerns.

What message would you like to deliver to America's more than 60 million credit union members?

Gov. Clinton's answer: A Clinton Administration will put people first for a change. We will put America to work by rebuilding our country and revitalizing our cities. We will transform education by better preparing small children, by improving our schools, by training high school graduates, and by offering every American the chance to borrow money for college and to serve our nation. And we will provide quality, affordable health care for every American. Instead of merely talking about values, we will protect the values that credit union members--like all Americans--hold sacred: opportunity, responsibility, and community.

To: Hon. Jim Baker


Fax: 1 202 458 2461

Ref: Create jobs , concrete plan

Voters do not hear collective promises. You are advised to start a plan for made-in-USA manufacturing 20% of needed shoes, TVs, VCRs, cameras, textile, and wood. Give 800 # for Input.

Our law obstructs shoe manufacturing, soon will not be allowed to refine oil, and we are not allowed to export rice to Japan.

Highest regards & good luck for USA


Dr. R. A. Zeineh
10.12.92



AAB (Advanced American Biotechnology), 1020 South Raymond Avenue, Suite A, Fullerton
CA 92631, USA. Phone (714) 870-7857, (800) 927-2290, Fax: 1714 870-6385, Tlx:682430