

Originally Processed With FOIA(s):
2000-0715-F

FOIA Number:
2000-0715-F

FOIA MARKER

**This is not a textual record. This is used as an
administrative marker by the George Bush Presidential
Library Staff.**

Record Group/Collection: George H.W. Bush Presidential Records
Collection/Office of Origin: Chief of Staff, White House Office of
Series: Baker, James A., III, Files
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files

OA/ID Number: 93007
Folder ID Number: 93007-001

Folder Title:
[Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [1]

Stack:	Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:
G	0	0	0	0

October 1, 1992

JAB:

- Invitation for you to be keynote speaker for **Armand Hammer's United World College**, Saturday, Nov.14 in Santa Fe, NM on the occasion of their 10th anniversary.
- Approximately 200 attendees.
- Topic of speech: open
- Armand Hammer's United World College is one of 7 colleges around the world. Colleges offer the International Baccalaureate Degree Program for a 2yr program. Regular community service and wilderness training are a main requirement for the program. Not more than one-quarter of any college's students may come from the nation in which the college exists. These colleges are free to the students.
- The College would like to get an answer as soon as possible. They already have a back-up speaker lined up.

Accept

 Regret

Discuss



Thanks,

bmm

10/5: Told Judy Webster - she will notify group and let us know...

10/6: Judy called → she regretted to J.B. Aulet
FILE CLOSED. NO further ACTION 

6477405

9/29/92

at Karen

Cheryl changed
their date to Nov. 14
and they want
TAB no matter where
he is as well
with the electric
Mr. Out is a
big CB and TAB
you.
I miss you and
there. I see your face
back in CB parking!
Judy Drake

July 13, 1992

9215052

The Honorable James A. Baker
Secretary of State
The Department of State
2201 C Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20520

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Nov. 14th
President Theodore D. Lockwood of the Armand Hammer United World College in Montezuma, New Mexico (on the outskirts of Las Vegas, New Mexico) has asked me to invite you to be the principal speaker at dinner on Saturday evening, ~~October 24, 1992~~. The dinner will take place at the Quail Run Resort in Santa Fe, New Mexico. Its occasion is the tenth anniversary of the college's founding. We expect roughly 200 people, or 100 couples. You would be speaking only two weeks before election day, in your own Southwest, in the nation's oldest capitol city, at the invitation of a uniquely international school. The choice of subject would, of course, be yours. There will, in any case, be an eager audience to hear your thoughts, experiences, predictions. The occasion is not a fundraiser, for if we charge at all we would charge only enough to ensure that we lose nothing. It is an occasion for us simply to show our neighbors our merits, and to give them the great opportunity to hear you.

The Armand Hammer United World College of the American West is named for its principal benefactor, who was asked by England's Prince Charles, Chairman of the International Council of the United World Colleges to undertake the heavy responsibility of funding the then new college in America. It is one of seven United World Colleges spread around the globe. In addition to New Mexico, there are World Colleges in Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada; in Duino, Italy; in Swaziland, South Africa; in Singapore; in Wales, U.K., the mother college of the rest; and in Barinas, Venezuela. An eighth is to open later this year in Hong Kong, and a ninth is in planning for Norway. Each of the colleges has some 200 students (100 in each grade, men and women), except for Atlantic College in Wales which has 300. All of the colleges offer the International Baccalaureate Degree Program for 12th and 13th grade students, by wide consent the most demanding pre-university education anywhere. Although each of the colleges is independent, responsible for conduct of all its own affairs, including finances, and has its own Board of Trustees, all colleges participate together in, and are committed to: the curriculum; a requirement of regular community service throughout each student's two-year stay; and required wilderness training, exploration, and rescue.

The colleges have all agreed that not more than one-quarter of any college's students may come from the nation in which that college sits. The International

J. B. Ault and Company, Fund Raising Counsel
c/o St. Philip's Episcopal Church
Via U.S. Mail: P.O. Box 65840, Tucson, AZ, 85728-5840
Via Courier Services: 4440 N. Campbell Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85718
Telephone: 602-299-6421
Fax: c/o Mail Boxes, Etc., Fax # 602-323-9216, Tel. # 602-323-3535

Board of the United World Colleges helps the colleges to coordinate their activities, and makes sure that each college lives up to its shared joint commitments with the others. An international office in London coordinates recruitment in seventy-five nations, and placement of students. The students themselves are top quality. In addition to their considerable academic accomplishments, they are selected for what appear to be innate qualities of leadership. This selection, of course, partakes more of art and experience than science. It seems to be working, and has the effect also of ensuring an interesting and highly motivated student body.

There is a steady schedule of lectures, discussions, meetings on international affairs, and a good bit of attention paid to the study of conflict among nations, broadly, and its resolutions both actual and potential. We have reason to believe that our emphasis on service, courage, self-reliance, and international cooperation is producing youth who will, in fact, lead lives of international service and leadership.

A final feature of the World Colleges is that they are substantially free to students. Eighty-five percent of the students in Montezuma, New Mexico, require financial aid, most of it at the high end of the scale. I should note that all the World Colleges follow the British and European practice of schooling children for thirteen years, and in bachelor's degree programs in universities for three, while the United States has its own system of twelve years in schools, and four in universities. Thus, while the two years offered by the United World Colleges outside of the United States are the last two years of secondary school in those nations, in the United States they are the last year of secondary school paired with the first university year. That causes no real problem, but is, rather, in our opinion, an advantage: a thirteenth year still in the close community of a small secondary school instead of the general disjointedness and confusion of our American freshman year.

In as small a nutshell as I can make, that's the story of a great, albeit young, school, with seven campuses, aimed at persuading the inner man to understand, serve and lead the outer world to larger life.

Please forgive the length of this letter. Ted Lockwood and I very much hope you will wish to join us, and speak to us on our tenth birthday -- Saturday, October 24, 1992. It would be a great honor for us. Some of those who come from Texas will surely be your friends. Louisa Sarofim, from Houston, is on our Board, and Mike McLanahan knows us well, and is a valued advisor. I hope Lupe Murchison, and Marion Chambers, and Leland and Evaline Thompson will come. They will all be considerably more likely to do so, of course, if you are the principal speaker.

With every best and hopeful wish, and in continued admiration of your extraordinary work for America and the world, I am,

Sincerely and gratefully yours,

J. B. Ault

enc.: Some History

cc: Mrs. Alexander Ellis

Mr. Alexander K. McLanahan

Some History

The United World Colleges were the last creation of the gifted Austrian educator, Kurt Hahn, whose first was the Salem School which he founded in Baden, Bavaria in the early 1920's. While it was and remains a widely admired school, Hahn had spoken so strongly against the Nazis that he was forced to flee Germany when they came to power in the early '30s. He went directly to Scotland where friends bought him a large and beautiful property near Inverness. There he founded the Gordonstoun School, of which he remained the headmaster until almost the end of his life. It was to be the perfect location for the further development of his ideas about the methods of helping children over the barrier between adolescence and maturity. Realizing that that transformation usually comes at ages 17-20 years, Hahn concluded that organized competitive team sports were not the only route to the character development on which maturity depends. In fact he found evidence in his new location to suggest that the experience of nature directly, in danger and in the cooperative response it seems to demand, offers a more profound, more memorable experience. Along with the old emphases on team sport, he offered wilderness adventure, exploration, training for action, and rescue on the sea or in the mountains.

By the time World War II came, several classes of Gordonstoun boys had had useful work in sea rescue. As the number of British deaths by drowning rose during the early struggle with German U boats, Hahn was asked to develop a drowning survival course for Britain's naval and merchant seamen. The course was an instant success, partly because it taught youthful seamen, who didn't already know, how to swim. Deaths by drowning dropped dramatically, and led Hahn eventually to a new idea: a several week course in wilderness and sea survival which he planned to make available to all the nation's youth after the war. This program, dubbed Outward Bound, began soon after the conclusion of World War II, and was a notable success both in Britain and the United States.

As the '50s wore on, Hahn decided on a last educational effort: Atlantic College is located in St. Donat's ancient castle near Cardiff in Wales, which was purchased for Atlantic College by the same Antonin Besse who had purchased the Gordonstoun property for Hahn more than twenty years earlier. Hahn sought the brightest and most promising boys, whose families lacked the resources private schools require, and enrolled the best of them for the last two of the secondary school years, in Atlantic College. An extraordinarily demanding curriculum to make up for time lost elsewhere lies at the center of the United World College experience. With it are two unusual requirements: (1) for required work throughout the two years in Community Service; and (2) for required wilderness training and sea or mountain rescue. It offers adventure, risk, daring -- the thrill of shared danger and struggle. And, most important of

all, it led the boys to self-knowledge, self-discipline, courage, confidence, and cooperative action under stress. All three together, in those two critical years made the boys ready and eager to take on the heavy responsibilities of mature life and leadership.

Within a half dozen years of the founding of Atlantic College (1962), Lord Louis Mountbatten, one of three Allied Supreme Commanders in World War II, the last Viceroy of India, and the man who steered it to negotiated independence, had heard of Atlantic College, and visited it. He proposed to its officers and trustees that the college was too good to remain an institution of the Welsh coast only; that what it offered was what much of the rest of the world wanted and needed. From then until his assassination, Earl Mountbatten of Burma, as he came to be, was the guiding spirit behind the metamorphosis which bound Atlantic College in Wales into a confederation with six other like-minded colleges known together as the United World Colleges. Their number still grows.

The colleges are all independent with their own corporations and boards, voluntary participants in a carefully constructed plan of education in the last two years of secondary school. There is an International Board for the movement which helps in recruitment, future planning, and cooperative action among the colleges. There is an International Council which seeks out possibilities for new colleges, and seeks in general to promote the whole movement. Britain's Prince Charles succeeded his great uncle Lord Mountbatten as president of this Council, a position he holds today -- and there are now, in total, some 10,000 alumni.

It is, in our competitive, troubled world, a great story of principles studied, evolved, fought for, and held to, at its roots the visionary Austrian teacher, Hahn, and the charismatic English "warrior prince", Mountbatten.⁽¹⁾

(1) So characterized in the jacket description of Philip Ziegler's Mountbatten. (Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1985)

JAMES McDONALD ASSOCIATES

2650 Mission Street
San Marino CA 91108

AKN

Telephone 818 799 6620 Telex 6714973 Fax 818 799 6208

October 7 92

The Honorable James A. Baker III
The White House
Washington DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker: Re: Clinton's problem with the truth

As you know, there is an unfolding story about Clinton's big involvement in anti-war protests in 1969/70 and perhaps beyond.

Clinton keep denying stories and is later forced to make some admissions. In short, he is being revealed as a real liar. I feel that your campaign should dwell on his ability to lie to cover up. This is some attribute for a president!

I feel that the public will not be too sympathetic about his being an anti-war protester. But the public should be most unsympathetic about having a liar as their president.

As a strategy, questions re his anti-war activities should be directed to Clinton. He will try to lie his way out of the situation and then he can be trapped by the facts.

His Moscow visit of 40 days should be the basis of a lot of questions. He got a visa to go when Ross Perot was denied a visa to get a plane load of supplies to our prisoners of war in Hanoi. That should tell us something.

Respectfully,

James McDonald

JAD-07A

NRN
called

203/434-2849

WINTERSMOON
OLD LYME
CONNECTICUT

September 25^{*} 1992

Secretary Baker.
Chief of Staff.
The White House -
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary - At last - this morning on the CBS. Radio Network at 6:40 on the Osgood File - Charles Osgood expressed what has been my opinion for some time. How can you blame George Bush for the State of our Economy - in fact - World Economy. It is all due to forces greater than one man can control! Three years in the White House and at the helm of our economy can not bear the responsibility for all its troubles. If you can get a review of Charles Osgood's file please do because it should be said more often and it should be stressed. What is more someone should point out to the American public that it is not just George Bush. but it means Jim Baker and all the rest of our outstanding team of men.

Personally I have great apprehension about the night of Iran and I think

it very wise to have this administration
continue as the helm - where you -

Secretary Baker will be working for us.

A man of whom it has been said: When
you leave a negotiation with Secretary
Baker, you better count all your fingers
to be sure you have 10!!

Please do get Charles Osgood's files
for 6:40 Friday morning the 25th of Sept.

I sincerely wish you all good luck!

Elizabeth Moore -

Mrs. Cleveland C. Moore -

NRN

suggestions

146 Mountainside Road
Mendham, NJ 07945-1717
Sept. 29, 1992

Mr. James A Baker, 3d., Chief of President's Staff
White House
Washington, DC, 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

It appears that Bush's chances of being re-elected would be better if Baker is nominated to be Vice President. This action would stimulate the whole country, since Baker is known as a very capable individual, in that he usually accomplishes what he sets out to do. In fact, he would be a better President than Bush.

The President should then announce that, if they were elected, Baker would continue to be Chief of Staff as well as being the Vice-President. All Vice-Presidents should have to qualify to be the President's Chief of Staff. This would eliminate the need of preparing him to be acting President, if the sitting President became incapable of functioning.

This arrangement would permit Bush to be the Chairman of the board and take care of the international problems and contacts (his great love), and allow Baker to be CEO, to accomplish the mundane economical problems, which is his forte.

Bush is always proposing plans that he feels will work in the future. Meanwhile he is ignoring his great powers as President. As soon as possible, he should request Baker to work with Congress, as he promised he would do at the start of his first term, to formulate a compromised 1992 Budget, rather than continuing conjecturing about improving the future.

Unless Baker utilizes the remaining time, efficiently, before election day, the President will not be re-elected. These suggestions are more important now that Perot is reviving his campaign. Clinton put it nicely, when he remarked that the President is trying to persuade the citizens that he can save the economy in the 11th hour of the 12th year.

MORE SMOKE WITHOUT FIRE. HOW ABOUT SOME FIRE!

Will Hewitt

Will Hewitt
201-543-4944

146 Mountainside Road
Mendham, NJ 07945-1717
Sept. 29, 1992

Mr. James A Baker, 3d., Chief of President's Staff
White House
Washington, DC, 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

Perot's hiring of petition signers, at \$10.00 an hour, to complete his registration on the remaining country's ballots, indicates his strong desire to become the U.S. President. This is also confirmed by his book "United We Stand". Unless he presents an appreciable effort on his part, his backers will lose interest in him. His purpose in quitting, provided the necessary time to assemble his ideas in his book regarding the necessary steps required to improve the economy, and to concentrate his publicity program in a shortened whirlwind like campaign.

He appreciates that the citizens may not provide sufficient votes for him to win, since they will feel that his program to improve the economy initially will be catastrophic for an appreciable time, before improvement results. Despite this, Perot may still obtain sufficient votes to prevent the election of either Bush or Clinton. If the 1993 House is Democratic, then the latter will be elected. *This may be what Perot desires to orchestrate.*

If this occurs, there would be a strong possibility that Perot could become the Vice-President. If he is sincere in improving the U.S. economy he may accept this high office, even though it does not fit his personality. This position, however, would temper his impatience, since he could not contribute to the economy improvement, without the President's permission.

However, if he is humble enough to accept this office without this permission, he could learn how the government functions, by the use of its checks and balances, and how the President must persuade and cajole Congress, or compromise with them. This four year American Government course would permit him to intelligently comment and discuss the actions of the President and Congress, and prepare him for running for President, next time around. *This is how President Bush failed!*

Perot1

Will Hewitt
Will Hewitt
201-543-4944

NRA

266 Asharoken Avenue
Northport, NY 11768
October 5, 1992

JAMES BAKER
White House
Washington, DC 20500

Re: Campaign Strategy
Taxes & the Economy

Dear Mr Baker:

By the way, good news: As I was delivering 100 pro-life new voter registrations to the election board, they said they were overwhelmed - never had so many new voter registrations. Something is happening, I'm not sure what, but a neighboring church has a new citizenship committee that registered 300 new pro-family pro-life voters.

Regarding the tax issue, a new opportunity: Even some liberal media reporters noted that the bill which passed yesterday, entangling inner-city and business relief with a series of small tax increases, was designed by the Democratic leadership to force the President to break his new no tax pledge.


It is HARD BALL TIME! The President should not only veto the bill with a vigorous explanation to the voters that this is the way the Democratic leadership in Congress plays politics with every issue: They tie up "my good legislation" with their pet projects, such as raising taxes. "That's what they did in 1990."

He would then retell how the Democratic leadership promised reduce the deficit by holding down spending, which the President wanted, if the President went along with their 1990 tax increase. The President kept his part of the bargain, but they broke their promise, spending increased, the deficit grew, and the tax increase has made the recession worse and the deficit worse. Of course the President will not repeat the mistake.

Expect the media to ignore the President's statement, until ...

... The President calls Congress back into special session to strip the taxes from the bill he wants. The Democrats think they have put the President in a no-win situation. Turn the tables. The furor will get attention, and everyone will see exactly who to blame for tax increases. They can't hide, they can't run, and they can't win, especially if they try to override the veto.


Yours truly,



Andrew L. Carlson
516-261-6661

GE Aircraft Engines
1000 Western Ave., Lynn, MA 01910

RAPIFAX COVER SHEET



DATE:

TO: Chief of Staff Baker.

FAX #: 202-456-2461

PHONE: 456-1444

LOCATION: White House

COVER LETTER PLUS PAGES

FROM: R. ULBRICHT

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please Deliver / ASAP

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, OR TRANSMISSION IS NOT CLEAR, PLEASE ADVISE THE FOLLOWING:

SENDER: Richard ULBRICHT

FAX #: _____

PHONE: 617-594-8471

79 F Southwind Drive
Wallingford, Connecticut
06492
OFFICE PHONE 617-894-8471

Dear Chief Of Staff Baker;

It could be that the original text concepts on the Rebuilding of Industry and the Economy in this nation and on a World Basis that I sent to the former Chief Of Staff back in January. Could well be the exact text and format that the the President needs to regain the lead in the polls with the voting public.


There has to be an answer as to why the public has not responded to what political experts have detailed out for the President to present to the public. They could be 180 degrees off target. I say that I'm right on target and with your help to take off the rough edges. I'll bet you that we could pick up a 26% jump in popularity. I feel that the majority of the public is just waiting for him to say the correct words and present the corrective programs. It would be a wise decision to do this before Clinton or Perot jumps on this theme.

It's going to take dedicated effort and creative thinking to turn the polls around. yet I say that it can be done.

If you feel that I could be of some aide feel free to contact me.

Sincerely

Richard E. Ulbricht





J. Chester Vandermade
100 Bluff View Dr., Apt. 302 B
Belleair Bluffs, Florida 34640

neg.
campaign

Oct 1st, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker:

President Bush is
making a very bad
mistake by continuing
to hound Gov Clinton on
his draft status. Many
of my staunch Republican
friends are so upset
about it they are going
to vote for Clinton.
They want issues discussed.

Sincerely,
J.C. Vandermade

NR

Jay Legrold
7 Parkview Dr.
Eagle Grove, Ia. 50533
Sept. 23, 1992

Special

James Baker, Chm.
Republican Campaign Com.
White House
Washington, D.C. 20097

Dear Mr. Baker:

As a man who has voted Republican for almost 6 decades and a party active for 40 years, I am very frustrated by the lack of addressing the real issues in this campaign.

Enclosed is a copy of a "letter to the editor" submitted by me to the Des Moines, Ia. Register Sept. 22. It alludes to some of the issues that should be addressed.

On the investment credit issue, I feel that 10% is too high. It encourages uneconomic investments. I think 3-5% is high enough.

I have been promoting the indexing of capital gains ~~for years~~ for inflation for years. Pres. Bush should direct the IRS to do it now. It should be illegal to tax "income" that was really not received.

Also is a copy of a statement on abortion written 6-22-92. People are 2 to 1 against Pres. Bush's views. All he should say is that he is against federal financing of abortion. And then shut up. Most women are already mad enough at him on this issue.

The Republican Party will be in deep trouble for years if it allows Pat Robertson run the show, as it now appears.

Sincerely,
Jay Legrold

Jay Legvold
7 Parkview Dr.
Eagle Grove, Ia. 50533
Sept. 22, 1992
Ph. 515-448-3044

Letters
The Des Moines Register
PO Box 957
Des Moines, Ia. 50304

WHY THE RECESSION!

In addition to the recent military cut-backs, we have been "killing the goose that lays the golden eggs". Also, Congress has been promoting a welfare type economy rather than personal responsibility.

Here are some of the specific causes:

1. The long-term capital gain tax was eliminated by Congress in the mid 80s. If a person makes a 5% profit on a capital investment when we have 5% inflation, he has actually made nothing, but he has to pay ~~income~~ tax on the so-called 5% profit. So the incentive to risk investment capital, which creates jobs, is greatly diminished or lost.

2. Investment credit was also eliminated in the mid 80s. More business retrenchment. Lost jobs.
3. Interest rates have been maintained at a high level, until recently, by the Federal Reserve Board in order to curb inflation. We always have economic stagnation associated with high interest rates.

Inflation is caused by our legislated budget deficit policies which have created a "consumer-demand" economy, rather than promoting a "supply-side" - market - economy.

We told the Soviet Union for many years to adopt a market economy. At the same time our congress has:

1. Made federal grants for uneconomic business ventures, or made unnecessary subsidies.
2. Handed out welfare payments without requiring anything productive in return.
3. Allowed unions through forceful means to demand more than "market" pay. This

"excess pay-high cost" policy has caused lost markets, lost jobs and a higher cost of living for everyone. Especially damaging to lower income people.

Our standard of living, nationally, depends on our per capita production of goods and services, not the number of dollars floating around.

Clearly, congress is the major cause for the recession. Not the president.

Jay Lovvold

Jay Legvold
7 Parkview Dr.
Eagle Grove, IA 505
June 22, 1992 50533

*Copy for James Baker
Chief, Republican Campaign*

Subject: Abortion; Woman's Choice.

These are some comments that I have not heard or read others make regarding the subject of abortion.

Most of the pressure to deny the right to an abortion comes from religious groups. So let's look at the religious aspects.

According to Christian scripture, "As a person thinks, so is s/he". So, to follow that philosophy, if a woman has an unwanted pregnancy and wishes that that pregnancy would automatically terminate, she has had an abortion already in the eyes of the Lord.

Therefore, a religious philosophy of denying a woman the right to terminate an unwanted pregnancy is merely emotional dogma. The Lord gave us brains as well as emotional feelings. He expects us to use each properly.

Furthermore, a terminated fertilized egg can be replaced in another month if the woman wishes. What's the big fuss? This is a democracy.

Now to some practical aspects. If my mother had unintentionally become pregnant at age 14 with me as the potential child, and there was a law which denied her a chance to terminate that pregnancy, I would have been very angry.

Why couldn't I wait until my mother was mentally, physically, emotionally, financially and socially capable of giving a child the proper care? Our society has far too many financial and social problems already without asking for more.

A promiscuous life-style is another matter and should be dealt with separately.

If it was found that two aspirin together with a cup of coffee the next morning would terminate a possible unwanted pregnancy, it is certain that no religious dogma or governmental law against such use would have any effect.

A pill has been developed, and in use, in other countries, that can terminate early pregnancies. But groups within the United States have been able to prevent that pill from being used here. Where is our common sense? Lord help us!

Many women fail to terminate unwanted pregnancies immediately because of the religious or political atmosphere that prevails. Then when they become really frustrated during the second or third trimester, they have an abortion anyway. It is these late-term abortions that the anti-abortionists love to publicize. In reality these people are the ones responsible for a big share of the late-term abortions. It would be far better to create an atmosphere that was not hostile toward the early termination of unwanted pregnancies.

The philosophy of denying a woman the right to terminate an early-term or a mentally/physically deformed pregnancy is based on misguided dogma - not Christian principles. It is evil and inhumane. It would show no compassion for the welfare of unwanted children, of mothers or of our society.

Although an egg has no rights other than that which a woman wishes to give it, it would be well to limit the time she has to terminate an unwanted pregnancy.

May true wisdom (not politics or emotion) be the basis for any determinations on this subject of abortion.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Jay Legvold". The signature is fluid and elegant, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

BRKLYN NY 112

10:30 10/01/92

19
USA



MR. JAMES A. BAKER, ^{III}

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

D.C. 20500

Ellis Island

Centennial 1992

© USPS 1992

169 COLUMBIA HEIGHTS, BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11201

DEAR MR. BAKER:

30 SEPT. 1992

Debates

FOUR debates on FOUR

successive Sundays? Have a
heart! Have pity on the
public. Two "debates" at most
are enough — and after midnight.

MAJOR 02

F. Warren Smith

CHRISTOPHER M. BAKER
304 HOOD AVENUE
SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13208

*Campaign
suggestion*

NRN

James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

In the interest of seeing President Bush re-elected, I have a suggestion for a possible campaign commercial.

Take a still photograph of Governor Clinton looking un-presidential, say a shot of him playing the saxophone, and for the audio, play a snippet of the Beatles' "Taxman." It wouldn't have to be lengthy; fifteen seconds would be effective. The fiscally conservative baby boomers would love it.

I am offering this idea free of charge. If you decide to use it, the best recompense for me would be a Bush victory.

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Baker

L. ANTONY FISHER
19 SHAWNEE TRAIL
MONTVILLE, NEW JERSEY 07045

*John
Pappat*

NRN

September 29, 1992

Mr. James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

Your staff probably saw this recent Op-Ed page article in The New York Times. As a graduate of Phillips Academy, Andover ten years after President Bush, I had hoped that he would bring some of the 'Non-Sibi' principles he learned there to bear on government policy. However, I have not seen much evidence of such an approach. Indeed, he seems to revel in confronting Congress with vetoes of legislation - some of which I agree are spendthrift pork barrel proposals. But so are his bonus proposals for farmers and defense contracts, which have irked our allies. However, we can't afford to support inefficient farming policies any more than European governments can, and arms sales should be curtailed so that the ability to wage war is curtailed.

Why wait for Congress to cut their staff before the White House staff is reduced? Call their bluff. We really don't need any more government office buildings and bureaucracy - let's make do with less, as American and global industry is. After all, you are accountable to the American people.

Viewing reports of the Republican convention from abroad, I was dismayed to read that statements of "Christian values" had led to raised clenched fists! Christ entreated his believers to turn the other cheek and to walk the second mile. What about open arms, hugs and kind words rather than fists.

Let's not forget some principles of predecessor Republican Presidents enshrined in South Dakota. Theodore Roosevelt said that a principle behind his antitrust and conservationist measures was: "It is better for Government to help a poor man make a living for his family than to help a rich man make more profit for his company". And Abraham Lincoln's second inaugural address included the words "with malice toward none, with charity for all..." I think these principles, so successful in electing Republican Presidents in the past are still pertinent in today's more complicated world.

Respectfully yours,

L. Antony Fisher

Barbara and Me

By Susan McLane

CONCORD, N.H.

Barbara Bush and I have a lot in common. We have both been married for 44 years. We each have five children and 12 grandchildren. We look somewhat alike, with white hair, ample hips and wrinkles from the sun and smiling. Sometimes I, too, wear three stands of pearls.

We both left college to marry similar men: New Englanders by birth, Ivy League graduates. Both signed up to serve in World War II the day they turned 18. George Bush was shot down over the Pacific and rescued by a submarine. My husband, Malcolm, flew 73 missions in his P-47 before being shot down over Germany and taken prisoner.

Our parallel lives continued. We moved away from our parents. Our husbands worked and we stayed at home washing diapers, watching Lit-

tle League games, getting everyone packed for trips to family compounds.

Malcolm and George both plunged into politics. Malcolm became Mayor of Concord and then ran, unsuccessfully, for Governor. George made it to the House and then ran, unsuccessfully, for the Senate. Barbara and I licked stamps and arranged our children into camera-ready campaign tableaux (with nobody crying).

In 1978, I finally met George and Barbara Bush. He was running for President against Ronald Reagan. As a moderate Republican, I was all for him. I threw a party for him and he spent the night at our house.

That summer, it was Barbara's turn to stay with us. How easily we settled into conversation. The advance men had lost her luggage on the way to our lakeside house, and I remember her amazement when she fit into one of my bathing suits.

Paradoxically, it was after we met that our paths began to diverge. George Bush became Ronald Reagan's Vice President. Malcolm campaigned for John Anderson. Barbara Bush remained the dutiful wife. I, inspired by my daughters and the

League of Women Voters, became a feminist and a legislator.

Today, I am a state senator and a grandmother. Barbara has stayed with the more traditional wife's role. If my husband were President, I probably would have done the same thing. Being First Lady is a full-time job.

But, more important, we have come to differ in our beliefs. When I look at the way the President is handling important issues, especially abortion, I wish that Barbara had a bit more of Eleanor Roosevelt in her.

Judging from the actions of his Council on Competitiveness and his embrace of the religious right, George Bush no longer speaks the language of moderate Republicans. It all makes me want to get my hair done, put on my new red wool suit and pearls and go down to the White House and tell him a thing or two.

Polls show a growing gender gap between President Bush and Bill Clinton. Other women seem to have the same impression I do: George Bush, despite being the perfect grandfather, just doesn't get it. I hate to tell my soul mate Barbara, but I'm voting for Bill Clinton in November. □

Susan McLane, a Republican, is a New Hampshire State Senator.



NRN

FAX TRANSMISSION

DATE: 10/7/92

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:

Mr. James BalserFROM: John RensTOTAL PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 2MESSAGES: MT. Bush suggested I Fax this to you.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS PLEASE CALL THE SENDER AT

(314) 227-7900

FAX # _____


Dear Sir:

I feel that the concept of an 'Office of the Presidency' where-in the President would concentrate on international affairs, Mr. Baker (or someone else equally qualified) domestic issues, and Vice President Quayle would function in his regular capacity, would make a great deal of difference in the election.

An ever increasing number of people believe that there is too much for one man to handle in todays complex internationally involved and dependent economy. The same is true of domestic problems. Large corporations need two to three top executives to function in an optimum manner. The difference in the above structuring and the traditional staff/cabinet method would be in the deligated responsibility/authority level of executive team members. And it would have to be real and believable. Of course the President would have ultimate responsibility and command in all matters.

I honestly believe that, while President Bush is beyond question the best man for the job, it might take something like this to polarize the voters in sufficient numbers for a victory.

Sincerely,



John Reno.

The Blanton-Peale Counseling Centers
Administrative Office: 888 East 19th Street
Brooklyn, New York 11230
718 253-9001

NRW

gay
alliance

Blanton- Peale

William R. Nye, Ph. D.
Executive Director

M. Roy Kremberg, M.D.
Consulting Psychiatrist

September 29, 1992

Centers

Brooklyn 718 253-9001
Bay Ridge
Brooklyn Heights
Fort Greene
Park Slope
Spring Creek

Queens
Douglaston 718 225-7867
Forset Hills 718 268-2481

Nassau
Manhasset 516 627-4676
Lynbrook 516 593-7631

Staten Island
Interfaith Counseling Center
718 442-7270


Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I want you to know that in the circles I travel - psychological, religious, Democratic, Republican, business, foundation, or just plain folks - I hear expression of disgust at the targeting of gay and lesbian people by speakers at the Republican National Convention.

It leaves me wondering how if a sitting President cannot better control his own party's re-nominating convention, he can control anything. If on the other hand, you and he were in control, you represent a very old and fortunately fading concept of what it means to be educated men.

Sincerely yours,



Rev. William R. Nye, Ph.D.

Yale College 1962

CC:
Rich Bond, RNC



gay
alliance

Women's International League for Peace and Freedom

Margaret Mead Chapter • Jennie Baer • Islewood A-14 • Deerfield Beach, FL 33442 • (305) 427-9145

PRESIDENT
Ray Stall

VICE-PRESIDENTS
Claire Hornstein
Ruth Morse

SECRETARY
Jennie Baer
Evelyn Lewis

NATIONAL PRESIDENT
Anne Ivey

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Jane Midgley

VICE-PRESIDENTS
Jean Gore
Ardelle Hough
Sadie Hughley
Anne Florant

UNITED NATIONS
REPRESENTATIVE
Ruth Sillman

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
EMERITA
Mildred Scott Olmsted

Oct. 2, 1992

Secretary Jim Baker
White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear SEcretary Baker:

We urge you to halt the barrage of fear and hate against gays and lesbians, and to stick to the issues in this campaign which are health care, jobs, women's rights etc.

Sincerely,

MARGARET MEAD CHAPTER WILPF

Jennie Baer
Jennie Baer, Secretary

IPMWH1 WSH

1-002889K283 10/09/92

ICS IPMBNGZ CSP

2023326710 FRB TDRN WASHINGTON DC 31 10-09 1244P EST9

PMS HONORABLE JAMES A BAKER III CHIEF OF STAFF, DLR

, DLR IMMY, DLR

WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON DC 20500

MILLIONS OF PEOPLE DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT THE LINE ITEM VETO IS.
PLEASE EXPLAIN.

THE USSR IN 1969 WAS NOT OPEN TO CASUAL TOURISTS.

WILLIAM JENNER

1884 COLUMBIA ROAD NORTHWEST

WASHINGTON DC 20009

1244 EST

IPMWH1 WSH

NRN

P2:12

JOHN N. WEBER

NRN

Dear Mr. Baker,

The story was the Bush Campaign was finally going to come up with some good commercials. Well, good or bad, one can only say very few are being aired here in Atlanta despite the fact the election is only one month away. Has Bush given up on the state of Georgia?

On the other hand, every local station is being saturated with Clinton commercials, some of which are quite effective although substantially fabricated.

Sincerely, John Norton Weber

Incidentally, in Campaigning
why Can't Bush stop being the
"scold" (which so detracts from his image)
& deliver the same message in a
Confident, strong & convincing Presidential
manner. Clinton, from his lower
position of authority, is so much
more in command of his words.

John N. Weber
300 Johnson Ferry Rd.
A1013-15



Atlanta, Ga. 30328

Mr. James A. Baker
Bush Presidential Campaign
The White House
Washington, D.C.

IPMWH1 WSH
1-007223A282 10/09/92
ICS IPMRNOC RNO
18154 RENO NV 10-08 1954P PDT
ICS IPMWHDS

18154 RENO NV 10-08 1947P PDT
1-004705S282 10/08/92
ICS IPMRNCZ CSP
4126435882 FRB TDRN INDUSTRY PA 42 10-08 0941P EST
ICS IPMMOZZ
JIM BAKER
REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE
WASHINGTON DC

THE FOLLOWING IS A COPY OF MY MESSAGE TODAY OCTOBER 8 TO PRESIDENT
GEORGE BUSH

STEADY AS SHE GOES, SKIPPER. RIDE OUT THEIR RUDE TURBULENCE, AND LET
THEIR WAKE FOLLOW THEIR DREAMS, TO THEIR DISMAL DEPTHS.

REVEREND FRANK R CHURCHILL JR
178 PARADISE RD
INDUSTRY PA 15052

2141 EST

NRW

92 OCT 9 47:24



Special

5323 W. Henderson St.

Chicago, Ill. 60641.

Sept. 27, 1992.

Hon. James Baker #11,
The White House,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Baker:

Mr. Bush is looking for votes from the people, whom he does not care and that is the middle class, he was always interested in the elite and foreign countries, not United States.

Family Values are fine but, we need jobs
and what about the Debt? Mr. Bush has
forgotten Native Americans, (Refugees and Illegals
come first) homeless and unemployed.

Stop immigration of low skilled workers
and at the present time we do not need
700,000 new immigrants when our own
people need help. Speaking of Immigration
laws I find them unfair, it seems as
though, two races have the preference.

II.

The President's grandson spoke at the National Republican Convention last month and at the end of his speech said "Viva", that was in poor taste, this is United States and not Mexico

I have written to the President but, no answer, I do not need him but, he needs my vote.

With best wishes, I am

Yours truly,

Sophia Michson.

Since you are running ^{The Bush} Campaigns - Oct 4-92

Dear Mr. Secretary -

I have been a Republican all my life.
I am an Easterner. Born & educated in
Connecticut. My brothers at Dartmouth and
Cornell. All 3 us Republicans.

President Bush speaks of Family Values.

Aren't the Citizens of U.S.A. family? No!!

He travels all over Europe & Asia giving away
our taxes. Which should be spent here. He
has not properly addressed our roads & e-
conomy - while taking care of ^{others} ~~ourselves~~.

You and Pres. Bush don't understand.

These people are hungry & losing jobs &
homes. You tell them to go out & buy cars
more homes and appliances. How?

Corporations & companies have moved out of
our country to 3rd world countries to es-
cape U.S.A. taxes. Read "America, what
went wrong" by Barlett and Steele.

The Resolution Trust & F.D.I.C. are a
disgrace in Colorado. There are quite a
few Presidents of banks who are not ^{who}
mocking because of merged banks. Best &

gets the job? Depart next Steve Clark
or those studying real-estate, who
have not completed their courses. I
know. I have one in my family. Yes
with 30 yrs of banking experience and
past president of a bank.

No one at age 58 wants to take job
training for a whole new profession.
Would you? The Resolution Trust &
F.D.I.C. don't even interview those with
experience. Why?

Please have Pres. Bush ^{to} stop blaming
Congress for everything. He's to blame too.
I don't like the slicks on the other
candidates either. "Be ye kind" etc

There are 6 votes in our family, that
you are going to lose. We are some of many.
Shame - Shame

Yours very truly,

A. S. Hornard

P.S. No doubt your many cohorts will not
permit you to read this. But at least I
have written and care.

A. S. Howard
5829 S. Datura
Southview Apts. #227
Littleton, Colorado 80120



MLDOR C7

To
Office of State Secretary James Baker
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. White House
20500

Personal
please

DEBATE:

HAVE PROOF THAT V.P. AND PRES BUSH DIDNOT KNOW OF SALES TO IRAQ.

EXPLAIN THAT PRIVATE BUSINESS MUST DETERMINE LEAVES FOR HEALTH CARE. LET BUSH SPEAK TO BUSINESS THAT THEY MUST. NOT Govt

FORGET THE DRAFT — A DEAD HORSE.

JOBS — CORPORATE SUCESS HAS BEEN BUILT ON THE BACKS OF THE FACTORY WORKER. NOW CORPORATE GREED IS ALLOWED TO BRING FROM THEIR FACTORIES ABROAD WITH NO IMPORT TARIFF — PARTS FOR OUR MANUFACTURED GOODS. THIS IS AN INJUSTICE. 4000,000 PERSONS WITH NO JOBS FUTURE WILL BE THE CAUSE OF CLINTON TRIUMPH. THE WORKING MAN WANTS A JOB AND SOME RETIREMENT PLAN FOR HIS LATE YEARS. UNTIL CORPOATE U. S. FACES UP TO THE RESPONSIBILITY TO THE UNEMPLOYED OUR ECONOMY WILL CONTINUE TO BE SICK.

TAX CREDITS , LOW HOME LOANS, UNEMPLOYMENT EXTRA CHECKS ARE USELESS. WE NEED JOBS. WHERE ARE THE CORPOATE LEADERS AS A GROUP TO ANSWER THESE NEEDS. BUSH MUST CALL THESE HEADS TOGETHER , IMMEDIATELY — ARE WE THE NATION TO FAIL TO LET THE OTHER PARTY TAKE OVER? THE VOTER IS SAYING CHANGE! VOTE DEMOCRAT.

INSTEAD OF DEBATES THERE SHOULD BE A SHOW OF LEADERSHIP BY THE PRESIDENT ABOUT JOBS. GOVERNMENT JOB TRAINING PROGRAMS ALWAYS FAIL TRIAN FOR WHAT WHEN THERE IS NO JOB. I.E THREE THOUSAND APPLYING FOR SEVEN HUNDRED JOBS AT AN ATLANTA NEW HOTEL. IT IS A FACT THAT CLINTON WILL WIN BECAUSE OF THE UNEMPLOYED VOTE.

K. C. Rowan —
NO I cant type
I can vote

*
Mrs Robert Rowan
180 Clifton Dr
Athens GA 30606



MLR #067



REA DEBATES

MR JAMES BAKER

THE WHITE HOUSE

1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE

WASHINGTON

DC 20006

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
01. Letter	From: John Money to James Baker Re: Displeasure on election-year attacks [Redaction of personal information] (1 pp.)	10/05/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [1]

Date Closed:	3/12/2001	OA/ID Number:	93007-001
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0328-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
THE JOHNS HOPKINS HOSPITAL

PSYCHOHORMONAL RESEARCH UNIT

Gay Alliance

JOHN MONEY, Ph.D.
Director, Psychohormonal Research Unit
Professor of Medical Psychology and
Professor of Pediatrics, Emeritus

REPLY TO: Suite LL20 Old Town Office Center
1235 E. Monument Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
Phone: 301/955-3740
New Phone #: 410/955-3740
New ZIP code: 21202-5327

5 October, 1992

NRN

James Baker
Chief of Staff
White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker:

I am writing to express my outrage and dismay at the use of election-year attacks on gays and lesbians to bolster support with the fundamentalist and radical portions of the Republican party. It is truly hypocritical for the Bush/Quayle re-election campaign to be so vociferously disparaging of gays and lesbians

I truly feel that such divisive tactics as employed by you and the President will prove to be prime examples of an intolerance and ignorance that only serve to highlight the shortcomings and inadequacies of the present administration's four years in office.

Sincerely,

John Money
John Money, Ph.D.

JM/ww

cc: Mr. Rich Bond
Republican National Committee



SKALNY BASKET COMPANY

1050 Wheel Street • P.O. Box 1123 • Springfield, Ohio 45501
(513) 324-0385

NRN
cj called
to thank
10/10/92

October 9, 1992

Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Penn. Ave.
Washington D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

I am a small businessman, a registered Republican and vitally interested in the current election. I am also very discouraged by the way it seems to be going.

I feel a strong need to express my opinion.

In the late 1970's the number one concern on everyone's mind was communism and nuclear war. Even President Carter said it was his daughter Amy's number one concern.

I do not understand why President Bush is not actively and loudly campaigning on the marvelous things that have happened during the Reagan-Bush years. After all, that was the mission given to them by the people of the USA.

The accomplishments are outstanding:

1. Defeat of USSR and Warsaw pact.
2. Re-unification of Germany.
3. Tearing down of the Berlin Wall.
4. Down-sizing forces in Europe.
5. Stability in Panama.
6. Removal of Daniel Ortega.
7. Near peace in the Middle East.
8. Restoration of the U.S. as a true military superpower.

I feel the mission given was mission accomplished.

I wish President Bush would run on those accomplishments rather than reacting to the challenger.

It is unfortunate that we are still in the grips of a stubborn media-induced recession, but we could put the same effort into the economy that we did in defeating communism.

As far as Mr. Clinton goes, Sam Walton (Wal-Mart) probably had more to do with economic prosperity in Arkansas than Clinton did.



SKALNY BASKET COMPANY

1050 Wheel Street • P.O. Box 1123 • Springfield, Ohio 45501

(513) 324-0385

513/390-1965

It should not be forgotten that the misery index does not exist anymore and interest rates are at an all time low. This does more to help home buyers than any government program.

I feel Mr. Bush should stay away from the capital gains tax cut unless it could be explained in terms of the family farm or home ownership. Most people do not understand it.

I feel Mr. Bush should instead offer a 10% investment tax credit for any capitol equipment made or assembled in the U.S.

I think he should restore IRA's as a way to induce saving.

I think you should use the existing Medicare frame work to offer a reasonable health plan that any individual or business could buy into. No pre existing conditions etc. Basically an affordable safety net for anyone who cannot find other suitable coverage elsewhere.


Please stay away from Clinton's draft record, trips to Moscow and Hillary. Take the high road. Let others do the dirty work if needed.

Our company imports extensively from China. I have traveled in China. I am convinced that loss of most favored nation status would be devastating to my company, my 35 employees and my many friends in China.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank you for your excellent service to our country. You are a great man and a great American.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



John D. Hart
President

JDH/jw

P.S. I am glad I live in a country where the most they have to worry about is how to spell potato.



SKALNY®

BASKET COMPANY

P.O. Box 1123
Springfield, Ohio 45501

Mr. James Baker
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C. 20500

NRN

MEMO

TO: Mr. James Baker (EYES ONLY)
White House Chief of Staff

FROM: Frank Pressly
(803) 224-7916

RE: STRATEGIC TAX GIVEBACKS

DATE: October 10, 1992 7:00 a.m.

On the subject of strategic tax givebacks, the President may be best served if he were to announce a government funded pay raise for all registered educators. What single better step to improve the quality of the shapers of our youth?


HOW?

MAKE EDUCATION, OR EDUCATORS MORE PRECISELY, A TAX FREE OCCUPATION...

- 1 - It is a fact that teachers have the greatest influence on our youth
- 2 - It is a fact they are notoriously underpaid
- 3 - This segment of the tax base represents the smallest tax revenue loss per amount of direct influence on our youths future
- 4 - It will lift the role of the educator to an enviable position and attract the best for the future

Another strategic means by which the future can be addressed. The IRS may fear a trend and actually there should be as the government becomes more efficient and self-sufficient. Let us begin with the people who shape our future. THIS will be the YEAR OF THE EDUCATOR because I assure you they would never forget it.

If polls are to be believed the youth of America have picked the President to continue next term. I'm sure educators had a strong bearing on that.



TELEFAX MESSAGE

KEN ANDERSON FILMS
BOX 618, WINONA LAKE, IN 46590 USA
FAX NUMBER 219/267-5876

NRN

TO: JAMES BAKER

FROM: MARGARET MAUZY/LANE ANDERSON

DATE: 10/9/92

THINGS THAT TROUBLE US ABOUT THIS ELECTION CAMPAIGN.

1. What is the current status on the security clearance issue? Is it true that Clinton under the present circumstances, does not qualify for top security clearance? Does this not rule him out as the Chief Executive Officer of this country?
2. Were students in general travelling to Russia for tourism? I thought it was very difficult to get a visa to the Soviet Union during the VietNam War unless you were a sympathizer. This is an important issue for veterans. Have you asked Boris Yeltsin for the KGB file on Clinton? It is inevitable that he was approached by the KGB while he was in Moscow.
3. Figure out a comeback for Clinton's dodging questions he doesn't want to answer with the statement, "I feel so sorry for the President, that he is so desperate that he makes statements like that." Something like, "See, he won't deal with the issues." Then go on to explain that Clinton uses that statement everytime he is confronted with an issue about his character. This one ploy by the Democrats has been very effective and damaging to Bush. Clinton built a whole case against Bush before the campaign ever got started that Bush wouldn't deal with the issues, would run a very dirty, desperate race. Bush has to find a way to turn this ploy Clinton uses all the time around to his advantage. Reagan did it with his, "There you go again," statement.
4. Get more press out of the Donahue/Clinton/Gore program. As soon as Donahue pressed Clinton for answers, Clinton turned it back against Donahue, without ever answering the question. The press has let him do this during the whole campaign.
5. Emphasize that Bush has stayed consistent on the issues.
6. What about the children's poll on CNN last night. It has picked the president in every poll since it began. It came in Bush in a landslide! The children know what's best for their future.
7. Clinton can't have it both ways on his Rhodes scholarship. A person who prides himself in facts and figures at the drop of a hat, can't claim lack of memory during his trip to the Soviet Union. A trip to the Soviet Union for a Rhodes Scholar, at that time in history, could not become a hazy memory.

NO REPLY NECESSARY, WE ARE JUST VERY CONCERNED THAT GEORGE BUSH BE RE-ELECTED! VERY FRUSTRATED WITH THE WAY THE PRESS IS PRESENTING THE ISSUES OF THIS CAMPAIGN.

E. L. Howe

2320 S. W. 79TH COURT, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33155 / PHONE: (305) 264-2249

5 Oct 92

Mr. Clayton Yeutter
Domestic Affairs
The White House

NON

Dear Clayton,

It is beyond belief that our aviator-President would willingly permit, on his own watch, the degradation of the Naval Aviation corps in which he himself had served with distinction, locking away even as a 20-year-old his first cardinal certificate of eligibility to become a future Commander-in-Chief.

Yet the process of that degradation -- demolition may yet become the more accurate term -- is already well advanced. The Tailhook furor has become a sustained, unjust and despicable defamation of a Naval Air component drawn from America's finest youth, superbly trained and disciplined to fulfill its historic role as cutting edge of American foreign policy.

Undeniably conceived and launched by mortal enemies of the Administration as a personal and political embarrassment to the President, it has been sustained as successfully alienating one of the President's core electoral constituencies.

FOR MORE THAN A YEAR NOW I have proposed to all hands the Jake Reisert legend as a perfect antidote and palliative for fallout from the Tailhook scandal. Now I offer the following scenario:

Suppose Dick Cheney, after scanning for the first time the massive Reisert file, recommends award of the Medal of Honor. Suppose campaign officials immediately schedule a Presidential presentation ceremony on Sunday, Nov. 1, on the flight deck of a front-line aircraft carrier AT SAN DIEGO, with fullest possible press coverage bringing multiple benefits beyond enumeration.

Given confirmation of such a schedule preferably by Sept. 17, we can arrange to have on that deck Reisert family members, four survivors of the six squadron-mates who owe their lives to Jake Reisert, plus assorted Belleau Wood and Cabot Shipmates. A SMASHING CAMPAIGN CLIMAX, YES?

Sincerely,

E. L. Howe

Copies to: Dick Cheney, Jeb Bush, James Baker, BW and Cabot Shipmates, interested Senators and Representatives.

21 Aug 92

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: The President
The Secretary of Defense

FROM: E. L. Howe, LT, USNR (Ret.), member of Belleau
Wood (CVL-24) Associates and Tailhook Association

SUBJECT: Appeal of recent denial by Navy Department of, and
demand for due process in, reconsideration of Jacob
M. Reisert for posthumous award of Medal of Honor

ENCLOSURES: 1. Letter dated 15 Aug 92 to LT Howe from Barbara
Pope, Asst. SecNav for Manpower & Reserve Affairs
2. Letter dated 29 July 92 to LT Howe from F. J.
Herron, CAPT USN, Asst. Vice Chief Naval Opns.
3. Letter dated 18 Aug 92 to LT Howe from Daniel R.
Heimbach, Ph. D., Deputy Asst. SecNav, Manpower
4. Chapter Outline, Reisert biography "Man Enough"
5. Letter dated 30 July 92 to SecDef from David C.
Richardson, VADM, USN (Ret.)
6. Memorandum dated 23 Sept 92 entitled "Tailhook:
A National Calamity" addressed by LT Howe to
families and friends of U. S. Naval aviators

This appeal is directed to the Commander-in-Chief as next higher in the command chain than the Secretary of Defense, in whose name the petition of Belleau Wood Association, Honorable Frank Lautenberg, Honorable Ray McGrath, M. C., and others renominating LT Reisert for Medal of Honor was denied (Enclosure 1). The Secretary of Defense is named as requested respondent to our claim that due process was not fulfilled in the latest round of deliberations concerning this award. Evidence in support of the latter claim will be enumerated hereinafter.

NOTE: DRAFTING OF THIS FORMAL APPEAL WAS INTERRUPTED BY
HURRICANE ANDREW. IT WILL BE COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED
AFTER APPROVAL BY MEMBERSHIP OF SPONSORING ORGANIZATIONS
INCLUDING BELLEAU WOOD (CVL-24) AND CABOT (CVL-28)
ASSOCIATIONS OF SHIPMATES. -- ELM, 10/1/92

(Mr. Yutter has a fairly complete file
on all these matters over the past 2 years -)
- ELM -

E. L. Howe

2320 S W 79TH COURT, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33155 / PHONE: (305) 264-2249

3 Oct 92

General Robert T. Herres, Chairman
Presidential Commission on the Assignment of
Women in the Armed Forces
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 275N
Washington DC 20004-2505

Dear General Herres:

Rear Admiral C. A. Hill, Jr., USN Retired, whom I met for the first time late last July, suggests that the Commission may find my memorandum entitled "Tailhook: A National Calamity" (addressed as an open letter to families and friends of U. S. Naval aviators) germane to its present deliberations. At his request I submit these musings direct to you and will be honored if you find them useful.

Expanding upon my credentials capsulized on the initial page of this Tailhook memorandum, I enclose a resume on file since the 1970s at the Heritage Foundation and at the American Security Council. I am also a charter member of the Republican National Committee's new Campaign Council. Tracing a common thread through my five strenuous decades in four divergent professional pursuits, I am content to be regarded as a chronicler and curator of American legends that MUST not be discarded -- first, in my 200-odd magazine articles and two hardcover books during my tour of duty as "Prophet of the New West" at the OLD Denver Post; more recently as biographer of Cal Farley and, presently, of LT Jake Reisert, USNR, Medal of Honor nominee at Okinawa. (Chapter outlines attached.) My sea duty in WWII spanned five months of intensive air combat claiming fatalities of nearly 35% of the original complement of our Air Group, a tour terminated by the Okinawa typhoon during which our ship, twice almost sunk, sustained damage requiring stateside repair.

For brevity in introducing my comments regarding topics under present scrutiny, let me say that after studying carefully for the first time yesterday Admiral Hill's letter to the Commission dated 18 Sept 92, I endorse without qualification every point in "Mark" Hill's argument -- most emphatically his insistence on COMBAT READINESS as the rock-hard criterion which distinguishes an authentic Navy from the taxpayer-supported yacht clubs which pass for navies in certain other Western nations. That said, I can limit these supplementary remarks to expanding a bit on the political components of this debate, then record my own sorrow over presently threatened erosion of the country-before-self motivation that has flavored and exalted America's citizen-military since earliest days of the Republic.

In the Tailhook uproar, my analysis contends, ardent feminists oopportunistically linked their newly-acquired political muscle with that of anti-military liberals in Congress and the media, overriding sound custom and scorning orderly advancement to preempt new status in the military services. Mannerly the feminists ain't; bloodthirsty's a better word: when two more admirals were cashiered a few days ago for laggard pursuit of a patently impossible Tailhook investigation, Big Mama Schroeder exulted to a newsman, "Two admirals in one day. It's a big day."

Quite predictably, that alliance will prove short-sighted for women who were willing to earn their way through merit alongside their male colleagues in a military career. High on the agenda of their new allies for decades past has been reduction of our national defense budgets in favor of new social programs and concurrent deemphasis of the soldierly tradition at all points. (Rep. Schroeder, for example, voted against use of force against Iraq, against continued production of the B-2 Stealth bomber and numerous other weapons, and since 1988 has scored a perfect 100% on the leftist Americans for Democratic Action's voting index.)

In their contrived and cynical Tailhook scam, in their insistence upon special privileges totally forbidden to males in uniform and disruptive of the most basic command structure, even in their visibly economic motivation for entering the service, feminists have thus far disqualified themselves as guardians of military traditions intertwined around the very core of the American psyche. From press reports of the survey delivered to the Commission September 10, I can only deduce that most female Army personnel want the privilege of volunteering for combat service as a path to promotion though few would so volunteer, demand reduced physical qualification standards but in majority would likely quit the service if compelled to serve in infantry, artillery and other untidy combat units wherein men may be required to serve.

What of our armed forces' loftiest traditions of self-sacrificing heroism which have inspired and ennobled American youth through our history? A particularly vivid harbinger of the grim fate awaiting such traditions in a unisex military occurred only a few weeks ago in the remarkable case of LT Jake Reisert, USNR, a Navy torpedo plane pilot who at the cost of his own life parachuted his two crewmen safely to a rescue submarine off Okinawa in one of the most dramatic rescues of the Pacific war.

Shipmates learned full details only ten weeks later: LT Reisert had flown his crippled plane for two hours, successfully concealing the fact that his own left hip had been shot away and his right calf pierced by shrapnel, injuries that had proved fatal before the submariners could pull him out of the sea. His nomination for Medal of Honor was promptly and emphatically endorsed at all levels through Fleet Admiral Nimitz as CinCPac.


Arbitrarily and without explanation, Pentagon reviewers not yet in possession of all the facts reduced his award to Navy Cross. Even after submission of massive new evidence, meticulously researched, that decision was reaffirmed in 1951. Over the years Reisert shipmates continued to decry a precedent that permits faceless Pentagon paper-pushers and a passerby SecNav to countermand, for bureaucratic convenience, the judgment of a Fleet Admiral as to the proper award for a heroic exploit.

In 1988, with broad congressional backing, Jake Reisert's former shipmates in the Belleau Wood (CVL-24) Association of Shipmates, joined later by the Cabot (CVL-28) Shipmates, sponsored a "full-court press" to obtain the Reisert Medal of Honor for the sake of the widow, the daughter Jake never saw, and his three grandchildren. Elaborate sworn statements containing substantial new evidence were presented and congressional sponsors obtained. Four survivors of the SIX squadron-mates finally determined to owe their lives to the courage and superb flying skill of Jake Reisert each volunteered to come to Washington at their own expense and testify in favor of the renomination. In numerous costly trips to Washington, all bases were touched.


The present Assistant SecNav for Manpower and Reserve Affairs was unimpressed, being visibly preoccupied with expressing her "outrage" over Tailhooker atrocities. She chose Republican Convention week, when almost every advocate was safely out of town, to dismiss the Reisert renomination curtly, arbitrarily, sans explanation of any kind, parroting almost exactly the words of the SecNav's rejection in 1951.

An appeal to President Bush as Commander-in-Chief is being prepared.

Respectfully submitted:


E. L. Howe LT USNR Retired, File # 328157

TAILHOOK: A NATIONAL CALAMITY



USS America (CV-66)

PH3 Brian Mortimer—CV-66

Naval Aviation is the axle around which all other Forces revolve.

Senator John Warner, Pensacola, FL, 10 May 1991

TAILHOOK: A NATIONAL CALAMITY

Reflections by E. L. Howe, circulated at the recent Republican convention, since revised and updated.



Signe Wilkinson
11-7892 PMA
SIGN WILKINSON NEWS

Signe Wilkinson, Philadelphia Daily News

Sample Editorials:

USA TODAY

Navy's Tailhook goons

On another subject, **USA TODAY** says that some Navy pilots need their wings clipped.

From:

MIAMI HERALD

Officers but no gentlemen

NAVY FLIERS' GROSS SEXISM

on Lt. Paula
for col-

E. L. Howe
2320 SW 79th Court
Miami FL 33155
Ph. (305) 264-2249

15 Sept 92

TO PARENTS, WIVES, LOVED ONES, AND ALL OTHER ADMIRERS OF
U. S. NAVAL AVIATORS AS THE WORLD'S BEST:

NOW, HEAR THIS --

Right now, I'd guess, you're apprehensive lest the U. S. military services which won the Cold War and the Gulf War may possibly acquire a commander-in-chief who dodged the draft.

You have other, more immediate reason for worry -- real alarm -- not only for the survival of your aspiring heroes but also for Naval Air itself as a well-honed cutting edge of American foreign policy and prestige.

IN THE TEN MIDSUMMER WEEKS OF 1992 MORE DAMAGE HAS BEEN DONE TO THE OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF NAVAL AIR THAN WAS INFLICTED BY ENEMIES IN ALL U. S. WARS SINCE PEARL HARBOR. AND STILL MORE DAMAGE AWAITS UNLESS FRIENDS OF NAVAL AIR SPEAK LOUD AND CLEAR.

Scare talk? Hard to believe? How could such knifework occur?

Hold still while I approximate the wild scenario cooked up by Capitol Hill staffers and bureaucrats in cahoots with collusive, reportorially unprofessional media types -- all liberals, all surreptitious power-seekers congenitally unfriendly to the military -- hooked up this time with radical feminists adamantly determined to fly jets from carrier flight decks in combat, thus finally confirming the fleet not as a military entity, but rather "a vast experiment in feminist social theory." Eagerly practicing "gender terrorism," this motley crew of connivers now smell a victory that would make the Navy unisex all the way.

Who am I and what's the basis for my "scare talk"?

I was a reserve j. g. aboard Belleau Wood (a CVL that earned 12 battle stars in the Western Pacific in WWII). My own credentials for a later welcomed membership in the Tailhook Association were earned at Iwo and Okinawa in middle cockpit of Torpedo Squadron 30's TBMs. As Sunday Editor of The Denver Post I covered the Bikini A-bomb tests as one of only 110 official correspondents; was involuntarily recalled to Korean ONI duty at the Pentagon in 1950-51. Moving to corporations, I designed and installed new employee communications programs systemwide for Eastern Air Lines, later American Airlines. Weary of airplanes, I became for 20 years a college professor and circuit lecturer on ills of our mass media. Seeking to preserve finest Naval tradition in the biography of a pilot hero, I've haunted the Pentagon since 1988 with backing of my Belleau Wood shipmates, seeking staunch defenders of that tradition. What happened? See below.

The Scenario

'Way back, in gallantry toward womanhood, the Navy surpassed other services in receptivity to females in uniform. As the political clout of women increased, senior officers have felt compelled to march annually to the throne-rooms of feminist honchos in Congress, notably Colorado's Rep. Pat Schroeder of the House Armed Services Committee, recounting steady gains in the relative number and rank of Navy women and dutifully promising more gains each year (but not promising resultant improvement in combat capability). Of over 8,000 women now serving on shipboard, 16 percent become pregnant each year, and the concentration of others on their jobs is diminished as another 25% (what's your guess?) contemplate sexual harassment filings or complaints to the tabloids about cruelties suffered. Now gallant USN reaps the rewards encountered by appeasers since the Bronze Age -- bigger, more strident demands. (Can a Navy like this go to war?)

Getting closer and closer to combat assignments, women recently were assigned to man several fast frigates in the Navy's reserve force, not normally deployable but in war fully equipped for combat anywhere in the world. The year 1992 had been broadly proclaimed as the "Year of the Woman." Thus it should not have been surprising that a spectacle as startling as the Tailhook caper might be in the offing.

In 1991 liberals had concocted an Anita Hill commotion in a desperate effort to block the confirmation of the conservative black Clarence Thomas to the Supreme Court. As detailed later in American Spectator magazine, a cadre of congressional staffers, lawyers, and assorted ax-persons coaxed, pressured, coached and rehearsed Ms. Hill for several months before media disclosure of her claims of sexual harassment by Judge Thomas many years before. The gambit collapsed of its own flimsiness and because the Bush Administration, with rare media savvy, had preempted the high ground from the liberals from Day One of the Thomas nomination. But the Anita Hill ploy served as an instructive dry run for orchestrators of the next big sexual harassment hubbub, which would succeed beyond their wildest dreams.

Casting and rehearsals for the blockbuster Tailhook production began shortly after the September, 1991, convention finale at Las Vegas when pilots, showing their white-hot resentment of the feminist putsch and cold fear for their necks if ordered into coed aerobatics, became more boisterous than usual.

To any journeyman media watcher the Tailhook flap, in its origins, its content and especially its timing, simply reeked of contrivance and collusion by power-seekers ruthlessly advancing parochial agendas at the expense of Naval Air, the Navy at large, our aviator-President, and our entire defense effort.

The 15th annual -- this time to become infamous -- party at the Las Vegas Hilton occurred last September after close of the 1991 Tailhook convention where Navy flyers who have daily outwitted a passenger named Death, always present in the cockpit and ready upon the slightest lapse of skill or concentration to inflict sudden and painful demise, fervently relish for three days in a vacation setting an opportunity to ask questions directly of Navy brass, registering their concerns informally and unfearfully before Tailhook's "flag panel."

After this hugely beneficial dialogue with their commanding officers, Tailhookers close their annual convention with (what else?) A rambunctious party, as commonly convened by those who ride with mortal danger in their daily work. Though I've never attended a Tailhook convention, I'm told Tailhookers have upheld with diligence in this annual gathering aviation's truly challenging traditions in the per-capita consumption of alcohol.

The 1991 Tailhook party followed the standard pattern. After the convention closed there gathered on the third floor among the hospitality rooms privately leased by vendors and others a large and bibulous assembly drawn from the 5,000 Tailhookers so registered, but also including as usual a large number of "guests" from (in the words of an official report) "the local community, elsewhere in the hotel itself, a nearby Air Force base, and a local university."

Every attendee at this romp, male or female, was there purely at his or her own option. Most participants knew well what kind of festivities to expect and any innocents were logically presumed to be eagerly awaiting initiation.

During the proceedings, as one sane newsman reported, "a small number of young men, presumably aviators, got boozed up and yelled and groped at some women in the hotel hallway. No one has even alleged that any of the women was harmed, raped, beaten or coerced into having sex. Irritated and annoyed, yes, and rightly so, but these were at worst high-jinks committed in a spirit of drunken, bad-taste fun in a public place, threatening no one."

So this was a scandal appalling enough to demand reorganization of the whole fleet command? Unseemly and noisy enough, regrettably, to cause a small stir in the papers a year ago, but after that presumably the Navy would have proceeded, as in generations past, to enforce its rules and its will to assess penalties upon the junior officers (two to four in number, insiders say) for "conduct unbecoming an officer."

Not this time.

Back on Capitol Hill Pat Schroeder continued her mockery of "the Old Boys' network" which, after all, had created and fine-tuned the Naval Air organism which had won the Pacific sea war, inculcated the heroic moral stamina enabling Stockdales and Lawrences

to survive brutal Viet Cong death camps, produced the first twelve selectees for astronaut training, and put at the service of Desert Storm commanders an array of combat pilots unsurpassed in skill and discipline by any others on earth.

No matter. To Pat's feminist cohorts the Old Boys were and are blocking an inexorable advance, and their removal each by each, regardless of record, talent or distinction, adds a new trophy on the shelves of the New Unisex Navy. In the Tailhook incident they sensed possibility of a big breakthrough and they kept the story alive, planning carefully and long.

I'll await from the chronicler of the Anita Hill saga an account of the months of delicious conspiratorial chatter, strategy conferences and dress rehearsals occurring in congressional and Pentagon staff offices, cocktail bars, press clubs and lawyers' pads as the Tailhook production took shape. Early participants without shadow of a doubt were those unconscionably collusive "reporters" and editors of liberal Democratic media, notably the Washington Post, who coached the leading lady and delayed opening night for nine long months until the Presidential circus was on stage and the story could cause maximum disarray in the Republican campaign.

Democrats, having learned far better than Republicans that politics is an earthy business, leap with glee at any opportunity to discombobulate that posture of suffocating rectitude the GOP tends to demand of its candidates and operatives. Any media-wise prober, I contend, concluded quickly that the Tailhook rumpus was targeted far less on the welfare of women in uniform than upon the advancement of feminism and embarrassment of President Bush, a caper in which the GOP was invited to self-destruct and proceeded to do so. (The event's nine-month gestation period, one must note, allowed plenty of time for an envoy to confer with that 61-year-old high priest of erectitude, Ted Kennedy, interrupting Ted's nightshirt pursuits of naked or soon-to-be-naked women around his Palm Beach estate long enough to gain his expert counsel!)

The Firestorm

Finally, on June 24, 1992, the curtain went up. On that day the Washington Post, citadel of liberalism and parent of Watergate, Iran-Contra, plus countless other tortures inflicted with delight upon Republicanism, published the ordeal of LT Paula Coughlin at Las Vegas. As sole complainant about Tailhooker manhandling in the Hilton's third-floor hallway, she spoke as a navy pilot's daughter, an admiral's aide, and nine-year member of the Tailhook Association who -- it was revealed later -- had not followed standard Navy procedure for dealing promptly with improper male advances, choosing instead to go public with her anguish nine months later.

The media firestorm that followed was astonishing.

The media went bananas, red-flagging Tailhookers as the heartless boorish monsters of the age, abandoning any pretense of obligation to report the incident as totally unauthorized behavior of a handful of members of an organization uniquely important to the morale of America's front-line striking force.

The editorial page of USA TODAY, as one example, edited by one of its numerous highly vocal feminists, headlined a hysterical editorial under the headline NAVY'S TAILHOOK GOONS -- a term not remembered as having been applied by that paper to Rodney King, triggerman of the Los Angeles riots. In a half-page outburst against such "gross sexism," the righteous Miami Herald confusedly condemned what it termed an "incredible amount of drinking (16,000 glasses of beer)" at "the annual symposium," not explaining how that figure constituted excess consumption at a symposium lasting three days with 5,000 persons registered in attendance! And so across the land, another witch-hunt was on.

I spent the entire week of July 6 in Washington on various errands, visiting a dozen or more congressional, military and media offices and GOP campaign centers, wearing as usual a tie-tack proclaiming my Tailhook membership. It was an amazing, dismaying, infuriating week.

So far as my eye could see, Congress, the Navy, and the entire Establishment were quivering mutely under the post-menopausal scowl of Pat Schroeder. Even in GOP campaign war rooms assigned to repel denigration of a President whose bedrock qualification for that office was forged in intense air combat at sea in WWII, my Tailhook identification evoked at best a nervous snicker, more often wide-eyed apprehension among sweet-faced ingenues.

EVEN MORE ASTONISHING than the vigor of the ax-job being done on Naval Air was its unanimity. Even as the firestorm peaked nobody, but nobody, was defending the pilots, poking needles into the orgiastic self-righteousness of Naval Air's attackers, or demanding reversal of media broadsides generically besmearing as goons and rapists men who first of all had passed the world's most exhaustive screening for outstanding physical and mental fitness, then had completed awesomely arduous training at a cost of \$3 million per man to accept hazardous duty in a military assignment as complex and demanding as any on earth.

NOBODY, that is, was then publicly standing up for the pilots except Rear Admiral Mark Hill, a former ^{vice} president of the Association of Naval Aviation, who confronts even TV cameras fearlessly, and Bronc Howe, a war-weary media critic who scolds publishers for cause. Plus John Sununu, who launched Admiral Hill's unwanted television career on "Crossfire."

Architects of the Tailhook scandal plainly had embarrassed the Republican campaign resoundingly. They had conned major news media into historic lapses of journalistic doctrine and prudence. And more.

Probably to their own astonishment, they had tapped the primal power of the tactic used by shrews since the dawn of man to tame burly warriors -- from Fred Flintstone to Frank Kelso -- in the domicile: "Make 'em feel guilty about something -- anything or nothing. Just make 'em feel guilty, and they're Jello!"

Riding the surf with opposition strangely silent, the feminist commandos rapidly expanded their beachhead while the pilots, suddenly reduced from high honor to disgrace, waited in vain for well-placed spokesmen to speak in their defense. During the month of July alone . . .

Item: The civilian SecNav, Garrett, a mere male, was in no position to defend himself, let alone the pilots. He was forced out for mishandling the Tailhook investigation. His assistant and successor Dan Howard, full of pious indignation toward such revelry, sternly stood down the entire Navy, subjecting all hands to a lengthy video reminder that grabbing women's breasts without their consent is impolite. Five (or was it eight?) days later he was no longer SecNav. Looking for a defender among their senior officers, the hapless pilots found the Old Boy Network about as strong as a cobweb and as docile as kittens.

Item: VADM Dick Dunleavy, vastly respected by his pilots, was summarily bumped out as chief of Naval Air and denied even the courtesy of a retirement ceremony on a carrier flight deck.

Item: VADM Jerry O. Tuttle, known globally as today's top expert in airborne electronics and in line to be Dunleavy's successor, was passed over after feminist Thought Police on his staff slipped topside a joke used as filler in a recent office newsletter weighing the relative desirability of a woman vs. a bottle of beer -- the tagline favoring the beer!

Item: VADM Jack Fetterman, famed throughout the Navy for many achievements as chief of education and training (the latest a "core value" program instilling proper ethical behavior in recruits denied such training before enlistment) was demoted and dumped for improperly reporting a subordinate's purported homosexual activity. While he is indignantly appealing his demotion with widespread rousing support, few outsiders noted that a woman now occupies his slot, a position certain to affect profoundly the tone of the future Navy.

Item: Through these and other shifts cumulatively constituting an unprecedented trauma for the Navy, the role of ADM Frank Kelso, Big Boss as Chief of Naval Operations, has made headlines mainly as a repentant petitioner tracking back and forth to the office House Office Building to present formal abject apologies to Pat Schroeder. Ascribing unheroic meekness to this veteran submariner seems questionable. More likely, and more alarming, is the hypothesis that he has set an unswerving course toward imminent retirement not only for himself, BUT AS A SIGNAL OF VISCERAL REVULSION TO THE FEMINIST ONSLAUGHT AND AN

INVITATION TO THE "OLD BOY NETWORK" TO FOLLOW HIS LEAD EN MASSE. For maintenance of a credible U. S. naval presence in world affairs, Editor Wesley Pruden of the Washington Times and assorted millions of thoughtful citizens would see that mass exit as most unfortunate.

Item: Congress? Wouldn't such outspoken Navy champions as Sam Nunn and John Warner speak just a soft word or two on behalf of their hero pilots? Forget it. Frantic at the prospect of losing the shrill feminist vote in the "Year of the Woman," Congress in its infantile wisdom capped off a year of squalid disintegration by leaping flamboyantly to gain Pat Schroeder's favor, freezing the promotions and upgrade assignments of 4,500 naval officers until Tailhook miscreants are punished. (That current investigation obviously resembles an effort to identify and screen, months later, celebrants in a Chicago saloon on the night the Bulls won the basketball world series!) Since July, naval pilots have been heading toward action in the Mideast in a mood less than serene, knowing their careers are being held hostage awaiting arraignment of two to four unidentified culprits at an off-duty party attended by perhaps less than five percent of the hostages. SMALL WONDER RUMOR NOW HAS IT THAT ONE-THIRD OF OUR ACTIVE-DUTY PILOTS NOW PLAN TO LEAVE THE NAVY -- AND DOUBLE THAT NUMBER IF AND WHEN WORD COMES DOWN THEY MUST FLY COMBAT MISSIONS WITH A PAULA COUGHLAN IN THE REAR SEAT OR ON THEIR WING.

Tales comparable to these accumulate daily. A loony scene it is, and STILL no one speaks for the aviators.

Two twitches of resistance to the feminist blitz should be reported, the first by SecDef Dick Cheney. When Dan Howard flamed out, Barbara Spyridon Pope, assistant navy secretary for manpower (oops, Person-power?) and reserve affairs waited winsomely, momentarily suspending her cries of "outrage" over Tailhooker atrocities. After all, hadn't she been nominated for SecNav by the same liberal journals touting Schroeder as successor to Cheney? Cheney instead passed her over promptly in naming his 36-year-old protegee Sean O'Keefe as Acting SecNav.

The second tremor had earthquake portent. A Navy big gun had finally boomed, arcing a 16-inch shell over CNO Frank Kelso to Dick Cheney, copy to President Bush. He is David C. Richardson, a retired vice admiral, former CO of the Sixth Fleet and Deputy CinCPac, creator of new ocean surveillance information systems, since retirement a leader in naval applications of computer technologies. A fighter pilot in WWII, he had shot down four Japanese aircraft before being wounded and shot down on Guadalcanal in 1942. Executive officer of a carrier off Korea, he was later CO of the attack carrier strike force off Vietnam. Father of two naval aviators and father-in-law of a SEAL lieutenant. His letter is as terse and powerful as a military message can get.

Key paragraphs:

"Some summary actions taken by the Department of Defense and the Congress against middle and senior grade naval officers in the aftermath of the recent Tailhook Ass'n annual convention are seriously undermining faith in the leadership exercised at top echelons in the command chain. The essence of leadership is to induce maximum effectiveness from imperfect man. Two indispensable elements are loyalty down and due process, neither of which are evident from newspaper accounts of actions taken by the Secretary of the Navy and others . . .

"Naval Aviators gave us victory over the Japanese surface navy in WWII . . . We middle term leaders in Naval Aviation have bequeathed our nation combat pilots second to none on earth. It is beyond the power of any and all in the offices of the Secretary of Defense to repeat what Naval Aviation's leaders have done in creating the combat power of Naval Aviation. It is, however, within your power and that of the Secretary of the Navy to nurture or destroy it. My plea is that you insist upon due process in penalizing those who failed to live up to their responsibilities. . .

IN SUMMARY:

The Tailhook caper has brought shame and disgrace to ALL participants -- least of all to those tailhookers who completed a useful seminar, had a beer or two on the Hilton's third floor and went home. Next most blameworthy have been the strident feminist prophets who, charmed by the Navy's chic uniforms and mannerly ambience, have employed the manners of Attila the Hun to enhance their already formidable clout in the fleet, wrecking much of their target turf in the process.

Next higher on this observer's arraignment docket are the uniformed and civilian brass in the Navy Dept., in Congress and at Republican headquarters who, in spineless terror of cater-wauling needlers mouthing the latest doctrinal fad, failed utterly in showing "loyalty down," in effect casting their junior officers and front-line troops adrift in disgrace.

Highest by far on my list of offenders are those surreptitious connivers and (I repeat) their unconscionably collusive media cohorts who planned and orchestrated this caper, and whose continuing clamor forestalled the due process which would have shown it early as the scam it was. This time our arrogant media, cavorting as usual in government-by-media amusements, entered Naval Air like a gorilla in a computer room and are rapidly destroying one of the most intricate, admirably disciplined, and vital arms of our defense. If you sense from this account that the climate in Washington was definitely balmy this past summer, you have plenty of company.

WHAT CAN BE DONE?

THE CORE QUESTION remains: Are women to be admitted to combat roles? Ninety-five percent of those who've experienced the blood, grief and murderous stress of combat believe, with compelling reasons, that presence of women in combat diminishes overall effectiveness of the unit and increases casualties.

"Women will have proved their capability of flying fighters in battle," remarks one veteran, "when you see a woman or two as regulars charging down the court with the Chicago Bulls. The demands for uncanny, electrifying teamwork and nanosecond reflexes are about the same as in an aerial dogfight. Trouble is that, after they prove they can fly with us, they'll be living with us for weeks and months on an already overcrowded ship, sharing meals, bunkrooms, even toilets with 3,500 men. And retaining, of course, a right not one of those men have: that of filing sexual harassment complaints which literally DISSOLVE the command structure." Would this be a NAVY? More likely, in the words of Admiral Hill, we would be "using the defense budget just to provide jobs, status and ego trips for a single class that can in no way improve our combat readiness but can indeed degrade it, setting stage for a major disaster downstream."

In the present goofy media and congressional climate, however, the testimony of those proved in real combat IS NOT BEING HEARD AT ALL, or is received at with deep suspicion simply because they are men. This gender disqualification passes the ball to the addressees of this letter -- parents, wives, loved ones, friends of naval aviators -- to score the vital early points to keep Naval Air in the game until rationality returns.


What can you do? Answer: Do SOMETHING!

(If you mothers and spouses of aviators pull handfuls of hair from the heads of the bruiser broads who have labeled your men goons and rapists across the land, gathering a legal defense fund for you would be a piece of cake.)

More conventionally, telephone your congressman, news editor or candidate. Insist on talking to him personally, then promise him your undying enmity unless he helps put Naval Air back where it belongs in the public eye and in the Washington machinery. Make NOISE where you live about the frightful mistreatment your men are undergoing. Then make more noise! You'll do more good than you may expect. But DO SOMETHING!

Hope my self-assigned labors in piecing together this weird tale during a hurricane hiatus here in Miami may be helpful.

Cordially, in hope of a better day soon for Naval Air!


E. L. (Bronc) Howe, LT, USNR, (Ret.) 328157

(Miami, 9/23/92)

July 30, 1992

The Honorable Richard Cheney
Secretary of Defense
The Pentagon, Washington, D.C.

Dear Secretary Cheney:

First my complaint, then my credentials.

Some summary actions taken by the Dept. of Defense and the Congress against middle and senior grade naval officers in the aftermath of the recent Tailhook Ass'n. convention are seriously undermining faith in the leadership exercised at top echelons in the command chain. The essence of leadership is to induce maximum effectiveness from imperfect man. Two indispensable elements are loyalty and due process, neither of which are evident from newspaper accounts of actions taken by the Secretary of the Navy and others. It is the view of more than thirty civilian neighbors who have broached this subject with me, and fellow senior retired officers and their wives, that the Dept. of Defense has embarked on a witch hunt.

Naval Aviators gave us victory over the Japanese surface navy in WWII. Naval aviation training produced the moral stamina of the Jim Stockdales and Bill Lawrences of POW fame, and the first twelve selectees for astronaut training, later reduced by five to permit other source applicants into the program. We middle term leaders in Naval Aviation have bequeathed our Nation combat pilots second to none on earth.

It is beyond the power of any and all in the offices of the Secretary of Defense to repeat what Naval Aviation's leaders have done in creating the combat power of Naval Aviation. It is, however, within your power and that of the Secretary of the Navy and the Congress to nurture or destroy it. My plea is that you insist on due process in penalizing those who failed to live up to their responsibilities.

My credentials. I am a retired Navy Vice Admiral, former Commander, Sixth Fleet and Deputy Commander-in-Chief, Pacific Fleet. I created the Mediterranean Surveillance Net which then expanded into the Ocean Surveillance Information System. I identified the requirement for space-based photo system support for tactical purposes and was its first recipient. Following my retirement I was a leader in application of computer technologies in command and control of naval forces and in the exploitation of space-based passive (and would be active) collection of information for tactical near-real-time exploitation, having served on many DoD panels treating with these matters. My activity these past 24 years is well known by your Asst. of Intelligence policy, Mr. Rick Haver.

As a fighter pilot in WWII I shot down 4 enemy aircraft, was myself shot down and wounded in ariel combat, endured life on Guadalcanal during those critical months of Sept. & Oct., 1942. During the Korean War I was Executive Officer of an Aircraft Carrier in the Yellow Sea. During 1966-67 I was for one year Commander of our attack carrier strike forces off Vietnam in the Gulf of Tonkin.

I am seventy eight years old, have a wife, a sister-in-law, a mother-in-law, three daughters and three sons, two of whom are Naval Aviators and a son-in-law who is a SEAL lieutenant. My wife and I have ten grandchildren, six boys and four girls. I need no lectures on human sexuality, nor courteous, decent behavior.

s/ David C. Richardson

c/c: President George Bush

F copy

BRYAN CAVE

WASHINGTON, D.C.
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE
211 NORTH BROADWAY, SUITE 3600
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63102-2750

(314) 259-2000

FACSIMILE: (314) 259-2020

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA
OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS
LONDON, ENGLAND
RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA
FRANKFURT AM MAIN, GERMANY

DENNIS C. DONNELLY

(314) 259-2325

October 5, 1992

NRN

President George H. W. Bush
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

I join our Board Chairman, Ashley Gray, of the Ranken Technical College in St. Louis, in extending a warm invitation to you to seize the opportunity in the closing days of the campaign to use the Ranken Technical College as a forum for the key points which need to be made to convince the public that the Bush/Quayle team is the safest harbor in these trying times.

Mr. Gray's earlier letters and the enclosures to you have eloquently presented the effort and successes achieved in increasing the opportunities for minorities in the strong "middle class" segments of the economy.

I would encourage you and your staff to give serious consideration to using Ranken Technical College in St. Louis as a forum. These points could be made either before or after you, Mr. President, are in St. Louis for the first debate on Sunday, October 11, 1992.


Sincerely yours,

Dennis Donnelly
Dennis C. Donnelly

DCD:js

Enclosures

cc: James Baker



RANKEN TECHNICAL COLLEGE

Trustees and Officers

Chairman

W. Ashley Gray, Jr.
General Steel Industries, Inc.

President

Richard P. Conerly
Orion Capital Inc.

Vice President

Lou Fusz, Jr.
Lou Fusz Automotive Network

Vice President

John L. Mason
Monsanto

Secretary-Treasurer

Dennis C. Donnelly
Bryan Cave

G. Duncan Bauman
St. Louis Globe Democrat

Raymond F. Bentele
Mallinckrodt, Inc.

Walter E. Diggs, Jr.
McDonnell Douglas Corporation

Charles W. Disbrow, II

Leigh Gerdine
Webster University

Robert C. Jaudes
Laclede Gas Company

Lillian A. Parks
East St. Louis School District

Wayman F. Smith, III
Anheuser-Busch Companies

Edith J. Spink
Mayor of Ladue

Robert W. Staley
Emerson Electric Company

Ralph V. Streiff
Nooter Corporation

Ronald L. Thompson
The GR Group, Inc.

James J. Unger
ACF Industries Incorporated

Joseph E. Vollmar, Jr.
Vollmar Brothers Construction

Ted C. Wetterau
Wetterau Incorporated

John W. Williamson
SBC Technology Resources, Inc.

October 2, 1992

4431 FINNEY AVENUE
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63113
(314) 371-0233

Mr. Dennis C. Donnelly
Partner
Bryan Cave
One Metropolitan Square
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2750

Dear Dennis:

You will see from the enclosed I have made another request that President Bush consider making a campaign appearance at the Ranken Technical College.

Yesterday I met with Bucky Bush and got an indication that some consideration was being given our invitation by the President's scheduling people.

It has been suggested that a short note to the President from each of our Trustees might be helpful.

If you do write, please send me a copy.

Sincerely,




W. Ashley Gray, Jr.
Chairman

WAG:mlb

cc: To all Trustees

Enclosures



RANKEN TECHNICAL COLLEGE

September 29, 1992

4431 FINNEY AVENUE
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63113
(314) 371-0233

President George H. W. Bush
The White House
Washington D.C. 20500

COPY

Dear Mr. President:

This letter will follow up one mailed to you on August 3, 1992, where I asked that you give consideration to visiting the Ranken Technical College on one of your campaign visits to St. Louis. We, of course, are very anxious that you give additional consideration to a visit to our campus.

We would like for one of your scheduling representatives to visit Ranken so that we can demonstrate why we feel our school is an ideal location for the President to make a strong case for his education ideas and plans for the future.

We have an excellent place for the podium and seating area for dignitaries, and there are excellent photographic opportunities in our Machine Shop and other education areas. These pictures could be made with black and white students actively participating in our educational program.

Eleven percent of our student body is made up of minorities and we have an outstanding record of success with education of black students. (See charts enclosed.)

Bucky Bush is familiar with Ranken and I am sure would actively support your appearance at the Ranken Technical College.

Thanking you for your consideration of this matter, I am

Sincerely yours,



W. Ashley Gray, Jr.
Chairman

WAG:mlb

Enclosures

Chart A

Minority Student Enrollment






Chart B

Percent of Minorities Graduated



LAW OFFICES

BRYAN CAVE

ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE

211 NORTH BROADWAY, SUITE 3600

ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63102-2750



Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500





OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
400 Hal Greer Boulevard
Huntington, West Virginia 25755-1000
304-696-2300

Copy
FYL
NRN

September 29, 1992

The Honorable George Bush
White House
Washington, D.C. 20013

Dear President Bush:

Since previous plans for debates involving the two major United States presidential candidates apparently have collapsed, I am inviting you and your Democratic opponent to have a single debate on the Marshall University campus in Huntington, West Virginia on Thursday, October 22, 1992.

The proposed format would involve a single moderator, taking questions from the audience with both candidates being given a specific amount of time to respond to each question. There would be provision for opening remarks and closing remarks by each candidate.

Huntington and Marshall offer several advantages for such a debate. We are "middle America," located at the convergence of three states, West Virginia, Ohio and Kentucky, not rural and not part of a megalopolis. The moderator would be a respected local newsman who would not be concerned with network rating points, etc.

In terms of facilities, we have available a just-opened, 600-seat theatre which is considered state of the art. It is configured in such a manner as to enhance security arrangements.

We believe a debate between the two candidates is in the best interest of the American people and we believe we can offer an excellent setting for such a debate with very good prospects for a significant discussion of the issues which most concern the vast majority of our citizens.

I hope you will give serious consideration to this invitation and that we may welcome you to Marshall University on Thursday, October 22, or on perhaps another mutually agreeable date.

I look forward to receiving your response.

Thank you!

Sincerely,


J. Wade Gilley
President

mf

JOHN ASHLEY

PO BOX 2361
COLUMBIA FALLS, MT 59912

CitizenLetter

CitizenLetter is a service of Working Assets Long Distance.

September 29, 1992

James Baker, Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington DC
20500

Dear Mr. Baker,

I call upon you to stop the Republican Party's hate-mongering and focus the presidential campaign on the real problems faced by our citizens.

The anti-gay rhetoric at the Republican convention was appalling. In an effort to win in November, your party put Pat Buchanan and Pat Robertson on nationwide TV, knowing full well that they would denounce gays and lesbians. And the Party permitted Vice President Quayle to make only slightly more subtle attacks.

The Republican Party is not some fringe group. It is the party in power. No party that rules America should ever promote the dehumanization of any minority group.

About 10 percent of Americans happen to be gay or lesbian. Gays and lesbians are just as devoted to their loved ones, just as hard-working and law-abiding, as other Americans. For the ruling party to stir up hatred against one group of Americans in an effort to gain favor with other groups is wrong, it is cynical, and it is dangerous.

I urge you to use all the power at your command to halt your party's barrage of fear and hate. Please let me know what you intend to do.

Sincerely,
JOHN ASHLEY

Received
1150 letters
10-5-92
MM

Charles E. Schmitz, Pr. Em.

(Telephone 602 - 584-7282)

12444 Toreador Drive

Sun City West AZ 85375-1926



Secretary James Baker

The White House

Washington, D.C. 20000





Charles E Schmitz, M. Div.

PASTOR EMERITUS/EVANGELIST
EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA
12444 TOREADOR DRIVE
SUN CITY WEST, ARIZONA 85375
(602) 584-7282

suggestions

October 5, 1992

Dear Mr. Baker,

Please work on making our President MORE PRESIDENTIAL! Nit-picking arguments batted back and forth make little impression and chip away at President Bush's creditability.

Emphasize "No quick fix" and acknowledge past mistaken impressions of the economic situation. Continue to take credit for what American people must recognize as economic stability among many nations with more serious problems.

STRONGLY URGE "FRIENDS" TO GET OUT AND VOTE IN A NEW CONGRESS WITH REPUBLICAN CONTROL. IT IS POSSIBLE IN THIS UNUSUAL ELECTION YEAR. EMPHASIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF TEAM WORK! Please! Cordial in Christ's service,

C. Schmitz
Barton

Lois R. Allen
218 Beech St.
Edgewood, Pa.
15218



USA 19

Hon. JAMES BAKER
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C

Mr. Baker: over 10-2-92 suggestions

Why are you not

bringing out pertinent
info. re: Clinton:

Edgewood
PA. 15218

(1) He did not finish
his Rhodes Scholarship
Studies in England;

(2) Instead, he actively
organized PEACE
Demonstrations for Hanoi
Support;

218 Beech St.

(3) He was in Russia
while our POW's were being
tortured by Viet Cong, Russians,
& Cubans; also in OSLO!

(4) He has promised to
actively recruit homosexuals
into the military. And Much More!
This should be told to America.
Lair R. Allen


What about
IS#VIN?

GREAT STAR CEMENT CORPORATION

A NEVADA CORPORATION

1714 West Bonanza Road
Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Office: (702) 791-0998
FAX: (702) 647-3715

150 North Santa Anita Avenue
Third Floor
Arcadia, California 91006
Office: (818) 446-9093
FAX: (818) 446-7675



October 6, 1992

Honorable Jim Baker:

As mentioned in President Bush and George Bush, Jr.'s letter and including Mr. Teeter's, I have been a strong supporter for the campaign of Ronald Reagan and George Bush since 1980, for the special reason which I will discuss later.

I have contacted the campaign office, Mr. Teeter, George Bush, Jr., the California Republican Headquarters and the Bush/Quayle Headquarters but did not work out because of the critical time matter.

The suggested information included in the President's mail must be considerably reviewed before the debate is started. Also, the materials I provided in the package must be delivered to the people as soon as possible through quick campaign channels before the people stand at the election ballot box.

I have some special ideas how to quickly deliver the true facts about:

1. The past crucial achievements of the Reagan and Bush administrations.
2. Improvement of economy from Carter Administration and
3. The realistic economic plan for the next four year through worldwide free trade system in order to let the people have the real confidence of the Bush administration, it is critical to win this election.

Please contact me at your convenience if you desire. I can fly at any time to Washington, D.C. to meet with you because it is critically urgent. I can be reached at my telephone numbers:

Business - (818) 446-9093
Home - (818) 355-6748


My FAX number:

Business - (818) 446-7675
Home - (818) 355-1906

Address:

Business Paul S. Lee, Sr.
Great Star Cement Corporation
150 N. Santa Anita Avenue
3rd Floor
Arcadia, CA 91006

Home: Paul S. Lee, Sr.
2285 Highland Vista Drive
Arcadia, CA 91006


Paul S. Lee, Sr.
Chairman of
Great Star Cement Corporation

GREAT STAR CEMENT CORPORATION
A NEVADA CORPORATION

1714 West Bonanza Road
Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Office: (702) 791-0998
FAX: (702) 647-3715

150 North Santa Anita Avenue
Third Floor
Arcadia, California 91006
Office: (818) 446-9093
FAX: (818) 446-7675

October 6, 1992

COPY

Dear Honorable President George Bush:

First of all, as Americans, we sincerely praise you as a true public servant who has devoted himself beyond the call of duty, to the cause of freedom on behalf of the people of the United States. You have accomplished for the world community more than you promised and beyond what we expected. At last, the unexpected, incredible and miraculous day has come to Earth, and we have won the historic war of banishing the evil of communism from the face of the Earth. This victory will be glittering as the everlasting hope in the hearts of all mankind. Praise you! Praise you! We are so greatly appreciative of your profound contribution not only for the people of the United States but also to entire world community for generations to come.

You have been criticized by the Democrats for the Iran-Contra affair. We believe that the true issue of Iran Contra is in my file as follows:

Under the guise of the so-called "Human Rights Policy", the Carter administration sent to Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi strong private signals ordering him not to militarily suppress the demonstrations (terrorists) and to release the political prisoners (terrorists) from jail. Pahlavi resisted first, but he finally capitulated to the Carter administration. As the results, these terrorists who were supported by the Carter administration deposed Pahlavi and let Khomeini return to Iran. The result of the Human Rights Policy was disastrous for American citizens and the human suffering was very high,--53 American citizens held hostage for 444 days, 241 American Marines killed in the terrorist bombing by Khomeini's agents, and numerous hostages taken in the Middle East which lead to the "Iran-Contra" affair. It is in human nature that when persons are taken hostage, their friends, family and compatriots will make every desperate effort to rescue them, at any price. Some might have risked their own lives. It is a human duty to attempt to rescue them in any possible way. Therefore, the Iran-Contra affair should be blamed on the Carter administration who created the trap for the Americans in the first place, but should not blame someone who bravely rescued them. It is not a campaign issue. Bill Clinton attacked these actions by stating that the rescue action was illegal. This is the same kind of argument was used by candidate Walter Mondale in 1984, such as, despite the fact that the Grenada rescue mission was imperative for the security of the lives of over 1,300 American citizens and this nation, the

Democratic presidential candidate of 1984, former Vice President Mondale, criticized the mission by saying, "We cannot tolerate censorship in this country, period," even over 85% of the American people had approved of the mission. He said those very words because he was extremely hungry for political power and thought he could win the 1984 elections by criticizing Reagan/Bush administration and falsely labeling their action as "censorship". Bill Clinton and Mondale are inhuman because they did not care about the lives of others but they only care about their election votes.

Bill Clinton attacked the economic politics of the Reagan and Bush administrations. Bill Clinton is knowingly and purposely falsifying information in order to make the people upset, turn against the Bush administration and make himself electable. The Bush administration not only has won the incredible war to banish the evil of communism but also built up the economy. During the Carter administration interest rates were as high as 21% and inflation rate reached 13.5%. Now the inflation rate is 3.5% and the interest rate is below 8%. There was also significant rise in the levels of productivity. Even in this recessionary period, our economy is the envy of Europe. It is truly miraculous that in spite of the extremely high price that we paid for defense cost, the national economy improved so dramatically during the Reagan and Bush administrations and many of the grave mistakes of the Carter administration were rectified. Bill Clinton has disseminated the false information. Now, the voices of the people are higher and higher day by day demanding a sincere apology from Bill Clinton for having knowingly delivered the false information for his election benefit. No matter what Bill Clinton says, the people who stood behind the Reagan and Bush administration and made the landslide victories of 1980's possible, have clearly complete confidence in the present administration based on 12 years of accomplishments. But the people clearly realize Bill Clinton's promises are not based on any experience and have no foundation. He has no sense of judgment and caters to the special interest group in order to win votes.

The successful domestic and foreign policies of the past twelve years prove the capability and experience of the Reagan and Bush administrations. Their strong leadership, both at home and abroad, preserved the resolve of the world community and maintained the indomitably strong defense bases of the free allied nations for everyone's benefit against the Kremlin aggression and injustice.

The major achievements of the Reagan and Bush administrations which made the final victory over communism possible were:

1. Rejection of the unilateral nuclear arms production freeze policy of the Carter/Mondale Administration and the overtures of House and Senate members who

wanted only to secure their seats in the Congress for their own benefit.

2. Rejection of the policy of halting the production of MX missile systems as desired by the Congress and the Carter administration.
3. Support of the British during the Falklands Islands Crisis in order to promote world community leadership.
4. Rejection of the withdrawal of the ground forces from South Korea, as promoted by Congress and the Carter administration, in order to secure the strong defense base across the Pacific region against the Kremlin.
5. The rescue of the Americans trapped in Grenada and the reinstatement of the legitimate democratic government of that nation. This action furthermore precluded the establishment of nuclear arms bases in the Caribbean for use by the Soviets and contributed to eradicating the evil of communism.
6. Successful sales of appropriate arms to Saudi Arabia through the judicious exercise of veto of unproductive congressional resolutions in order to secure strong defense base in the Middle East region.
7. The bombing of international terrorist bases in Libya with the assistance of the British in order to stop terrorism.
8. Exercise successful leadership in the world community by standing tall for justice and righteousness. The Bush administration bravely and valiantly carried out the crucial operations of Desert Shield and Desert Storm against the insane regime of Saddam Hussein.

The veto by President Reagan of a bill which prevented the sale of arms to Saudi Arabia, and the fact that it was sustained by a margin 66 to 34 shows the foresightedness of the foreign policy of the Reagan/Bush administration. The decision to sell arms to Saudi Arabia was the significant key to success and victory in the Desert Storm operation. The victory has proven the powerful leadership of the Bush administration. The rescue mission of Americans trapped in Grenada has proven to be a historically brave defense policy against the opposition at home and by the UN. These accomplishments prove the leadership capability of the Bush administration.

In conclusion, the defense policy of the Bush administration has been without comparison in human history. The American people trust the Bush administration 100%.

We, the American people strongly trust the realistic economic plan of the Bush administration through the worldwide free trade system. The capability for success has been proven by the experience of the past 12 years with the historical victory of the national defense mission and the economic improvement from the era of the Carter administration. The successful realization of the ideal worldwide free trade mission will not be performed over night, nor will it come by itself.

The state of the economy is directly related to the human physical life and state of the peace or war. For a long time during the long human history, the only way to obtain relief from a great economic recession was to go to war. The true purpose of worldwide free trade system is to solve the problem of recession through peace not through war. Indeed, it is impossible to solve the problem simply, cheaply or quickly. The worldwide free trade system provides controls on the economic cycles for the entire world community by human management through peace and not through war.

Therefore, the Free Trade Policy will directly serve to contribute to the security of the economic system for the entire world community by:

1. Controlling and stabilizing the inflation rate in world community.
2. Securing jobs for the working people throughout the world.
3. Controlling and stabilizing the consumer price index throughout the world.
4. Adjusting production levels by the demands of the world community.
5. Securing the true harmonious way of life for the entire world community in peace under God.

Number 4 above, the adjustments of production levels, is the most significant and serious problem directly related to the economic health without recession.

Under the successful Free Trade System, the brief outline of the economic projection for next four years is as the follows:

Year	<u>93</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>96</u>
ANNUAL INCREASE IN GDP	2.0%	1.5%	*	*
ANNUAL INFLATION RATE	3.5%	2.0%	1.0%	0%
UNEMPLOYMENT	5%	4%	2%	0%
INCREASE IN CONSUMER PRICE-Annual rate	3.0%	0%	0%	0%

* A increase according to population increase rate.

The above economic projections will be realized only when all governments of the world community are involved in the free trade system and the major manufacturers such as those of auto, oil, and steel cooperate each other. It is impossible to succeed when each government tries to carry it out alone or manufacturers work separately. They must work together with undivided cooperation solving and overcoming the difficulties together. This worldwide Free trade System will be possible only under management by the Bush administration for the following reasons:


1. The proven record of strong leadership of world community by the Bush administration.

2. The Bush administration's long experience and promotion of free trade with many nations.
3. The great capacity to perform great deeds by destiny as the leader of the world community as evidenced by its historic achievement to eradicate the evil of communism from the Earth.

We sincerely ask God to help the true public servant, the Bush administration, win the 1992 election to finalize the dream of the completion of economic security for the entire world community through peace and freedom.

These dreams must be vitalized by the drive of every individual American, as well as all free people of the world, and by our dedication to uphold willingly and sincerely the respective and challenging programs of the Bush administration.

Sincerely Yours,



Paul S. Lee, Sr. Chairman

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02a. Letter	From: Paul S. Lee, Sr. to Bob Teeter Re: Election issue [Redaction of personal information] (2 pp.)	09/28/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [1]

Date Closed:	3/12/2001	OA/ID Number:	93007-001
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0328-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

GREAT STAR CEMENT CORPORATION

A NEVADA CORPORATION

1714 West Bonanza Road
Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Office: (702) 791-0998
FAX: (702) 647-3715

150 North Santa Anita Avenue
Third Floor
Arcadia, California 91006
Office: (818) 446-9093
FAX: (818) 446-7675

September 28, 1992

COPY

Mr. Bob Teeter,
Campaign Chairman,

I have never met nor communicated with you in any way, but under this critical emergency situation I have to contact you as quickly as possible. Debbie Dunn, secretary in Mr. George Bush, Jr.'s office has referred me to your office.

The time is seriously essential in order to win the battle. We have to move forward in the right direction as soon as possible because there is not much time left. The time is real critical.

We must do something in a different way as quickly as possible before the people stand at the ballot box and let the people understand the true information against the misinformation disseminated by Bill Clinton.

For 12 years, both the Reagan and Bush Administrations have completed the miracle - the incredible mission to banish communism from the Earth. This mission is the first and the last in human history. However, it is now an unendurably painful reality that President Bush's campaign is trailing behind that of Clinton/Gore.

In this critical moment, I am sincerely trying to assist the Bush/Quayle campaign. But I lack the capability to achieve success alone by myself because of the short time period. It is impossible to implement my plan by myself because I am not a professional politician. Therefore, I decided to share some of my ideas with John Fisher, Chairman of the American Security Council Foundation, in Washington, D.C. I have been on the Board of Directors of the ASCF since 1984, and have been a member of the Presidential Club, and the U.S. Congression Advisory Board.

I discussed this urgent matter with John Fisher and I found that the control of the President's successful campaign is beyond his capability for a couple of reasons that I will be glad to discuss with you in person.

At any rate, we have got to win this election. By the way, you and I are in the same boat.



[REDACTED]

I have accumulated extensive political experience in Washington, D.C. and abroad since 1977. As an example I have enclosed the letter to the individual senators regarding arms sale to Saudi Arabia in 1986 and a couple responses out of many tens. Through my experience, it is clearly possible to succeed in winning this election if we stand up quickly in the right direction. However, I have to discuss with you my ideas face to face as soon as possible in order to carry out a special plan on a door to door basis. It is critically urgent. I am going to fax this letter and send the hard copy by Federal Express.

In order to carry out the strategy, a large amount of contribution will be required. The contribution will be positively available. I am enclosing the basic strategy outline summary.

Please study them carefully and quickly contact me at your convenience if you desire. I can fly at any time to Washington, D.C. to meet with you because it is critically urgent. I can be reached at my telephone numbers:

Business - (818) 446-9093
Home - (818) 355-6748

COPY


My FAX number:

Business - (818) 446-7675
Home - (818) 355-1906

Address:

Business Paul S. Lee, Sr.
Great Star Cement Corporation
150 N. Santa Anita Avenue
3rd Floor
Arcadia, CA 91006

Home: Paul S. Lee, Sr.
2285 Highland Vista Drive
Arcadia, CA 91006


Paul S. Lee, Sr.
Chairman of
Great Star Cement Corporation



September 30, 1992

COPY

Mr. Paul S. Lee, Sr.
2285 Highland Vista Drive
Arcadia, California 91006

Dear Mr. Lee:

Thank you for your letter and strategy ideas. As you can imagine, we receive many suggestions and although we may not agree with every one, they are always considered. At this important time in the campaign I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts.

Again, thanks for writing. Your continued support of President Bush is very much appreciated.

Best regards,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Bob Teeter". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Robert M. Teeter
Campaign Chairman

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
02b. Letter	From: Paul S. Lee, Sr. to George Bush Re: Election issue [Redaction of personal information] (2 pp.)	09/22/92	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [1]

Date Closed:	3/12/2001	OA/ID Number:	93007-001
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0328-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

GREAT STAR CEMENT CORPORATION
A NEVADA CORPORATION

1714 West Bonanza Road
Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Office: (702) 791-0998
FAX: (702) 647-3715

150 North Santa Anita Avenue
Third Floor
Arcadia, California 91006
Office: (818) 446-9093
FAX: (818) 446-7675

September 22, 1992

COPY

Mr. George Bush, Jr.:

I have never met nor communicated with you in any way, but under this critical emergency situation I have to contact you as quickly as possible. I was so greatly encouraged by your radio message a few days ago, that I now have a more comfortable feeling regarding the Presidential election campaign.

The time is seriously essential in order to win the battle. We have to move forward in the right direction as soon as possible because there is not much time left. The time is real critical.


We must do something in a different way as quickly as possible before the people stand at the ballot box and let the people understand the true information against the misinformation disseminated by Bill Clinton.

For 12 years, both the Reagan and Bush Administrations have completed the miracle - the incredible mission to banish communism from the Earth. This mission is the first and the last in human history. However, it is now an unendurably painful reality that President Bush's campaign is trailing behind that of Clinton/Gore.

In this critical moment, I am sincerely trying to assist the Bush/Quayle campaign. But I lack the capability to achieve success alone by myself because of the short time period. It is impossible to implement my plan by myself because of my recent personal circumstances. Therefore, I decided to share some of my ideas with John Fisher, Chairman of the American Security Council Foundation, in Washington, D.C. I have been on the Board of Directors of the ASCF since 1984, and have been a member of the Presidential Club, and the U.S. Congression Advisory Board.

I discussed this urgent matter with John Fisher and I found that the control of the President's successful campaign is beyond his capability for a couple of reasons that I will be glad to discuss with you in person.

At any rate, we have got to win this election. By the way, you and I are in the same boat.



[REDACTED]

I have accumulated extensive political experience in Washington, D.C. and abroad since 1977. As an example I have enclosed the letter to the individual senators regarding arms sale to Saudi Arabia in 1986 and a couple responses out of many tens. Through my experience, it is clearly possible to succeed in winning this election if we stand up quickly in the right direction. However, I have to discuss with you my ideas face to face as soon as possible in order to carry out a special plan on a door to door basis. It is critically urgent. I am going to fax this letter and send the hard copy by Federal Express.

Please contact me at your convenience if you desire. I can fly at any time to Washington, D.C. to meet with you because it is critically urgent. I can be reached at my telephone numbers:

Business - (818) 446-9093
Home - (818) 355-6748


My FAX number:

Business - (818) 446-7675
Home - (818) 355-1906

Address:

Business Paul S. Lee, Sr.
Great Star Cement Corporation
150 N. Santa Anita Avenue
3rd Floor
Arcadia, CA 91006

Home: Paul S. Lee, Sr.
2285 Highland Vista Drive
Arcadia, CA 91006



Paul S. Lee, Sr.
Chairman of
Great Star Cement Corporation

COPY

TO: HONORABLE MR. JIM BAKER

THE CRUCIAL TRUE INFORMATION

FOR

PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE

FOR

1992 CAMPAIGN

THE SUMMARY OF THE MIRACULOUS STRATEGY TO WIN 1992 ELECTION

Sixteen years ago the people of the United States made the biggest mistake of voting the wrong person to the highest office in this land, the White House, and as a result, the great nation of America was out of control, not only of the problems at home, such as the economy, national defense, morality of family value, but also the problems abroad caused by immature foreign policy, which destroyed the strong strength of free allied nations, shored up international terrorists to give them human rights, do whatever they wished to do and tied up our military arms production and let the Soviet Union stand tall as a super nation on Earth.

Fortunately, 12 years ago this unendurably painful experience taught the people of America to vote two true patriots in the office as a landslide election resulted.

As the result, for 12 years these patriots, the Reagan and Bush Administration, were the true public servants and they have devoted themselves to serve beyond the call of duty, risked their own lives on behalf of the people of the United States and accomplished more than they promised and we expected. At last, the unexpected incredible and miraculous day has come on Earth.

We won the historic war of banishing the evil of communism from the face of the Earth. The people of the world never dreamt of this becoming a reality so quickly. Now we, the United States of America, stand tall among nations as the only superpower and the greatest in human history. Praise God! Praise the American people! And praise the Reagan and Bush Administrations! The realization of this mission was not by natural causes or random processes, or by an accident or coincidence. It did not come about by itself. The key element of this realization was the sincere devotion and the sacrifices of the Reagan and Bush Administrations on behalf of the people of the United States of America. The Reagan and Bush Administrations not only bolstered the national defense which has proven to be so important, but they also built up the economy. The 21% interest and 13.5% inflation rates of the Carter Administration were reduced to under 8% and 3.5%. There was also a rise in the levels of productivity. Even in this recessionary period, our economy is the envy of Europe. It is truly miraculous that in spite of the extremely high price that we paid for

defense and peace through strength, that the national economy improved so dramatically during the Reagan and Bush Administrations, and the stagnation of the Carter presidency is behind us.

The major accomplishments of the Reagan and Bush Administrations were:

- 1 - Rejection of the unilateral nuclear arms production freeze. The Reagan/Bush Administration rejected the losing policy of the Carter/Mondale Administration and similar suggestions by the members of Congress.
- 2 - Rejection of the policy of halting the production of the MX missile systems as desired by the Carter Administration and some members of the Congress.
- 3 - Support of the British during the Falklands Islands crisis.
- 4 - Rejection of the proposed withdrawal of American forces from South Korea as promoted by the Carter Administration and some members of the Congress.
- 5 - The rescue of Americans trapped in Grenada and the re-instatement of the legitimate democratic government of that nation. This action furthermore precluded the establishment of nuclear arms bases in the Caribbean for use by the Soviets against opposition at home and the United Nations.
- 6 - Successful sales of arms to Saudi Arabia through judicious exercise of veto of the destructive congressional resolution. This action had significant implications for the Desert Storm victory.
- 7 - The bombing of the international terrorist bases in Libya with the assistance of the British. That action helped to stop international terrorists from operating, taking hostages and hijacking airplanes.
- 8 - Exercise successful leadership in the Desert Storm operation. The Bush Administration stood up for justice and righteousness and exercised strong leadership for the international community.

The mandate of the Bush Administration has not been completed. For the next four years the Bush Administration has set up the unprecedented plan to vitalize the economy through free trade and the promotion of the world-wide free enterprise system throughout the world. Not only the people of the United States will benefit from this policy, the entire world community will be the beneficiary of the free trading system.

We have clearly complete confidence in President Bush. His capability, experience, and successful leadership of the world community for over a decade are essential for the success of the plan. We have witnessed miraculous accomplishments during the Reagan and Bush Administrations. We can trust the Bush Administration since the past twelve years show that the Bush Administration will fulfil its mandate.

On the other hand, Bill Clinton's promises are not based on experience and have no foundation. He has no sense of judgment, and caters to the special interest groups in order to win votes. This is very harmful to the welfare of society. Bill Clinton does not have the experience in foreign affairs for the leadership of the world community. We cannot trust him with such grave responsibility without any experience. His promises are uncertain at best since he does not have the experience and know how to realize his proposed plans. He may repeat the mistakes of the Carter Administration. We cannot afford to hand over the leadership of our country to this inexperienced new born politician. We completely trust the Bush Administration, but Bill Clinton does not have any credibility.

The economic plan of the Bush Administration for the next four years is realistic. Under the successful Free Trade System the economic projections for the next four years are as follows:

Year	<u>93</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>96</u>
Annual GDP Growth	2.0%	1.5%	*	*
Inflation	3.5%	2.0%	1.0%	0%
Unemployment	5%	4%	2%	0%
Consumer Price Increase	3%	0%	0%	0%

* - increase according population increase rate.

In conclusion, the grave responsibility of world leadership, in this pivotal moment in history, should be trusted to the man who has proved himself through years admirable performance, but not to an inexperienced new born politician who makes unfounded promises and caters to the special interest groups.

THE MIRACULOUS STRATEGY TO WIN 1992 ELECTION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	DEAR FRIENDS, THE GREAT PEOPLE OF AMERICA	1-5
2.	THE KEY EFFORT OF DEVOTION	6-8
3.	THE SALE OF ARMS TO SAUDI ARABIA	9-14
4.	THE WORLDWIDE FREE TRADE SYSTEM	15-22
5.	THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES NOW DEMAND A SINCERE APOLOGY FROM BILL CLINTON	23-26

by

Paul S. Lee, Sr.

DEAR FRIENDS, THE GREAT PEOPLE OF AMERICA

Truly, we are proud to be Americans!

At last, the long awaited incredible and miraculous day has come on earth. The great people of America, the watchmen on the wall of freedom and peace for man, have completed their great mission and duty to sow the seeds of peace and freedom in all the corners of the earth.

The price of our mission to bring freedom and peace to all mankind has been extremely high; but the American people have always paid the price. The powerful administrations of Reagan and Bush--governments of the people, by the people, and for the people--have earnestly sown the seeds of freedom and peace from early in the morning to late into the night during the last 12 years. With tears and sweat, these administrations have completed their duty to the people of the United States and the people of the world. The enemy of human beings--the evil of communism--has been rooted out and deported from the face of the earth.

The cost of evicting that tyrant from its havens has been the sweat, blood, and lives of many young American men and women given in such conflicts as World War I, World War II, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, the Cuban Crisis of 1962, the Grenada Crisis of 1983, and the Gulf War. Many brave American patriots--including those in the Reagan and Bush administrations--have been fighting against enemies both foreign and domestic and all of the above operations have contributed greatly to today's victory and the achievement of freedom and peace for man on the earth.

Above all, the Cuban Crisis in 1962, during President Kennedy's time, and the Grenada Crisis of 1983, during the Reagan/Bush administration, were fundamental battles to assure that the national defense capabilities of the United States would not be compromised by the establishment of nuclear bases for Soviet Union in the Caribbean Islands--right on our door step.

Now, Bill Clinton is criticizing the Bush Administration in the following manner:

1. Bill Clinton says whatever he thinks without any real understanding of the needs of our national defense, our economic situation, and the morality in American society under the Carter/Mondale administration.
2. Recklessly and thoughtlessly, Bill Clinton is pledging to secure the rights of special groups in order to get votes from them without properly distinguishing right or wrong just like Carter and Mondale did.

Bill Clinton should realize, at least, the following true

facts of recent history before he criticizes the Reagan/Bush administration.

1. Because Bill Clinton is a naive politician, he doesn't remember the condition of this nation under the Carter/Mondale administration. When the Reagan/Bush administration took over management of this nation from Carter and Mondale, our national defense capabilities were in a critical and dangerous condition. If the Carter/Mondale administration had continued, before long, the United States would have been forced to surrender unconditionally to Soviet Union. This disaster would have been the result of the naive and immature foreign policies of Carter and Mondale. Their policies led not only to a weakening of our military power, but also to the loss or impending loss of strong allied nations such as Iran and South Korea was almost lost under the Carter administration but the Reagan administration saved the community.

History clearly shows that Khomeini's powerful and outrageous organization of international terrorists was shored up and their infamous acts against the American people encouraged by the immature foreign policy of the Carter/Mondale administration and their so called "human rights policy." This naive and immature foreign policy eventually destroyed the human rights of every Iranian citizen and crushed the human dignity of the 53 American hostages held illegally in Iran for 444 days. The Iranian people have since suffered under the yokes of dictatorship and poverty as Khomeini's insane rule has diminished the national productivity of Iran by more than 75%.

The Shah Pahlavi, after his exile from Iran, indicated that "My biggest mistake was in listening to the Americans about our internal problems and in following the private orders of Carter." The release of terrorists from Iranian jails, as demanded by Carter, only gave the terrorists the opportunity to regroup and reattack. As a result of the Carter/Mondale administration's mistaken policies, we lost a strong ally, Iran.

In 1979, when President Carter visited South Korea, a list containing the names of 93 political prisoners was given to Park's government by the Carter/Mondale Administration. Carter insisted that these criminals be released. However, this list was compiled by Carter/Mondale administration aides who knew nothing about the prisoners' crimes and nothing of the true natures of those prisoners. That meddling action was shameful for America and disastrous for South Korea in that it destroyed Korea's national unity, confused the Korean people, and encouraging them to defy the authority of the Korean government. However, a wise President Park did not listen to Carter's demands because he knew that implementing those ridiculous demands would have resulted in Iranian-style chaos in Korea.

Carter and Mondale also acted to critically weaken the national defense capabilities of our nation. This dangerous situation was underlined by a comparison of our antiquated armed

forces with the modern and more powerful arms of the Soviets. This grave situation was the result of the unilateral arms freeze imposed on the United States by Carter and Mondale--an act clearly in the Soviets' interest. Thus, Carter/Mondale completely destroyed the honorable pride and powerful strength of this great nation.

However, the Reagan/Bush administration rebuilt the power of this nation and made it again the powerful defender of freedom. As long as we stand tall, our generation will no longer have any risk of nuclear attack on or for our children and their children will definitely be saved from the horrible threat of communist domination and nuclear war.

2. The social security system ran into serious trouble during the Carter/Mondale administration, but the Reagan/Bush administration saved it and insured its viability at the present time.

3. Under Carter and Mondale, interest rate were as high as 21% and inflation was uncontrolled and running as high as 13.5% annually. Reagan and Bush brought down interest rates from 21% to 8% and brought inflation under control--reducing it from 13.5% to 3.5% annually.

4. A loss of more than 16 million young people per year to the evil influence of atheistic secular humanism and their consequent were straying from family values under the Carter administration was reversed during the Reagan and Bush administrations as the youth of America began to rise up and walk away from evil attitudes and influences.

5. The sanctioning of murder through the legalization of abortion was supported by Carter and Mondale. This cost the American taxpayer over \$12 billion per year in blood tax money. Under the Reagan and Bush administrations, there began a revitalization of the American woman's genuine motherly love towards her children which prevented her from murdering her unborn child. The funding of \$12 billion dollars worth of murder through public funding of legal abortions was stopped.

6. An increase in the depth of infiltration by KGB agents into our society through their obtaining positions of influence--under the mask of human rights--in professors' groups, lawyers' groups, Christian ministers' groups, equal rights groups, schools, etc was halted. Now, previous KGB activity has been terminated through our victory of the Cold War forever.

7. In 1983, all domestic enemies--such as thoughtless, selfish, cowardly and pro-Kremlin congressional members and Democratic presidential candidate, Walter Mondale--and external enemies--such as the United Nations--spinelessly deplored the heroic action of Reagan/Bush administration as they acted to rescue United States citizens trapped in Grenada. However, the Reagan/Bush administration fearlessly and bravely stood with

family system. It is deeply painful that over 50% of American families end in divorce and millions of young children are released in the streets or live in broken homes.

If Bill Clinton is elected President of the United States, the morality, order and peace of this nation will be at stake and the situation will be many times worse than it was under the Carter and Mondale administration since even Carter did not publicly support gay rights.

10. Clinton purposely and knowingly spreads lies and falsehoods about the Reagan/Bush administration in order to make the people upset so that they will vote for him.

But history clearly shows that the Bush Administration has done nothing wrong. On the contrary, it has successfully completed the incredible mission to deport communism from the earth. People must realize that the economy under Bush is much healthier than it was under Carter.

In this critical moment, the people of the United States must make the choice which will seal the success of the great mission for the benefit of all mankind begun by the Reagan and Bush administrations. Under the experienced and visionary leadership of the Bush administration we have won. However, as in the past, our worst enemies are at home in the form of te unexperienced, thoughtless, and destructive Democratic candidates as the same as Bill Clinton.

Bill Clinton delivered a speech in which he promised to "change" America. His changed America would mean gravely danger for all mankind. The United States of America is now the strongest nation in the world, standing tall as a leader of the world community, fighting to secure freedom and peace for all humanity. Bill Clinton's changeling America would be a bastard society even worse than that of Carter's.

Let us continue the great mission we and the Bush administration have scarcely won under God's guidance.

THE KEY EFFORT OF DEVOTION

Under the Reagan and Bush administrations, the realization of success of the incredible and miraculous mission was not by any natural variation or random transformation, not by accident or coincidence--it did not come of itself. The key element of success was the sincerely sacrificial devotion of both Reagan and Bush at the risk of their own lives on behalf of the people of the country and the United States they love. The Reagan and Bush administrations have stood as firmly as mountains in order to accomplish their plans for success. They have withstood the opposition to their policies both at home and abroad and their policies have been proven to be sound and correct.

These successful policies were based upon the proven concept of "peace through strength." Their strong leadership both at home and abroad preserved the resolve of the world community and maintained the indomitably strong defense bases of the free allied nations for everyone's mutual benefit against the Kremlin aggression and all injustice.

The major policies were under Reagan and Bush were:

1. Rejection of the unilateral nuclear arms production freeze while the Soviet Union continued to violate every treaty it had ever signed. The Reagan/Bush Administration did not accept the losing policy of the Carter/Mondale administration and rejected the overtures of House and Senate members who wanted only to secure their seats for their own benefit.
2. Rejection of the policy of halting the production of MX missile systems as desired by Congress and the Carter administration.
3. Support of the British during the Falklands Islands Crisis.
4. Rejection of the withdrawal of the ground forces from South Korea, as promoted by Congress and the Carter administration.
5. The rescue of Americans trapped in Grenada and the reinstatement of the legitimate democratic government of that nation. This action furthermore precluded the establishment of nuclear arms bases in Caribbean for use by the Soviets.
6. Successful sales of appropriate arms to Saudi Arabia through the judicious exercise of the veto of unproductive congressional resolutions.
7. The bombing of international terrorist bases in Libya with the assistance of the British.
8. Exercise successful leadership in the world community by standing tall for justice and righteousness. The Bush

administration bravely and valiantly carried out the crucial operation of both Desert Shield and Desert Storm against the insane regime of Saddam Hussein.

We must remember that the success of Desert Storm was based upon the outstanding, fore-sighted foreign policy of the Reagan/Bush administration. Some years ago, in 1986, the Senate was preparing to override President Reagan veto of a bill to prevent the sale of arms to Saudi Arabia. The final tally of votes was 66 against the veto and 34 in favor of the veto--margin of victory in sustaining President Reagan's veto was only one vote. It was a real miracle. God remembered the sincere devotion of Reagan and Bush and how they had risked their lives on behalf of the people of the world community. The price of this miraculous victory was returned many times over when we used Saudi Arabian bases to repel Saddam Hussein's aggression upon Kuwait. The decision to sell arms to Saudi Arabia was the significant key to success and victory in the Mideast region.

Without the insightful application of foreign policy by the Bush administration, the finalization of the mission for "Freedom and Peace" for entire world community would have been impossible. Because of President Bush's leadership, the success was ours for the following reasons:

1. All of the highly experienced leaders of the world community of nations willingly listened to the Bush administration because they highly respect and sincerely trust the Bush team. However, the leaders of world community will not respect Bill Clinton nor trust him at all because they very concerned about his immoral political base, e.g. homosexuals, and his lack of experience.

2. It is clear that the direction of Reagan and Bush's foreign policy is completely different from the direction taken by the Congress, which is controlled by some thoughtless members of the Democratic Party, of which Bill Clinton is one of them. Specifically, the direction of the Reagan/Bush administration's foreign policy has been constructive; working with all free allied nations to secure mutual survival. Conversely, the direction of the Democratic Party's foreign policy is destructive. The selfish and thoughtless direction of the Democratic Party's foreign policy did not secure the free allied nations. The Democrat's foreign policy vision is very narrow and myopic, e.g. the attempt to block in the sale of missiles to Saudi Arabia, the attempt to withdraw ground forces from South Korea, and etc.

All of the free allied nations clearly realize that the world community would definitely lose capable leadership if Bill Clinton is elected as President of the United States. All nations which are individual parts of the defense of the world community realize that Bill Clinton's foreign policy direction and the resolutions of the U.S. Congress are totally different from that of President Bush. All experienced and thoughtful leaders of the world nations sincerely hope that President Bush

wins the Presidential election in November because they desire continued strong leadership within and guiding the United Nations.

Through the Reagan and Bush administrations, communism was deported from the Earth and the Soviet Union surrendered. "Peace and Freedom" was brought to our door step by Reagan and Bush and now we must secure the economy of the ex-Soviet Union and help it to survive.

However, we must remember that the people of the United States must no longer be sacrificed. The people of the United States have paid an extremely high price in carrying out the indispensable mission for humanity for almost a century. The price for the people of the United States was the creation of the near five trillion national debt.

The people of the United States understand that the nursing of the new born freedom nations such as the ex-Soviet Union and mainland China can only succeed under the leadership of the most powerful nation in the world community, the United States. Of course success will not be possible without strong and capable leadership in the White House.

The strong and capable leadership depends upon the intelligence and foresight of a foreign policy lead by experience, which will not occur if the Presidency changes hands.

Now, there is another equally indispensable element to achieving the mission. That element is the security of our domestic economy. The United States must provide for a strong and capable domestic economy. The United States is strong enough to carry out its mission without increasing taxes and without increasing the national debt. The people of the United States must secure and improve the strength of the economy through a reasonable free trade policy.

As planned, we trust that the Bush administration is able to secure and achieve the strength of the economy of the United States through a successful FREE TRADE SYSTEM which includes all of the nations of the world, including the ex-Soviet Union and mainland China. All members of the world community of nations must work together to survive and enjoy life for years to come without war.

Paul S. Lee
150 North Santa Anita Avenue
Third Floor
Arcadia, California 91006

May 23, 1986

Dear Senator

I greatly appreciate your kind response to the numerous letters I have sent to you in the past regarding issues of national defense.

I write you today to sincerely remind you that a sale of arms and weaponry to Saudi Arabia would strengthen our relationship with that free allied nation--a major power in that region. Such an action would greatly revitalize the true spirit of the Libya bombing mission so that all terrorists will be completely dissuaded from killing and kidnapping innocent people throughout the world. Our solidarity with Saudi Arabia, as would be demonstrated through a sale of arms to that free ally, will send a clear message of isolation to both Kadafi and the Kremlin. I call on all thoughtful and patriotic Senators to stand up in support of the sale of arms to Saudi Arabia.

The Senator leading the opposition to this sale of arms to Saudi Arabia is Senator Alan Cranston of California. As Senator Cranston always opposes any national security proposal made by President Reagan or his Administration, it would be instructive to juxtapose and compare the contributions of both President Reagan and Senator Cranston to our national defense policy.

As you know, in 1983, President Reagan acted to rescue more than one thousand American citizens from Grenada. Over 85% of the people of the United States approved of and acknowledged the urgency and justice of that mission which not only prevented a repeat of the Iranian Hostage situation, but also prevented the establishment of a terrorist base in our backyard. Following this successful mission, the Kremlin attacked President Reagan with strong rhetoric--calling him an "invader"--from both Moscow and the floor of the United Nations. At the same time, Senator Cranston also strongly criticized President Reagan for "censorship" from the floor of the Senate. In this matter, Senator Cranston was in opposition to the opinion of over 85% of the American people. He was, however, in complete agreement with the brutal Kremlin.

Let us also briefly examine his voting record in the Senate. Any bills or proposals from the Reagan administration seeking to consolidate our national strength both at home and abroad are opposed by the Kremlin. They are also opposed by Senator Cranston.

As to the indispensable mission of bombing the terrorist bases in Libya--a brave decision which could only have been made by our sacrificial and patriotic leader, President Reagan--Senator Cranston was not at all pleased by the

President's action and, with the Kremlin, strongly attacked it and labeled it a "criminal action". Meanwhile, over 85% of the American people praised President Reagan's great action and said that it was the right decision at the right time because for many decades, both our will and our patience have been tested by the continuously escalating number of killings, kidnappings, and bombings carried out by terrorists. President Reagan acted as he did, when did, because the greatest danger would have been in doing nothing.

Let us recall the patriotic words of President Reagan:

No one can kill Americans anywhere in the world.

With these words, tears were ready to well up in the eyes of all Americans. This statement left patriotism glowing deeply in the heart of every individual American.

Now, after the arms sale to Saudi Arabia was disapproved, Senator Cranston, who led the opposition to that sale, was very pleased and said, in what may be termed his "victory statement," that "the Administration and the Saudis have thrown in the towel." These thoughtless words deeply shocked more than 85% of the American people. The leaders of the Kremlin, on the other hand, were delighted by Senator Cranston's exclamation. Senator Cranston went on to say:

As a matter of principle, I do not believe we should be selling our advanced weapons to a nation that thwarts American interests in the Middle East, that bankrolls nations and organizations that support terrorists and that backs Kadafi against the United States.

This statement seems very reasonable--indeed, all of Senator Cranston's statements seem reasonable. However, as is characteristic of his rhetoric, Senator Cranston has mis-applied his words in order to garner support for his opposition to the arms sale and misdirect the American people from his real purpose--the complete destruction of all the forces of our free allied nations in the Mideast. Such action will result in a greater Soviet presence in that region and would be wholly disastrous to both our national defense forces and the defense forces of our free allies.

His behavior, as briefly detailed above, indicates that Senator Cranston is a pro-Kremlin politician.

The argument in opposition to arm sales to nations in the Mideast is not new--in Life, all things are risky. However, following the historical bombing mission to Libya, this arms sale to Saudi Arabia is essential not only to revitalize the true spirit of the necessary bombing of terrorist bases in Libya--thereby dissuading terrorists from kidnapping and killing innocent people--but also so as to maintain our relationships with our strong free allies in the Mideast.

The proposed arms sale is an extraordinary deal for the United States. We must remember that we will not be giving away those arms and weapons to Saudi Arabia for free. In addition to the pure economics of this transaction, the sale will maintain the strong and invaluable free allied friendship between the United States and Saudi Arabia.

Therefore, before you make this important decision, you must quietly and sincerely consider the following three fundamental issues:

1. On which slate is your name written? On the slate for a strong national defense with President Reagan or on the anti-national defense slate of the liberal politician, Senator Cranston?
2. At this critical time, which will determine whether or not the result of the Libya bombing mission will be the rooting out of the inveterate enemy of humanity--terrorists--based in Libya, our sale of arms will be the single key factor which will revitalize the true spirit of that mission.
3. We must keep in mind the extremely important fact that resolutions by our Congress concerning our national defense policy are always 100% favorable for the Soviets--allowing them to comfortably build great military superiority over the U.S. while our military strength is continually being restricted through the actions of the groups in Congress headed by Senator Cranston and Speaker O'Neill. Nevertheless, President Reagan and patriotic Congressmembers continuously and earnestly worked to turn this scandalous situation around through great and heartfelt efforts on their parts. It was through their honest sacrifices that this nation was saved.

Therefore, before long, the American people will become sorely aware of this painful reality and those seats, in both houses, shall be filled by patriotic Senators and Representatives. The results of each election will similarly affirm the mandate of the Presidential elections of both 1980 and 1984. Such results will be obtained through the efforts of the coalition of national patriotic organizations known as In Defense of America.

Indeed, Almighty God is always at the side of President Reagan. We see this unmistakable reality through the clear evidence presented by the following fact. Shortly after the Soviets began strongly attacking President Reagan's bombing mission of Libya as a "criminal act" and pledging to join Kadafi in his revenge on the U.S., God caused the nuclear power plant at Chernobyl to blow up and seriously injure the Soviet Union. This was not a coincidence, but was clearly an act of God designed to frighten the Soviets out of their senses so that they would be forced to keep silent about Reagan's just action. If God did not act on time, no one could know what brutal acts would have been taken by the joint abomination of Kadafi and the Soviet Union.

As a whole, the proposal to sell arms to Saudi Arabia by President Reagan is of paramount importance to our national defense interests in that region. Therefore, I sincerely urge that you, as a sincere patriot and Senator of this great nation, support the arms sale proposal to Saudi Arabia. To support such a sale is to follow the will of God. In other words, as is the will of God, through the sale of arms to Saudi Arabia, the true spirit and the honest purpose of the Libyan bombing mission will be revitalized and preserved as a signal of the beginning of the punishment of all terrorists by the most just and powerful nation on earth and will serve to free innocent people everywhere from the fear of brutal and outrageous terrorism. Support of the arms sale is

also to respect the opinion of over 85% of American people. You must remember that President Reagan's national defense policy was approved by 49 states from coast to coast and over 85% of the American people in his historical 1984 landslide victory.

We must all stand up in support of our national strength and remember that we must never choose the path of surrender to the Kremlin. We are watchmen on the walls working to preserve the peace and freedom of all mankind through our indomitable national strength.

We are proud to be Americans! With God's leadership and help, we will undoubtedly overcome this dangerous crisis at home--the proliferation of thoughtless members in Congress. This we shall accomplish without difficulty if we, as a powerful army "in defense of America," contribute our best efforts, believing in our great capacity to perform great deeds through our destiny as one nation under God as well as through the singlemindedness of our purpose in Congress.

Sincerely Yours,


Paul S. Lee
As a Private Citizen

Member of the Board of Directors,
American Security Council Foundation
Member of the President's Club,
U. S. Congressional Advisory Board

PSL/ysh



THE VICE PRESIDENT
WASHINGTON

June 16, 1986

Mr. Paul S. Lee
150 North Santa Anita Avenue
Third Floor
Arcadia, California 91006

Dear Mr. Lee:


Thank you for your recent letter expressing your support for the arms sales to Saudi Arabia and for this Administration's policies in the Middle East.

It was thoughtful of you to write, and I appreciate your having taken the time to share your views on this sensitive issue with me. Correspondence such as yours is an important source of feedback to me, and I want you to know that your opinions do count. Please be assured that your views will be given every consideration in the future.

The President and I appreciate your continued support of our policies.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



George Bush

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

June 6, 1986


Dear Mr. Lee:

Thank you for taking the time to write to express your views. I appreciate the opportunity to respond.

Your correspondence is of great interest to me. Through your efforts and the efforts of others like you I am able to get a good idea of how the voters are reacting to those issues which most significantly affect them.

Rest assured I will keep your views in mind. Keep in touch.

Sincerely,



PAUL LAXALT
U.S. Senator

PL:bjk

Mr. Paul S. Lee
150 North Santa Anita Avenue, 3rd Floor
Arcadia, California 91006

THE WORLDWIDE FREE TRADE SYSTEM

Under the Free Trade Plan, the following conditions are required:

1. The purposes of Free Trade Plan are to:

A. Create jobs within free trade countries for the mutual benefit of the free trade countries and the United States. It is clear that the people of the United States can no longer sacrifice any more for the free trade nations. More detailed information will set forth within each program below.

B. Secure employment for the people of the United States domestically in any event and to prevent the lay off of workers in order to protect both welfare of the people of the United States and the purchasing power of workers in the United States.

The United States Secretary of Labor recently announced that when the North American Free Trade Treaty (NAFTT) goes into effect, more than 150,000 people in the United States will lose their jobs. In order to combat the unemployment effects of the NAFTT, an alternative plan must be carefully and systematically implemented. This alternative plan will avoid unilateral cuts in employment within the United States due to the employment of cheap foreign labor cost in plant established abroad by manufacturers. The loss of jobs in the United States caused by cheap foreign labor is a critically dangerous problem. This substitution of cheap foreign labor for United States labor will destroy the purchasing power of workers in the United States. Such action is suicide both for the people of the United States and for the manufacturers.

C. Secure the wages of employees in the United States without wage increases.

D. Decrease consumer prices by up to 25% or more in the United States and to secure consumer prices in free trade nations. No increase in production cost is possible in order to secure the market.

E. Require business firms involved in the Free Trade Plan to work together to ensure the success of the policy. Control of production costs in the United States must occur in order to secure stable consumer prices.

F. Control production amounts at a level which must not be in excess of that demanded by the markets of the world. The results will create economic recession.

G. Secure markets in the United States. This subject is the key successful implementation of the Free Trade Plan since the major consumers of products produced in free trade nations will

be in the United States. No matter how cheap the products are, if there is no market to consume the products, then the products are valueless and useless.

Therefore, to secure the manufacturing business, manufacturers must secure the market. In order to secure the market, the people who reside in the market region, the United States, must have a secure job.

The major consumer of products produced by Free Trade Plan the nations must be the people of the United States. Again, in order to secure the market, manufacturers must secure and protect the jobs of the people of the United States.

So there must be protection for manufacturers themselves. The factories in the United States must not be closed because when the factories in the United States are closed, the market automatically will be closed due to an absence of buying power on the part of the unemployed worker.

Manufacturers involved in the Free Trade Plan must keep in mind the following important facts in order to protect their business:

When a subsidiary production plant is built abroad; it must

1. Keep operating the plants in the United States as long as its subsidiary plants are operating in a free-trade zone abroad.
2. Secure the jobs of the employees in the United States.
3. Secure the wages of the employees in the United States.
4. Secure the consumer's price in the United States.
5. Control the production cost as calculated according to the regime set forth below.
6. Control the production cost of the subsidiary plant abroad to be at the lowest price as possible in order to secure the consumer's price in the United States as well as in the world.
7. Not provide annual increases in wages in the United States plants as well as foreign subsidiary plants. In order to secure the inflation rate in the United States as well as abroad. Wage increases based on skill and experience may occur, however yearly base increases would be prohibited since this causes inflation.
8. No overtime work in the absence of an emergency in order to share the jobs with everyone.

The rate of hourly wages is different between workers in the United States and workers abroad because of the following reasons:

A. The rate of wages of workers in the United States should be higher than workers abroad.

In the United States, consumer prices are higher than in new born freedom nations for the following reasons:

1. The people in the United States have been watchmen on the wall of freedom and peace for mankind since this nation was initiated. Therefore, the people of the United States have paid the extremely high price necessary to secure the peace and freedom of the people in the world. The price is beyond human imagination including the blood and lives of young Americans and the sweat of the people of the United States from generation to generation since the Civil War during Abraham Lincoln in 1860 for the countless wars and crisis throughout the world.

Because of the high price paid by Americans for freedom and peace, the economy of the United States had been confronted impatient difficulty, especially after World War I, World War II, Korean War and recently Gulf War, the United States government has paid the high price for national defense including free allied nations for defense project against communism and aid for poor abroad and undeveloped nations for food, clothing and medical supplies plus their own national defense costs. This duty behalf of God pushed up high inflation rate, high consumer price and created high unemployment rate and the national debt of the United States reached over many trillion dollars in order to secure consumer market for production for free allied nations such as Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan in the past 40 years. The high consumer price is greatly damaged to working people of the United States. But, now on no longer, the people of the United States will be sacrificed for that purpose.

In other words, we, all the people of the world, share our burden for each other, working together to solve the confronted problems to secure and reduce the consumer price in the United States and throughout the world. Of course, it is impossible to succeed overnight or the people of the United States alone.

This great mission will be only impossible when God gives us the wisdom to let the people of the world work together as a unit to solve the problems to secure human morality and economic strength to carry out the human duty generation to generation year to come with the freedom and peace of mankind.

The permanent security of economy strength in the world is only possible through fair Free Trade Policy across the earth.

2. The people of the world must understand that through the free trade system throughout the world the United States is the indispensable market to consume the products through Free Trade Policy and also products in the United States consume the world

market what they demand. Therefore, the people of the world must remember the security of the economy of the United States is namely return to the security of the economy of the world while the United States is the leader nation to secure all welfare and freedom and peace for all nations in the world.

Therefore, we are going to discuss briefly regarding Free Trade Policy between North American countries and new born freedom countries such as ex Soviet Union and Mainland China.

This is the prime idea and we must study this idea through professional persons with up to date information, such as economical, political and marketing, businessmen, manufacturers and management system of government of the United States and the nations of the world after the election. Now, the Free Trade Policy will be divided into the following programs:

PROGRAM 1

ESTABLISHING SUB-PLANTS, OR SECOND PLANTS

Establishment of sub-plants or second plant in free trade nations, Mexico, Canada, ex Soviet Union or Mainland China, and so on.

The plants in the abroad must be under the following conditions:

1. The existing plant in the United States must remain open or continue operation.
2. Any employees working in the United States must not be laid off.
3. Determination of Consumer Prices.

First, consumer price in the United States:

Products cost must be calculated by synthesize figure with the production cost in the United States plant and the production cost of sub-plant abroad. In other words, a unit production cost of the United States plus a unit production cost of sub-plant abroad and divide by 2 and the answer will be base for determining consumer price in the United States, the method is reducing consumer price up to 25% over 4 years in the United States.

Second, the consumer price abroad:

Normally, based on the production cost by the actual cost but consumer price should be lower price as possible in order to secure inflation rate of zero % yearly both in the United States and abroad.

4. The amount of production increase will be the same rate of population growing rate in the world in order to protect over-production. (Too much production results in problems of economic recession) Production will be increased by demanded consumers.

5. If full employment force plant in the United States produce more than the amount demanded by consumer market the full employment force should be SHIFTED INTO TWO TEAMS SCHEDULE without lay off. Total work force should divide two teams. Each team work 6 hours per day with securing 8 hour wages. The first team start to work 8:00 AM to 3:00 PM with one hour lunch time. Second team start to work 10:00 AM to 5:00 PM with one hour for lunch time. Two hours to be paid by labor savings realized by foreign sub-plants.

This two teams schedule will greatly help transportation problems for the workers in the United States, bumper to bumper early morning and after work. Someone gets up at 5 o'clock in the morning in order to work on time at 8 o'clock AM and at 7 to 8:00 PM the people arrive home after work ends at 5:00 PM. In order to work 8 hours a day, the people spent 12-13 hours. This schedule will save 2 or 3 hours idle time. Then, the people can spend more time to enjoy with family with reduce idle time. American people were sacrificed for others for almost a century spending 12-13 hours a day and paid taxes and national debit over piled up many trillion dollars which sooner or later American people must pay. All this price paid by the people of the United States have secured today's victory of freedom and peace for world, and also the people of the United States must nurture all new born freedom nations to secure job. In order to secure their job, the United States must secure the market consume the products produced in new born free nations. In fact, sub-plant in free trade nations will take care of the part of wages of the main plant in the United States in order to secure market in the United States for both manufacturers and working people in the United States. This schedule is fair and reasonable in order to success this vital system, all manufacturers in the United States must cooperate to carry out the central idea for mutual surviving (manufacturers and workers).

PROGRAM 2

INVESTMENT FROM OTHER NATIONS IN OUR FREE TRADE NATIONS

We have to have strict rules in order to handle carefully merchandise from abroad to our market for our benefit. For example, if Japan or some other nations invest funds in Mexico built plant, produce products and attempt to consume them in the United States. Then, a question arises on the products. Therefore, under Free Trade Policy Center, fair and reasonable instructions must be created in order to protect our employment as follows:

1. The products must be accepted with permission by Free Trade Policy authority.
2. The price of consumer must not be under price than our market.
3. The production cost must be lower than our production cost in the United States.
4. For foreign producers, the revenue produced in our market must be 50/50 profit sharing policy between the foreign producer and the market region Free Trade System Center in the United States.
5. This 50% of profit sharing revenue should secure the fund for the shortage of wages for government employees and education fund.

PROGRAM 3

TRADE IN EXCESS PRODUCTION

The products produced by directly free trade nation itself, and excess products than demand, in their nations, for example agricultural products in the United States, exchange some products excess demand in the ex Soviet Union which demanded by consumers in the United States. The revenue from this operation would use the fund for new job training.

PROGRAM 4

SMALL, PRIVATE ENTERPRISES IN THE UNITED STATES.

All small, privately owned enterprises (occupying 70% of work forces in the United States) must be secured by "free trade operation policy" because these enterprises occupy high percentage of consumers and production activities in the United States. If these enterprises will be destroyed by Free Trade Policy, critical problems will occur in the United States such as it will lead to considerably discourage consuming power in the United States due to create high unemployment rate. Therefore, the Free Trade Policy Center must secure the continuous operation of these enterprises applied by either program one, two, three, four, or both. (Worker in these small business would be protected by the same principals as workers in large companies as through P1, P2, P3.)

We very carefully and cautiously handle this problem in order to secure employment and consuming power in the United States. Therefore, we must extensively research this program in detail by individual professional field staffs.

AS A CONCLUSION, the Free Trade Policy will directly serve to contribute the security of economic strength for entire world

community controlling the following prime function of economy:

1. The security of the inflation rate in world community.
2. The security of job for working people throughout the world.
3. The security of the consumer price in all nations in the world.
4. The security of production quantity demanded by world community.
5. The security of the true harmonious way of life for entire world community secured economy in peace under God.

Above all, Number 4, CONTROL PRODUCTION QUANTITY is the most significant and serious problem for our life, as the following reasons:

1. The over production through the world will create the economic recession.
2. Any production activity for our daily necessities is directly related to the pollution contaminating air and water. This pollution problem is namely directly related to the risk of human life.
3. Waste products on or under the ground, or in the water is directly related to contaminated water and air pollution.
4. Over-production will bring waste the natural resource which limited quantity on the earth.
5. The most foolish thing due to over production will shorten the human life as working extra time to waste energy under the harmful environmental condition such as poisonous air and dust, chemical reaction and radius to destroy biological human body system. In short, over production of merchandise is directly related to the risk of human life.

In deed, the Free Trade Policy throughout the world will only can control over production and the Free Trade Policy will only can secure the economy strength of world community. The Free Trade Policy will be only possible on the earth, where the incredible mission to bring the peace and freedom, deporting communism from earth, has completed by the people of the United States and Reagan and Bush administration for 12 years.

The benefits from free trade system throughout world:

1. The system provides to secure economic strength for the entire world, and the "Peace through economy throughout worldwide nations as united as one unit share each other".
2. Securing economic recession by controlling over production.

3. Control the pollution to contaminate air and water.
4. Protecting natural resources from waste.

UNDER THE FREE TRADE SYSTEM through world community, the dream of the mankind since the beginning of the world must come true. That is, the peace and freedom for humanity must be secured by the strength of economy for daily life through free trade system.

Under the successful Free Trade System, the brief outline of the economic projection for next four years is as the follows:

Year	<u>93</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>96</u>
ANNUAL INCREASE IN GDP	2.0%	1.5%	*	*
ANNUAL INFLATION RATE	3.5%	2.0%	1.0%	0%
UNEMPLOYMENT	5%	4%	2%	0%
INCREASE IN CONSUMER PRICE-Annual rate	3.0%	0%	0%	0%

* A increase according to population increase rate.

The above economic projections will be realized only when all governments of the world community are involved in the free trade system and the major manufacturers such as those of auto, oil, and steel cooperate each other. It is impossible to succeed when each government tries to carry it out alone or manufacturers work separately. They must work together with undivided cooperation solving and overcoming the difficulties together. This worldwide Free trade System will be possible only under management by the Bush administration for the following reasons:

1. The proven record of strong leadership of world community by the Bush administration.
2. The Bush administration's long experience and promotion of free trade with many nations.
3. The great capacity to perform great deeds by destiny as the leader of the world community as evidenced by its historic achievement to eradicate the evil of communism from the Earth.

THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES NOW DEMAND A SINCERE APOLOGY FROM BILL CLINTON

Bill Clinton has brazenly criticized and accused the Reagan and Bush administrations for the "Iran-Contra" affair.

Bill Clinton should understand the origins and direct causes of the "Iran-Contra" affair. It seems that Bill Clinton is unable to remember U.S. history of only a decade ago. Let us remind him of the origins of the "Iran-Contra" affair. The "Iran-Contra" affair originated from the "Iran Hostage Crisis".

Recent history clearly shows that Khomeini's powerful and outrageous organization of international terrorists was shored up and their infamous acts against American people encouraged by the immature foreign policy of the Carter/Mondale administration and their so called "Human Rights Policy." This naive and immature foreign policy eventually destroyed the human rights of every Iranian citizen and crushed the human dignity of the 53 American hostages held illegally in Iran for 444 days.

1. The Carter/Mondale administration's naive human rights policies encouraged and supported the growth of anti-American forces in all free allied nations and in Iran in particular. Those policies shored up Moslem anti-Americanism there and caused the Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi to fall.

Khomeini's position has allowed him to successfully establish powerful anti-American terrorist groups throughout the world. Actually, the Carter/Mondale administration must be held responsible for the bombing attacks in Lebanon as mentioned above. Why? The Carter/Mondale administration and Tip O'Neill's group of congressional members must be held responsible for those attacks because:

1. Tip O'Neill's group of congressional members continually opposed the Reagan administration's foreign policy decisions concerning the Middle East causing us to lose our political and defense bases there.

2. Tip O'Neill's group of congressional members forced our peacekeeping marines to run like dogs from Lebanon by passing their resolutions ordering retreat.

Because of these actions, the Moslem terrorists scoffed at President Reagan's power as President of the United States and believed that the Congress of the United States stood on their side.

All anti-American terrorists in Lebanon are and were unmistakably agents sent from Iran by Khomeini--the man supported

by Carter's "Human Rights Policy". These powerful anti-American terrorists can not be controlled by us since our political base in Iran was completely destroyed by Carter's naive "Human Rights Policy". The Carter/Mondale administration's lack of leadership on the international stage caused the loss of many American interests abroad. During their term, the United States lost extraterritorial military, political, and commercial bases including the Panama Canal.

Furthermore, their attempt to withdraw U.S. ground troops from South Korea was a great mistake since the Soviet Union continued its attempts at building strong military bases in the western hemisphere in Cuba and the Caribbean--just 90 miles from our mainland. Maintaining a strong military defense base in South Korea is vitally important to both our national security interests and the mutual commitment that has been built between the United States and South Korea by the blood of young Americans and Koreans for over 34 years. Yet Carter was going to destroy the base.

Let us now document the real consequences of the naive and immature foreign policies of the Carter/Mondale administration.

As reported in the Los Angeles Times, before Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi died in Cairo, he spoke with sincere concern about the fact that Iran had fallen into a greatly wretched economic and political situation because he had regrettably followed "a policy of surrender". He said he did not use great military force to put down the terrorist demonstrations that broke his rule because the Carter/Mondale administration sent him private signals ordering him not to militarily suppress the demonstrations. In other words, the Carter/Mondale administration supported the demonstrations which succeeded to depose the Shah and let Khomeini back to Iran.

The shah also indicated that, "My biggest mistake was in listening to the Americans about our internal problems". Releasing the terrorists from jail only gave them the opportunity they needed to lead their bands of criminals and arsonists against the Iranian government. As a result of the Carter/Mondale administration's mistaken policies, we lost a strong ally.

Also, in 1979, when President Carter visited South Korea, he gave to the South Korean government a list containing the names of 93 political prisoners, i.e. terrorists, which were to be released from jail. This list was compiled by Carter/Mondale aides who knew nothing about the prisoners' crimes and nothing of the true information concerning those prisoners. That action was shameful for America and disastrous for South Korea in that if the President did so it destroyed Korea's national unity by confusing the people and encouraging them to defy the authority of their government.

Therefore, the Carter/Mondale approach to foreign policy

encouraged anti-government riots in two free allied nations and resulted in the fall of the government in Iran.

Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi was forced to accept the Carter/Mondale "Human Rights Policy" and he was eventually overthrown. On the other hand, Park's government in the Republic of Korea desperately resisted the Carter/Mondale "Human Rights Policy." They knew that to accept it would be to strike a most dangerous blow against South Korea's internal security and that acceptance of the policy would have completely destroyed the peace, order and productivity of the Republic of Korea--just as it had in Iran. In the end, Park's administration won the fight against the naive and immature "Human Rights Policy" of the Carter/Mondale administration and succeeded in building Korea's incredible economic miracle.

As shown in the clear evidence mentioned above, the immature foreign policy of the Carter/Mondale administration was and inhumane trap for the governments of our free allied nations and murderous policy for American citizens. As a result of the Carter/Mondale administration's policy, the toll of human suffering was very high--53 American citizens held hostage for 444 days, 241 American Marines killed in a terrorist bombing, and numerous hostages taken in the Middle East which lead to the "Iran-Contra" affair.

The occurrence of the "Iran-Contra" affair was directly related to the rise of international terrorists caused by the Carter/Mondale administration. As is human nature, when a person is taken as a hostage, his or her friends, family and nation will make every desperate effort to rescue them, at any the price. Some might have risked their own lives. Bill Clinton attacked these actions by stating that the rescue action was illegal. Clinton criticize the rescue attempts even though members of his political party had created the circumstances which lead to the hostages to being taken and left for dead. Clinton does not realize that terrorists are lawless people. Therefore, we must use all means available to rescue the hostages from their captors and correct the blunders of Carter and Mondale. Bill Clinton must remember that Reagan and Bush worked hard to stop the lawless actions of all terrorists. The Reagan and Bush administration worked for over a decade to halt terrorists' activities which began because of Carter's and Mondale's mistakes.

As we recall, the bombing of terrorist bases located in Libya was an indispensable action. All patriotic Americans approved of this necessary action which occurred only after a long period of patience and restraint. President Reagan was determined not to be distracted from our main concerns by irritants and fanatics. There had been many years of sacrifice and self-discipline, and our will and patience had been tested. In the end, there was no choice other than that taken because the real danger of ongoing terrorist attacks constantly threatened the security of both the United States and world.

The action was inevitable and the timing critical. The heroic action lead to the decline of terrorist activities as terrorists realized that their lawless actions would no longer go without a just and powerful punishment by the United States. The Reagan/Bush administration had paid a high price in their efforts to straighten the blunders of the Carter/Mondale administration. Above all, the rescue of the hostages was the most costly effort.

In conclusion, if Bill Clinton is a sincere and conscientious man, then he must deeply repent with passionate tears for all the victims created by the Carter and Mondale administration and the Democratic Party. Furthermore, Bill Clinton must sincerely apologize to all those who suffered under the consequences caused by the Carter and Mondale administration. Bill Clinton must express his gratitude to those who risked their lives to rescue the victims of the murderous policies initiated by the Carter and Mondale administration instead of blaming who had rescued them. Because of his attitude, Bill Clinton's personality is rightly subject to scrutiny and question. He blames those who helped the victims created by Carter's blunders and he intentionally blames the Republican Party in order to secure votes for his own benefit.

Finally, the Democratic party must sincerely apologize to all the victims who suffered because of Carter's blunders, and must sincerely express their appreciation to those who rescued the victims, using whatever methods available. As a member of the Democratic Party, Bill Clinton must not blame the Reagan and Bush administration, but appreciate their perseverance and express his appreciation for the incredible work they did in breaking the power of the terrorists.

Now, the people of the United States must recall the inexcusable blunders of the Carter Administration because Clinton is reminding them of the consequences of those mistakes. Therefore, the people of the United States now duly demand that Bill Clinton to apologize for his false information and accusations which he delivered in order to collect votes for his Presidential campaign.



NRN

MOTION PICTURE ASSOCIATION
OF AMERICA, INC.
1600 EYE STREET, NORTHWEST
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
(202) 293-1966
FAX (202) 452-9823

JACK VALENTI
PRESIDENT
AND
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

October 7, 1992

Please forgive me for intruding on you. I understand fully you have a few things on your mind.

But, I must talk to you via this letter.

I have been told, and do in part believe, that a mighty effort will be underway this weekend to try to cut through the GATT negotiations latticework, to resolve differences and come to an accord.

I welcome the initiative. But I worry lest in the high velocity movement to reach an accommodation in agriculture where the French are obstinate, the French will demand concessions from the USA in those very areas where the U.S. film/TV/home video industry is most sensitively involved.

I don't have sufficient command of the language to convey to you the High Importance to this industry of a fair and equitable treatment in GATT. The alternative is to find itself on the outside looking in, while the EC pursues its ultimate aim of so restricting us that we will be forced, more and more, to produce our films/TV programs in Europe. That means job loss in this country.

Moreover, to you and to Carla I need not establish the bona fides of the movie industry's massive and consistent contribution to a Surplus Balance of Trade for our country. In the Services sector and in TRIPs (Intellectual Property), we have vital issues which can either shrink our future or illuminate it.

The Services sector deals with those obnoxious Quotas. Intellectual Property comprises the protection of our visual entertainment through the embrace of National Treatment and the honoring of our contractual rights.

I have no idea what will be said and done at these forthcoming meetings, but I pray that the issues which concern us and torment us are resolved to the long term interests of one of America's most prized trade assets. They have to be, else we are in the soup.

Jim, we need your help. Very much.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be 'JB' or 'Jim', written in a cursive style.

The Honorable James Baker
Chief of Staff
The White House

*PS I have sent
a similar letter
to Carla Hells.*

NRN

E (KIKI) DE LA GARZA, TEXAS,
CHAIRMAN
WALTER G. JONES, NORTH CAROLINA,
VICE CHAIRMAN
GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIFORNIA
CHARLIE ROSE, NORTH CAROLINA
GLENN FERGUSON, OKLAHOMA
LEON E. PANETTA, CALIFORNIA
JERRY HUCKABY, LOUISIANA
DAN GUCKMAN, KANSAS
CHARLES W. STENHOLM, TEXAS
HAROLD L. VOLKMER, MISSOURI
CHARLES HATCHER, GEORGIA
ROBIN TALLON, SOUTH CAROLINA
HARLEY D. STAGGERS, JR., WEST VIRGINIA
JIM QUINN, VIRGINIA
TIMOTHY J. PENNY, MINNESOTA
RICHARD M. STALLINGS, IDAHO
DAVID R. NAULS, IOWA
JIM JONTE, INDIANA
TIM JOHNSON, SOUTH DAKOTA
BEN NORTON, COLORADO
MIKE ESPY, MISSISSIPPI
BILL GARDNER, TEXAS
JILL L. LONG, INDIANA
GARY CONDT, CALIFORNIA
COLLIN C. PETERSON, MINNESOTA
CALVIN M. DOOLEY, CALIFORNIA
MICHAEL J. KOPETSKI, OREGON

U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Agriculture

Room 1301, Longworth House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515-6001

Date:

10-8-92

TOM COLEMAN, MISSOURI,
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

RON MARLENEE, MONTANA
LARRY J. HOPKINS, KENTUCKY
PAT ROBERTS, KANSAS
BILL EMERSON, MISSOURI
SID MORRISON, WASHINGTON
STEVE GUNDERSON, WISCONSIN
TOM LEWIS, FLORIDA
ROBERT F. (BOB) SMITH, OREGON
LARRY COMBEST, TEXAS
WALLY MERGER, CALIFORNIA
JAMES T. WALSH, NEW YORK
DAVE CAMP, MICHIGAN
WAYNE ALLARD, COLORADO
BILL BARRETT, NEBRASKA
JIM HUSSELE, IOWA
JOHN A. BOEHNER, OHIO
THOMAS W. EWING, ILLINOIS

DIANNE POWELL,
STAFF DIRECTOR
VERNE HUBERT,
CHIEF COUNSEL AND
LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR
DENNIS E. LAMBERT
MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

TELEFAX NO.
(202) 225-8510

TELEFAX TO:

Hon. James Baker
= 41

FROM:

Chairman de la Garza

Committee on Agriculture
U. S. House of Representatives
1301 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Telephone: 202-225-2171

Fax: 202-225-8510

This transmission, including this cover sheet, totals

3 pages. If you have difficulty with this
transmission, please call us at once.

NOTES:

Copy of Chairman de la Garza's
note to Ambassador Carla Hills
and Secretary of Agriculture Edward
Madigan

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
03. Memo	Statement of Chairman de la Garza Re: GATT Negotiations (3 pp.)	10/08/92	P-5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [1]

Open on Expiration of PRA

(Document Follows)

By KMP (NLGB) on 4/3/05

Date Closed:	3/12/2001	OA/ID Number:	93007-001
FOIA/SYS Case #:	2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:	
Re-review Case #:	2005-0328-S	Appeal Disposition:	
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:		Disposition Date:	
AR Case #:		MR Case #:	
AR Disposition:		MR Disposition:	
AR Disposition Date:		MR Disposition Date:	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
 P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
 P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
 P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
 P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
 P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
 (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
 (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
 (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
 (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
 (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
 (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
 (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

TRANSMITTED FROM 512 682 5546 10.08.92 15:54 P.01 *dlg McALLEN
92 04:25PM FROM COMM. ON AGRICULTURE TO McAllen P001/002

A Release I did not send out
in deference to you and our
past relationship on this issue —
None the less it represents my feelings
at this point — (NR92-096)

FAX - 4:45 pm. to Ag. Committee

**Statement by Rep. E (Kika) de la Garza, D-Texas
Chairman, House Agriculture Committee**

**Comments on General Agreement on Tariffs
and Trade (GATT) Negotiations
Thursday, October 8, 1992**

Extremely disturbing reports have come to my attention from the
press and other sources which tend to indicate that White House
political operatives have directed this government's GATT (General
Agreement on Tariffs and Trade) negotiators to do whatever necessary
to bring home a GATT agreement prior to the Presidential election. The
GATT negotiations have been underway since 1986 and I would hope
that they are not on the verge of being compromised for political reasons
at this time.

We in the Congress have been assured repeatedly by the U. S.
Trade Representative and by the Secretary of Agriculture that they

R=97%

FROM JIG McALLEN

612 682 5546

10-08-92 04:53PM P001 #25

TRANSMITTED FROM 512 682 5546

10.08.92 15:54 P.02 *DLG McALLEN

1-92 04:25PM FROM COMM. ON AGRICULTURE TO McAllen

P002/002

would not bring home a bad agreement. I would hope that all the good work the Administration has done to liberalize trade and to protect United States agriculture would not be tossed out the window in the heat of a Presidential campaign. I look forward to supporting a good agreement but I must put the Administration on notice that I could just as easily lead the opposition to an agreement that is not in the best interests of American agriculture.

I have asked the Administration to continue to keep the Committee fully informed on any developments in the negotiations. Political considerations must not be allowed to keep us from a good agreement.

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
04. Statement	Statement of Wayne L. Davis Re: His employment and termination at Stone Container Corporation (10 pp.)	03/27/90	(b)(6)	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Chief of Staff to the President, Office of the
Series: Baker, James A. III
Subseries: Public Correspondence Files
WHORM Cat.:
File Location: [Public Correspondence, Sept/Oct 1992] [1]

Date Closed: 3/12/2001	OA/ID Number: 93007-001
FOIA/SYS Case #: 2000-0715-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2005-0328-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

(b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
(b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
(b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
(b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
(b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
(b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
(b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
(b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 29, 1989

Dear Mr. Davis:

Thank you for your letter requesting an appointment with the President during your visit to Washington.

We regret that due to the heavy demands of the President's responsibilities we were unable to schedule a meeting for you. However, please know that he appreciates your thoughtfulness and sends his best wishes to you for the future.

Sincerely,



JOSEPH W. HAGIN II
Deputy Assistant to the President
for Appointments and Scheduling

Mr. Wayne L. Davis
1422 Carlisle Avenue
Richmond, VA 23231

This is the response to a request to meet with your candidate right after the election period. I feel that I could have shed light on some of the approaching domestic issues.

OBITUARIES B2
 BAR EXAM RESULTS B7
 WEATHER B14

METRO

Legislator feels 'vindicated' by VMI decision

BY RUTH S. INTRESS

TIMES-DISPATCH STAFF WRITER

The legislator who fought Virginia Military Institute's all-male admissions policy says Virginia could have avoided the dilemma it faces because of Monday's court ruling.

Former Sen. Emilie F. Miller, D-Fairfax, who lost her legislative seat in January, said she feels a certain victory in Monday's 4th Circuit Court of Appeals ruling that held the school's admissions policy unconstitutional. "I feel vindicated," said Ms. Miller, who now serves on the board of the state's Center for Innovative Technology. "The court is

bringing out the same solutions [for VMI] that I brought to the General Assembly."

The 4th Circuit Court of Appeals now has ordered the state-supported school to comply with the Constitution's equal protection clause.

As a freshman senator in 1988, Ms. Miller said she warned key legislators that several women's groups were considering legal action against the state if Virginia didn't look anew at VMI's admissions policy.

"They laughed and said if a woman wanted to go to VMI, let her sue," she recalled. "I said that's what's going to happen, but nobody listened."

Ms. Miller's initial concerns centered on a scholarship program financed by the state that offers several dozen VMI cadets free board and tuition if they agree to later serve the state or military for two years. She felt a similar program should at least be offered to women at other state schools.

Her scholarship argument failed, as did her subsequent efforts to halt taxpayer support of the all-male VMI. And by taking on the powerful VMI, Ms. Miller became legendary in both the legislature and on editorial pages.

That unwanted fame has since faded for her. But yesterday it was Virginia's turn in the spotlight, as the appeals court ruled that

Virginia must find a resolution to VMI's determination to preserve its 153-year-old all-male status, while also halting the state school's discrimination in failing to educate women.

The three-judge panel's ruling stopped short of ordering VMI to admit women — "if adequate alternatives are available." But the judges said the state must set up a constitutionally acceptable plan, and gave possible options such as creating "parallel institutions or parallel programs" for women or allowing the school to go private and pay its own way.


Lawyers who have followed the case said those options may be easier proposed than

pursued. VMI officials have said going private is not a financially viable solution. The school receives a third of its \$30 million annual budget from the state, which also owns its substantial campus and covers its employees' health and retirement plans.

Creating a "parallel" program also is unlikely, said Pamela Karlan, a University of Virginia law professor who specializes in discrimination law.

Not only has VMI argued that its program is unique and cannot be copied, she noted, but the creation of a shadow program or

PLEASE SEE PAGE B5



Audit may result in changes

Morrissey conducting
audit on how

FAX COVER SHEET

TO: James Baker, Chief of Staff

Company: _____

FAX # 202 456 2461

FROM: _____

Company: _____

of pages following: _____

MESSAGE: _____

IF ALL PAGES ARE NOT RECEIVED PLEASE CALL 901-366-1368

MEMPHIS, SUNDAY, JULY 19, 1992

THE COMMERCIAL APPEAL

SECTION

INTEREST

25 mil. rates just waiting for higher interest. Top five bond is the answer. (would be the answer for 25 mil. to be)

Low CD rate puts retirees 'over barrel'

By Ted Evanoff
The Commercial Appeal

Like other Mid-Southerners who live on their savings, B. G. Maxwell of suburban Memphis has seen interest rates slashed on his certificates of deposit while prices remain steady in the stores.

"Interest rates are coming down, but prices aren't coming down," the retired Millington developer said. "What I don't understand is why."

It's a question faced by millions of retirees who lost buying power this month when the Federal Reserve Board cut short-term interest rates again to head off a recession.

People who rely on their savings have seen an extraordinary change of fortune. Under the press of the Federal Reserve, double-digit interest rates have plunged on short-term investments that pay off within a year or two.

But investors for the long-term investments that pay off in years have refused to accept sharply lower rates. They demand higher interest rates in case inflation springs up and robs their investments of value.

Because the Federal Reserve has no direct influence over long-term rates, what's happening is like a collision of economic forces. On one side stands a Federal Reserve worried about slow economic growth. On the other side

Financial squeeze on savers unlikely to end anytime soon

By Ted Evanoff
The Commercial Appeal

Financial experts say what's going on in the world rules out a sudden tide of good fortune for the people who live off their savings.

Rock-bottom rates paid on CDs, money market funds, 1-year Treasury notes, passbook savings accounts and other short-term investments probably will continue until the nation's economy quickens.

No one is sure when that will be, but many economists say the pace will accelerate gradually. Businesses will borrow more cash from banks, and the natural demand for loans will push up the price of money,

probably next year.

"These low rates could persist at least to the end of this year," said economist David M. Jones, senior vice president of the New York securities firm Aubrey G. Lanston & Co.

Predicting the rise and fall of interest rates is difficult because, some experts suggest, the nation is traveling an economic road unlike any other in the 20th Century.

Double-digit rates helped inspire an '80s financial boom that burst in the '90s, bringing forth weak interest rates, slow retail sales, tight loan standards, empty office buildings, and excess corporate and consumer

Please see DEBT, Page C5

stand investors who fear inflation.

Out of this gridlock has come the squall that pounds savers with short-term rates not seen since Kennedy was in the White House. To the misfortune of retirees who have lost buying power, the low rates have little to do with prices in the stores.

"Prices for the last two years have


been very, very stable," Memphis State University economist David Ciscel said. "These short-term rates reflect the reality of today. What is not at all clear is if this short-term reality will last."

The Federal Reserve, an independent regulator of the money supply, cut the

Please see SAVE, Page C5

Rates: Inflation fears

The Federal Reserve influences short-term interest rates. It's cut them to boost the economy since the mid-1990 recession. Rates have plunged on investments like Certificates of Deposit that pay off in 90 days. But long-term rates are immune. Investors worldwide shape long rates and, fearing inflation, demand higher interest. So rates on 30-year home loans backed by the Federal Housing Administration have not fallen steeply. These charts show the monthly average of daily rates.



Source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Page 3

Universal Designers, Inc.
Designing - Manufacturing - Sales

From Page C1

Save

discount rate early this month to 3 percent. The Fed acted within 30 minutes of the government's announcement: U.S. unemployment had jumped to 7.8 percent in June.

Out of concern the higher joblessness would make the nation lose confidence in the shallow recovery, the Fed also lowered its key federal funds rate. Both rates control the interest rate on money borrowed by banks.

Within days, the prime rate charged by banks in Memphis and throughout the nation had fallen to 6 percent from 6.5 percent. The prime rate is the loan rate paid by the bank's most creditworthy borrowers. Some other loan rates rise and fall with the prime.

By last week, the average rate on short-term investments had neared bottom: 90-day CDs had tumbled to 3.01 percent a year, down from 5.73 percent a year earlier, and nearly 10 percent three years ago.

By steadily lowering the short-term rates, the Federal Reserve has tried to keep the economy on track. But the moves have failed to rally money managers who invest billions of dollars over long periods.

"This latest round has not brought down the long-term interest rate," Ciscel said. "Long-term investors think (consumer) prices are going to go up."

As a result, money managers won't buy investments such as U.S. Treasury bonds that pay off in 30 years unless a high rate is in place to counter the threat of inflation eroding value. That's

why the rate on a 30-year Treasury bond was 7.79 percent in early July, close to the 8.44 percent of a year ago.

Money managers at pension funds, insurance companies, banks and other businesses know inflation can erode fortunes. Even the modest inflation rate of 3 percent a year, if never checked, would cut a dollar's buying power in half every 24 years.

In June, prices rose at an annual rate of 3.1 percent. Inflation means as prices rise, the dollar's value falls — unless worker productivity rises to keep the dollar buoyant.

Dropping the long-term rates would relieve the pressure on the Federal Reserve to do what it can to keep the economy from stalling. Its chief weapons are the federal funds rate and the discount rate.

Reducing rates has made cheap cash available to banks, helping to pump up bank profits, but it has not invigorated the economy by enticing business to expand. Loans on the books of banks nationwide totaled \$606 billion in April, down 4.6 percent in a year, the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis reported.

"You don't get a burst of energy injected into the economic stream because the short end of the rates went down," said economist Ken Goldstein of the Conference Board, a New York research group financed by large corporations.

Lowering the long rates would tend to fire up business. The interest charged on many loans to buy houses and build plants is keyed to the 30-year Treasury bond and other long-term investments.

The Federal Reserve can go where it wants with the short-

term rates, but it can't do anything about the 10- and 20-year rates because that's set in the world economy," said Edgar Bailey, chairman of Memphis-based Leader Federal Bank for Savings.

Savers such as Maxwell are caught in the squeeze.

"Interest rates are going down and when we go buy something you'd think prices are supposed to go down," said Maxwell, 66, who retired in 1985. "If rates are down, and industry wants to expand, their prices should be down."

However, the short-term rates have only modest influence on the prices in stores.

"Interest expenses are not a significant factor for the food industry," said Steve Turner, vice president of Turner Dairies Inc., a 650-employee dairy in suburban Covington, Tenn. "Prices do not move quite as quickly in relation to cost (of producing goods) as the general public would believe."

Nor are low short-term rates an automatic incentive to expand plants.

"Low interest rates really don't affect us," said Greg Griffith, communication manager for Great Lakes Chemical Corp., the Indiana-based parent of QO Chemical Inc. in Memphis.

QO makes chemicals for automotive foundries throughout the world. But weak car sales have softened QO's sales.

It's not low rates that drive expansions. It's the ability to sell more chemicals, Griffith said.

However, slow economies afflict Canada, France, Germany, Great Britain, Japan and the United States.

So there's little incentive to expand for export or domestic sales, particularly with the United States' consumer-driven economy slowed down as people pay off debts rather than buy more goods.

Last year, consumers paid off more debt than they took on, the first time that's happened since the 1950s recurrent recessions, Goldstein said.

One signal the pay-off trend continues. Leader Federal, the largest thrift based in Tennessee, reported its customers owed 13 percent less on their credit cards in April, May and June compared to the same period a year ago.

Meanwhile, retail sales are flat. And prices are trickling higher year by year. Rising wages and health care costs see to that.

"Overall in the economy, just as it's difficult for wages to fall, it's difficult for prices to fall," Ciscel said.

The news doesn't assure Maxwell as he watches his investments produce less income.

"The money I do have I worked hard for," Maxwell said. "Instead of going out now and working... I chose to save my money and live on it this way."

"Rates today are too low and prices are going up," he said. "If they got you over the barrel, there's nothing the middleman like me can do."

Universal Designers, Inc.

Designing - Manufacturing - Sales

3095 S. MENDENHALL
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38115
901-366-0612

FINANCE 8-1-92

Fund is first to market Miss. bonds

By David Flaum
The Commercial Appeal

Massachusetts Financial Services will offer the first Mississippi municipal bond mutual fund starting Monday.

Income from fund shares will be exempt from state and federal income taxes, said Peter Coffin, portfolio manager of the fund.

MFS, based in Boston, has similar funds in most other Southeastern states. In Tennessee it has an \$80 million asset fund started in 1988, and in Arkansas it opened an \$85 million asset fund in March.

A mutual fund pools the money of many investors to buy securities, in this case bonds issued by Mississippi communities and the state to finance schools, housing, utilities and other public projects.

MFS decided to create the fund after the Mississippi Tax Commission on June 2 exempted income from mutual funds investing in U.S. government and state municipal bonds from state income tax, said John Reilly, assistant vice president for media relations.

"Mississippi is not a big market, but we felt it was definitely big enough that we can put together a portfolio for which we will find good quality and attractive bonds," said Coffin, who will manage the fund with Cindy Brown. "For individuals, that's getting increasingly difficult to do on your own."

Institutions such as mutual funds, insurance companies and banks have more sources to go to for bonds, and they trade bonds among themselves, Coffin said.

Municipal bond mutual funds are becoming more popular, he said, because "individuals are recognizing the threat of higher taxes."

MFS aims for steady total return from its funds, Coffin said. Instead of emphasizing high current payoff (yield) with the risk of a later downturn, managers try to build a portfolio that will sustain the dividend for a long period of time, he said. They look for good values and bonds that cannot be redeemed, or called, before maturing, he said.

Coffin said utility bonds with AA ratings are the best values in the municipal market. Some hospital and housing bonds from communities are paying higher rates of interest, but "they may not be as strong credits as people think," he said.

ALl during the Democratic convention, I kept hearing that we need to "tax the rich," get them to "pay their share." It appears that the people making those statements had not done their homework.

Do you know that the top 2 percent of America's wage earners contribute 26 percent of the tax revenue collected? So what is "their share"? Do they use more of the social services? Do they use more of government's output? Obviously not. We will not solve our economic problems by getting a handful of wealthy Americans to pay more taxes.

The only way to get America back on its feet is to create jobs. Over the last four years, our Democrat-controlled Congress has tried everything possible to generate revenues by creating new taxes. They have "missed the point." The steps necessary to stimulate the economy, create jobs and reduce the deficit are as follows:

- Eliminate the 10 percent "luxury" tax on cars, boats, furs, jewelry, etc. The only thing this tax has done is reduce consumption of those high-priced

items and put people out of work. The lost jobs and income have not been offset by the tax revenue generated.

- Reconstitute the annual \$2,000 tax-free contribution to IRAs. This vehicle succeeded in generating large financial resources for investing loans. Also, it gave Americans a vehicle for saving for their future.
- Reconstitute a low-rate capital gains tax. People need an incentive to invest in the future, and the only way to create future jobs is to invest now.
- Reconstitute research and development investment credits. Investments in R&D result in new products and industries that create new jobs.

■ Tighten government controls for welfare and Medicare spending. If we simply eliminated the fraud and used the money for the people who need it most, the system would support itself.

- Eliminate the current draft filing law. We spend hundreds of millions of dollars a year supporting an antiquated draft system that has not been used in more than a decade. Shut it down. If an emergency draft was necessary, with today's telecommunication networks we could rapidly respond without wasting the current annual carrying cost.
- Reconstitute income tax deductibility for sales taxes and loan interest expenses. If our economy is to prosper, we need consumers to consume. By providing for tax deductions on loan interest and sales taxes, we stimulate consumption, which creates jobs.
- Provide incentives for our country's greatest minds to solve some of our most critical social problems, e.g. the homeless, the hungry, the environment, the sick, the uneducated, the aging, etc. By providing industry with an incentive, maybe it can generate some

YOU CANNOT keep squeezing a little more money out of everyone in the form of more taxes to pay for social programs. The problem will simply get worse. More taxes creates less disposable income. Less income creates less spending and consumption. Less consumption creates plant shutdowns and unemployment. More unemployment simply puts a bigger strain on an already overtaxed society.

Let's fix the problem for good by stimulating the economy. Current interest rates provide a great incentive to borrow. Let's get a Congress in office that understands that we now need a tax code that stimulates investment and consumption. The resulting creation of new jobs will generate the additional tax revenues needed to get our deficit under control.

Guest Columns, with a preferred length of 500-600 words, can be submitted to Guest Columns, The Commercial Appeal, Box 334 Memphis, Tenn 38101.

BY WILL C. WHITE JR.

Will C. White Jr., executive officer of Memphis-based North America, Inc.



Will C. White Jr. is president and chief executive officer of Memphis-based North America, Inc.

■ Tighten government controls for welfare and Medicare spending. If we simply eliminated the fraud and used the money for the people who need it most, the system would support itself.

- Eliminate the current draft filing law. We spend hundreds of millions of dollars a year supporting an antiquated draft system that has not been used in more than a decade. Shut it down. If an emergency draft was necessary, with today's telecommunication networks we could rapidly respond without wasting the current annual carrying cost.
- Reconstitute income tax deductibility for sales taxes and loan interest expenses. If our economy is to prosper, we need consumers to consume. By providing for tax deductions on loan interest and sales taxes, we stimulate consumption, which creates jobs.
- Provide incentives for our country's greatest minds to solve some of our most critical social problems, e.g. the homeless, the hungry, the environment, the sick, the uneducated, the aging, etc. By providing industry with an incentive, maybe it can generate some

■ Tighten government controls for welfare and Medicare spending. If we simply eliminated the fraud and used the money for the people who need it most, the system would support itself.

- Eliminate the current draft filing law. We spend hundreds of millions of dollars a year supporting an antiquated draft system that has not been used in more than a decade. Shut it down. If an emergency draft was necessary, with today's telecommunication networks we could rapidly respond without wasting the current annual carrying cost.
- Reconstitute income tax deductibility for sales taxes and loan interest expenses. If our economy is to prosper, we need consumers to consume. By providing for tax deductions on loan interest and sales taxes, we stimulate consumption, which creates jobs.
- Provide incentives for our country's greatest minds to solve some of our most critical social problems, e.g. the homeless, the hungry, the environment, the sick, the uneducated, the aging, etc. By providing industry with an incentive, maybe it can generate some

Guest Columns, with a preferred length of 500-600 words, can be submitted to Guest Columns, The Commercial Appeal, Box 334 Memphis, Tenn 38101.

JOHN STONER

Investment, Consumption, the Capital Market

GUEST COLUMN

JOHN STONER

Investment, Consumption, the Capital Market

GUEST COLUMN

Universal Designers, Inc.

Designing - Manufacturing - Sales

3095 S. MENDENHALL

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38115

MEMPHIS, SUNDAY, JULY 26, 1992

THE COMMERCIAL AP



FORFEITING OUR FUTURE

■ We've lost our manufacturing jobs, and now Americans are told to learn new skills. But there are no jobs for these new skills.

By Tom Juravich

I knew when I saw my uncles driving Nissans and Isuzus on their farms that the economy had changed. But the collapse of the American automobile industry is only one small part of what is becoming an enormous shift in our economy. We are fast becoming a country without jobs. Yet, somehow, we're still denying it.

In the early 1980s, the first wave of plant closings hit blue-collar workers in the steel, auto and garment industries. Economists told us these workers would never get such well-paid jobs again. On television we saw and heard about the lost homes, marital breakups, even suicides. But, collectively, we didn't shed a tear or sound an alarm.

Maybe we believed, after all, that people without education and fancy skills didn't really deserve nice homes, or to

Tom Juravich, professor of labor studies at the Pennsylvania State University Great Valley campus, wrote this article for New York's *Newsday*.

be able to send their kids to college or to go on vacations. It was a new world, we told them, one where you need education and skills if you want to make the bucks.

And so skill training became our new national obsession, as people all up and down the occupational ladder hustled back to school to learn computer, technical and managerial skills. Every matchbook offered sure-fire career opportunities for the information age.

This became part of the national agenda when the U.S. Department of Labor issued the Workforce 2000 report, declaring that there would be a shortage of workers with adequate skills and training by the end of the century. Schools and educators have been sent scurrying in perhaps the largest realignment of American education since the Sputnik era.

There's only one problem: Where are the new jobs that all these newly skilled people are supposed to do? Look around and you'll see that the economy is delivering something quite different. There are no jobs—even for those with education and skills.

We began to see this with the collapse of the boom years on Wall Street. No longer would an MBA and a smile guarantee a young man a six-figure income. Then we saw what happened with bloated middle management. Scaled-back work forces, computer technology and new organizational structures

made much of middle management obsolete.

Now, even college-educated, middle-class folks were losing jobs. But there, too, I think we justified these job losses as just trimming the fat in lean times.

BUT THE SIGNALS of the last several years are clear. By any measure, we have gone way beyond trimming the fat, and have cut deep into our productive capacity in virtually all sectors of the economy. It's not merely General Motors laying off 75,000 workers and closing 21 plants over the next three years, the collapse of the paper empire of Donald Trump or the fall of the giant Macy's.

It's the serious problems of the No. 2 computer company, and the maker of the supercomputer of the future, and even at the big plant of IBM. Or the fall of Olan Mills, the United Press International, and the computer information industry pulling us out of this one.

Add the free-trade agreement with Mexico, which, when approved by Congress, will spur further job loss and extensive layoffs in public sector employment at the state, federal and local levels and the job picture is dismal.

It's like the ad I saw at my campus recently. "Wanted: Clerical workers. Knowledge.

Please call 1-800-...

AS IN THE SCENE in *The Wizard of Oz* where the dog Toto pulls back the curtain to reveal that the wizard is only an old man, we, too, need to admit that much of our economy is smoke and mirrors, especially in its ability to provide

But that's all gone. By some estimates, we are three to four times more likely to change jobs than our parents were a generation ago. Sure, there is work out there—work all up and down the occupational ladder that will never turn into a job. Make work, temp work, junk work. Work where you'll always be looking over your shoulder, looking for a pink slip and for another job with benefits and some future.

It doesn't matter if the economy climbs out of the recession. Because even if the economy recovers, Americans won't, as the loss of real jobs continues to accelerate. Whining about poor education, a lack of job skills or the decline of the work ethic amounts to little more than the computer-age version of blaming the victim.

That's all gone. By some estimates, we are three to four times more likely to change jobs than our parents were a generation ago. Sure, there is work out there—work all up and down the occupational ladder that will never turn into a job. Make work, temp work, junk work. Work where you'll always be looking over your shoulder, looking for a pink slip and for another job with benefits and some future.

It doesn't matter if the economy climbs out of the recession. Because even if the economy recovers, Americans won't, as the loss of real jobs continues to accelerate. Whining about poor education, a lack of job skills or the decline of the work ethic amounts to little more than the computer-age version of blaming the victim.

Universal Designers, Inc.

Designing - Manufacturing - Sales

3095 S. MENDENHALL
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38115
901-366-0612

REGULATION

Tax-exempt funds clarified

By Reed Aranson
The Commercial Appeal

NASHVILLE — Any investor who has been told that Burson cleared the way for bank investment companies to offer exempt municipal funds that invest in federal, state and local government bonds.

Burson, in an opinion issued Friday, answered investment company questions about a 1992 law that changed the way the state exempts bonds from investment in government bonds.

Tennessee taxes investment income but has allowed government bond investment income to be exempt since 1992, to answer constitutional questions, the legislature said. Income from a municipal fund may be exempt to the degree the municipal fund has invested in government bonds.

Laurel Williams, an attorney with Burch, Porter and Johnson in Memphis, said the new law might be interpreted as not including short-term investments.

"It was clearly the design of the legislature to create the exemption for all Tennessee obligations with regard to maturity," reads the opinion issued by the Attorney General's Office.

The Attorney General's Office said the new exemption language appears to pass constitutional muster treating investments in federal Tennessee bonds the same way.

CAMPAIGN TRAIL

Here's where the candidates will be today:

■ President Bush: Camp David, Md.

■ Bill Clinton: Falls Church and Middleburg, Va.; Little Rock.

■ Vice President Dan Quayle: Cape Canaveral and Miami.

■ Al Gore: Columbus, Ohio; Arlington, Va.

Mo., is an example. His work at Browning Arms is the kind that usually produces a Democrat. Shoults believes that without a union, his \$12 hourly wage would fall to \$7. But Shoults is going to vote for Bush.

"The family is what it is all about. If we get that straightened out, then everything falls into place," he said. "I would rather vote Democratic, but on the subjects of family and abortions and homosexuality, I can't go for that."

Republican appeals to values have worked powerfully to keep Shoults and several of his neighbors in the GOP column, but these appeals are being overshadowed by the economy for many.

Of the 49 voters interviewed who supported Bush in 1988, only 21 said they are committed to vote for him in 1992. Seventeen said they will vote for Clinton, and the rest are undecided, plan to vote for Ross-Perot, or will not vote.

In contrast, of the 12 voters for Michael Dukakis in 1988, seven support Clinton, five are undecided and none plan to vote for Bush. Of the seven new voters, four said they will back Clinton and three were undecided.

If these voting trends in Festus and Bellaville, Mo., Southwest Chicago, Garden City, Mich., and Lakewood, Ohio, hold up through Nov. 3, Bush has little chance of winning in the crucial Midwest industrial states.

The interviews also showed that Clinton has serious weaknesses, especially on the issue of trust. Very few voters, including those planning to vote for him, voiced strong enthusiasm. They were primarily motivated by discontent with Bush.

Jobs sway Reagan Democrats from Bush

By Thomas B. Edsall
and David S. Broder
The Washington Post

CHICAGO — The presidential election has become troubling for many of the blue-collar voters who left their Democratic Party roots to support Ronald Reagan and George Bush.

For these voters, it's a choice between their values and their jobs. Jobs are winning, so President Bush is losing.

Interviews in Illinois, Missouri, Ohio and Michigan with socially conservative, working and lower-middle-class voters, many Catholics or Baptists, suggested that they are torn by conflicting emotions: a desire to reaffirm the primacy of family values; deep anger at a Republican administration that failed to produce jobs; and lingering suspicion of Democratic nominee Bill Clinton.

The bottom line, however, was a substantial defection from Republican ranks.

The Washington Post conducted extended conversations with 68 voters, most of whom could be described as "Bush Democrats" or "Reagan Democrats."

The trends found in the interviews were strongly supported by recent Washington Post-ABC News polls. The polls found that among Democrats who voted for Bush in 1988, 58 percent now plan to vote for Clinton, 32 percent say they will vote for Bush and the rest are undecided.

"Nobody is going to tell me what my family values are," said Bill Witkowski of Chicago, who has been out of work for 1½ years. "I want them to show me the economy. If they get people back to work, family values go up. If people aren't working, it hurts the family."

But the interviews also found support for Bush's stress on "traditional values," and particularly his opposition to abortion.

Richard Shoults, 35, of Festus,

ELECTION '92

Advisers to Bush look to debates for a lift

By Terence Hunt
The Associated Press

WASHINGTON — With hard-edged TV ads and the debates, President Bush's advisers hope they can find a silver bullet to slay Arkansas Gov. Bill Clinton's campaign.

The Bush team is struggling to catch up in the campaign's final weeks by making Americans doubt Clinton's character and judgment and by spreading fear that the Democrat will increase everyone's taxes.

But in the political community there is a growing belief — though not unanimous — that the President is facing defeat.

"It's time for the Hail Mary," said GOP strategist John Sears. "I don't know if there is anything he can do." Sears said the person who can elect Bush is Clinton, by making mistakes — and he shows no sign of doing that.

"I think it's too late," said Reagan White House political director Lyn Nofziger.

The election's over, said Colorado College political scientist Tom Cronin, echoing sentiments of many Republicans and



Barbara Bush boarded a bus Wednesday to campaign in Racine, Wis. The tour in Wisconsin and Illinois included President Bush's sister, his daughter, a daughter-in-law and a granddaughter.

Democrats. "This is a referendum on economic leadership and he (Bush) has lost it."

However, Jody Powell, press secretary to President Carter, said the combination of the debates, TV ads and Ross Perot's big-money campaign "all make the outcome uncertain."

"I'm not one who thinks the thing is over," Powell said. "Most people will be getting more information from paid ads than from the media and that always takes the campaign into a different phase."

Bush's advisers hope the three

debates will sharpen negative impressions of Clinton. The first debate will be Sunday night.

"Bush has always done well in debates," said James Lake, a senior adviser to the President's campaign.

Bush's campaign will run tough ads at a furious pace throughout the debates and until the end of the race. They'll be poised to seize on any Clinton missteps, Lake said.

Analysts say the debates are a long shot for Bush to recover.

There's nothing really left to happen except surprises in the

debates to change things around," said George Washington University political scientist Christopher Deering.

However, he said, "They'll probably change nothing because Clinton will be well prepared when all is said and done."

Sears suggested that Bush should not spare Perot any quarter in the debate. "I'd go right after Perot. If you don't, he'll just sit there and ridicule you."

He would just get into a fist fight with him. You've got to come out there looking different than the other

Universal Designers, Inc.

Designing - Manufacturing - Sales

3095 S. MENDENHALL

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38115

901-366-0612

Page 7

Universal Designers, Inc.

Designing - Manufacturing - Sales

3095 S. MENDENHALL

Economy sinks Bush

■ As the figures get worse and worse, it becomes more and more certain that he will lose.

In the space of four days, a gusher of dispiriting economic statistics would seem to have sealed the fate of the Bush administration.

It was one thing to imagine, as his strategists did, the re-election of the President on the strength of an economy that was weak but discernibly improving in the final weeks of the campaign.

It simply is not possible to imagine, after four years of wretched economic performance, a Bush victory in the face of an economy now in such clear and imminent danger of another contraction.



ROBERT RENO
Commentary

56.4. As recently as June it had reached 72.6.

■ The Department of Commerce's index of leading economic indicators, a composite statistic designed to foretell economic activity during the next six months, fell 0.7 percent in August. Seven of its 11 components worsened significantly.

TODAY WE'LL HAVE the government's monthly employment report — the last before the November election — and it'll be a miracle if it doesn't show an increase in the unemployment rate and a decline in payroll employment.

And so this brings us to the only compelling question left in this campaign and it is not about whether some moneybags Texan in love with the sound of his own voice has decided to leap back into it. It is, rather, the question of how elegantly George Bush will choose to go down.

In the schools to which the family chauffeur drove young George Bush, they taught a spirit of manly competitiveness, a sort of world-as-a-tennis-court philosophy that, when Bush applied it to politics, came out as a grotesque parody of combativeness often with a mean, almost feline edge to it.

Faced with the increasing certainty of defeat, will we see a new George Bush, one that recognizes the critical importance of losing gracefully? Or will we see only a more desperate version of the compulsive game player, swatting frantically at his tormentors who, at the smell of his blood, are not disposed to treat him charitably?

Robert Reno is a columnist for Newsday.

Hooks asks n Americans fi

By James Kingsley
The Commercial Appeal

NAACP executive director Benjamin L. Hooks Sunday called on the nation to provide jobs for every American.

"I want to know where are the jobs. Any job is a good job, from cleaning floors to cooking hamburgers, but there has to be someone furnishing them," Hooks told about 90 people at the Days Hotel in Atlanta.

He said he was speaking at the annual session of the 91st General Assembly of Calantha and Grand Lodge of the Knights of Pythias, Hooks' grand chancellor of that fraternal organization.

It costs less to feed and train a person than to keep him in jail, Hooks said. "This country is becoming

Continued below

ation to help nd more jobs

more like a third world," he shouted. He said there was a civil rebellion in Detroit and the big automakers offered to create 50,000 jobs. "Today, the big three mobile makers couldn't find five jobs," he said.

However, he said, "We stop complaining about the thing and do something. It's time to get off the couch and work. It's time to build the world."

Hooks challenged everyone to help someone. "If we don't show somebody a way out, then our lives have no meaning," he said.

The second day of the day convention began today. More than 500 are expected to attend.

Hooks will retire from the NAACP but he was elected president of the board of the National Black Rights Museum in Memphis.

HERE ARE THE trends of which we have just been made aware:

■ Durable goods orders, one of the most reliable barometers of sustained recovery, fell in August for the third time in four months. Without the volatile transportation sector, often skewed by huge aircraft sales, they were down 1.3 percent. With transportation orders, they were still down 0.1 percent.

■ Sales of existing single-family homes, despite the steep plunge in mortgage rates that began in July, fell 1.2 percent in August. They have declined in four of the past five months.

■ Total personal income fell sharply in August.

■ Consumer confidence, as measured by the Conference Board's highly respected 5,000-household survey, fell in September for the third consecutive month. The confidence index stood at

October 7, 1992

NRN

Mr. James Baker
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir,

This afternoon I was informed by my supervisor that Westinghouse Electric would be laying off 1200 people beginning November 1, 1992 which needless to say is disturbing news. This will be the third lay-off in the last few years. I pray that I will not be one of the "chosen".

Yesterday when I started this letter I felt with some confidence that I, because of my position on the B-1B program, was not at jeopardy, however, in conversation with my boss today, I find that because I'm the newest member of the group there is some probability that I could be without a job. What a hell of a note after 32 years of experience as a valued employee!

In recent correspondence to your office, I expressed concern over the plight of unemployed engineers and professional employee's. I suggested an SBA program to provide loans to qualified individuals to start small businesses. Now, with some probability, that I might join the unemployed, I encourage the President to propose legislation for loans not just guaranteed loans. I suggest this situation could be every bit as disastrous to those involved as Hurricane Andrew was to the Florida peninsula. The situation requires emergency funding to transition those so affected to new opportunities.


I have developed a business plan to build affordable homes to survive hurricane force winds. The need clearly exists for a quality product to serve hurricane prone states. However, I do not have the money to invest in this venture and if I were unemployed the banks would not grant me a loan even if it were guaranteed by the SBA. The banks require significant investment on the part of the individual. Unemployed people do not have money for entrepreneurial ventures. My situation illustrates the dilemma of many qualified individuals.

The upcoming presidential debates provides a moment in history to propose programs to promote entrepreneurial opportunities to stimulate the economy. The SBA does not currently have programs for the unemployed without significant investments on their part. People with innovative ideas need money to develop their dreams. I would encourage the President to propose such emergency legislation.

The majority of Americans respect the President! Build on this respect by proposing programs to utilize the valued resources of this country, effectively, through investments to provide jobs for all of us. Convince the public that George Bush is not a "clown" but a concerned aggressive leader who takes charge to provide solutions not promises!

I honestly believe the President is imminently more qualified than either of the other two "clowns". I find it hard to believe that Americans will really vote for Mr. Perot, the wheeler dealer, or that other fast talker from Arkansas, Mr. Clinton. I want continuity in my life as do most Americans-I pray to God, and the President, that I can have a job to enjoy that continuity!

Respectfully,



Robert N. Holsclaw
254 West Haven Drive
Severna Park, Md., 21146

JAMES McDONALD ASSOCIATES

2650 Mission Street
San Marino CA 91108

Telephone 818 799 6620 Telex 6714973 Fax 818 799 6208

NRN

October 9 92

The Honorable James A. Baker III
The White House
Washington DC 20500

Dear Mr. Baker: Re: Clinton as a Liar

The public is not impressed with Clinton's draft dodging,
his anti-war antics or trips to Moscow.

The public does not like a liar and President Bush should
concentrate on his lies. For starters the famous Oslo trip
where he says that he merely met the militant reverend in
the railroad station for a couple of minutes and that was
his only contact with him. The militant reverend's book
cites many meetings with Clinton and Clinton has simply
lied about this situation. He lied on television for all
to hear.

We do not need a liar as president.

James McDonald



FAX COVER SHEET

Please call Kinko's if transmittal is incomplete.

DATE: 10/9/92NUMBER OF ORIGINALS INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 2TO: JAMES BAKER IIIFROM: William RobertCOMPANY: White House

COMPANY: _____

PHONE: Office of Staff

PHONE: _____

FAX: (202) 456-2461

FAX: _____

MESSAGE: _____

kinko's georgetown

3329 M Street, NW • Washington, DC 20007 • Tel: (202) 965-1414 • Fax: (202) 333-7433

Robert Untalan
3400 P St. NW
WA, D.C. 20007

October 9, 1992

The Honorable James Baker III
Chief of Staff/White House
WA., D.C. 20500

NRN

Dear James Baker III:

Please reference my previous correspondence of August 27, 1992, September 14, 18, 22, 24, 25, 30, 1992, October 6, 7, and 8, 1992.

I believe after everyone, including the Bureau, has had a chance to review the entire matter referenced in my correspondence to you; these are the Basic Rights that were violated against me because of my opposition and efforts to expose the money laundering scheme supported by the Congress and enforced by the intelligence community.

Amendment I: Prevented from speaking out about the violations of our Constitution and suppressing the Press so they would not release my story. New York Times, Washington Post, USA Today, National Public Radio.

Amendment IV: Forced to sell our home, investigation of my background and papers, conspiring to conduct physical harm to me, which shall not be violated.

Amendment V: Kept under surveillance and restricted to Hill International, Inc. without presentation of any evidence to what I had done wrong.

Amendment VI: Never informed of the nature and cause of the accusation or allowed to be confronted with witness against me, which resulted in my involuntary retention at Hill International, Inc.

Amendment IX: Reservation of Rights of the People.

Amendment XIII: Section 1: Involuntarily kept at Hill International, Inc. from January 1991 till the present.

Amendment XIV: Deprived me of life, liberty and property without due process or equal protection of the Laws. Interference with job opportunities and employment, and judicial protection.

When the appropriate individuals responsible for undermining our Constitution are brought to Justice, request Article III, Section 3 be carried out for conspiring to undermine our form of government, providing aid and comfort to those involved. Two witness are required under the Constitution. I know two.

Sincerely,

Robert Untalan
Robert Untalan

cc: FBI Squad C2

TO: JAMES BAKER, Chief of Staff

OCT. 9, 1992

FROM: STEVEN DOUGLAS
2611 GREEN ST
RACINE, WI 53402

NRN

SUBJECT: OPPORTUNITY to DAMAGE CLINTON THROUGH HIS
OWN CONTRADICTIONS

Quite Simply, Bill Clinton has set himself up
to be discredited by the contradicting statements
that he avoided the draft & protested because
he ~~was~~^{then} & still opposes U.S. policy in Vietnam.

But he now wants to get involved in Yugoslavia
by bombing artillery positions and wants
more military ~~and~~ protection for SOUTHERN
IRAQ.

Without stating a clear military objective in
these actions Clinton obviously has not learned
anything from his teach-ins and demonstration:
for he wants to make the mistakes of
Vietnam again.

Pres. Bush however has learned from the
Vietnam experience. He has clearly stated the
U.S. will not get involved in Yugoslavia
without a clear military objective. Pres.
Bush has clearly stated NO MORE VIETNAMS!

TO: JAMES BAKER, Chief of Staff

OCT. 9, 1992

FROM: STEVEN DOUGLAS
2611 GREEN ST
RACINE, WI 53403

The use of a clear military objective has been used with much success in GRENADA, PANAMA, & the Gulf War.

If Clinton can be exposed on this contradiction he will walk out of Sunday's debate bleeding!

Good Luck!

Sincerely,

Steven P Douglas

Steven Douglas